BIOLOGY LETTERS

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsbl

Research



Cite this article: Ehlers S, Baum D, Mühlethaler R, Hoch H, Bräunig P. 2022 Large abdominal mechanoreceptive sense organs in small plant-dwelling insects. *Biol. Lett.* **18**: 20220078. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2022.0078

Received: 9 February 2022 Accepted: 21 March 2022

Subject Areas:

evolution, neuroscience, taxonomy and systematics

Keywords:

biotremology, hemiptera, insect communication, chordotonal organs, sensory evolution, vibration detection

Author for correspondence:

Sarah Ehlers e-mail: sarah.ehlers@mfn.berlin

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.5918303.



Evolutionary biology

Large abdominal mechanoreceptive sense organs in small plant-dwelling insects

Sarah Ehlers¹, Daniel Baum², Roland Mühlethaler³, Hannelore Hoch⁴ and Peter Bräunig⁵

¹Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Discovery (CIBD), Museum of Natural History Berlin (MfN), Invalidenstraße 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany

²Visual and Data-Centric Computing, Zuse Institute Berlin, Takustr. 7, 14195 Berlin, Germany
³Wunsiedeler Weg 36, 12247 Berlin, Germany

⁴Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Discovery (CIBD), Museum of Natural History Berlin, Invalidenstraße 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany

⁵Biology Department II (Zoology), RWTH Aachen University, Worringerweg 3, 52074 Aachen, Germany

(D) SE, 0000-0002-6478-8226

The Hemiptera, with approximately 98 000 species, is one of the largest insect orders. Most species feed by sucking sap from plant tissues and are thus often vectors for economically important phytopathogens. Well known within this group are the large cicadas (Cicadomorpha: Cicadoidea: Cicadidae) because they produce extremely loud airborne sounds. Less well known are their mostly tiny relatives, the leafhoppers, spittlebugs, treehoppers and planthoppers that communicate by silent vibrational signals. While the generation of these signals has been extensively investigated, the mechanisms of their perception are poorly understood. This study provides a complete description and three-dimensional reconstruction of a large and complex array of mechanoreceptors in the first abdominal segments of the Rhododendron leafhopper Graphocephala fennahi (Cicadomorpha: Membracoidea: Cicadellidae). Further, we identify homologous organs in the spittlebug Philaenus spumarius (Cicadomorpha: Cercopoidea: Aphrophoridae) and the planthopper Issus coleoptratus (Fulgoromorpha: Fulgoroidea: Issidae). Such large abdominal sensory arrays have not been found in any other insect orders studied so far. This indicates that these sense organs, together with the signal-producing tymbal organ, constitute a synapomorphy of the Tymbalia (Hemiptera excl. Sternorrhyncha). Our results contribute to the understanding of the evolution from substrate-borne to airborne communication in insects.

1. Introduction

In insects, intraspecific communication through substrate-borne vibrational signals is thought to be a phylogenetically ancient trait, dating back at least 300 million years, to the Lower Permian [1,2]. Substrate-borne vibrations are ubiquitous and best studied within the order Hemiptera that comprises approximately 98 000 recent species [3]. Except for the suborder Sternorrhyncha, all other taxa of Hemiptera possess a tymbal organ to generate mechanical signals (sound and/or vibrations) and so have been subsumed under the term 'Tymbalia' [4].

Except for cicadas, which communicate by airborne signals, all remaining taxa of Tymbalia communicate by means of substrate-borne vibrations transmitted via the plant surface [5]. These signals are mandatory for the identification and localization of potential mating partners [6]. All species of the suborders Cicadomorpha (leafhoppers, spittlebugs, treehoppers and

 \odot 2022 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2

cicadas) and Fulgoromorpha (planthoppers), belonging to the Tymbalia, use their piercing-sucking mouthparts to feed on sap from plant tissues. For this reason, some of them are very effective vectors for plant pathogens such as phytoplasmas, bacteria and viruses [7,8]. In many cases, these vector-borne plant pathogens are causing massive damage to a broad spectrum of crops, resulting in enormous economic losses [9]. The generation of vibrational signals in Tymbalia is increasingly being studied, especially concerning their production, their role in reproductive behaviour, their ecological contexts and even their application in pest control [10]. By contrast, little is known about how these signals are perceived [11]. Cicadas possess two sound-perceiving abdominal tympanal organs, each containing more than 2000 sensory cells [12]. For leafhoppers, a simple Johnston's organ with only 20 sensory cells or even smaller subgenual organs have been hypothesized to act as the main signal receiver [13,14].

In a publication that went unnoticed for decades, Karel Vondráček [15] reported a signal-producing organ (tymbal) in the leafhopper *Ribautiana ulmi* (Cicadomorpha: Membracoidea: Cicadellidae), and—in addition—observed two paired chordotonal organs (stretch receptor organs with ciliated scolopidial sensory units) in the abdomen that he interpreted as auditory organs. More than 70 years later, our study is the first to follow up and elaborate on Vondráček's observations. Our aim was to bring this pioneering discovery back to light, to analyse the sense organs' structure in detail and provide a basis for comparative studies across a wider range of species within the Tymbalia.

2. Material and methods

To generate the three-dimensional reconstruction, a male Rhododendron leafhopper Graphocelphala fennahi was fixated in FAE solution (15 parts 96% non-denatured ethanol, 30 parts distilled water, 6 parts 40% formaldehyde and 2 parts 50% acetic acid) and further embedded in Araldite® 502 resin. The sample was cut in 1 µm thick sections using a Leica ultramicrotome and a DIATOME Histo Jumbo 6.0 mm diamond knife. Sections were stained with Richardson's methylene blue/azur II rapid stain [16]. Images were taken by means of a 3DHISTECH PANNORA-MIC SCAN II slide scanner in the Institute of Pathology Charité in Berlin-Mitte, Germany. Based on 450 serial sections (figure 1d) and with the software Amira [17,18], we created a three-dimensional reconstruction of the anterior abdomen (electronic supplementary material, Video S1). In contrast with the µCT images taken first, the histology allowed an unambiguous identification of cells and tissues, especially sensory structures (scolopidia, nerves) and their cuticular attachments.

Additionally, we used anterograde staining with nickel salts to study nerves and sensory neurons in both sexes of G. fennahi (Cicadomorpha: Membracoidea: Cicadellidae), the spittlebug Philaenus spumarius (Cicadomorpha: Cercopoidea: Aphrophoridae) and the planthopper Issus coleoptratus (Fulgoromorpha: Fulgoroidea: Issidae) (figure 1c, electronic supplementary material, figures S1b and S2). The insects were anaesthetized by cooling on ice, decapitated, pinned ventral side up in a dish and covered with ice-cold insect saline. The metathoracic ganglion mass (consisting of fused thoracic and abdominal neuromeres) was exposed, its posterior half isolated in Vaseline, opened up by a transverse cut approximately between mesoand metathoracic neuromeres and stained with 0.5% nickel chloride in distilled water overnight at 6°C. Nickel staining was developed using rubeanic acid (1 drop of a saturated alcoholic solution added to 1 ml saline) [19]. After development, nerves

and sense organs were exposed by further dissection, fixed in 4% formaldehyde, dehydrated in an ascending alcohol series and cleared in methyl salicylate.

3. Results

Our investigation revealed that the first two abdominal segments in G. fennahi, in addition to a complex array of muscles and specialized sclerites, are equipped with a large array of chordotonal organs (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, Video S1). In the first abdominal segment, two chordotonal organs were found in each hemisegment. The first chordotonal organ (Ia ch_1) is the largest one and approximately 550 µm long. One-half is made of a thin distal attachment strand and the other half of a thicker proximal part. The distal part is made of connective tissue and attached to the anterior base of a convex area of the first tergum. The distal part of the organ is in touch with a big tracheal trunk whose spiracle is located directly behind the metepimeron (figure 1b). The proximal portion of the chordotonal organ contains the scolopidia. The attachment takes place at a junction of the metepimeron to the first abdominal sternum. Posteriorly, the large folded pleural membrane of the first abdominal segment envelops the chordotonal organ (figure 1b). The scolopales of the scolopidia have been reconstructed separately to visualize their arrangement within all organs. In total, Ia ch1 comprises approximately 50 scolopidia that occur in two clusters: one cluster with approximately 40 scolopidia showing a V-shaped arrangement and another with approximately ten scolopidia in a dorsoventrally oriented row. The second chordotonal organ (*Ia* ch_2) is approximately 130 µm long and made of approximately 12 scolopidia, which are arranged obliquely to each other.

The second abdominal segment contains four chordotonal organs in each hemisegment. The first chordotonal organ (IIa ch_1) is about 570 µm long. This chordotonal organ contains some 50 scolopidia, which occur in a V-shaped arrangement resembling the big cluster of *Ia ch*₁ (figure 1*b*–*d*). It is distally attached to the tergum II and proximally to a sclerotized hollow horn that arises laterally at the posterior side of the first abdominal sternite (figure 1b,d; electronic supplementary material, Video S1). The second chordotonal organ (IIa ch₂) is about 400 µm long and contains some 70 scolopidia. They also exhibit a V-shaped arrangement, albeit less clearly than *Ia* ch_1 and *IIa* ch_1 . The distal attachment point is located ventrolaterally at the second abdominal pleural membrane, right behind the first abdominal sternite. Proximally, the organ is attached to the junction of a ventrolateral ridge with the second sternite (figure 1b). The second sternite forms a massive hollow bulge inwards and, at the level of the lateral ridges, forms two large spoon-like and posteriorly directed apodemes that reach into the third segment. The third chordotonal organ (IIa ch₃) is about 370 µm long and comprises around 15 scolopidia in a dorsoventrally aligned row. This organ is attached to the second pleural membrane and to the posterior side of the first sternite. The fourth chordotonal organ (IIa ch_4) is located ventrally and contains five scolopidia (figure 1b,c). The orientation differs from the latter chordotonal organs. The distal attachment point is in the centre of the sternum, which is concave in this area. The proximal attachment is on the posterior side of the first sternum.



Figure 1. (Caption overleaf.)

Staining of sensory neurons revealed homologous arrays of chordotonal organs in *P. spumarius* and even in the more distantly related *I. coleoptratus* (electronic supplementary

material, figure S1 and S2). Like *G. fennahi*, the other species examined possess two paired chordotonal organs in the first abdominal segment and four in the second. With respect to

4

Figure 1. (*Overleaf*.) Large abdominal chordotonal organs in a *Graphocephala fennahi* male (*a*) Habitus. The first and second abdominal segments (I + II), unlike the following pregenital segments (III–VIII), are fused and contain large chordotonal organs (green). (*b*) Posterior, slightly oblique view of left hemisegment with the chordotonal organs (green), nerves (red) and exoskeleton (yellow). On the right, the chordotonal organs are enlarged with scolopales indicated in dark green. The small insets in the middle show the chordotonal organs of the second segment separately. (*c*) Dorsal view of left hemisegment. Chordotonal organs and nerves are stained with nickel chloride. (*d*) Image detail of a histological section from the second abdominal segment used for the three-dimensional reconstruction. Chordotonal organs are cut longitudinally. Units of the scolopidia are numbered: 1: soma; 2: elongated dendrite with ciliary root; 3: nucleus scolopale cell; 4: scolopale; 5: attachment cells; 6: nucleus attachment cell. Abbreviations: la/lla: first/second abdominal segment; apd: apodeme; *ch*, chordotonal organ; epm: metepimeron; fm: folded pleural membrane; h: horn-like protrusion; sn: segmental nerve; st: sternite tr: trachea; white arrow head: lateral ridge. Scale bars (*b*) 50 µm, (*c*) 20 µm, (*d*) 10 µm.

position and relative sizes, the chordotonal organs are similar in all species studied. Thus, the chordotonal organs identified as *la* ch_1 , *IIa* ch_1 and *IIa* ch_2 are the larger ones and show a Vshaped arrangement of scolopidia. In all species, *IIa* ch_2 comprise the highest number of scolopidia, ranging from 70 to 100. The fourth chordotonal organ in the second segment (*IIa* ch_4) is located most ventrally in all species examined. Only the position of organ three (*IIa* ch_3) seems to vary somewhat. In *G. fennahi*, it is located more ventrally and in the other two species more dorsally (electronic supplementary material, figure S1b).

4. Discussion

Especially in the male leafhoppers, the exoskeleton and the muscles in the first and second abdominal segments are highly modified and together form the so-called tymbal organ, which generates species-specific vibrational signals [20]. Besides these sophisticated muscle configurations in the anterior abdominal segments (electronic supplementary material, Video S1), the leafhopper G. fennahi shows an array of chordotonal organs that, in relation to the size of the insect, is extremely large and complex. Six pairs of different chordotonal organs occur in close proximity in the first two abdominal segments. The exceptional size of the organs is also illustrated by a comparison with the femoral chordotonal organ. In many insects, it is one of the largest leg proprioceptors with up to several hundreds of sensory cells [21]. In Graphocephala, the femoral chordotonal organ of the hind leg, revealed as a by-product of our nerve-staining, has only some 15 scolopidia (PB 2018, unpublished results). A similar complex of abdominal chordotonal organs was previously described in the Cicadidae [22,23] and also in the Tettigarctidae [22], a relict family with only two extant species.

We hypothesize that the remarkably large abdominal chordotonal organs represent an elaborate system for receiving and discriminating communication signals from conspecifics. Substrate-borne vibrational signals travel through the plant surface from sender to receiver. Vibrational signals are generated by tymbal buckling and oscillatory up and down movements of the abdomen [24], notably without touching the substrate. Thus, the vibratory apparatus is coupled to the substrate via thorax and legs. Likewise, substrate-borne vibrations may travel the other way round to reach the sense organs in the first abdominal segments. We assume that the membranous ventral parts of the first and second abdominal segments (figure 1b), depending on muscle activity, are under tension and vibrate in response to surface vibrations and/or near-field waves. Abdominal sternites one and two, which are connected to the membranes, transmit these vibrations to the attached chordotonal organs.

The regular arrangement of the scolopidia in the larger organs shows morphological similarities to the tympanal

organs of crickets and katydids [25]. In addition, Ila ch2, with its high number of small scolopidia, shows similarities to the proximal scoloparium of the locust femoral chordotonal organ, a known vibration receptor [26]. Considering that the generation of tymbal signals requires a sophisticated interplay of muscle activity and abdominal stiffness [24], a proprioceptive role in the signal generation for one or more of the chordotonal organs described here cannot be ruled out. However, in view of the considerable morphological differences of muscles and sclerites between the species studied here [20], one would also expect morphological differences of the associated proprioceptors. The similarities of the chordotonal organs of the different taxa argue against this assumption (electronic supplementary material, figure S1b). Likewise, the chordotonal organs do not differ between male and female cicadellids such as G. fennahi, although the morphology of the tymbal apparatus shows a pronounced sexual dimorphism [20]. Even the small size of Ia ch2, IIa ch₃ and IIa ch₄ does not preclude their possible role in the perception of species-specific vibrational signals: female Drosophila melanogaster perceive substrate-borne mating signals with a femoral chordotonal organ with 10 and a tibial chordotonal organ with only three scolopidia [27].

These results provide evidence that all taxa examined not only share a tymbal as sound- and vibration-producing apparatus [4], but most likely also homologous signal-receiving chordotonal organs. Whereas the chordotonal organs in the first segment of cicadas correspond to a major extent with the basic pattern of the other four taxa, the tympanal organ in the second segment of this taxon shows a clear specialization for the perception of airborne sound. Nevertheless, this organ also complies with the homology criteria of topology (second abdominal segment), structural complexity (associated with lateral ridges) and continuity (with the configuration in Tettigarcta as intermediate form). It is conceivable that abdominal sense organs perceiving vibrational signals are ancestral in Tymbalia, and that the tympanal organs of cicadas (perceiving airborne signals) represent an autapomorphy, derived by an organ homologous to the second chordotonal organ of the second abdominal segment (IIa ch₂), as described here. We hypothesize that the other abdominal chordotonal organs of the cicadas also perceive vibrational signals. Such substrateborne vibrations are produced as a by-product of their airborne signals [28]. However, a specific generation of vibrational signals produced by cicadas cannot be excluded.

Most insects investigated so far have small chordotonal organs in the abdominal segments containing only 1–7 scolopidia. In each hemisegment, there is usually one organ in the sternal and a second one in the pleural region [21,29]. So far, the only notable exceptions are the Acrididae, in which the pleural chordotonal organ in the first abdominal segment was strongly modified and became the tympanal organ with about 60–80 scolopidia [30,31] and the Cicadidae (discussed above). The complex 'vibroscape' [10] of the natural habitat is

5

particularly challenging for insects that communicate via vibrational signals. Small plant-dwelling insects require the ability to discriminate between mechanical waves of different origins (e.g. weather, predators and conspecifics) and directions. Perhaps well-known vibration receptors present in all insects such as the subgenual organs in the legs were insufficient for this task and for this reason, more elaborate mechanoreceptive sense organs evolved in the Tymbalia.

Our results provide a solid anatomical basis for future physiological investigations necessary to evaluate our hypothesis that the large mechanosensory arrays play an important role in intraspecific communication. This would be a valuable addition to the growing field of Biotremology: it is expected that by combining insights from fundamental and applied research on vibrational communication, sustainable solutions for vector control in agriculture can be developed [10]. Furthermore, the results of our work pave the way for future integrative investigations on the nature of complex communication systems and their evolution.

Data accessibility. The data are published in the digital repository of the Zuse Institute Berlin (ZIB): https://doi.org/10.12752/8326, where both the data and their description can be found.

Authors' contributions. S.E.: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, resources, software, validation, visualization and writing—original draft; D.B.: resources, software,

visualization and writing—review and editing; R.M.: investigation, validation, visualization and writing—review and editing; H.H.: conceptualization, project administration, supervision, validation and writing—review and editing; P.B.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation and writing—review and editing.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. The Open Access publication fee was funded by the Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Discovery (CIBD), Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Museum für Naturkunde, Invalidenstr. 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany. Financial support was provided to S.E. by the Richard-Winter-Stiftung, Oberwiesenstraße 65, 70619 Stuttgart, Germany.

Acknowledgements. We are greatly indebted to the following persons, who supported our study in many ways. We thank Anke Sänger and Jutta Zeller (Museum of Natural History Berlin, Germany) for introducing us to transmission electron microscopy and histological techniques, Petra Wachs (Institut für Pathologie, Digitale Pathologie+IT, Charité Berlin, Germany) for operating the slide scanner, Kristin Mahlow (Museum of Natural History Berlin, Germany) for generating μ CT-images of our samples, Anka Kuhelj (Department of Entomology, National Institute of Biology, Ljubljana, Slovenia) for stimulating discussions and helpful information, Eran Wolff (Museum of Natural History Berlin, Germany) for generating the surface model with the DISC3D Insect Scanner, and Michael Ohl (Museum of Natural History Berlin, Germany) for logistical support in the last phase of the project.

References

- Hoch H, Deckert J, Wessel A. 2006 Vibrational signalling in a Gondwanan relict insect (Hemiptera: Coleorrhyncha: Peloridiidae). *Biol. Lett.* 2, 222–224. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2006.0451)
- Li H, Leavengood JM, Chapman EG, Burkhardt D, Song F, Jiang P, Liu J, Zhou X, Cai W. 2017 Mitochondrial phylogenomics of Hemiptera reveals adaptive innovations driving the diversification of true bugs. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 284, 20171223. (doi:10. 1098/rspb.2017.1223)
- Bánki O et al. 2022 Catalogue of life checklist (Version 2022-01-14). Cat. Life. (doi:10.48580/d4t4)
- Wessel A, Mühlethaler R, Hartung V, Kuštor V, Gogala M. 2014 The tymbal: evolution of a complex vibrationproducing organ in the Tymbalia (Hemiptera excl. Sternorrhyncha). In *Studying vibrational communication* (eds RB Cocroft, M Gogala, PSM Hill, A Wessel), pp. 395–444. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Strauß J, Lakes-Harlan R. 2013 Evolutionary and phylogenetic origins of tympanal hearing organs in insects. In *Insect hearing and acoustic communication* (ed. B Hedwig), pp. 5–26. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Polajnar J, Eriksson A, Rossi Stacconi MV, Lucchi A, Anfora G, Virant-Doberlet M, Mazzoni V. 2014 The process of pair formation mediated by substrateborne vibrations in a small insect. *Behav. Processes* 107, 68–78. (doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2014.07.013)
- Butter NS. 2019 Leafhoppers, planthoppers, treehoppers, froghoppers, psylla, mealybugs and scale insects. In *Insect vectors and plant pathogens*, pp. 142–199. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

- Perilla-Henao LM, Casteel CL. 2016 Vector-borne bacterial plant pathogens: interactions with hemipteran insects and plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1163. (doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01163)
- Butter NS. 2019 Introduction: historical background, pathogens, symptoms, and economic importance. In *Insect vectors and plant pathogens*, pp. 1–28. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Strauß J, Stritih-Peljhan N, Nieri R, Virant-Doberlet M, Mazzoni V. 2021 Communication by substrateborne mechanical waves in insects: from basic to applied biotremology. In *Advances in insect physiology* (ed. R Jurenka), pp. 189–307. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier. (doi:10. 1016/bs.aiip.2021.08.002)
- Yack JE. 2004 The structure and function of auditory chordotonal organs in insects. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 63, 315–337. (doi:10.1002/jemt.20051)
- Doolan M, Young D. 1981 The organization of the auditory organ of the bladder cicada, *Cystosoma* saundersii. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 291, 525–540. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1981.0006)
- Nishino H, Mukai H, Takanashi T. 2016 Chordotonal organs in hemipteran insects: unique peripheral structures but conserved central organization revealed by comparative neuroanatomy. *Cell Tissue Res.* 366, 549–572. (doi:10.1007/s00441-016-2480-0)
- Howse PE, Claridge MF. 1970 The fine structure of Johnston's organ of the leafhopper, *Oncopsis flavicollis*. J. Insect Physiol. 16, 1665–1675. (doi:10. 1016/0022-1910(70)90266-0)

- Vondráček K. 1949 Příspěvek k poznání zvukového ústrojí u samcu křísu/Contribution to the knowledge of the sound-producing apparatus in the males of the leafhoppers (Homoptera-Auchenorrhyncha). *Acta Acad. Sci. Nat. Moravo-siles* 21, 1–36.
- Böck P. 1984 Schnellfärbung mit Methylenblau-Azur II und Methylenblau-basisches Fuchsin. In *Der Semidünnschnitt*, 1, pp. 56–57. Munich, Germany: J. F. Bergmann Verlag.
- Stalling D, Westerhoff M, Hege HC. 2005 Amira: a highly interactive system for visual data analysis. In *Visualization handbook* (eds CD Hansen, CR Johnson), pp. 749–767. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Ehlers S, Wessel A, Baum D. 2021 Data from: Large abdominal mechanoreceptive sense organs in small plant-dwelling insects. *Zuse Institute Berlin (ZIB) Digital Repository.* (doi:10.12752/8326)
- Sakai M, Yamaguchi T. 1983 Differential staining of insect neurons with nickel and cobalt. *J. Insect Physiol.* 29, 393–397. (doi:10.1016/0022-1910(83)90066-5)
- Ossiannilsson F. 1949 Insect drummers. A study on the morphology and function of the soundproducing organ of Swedish Homoptera Auchenorrhyncha with notes on their soundproduction. *Opusc. Entomol. Suppl. X* **10**, 1–145.
- Field LH, Matheson T. 1998 Chordotonal organs of insects. *Adv. Insect Phys.* 27, 1–228. (doi:10.1016/ S0065-2806(08)60013-2)
- 22. Pringle JWS. 1957 The structure and evolution of the organs of sound-production in cicadas. *Proc.*

Linn. Soc. Lond. **167**, 144–159. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1957.tb00788.x)

- Young D. 1975 Chordotonal organs associated with the sound producing apparatus of cicadas (Insecta, Homoptera). *Zoomorphology* **81**, 111–135. (doi:10. 1007/BF00301152)
- Miles CI, Allison BE, Losinger MJ, Su QT, Miles RN. 2017 Motor and mechanical bases of the courtship call of the male treehopper *Umbonia crassicornis*. *J. Exp. Biol.* **220**, 1915–1924. (doi:10.1242/jeb. 147819)
- Ball EE, Oldfield BP, Michel Rudolph K. 2019 Auditory organ structure, development, and function. In *Cricket behavior and neurobiology* (eds F

Huber, TE Moore, W Loher), pp. 391–422. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

- Field LH, Pflüger HJ. 1989 The femoral chordotonal organ: a bifunctional orthopteran (*Locusta migratoria*) sense organ? *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **93A**, 729–743. (doi:10.1016/0300-9629(89)90494-5)
- McKelvey EGZ, Gyles JP, Michie K, Barquín Pancorbo V, Sober L, Kruszewski LE, Chan A, Fabre CCG. 2021 *Drosophila* females receive male substrate-borne signals through specific leg neurons during courtship. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, 3894–3904. (doi:10.1016/j. cub.2021.06.002)
- 28. Alt JA, Lakes-Harlan R. 2018 Sensing of substrate vibrations in the adult cicada *Okanagana rimosa*

(Hemiptera: Cicadidae). *J. Insect Sci.* **18**, 16. (doi:10. 1093/jisesa/iey029)

- Finlayson LH. 1976 Abdominal and thoracic receptors in insects, centipides and scorpions. In Structure and function of proprioceptors in the invertebrates (ed. PJ Mill), pp. 153–202. London, UK: Chapman and Hall Ltd.
- Gray EG. 1960 The fine structure of the insect ear. Sci. Am. 243, 75–94. (doi:10.1038/ scientificamerican0162-70)
- Boyan GS. 1993 Another look at insect audition: the tympanic receptors as an evolutionary specialization of the chordotonal system. *J. Insect Physiol.* 39, 187–200. (doi:10.1016/0022-1910(93)90088-9)