



OPEN

DATA DESCRIPTOR

# A national-scale data set for dissolved carbon and its spatial pattern in lakes and reservoirs across China

Zhidan Wen<sup>1</sup>, Kaishan Song<sup>1,2</sup>✉, Lili Lyu<sup>1</sup>, Chong Fang<sup>1,3</sup>, Yingxin Shang<sup>1,3</sup>, Ge Liu<sup>1</sup> & Jia Du<sup>1</sup>

A dissolved carbon (DC) data set, including dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), from 224 lakes (513 stations) and 141 large reservoirs (337 stations) across China is presented in this study. In addition to DC, the data set also includes results for electrical conductivity (EC), total phosphorus (TP), chlorophyll-a and transparency. The impact of trophic status and EC gradient on DC concentration in water bodies are discussed. Results from our investigation indicate that DC in saline ( $EC > 1000 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) water bodies (mean  $\pm$  S.D,  $297.13 \pm 356.14 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 186$ ) are much higher than those in fresh water bodies ( $79.55 \pm 199.34 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 669$ ). Similarly, eutrophic water bodies ( $n = 552$ ) exhibited higher DC concentrations than mesotrophic ( $n = 215$ ) and oligotrophic water bodies ( $n = 85$ ); DC in lakes ( $158.445 \pm 286.52 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 513$ ) was significantly higher than DC in reservoirs ( $37.83 \pm 37.53 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 337$ ). All data used in this investigation is accessible online.


## Background & Summary

Although inland water bodies occupy a small fraction of the earth's surface, they play a major role in the global carbon (C) cycle<sup>1</sup>. The predominant terrestrial carbon input to most lakes and reservoirs is dissolved carbon (DC), followed by particulate carbon, and the proportion of inputs varies with lake location and hydrology<sup>2</sup>. The dominant form of aquatic carbon in some temperate regions, high northern latitudes and boreal forests in a carbonate terrain is dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC)<sup>3,4</sup>. In contrast, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is the dominant form in humid tropical areas and in noncarbonate boreal forests<sup>2</sup>. Spatial DC measurements are useful for understanding carbon sources and cycling in aquatic systems, and it is dependent on an array of factors<sup>2,5,6</sup>, e.g., catchment soil and landscape, hydrology, trophic status and anthropogenic discharge<sup>6-8</sup>. In general, DC in aquatic systems mainly comes from decomposition of organic matter, i.e., plants in the catchment and algae and macrophytes within the water body, and the metabolic secretion of phytoplankton and microbes. However, inland waters in China, particularly those situated in the Northeast, East and South regions, are severely polluted with high nutrients concentration and eutrophic status, which also changes the concentration and constituent of DOC from algae<sup>6,9</sup>. In addition, anthropogenic discharge also provides a large volume of dissolved organic matter into lakes and reservoirs in these regions<sup>10,11</sup>.

Numerous investigations have been carried out to examine the variations in DC in inland waters<sup>5,12-17</sup>. However, investigations of DC patterns are imbalanced with respect to geography<sup>2,5</sup>. Lakes in Europe, particularly in Scandinavian countries where lakes are more abundant, have been intensively investigated<sup>12,18</sup>. Likewise, lakes in the USA, Canada and Japan have also been extensively examined for DC spatiotemporal variation<sup>19-21</sup>. Comparatively, fewer investigations have been undertaken to investigate DC variation for inland waters in China<sup>17</sup>.

Due to differences in climate across the country, and different land-use types surrounding water bodies, China contains a large number of inland water bodies which have a wide variation in water quality<sup>4,22-24</sup>. It was estimated that by 2002, China contained 85,288 reservoirs with a surface water area of 23,000 km<sup>2</sup>, and almost 2700 lakes (area > 1 km<sup>2</sup>) with a total area of 81,414.6 km<sup>2</sup><sup>25,26</sup>, with saline lakes accounting for about half of the lake area<sup>27</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, CAS, Changchun, 130102, China. <sup>2</sup>School of Environment and Planning, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng, 252000, China. <sup>3</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China. ✉e-mail: [songks@neigae.ac.cn](mailto:songks@neigae.ac.cn)



**Fig. 1** Sampled lakes and reservoirs distribution in mainland of China. (a) Lakes distribution and sampled lakes in China, (b) reservoirs distribution and sampled reservoirs in China. NLR, northeast limnetic region; ELR, East China limnetic region; MXR, Mengxin limnetic region; YGR, Yungui limnetic region; TQR, Tibet-Qinghai limnetic region.

Previous investigations have also indicated that DC concentration significantly differs between fresh water and saline water bodies<sup>16,28</sup>. The trophic status of inland water bodies in China ranges from oligotrophic to hypereutrophic. Previous investigations indicated that lakes with eutrophic status in various climatic regions may exert a strong impact on DC of inland waters<sup>6,9,20</sup>. Thus, a systematic examination of DC characteristics in inland water bodies in China is urgently needed.

L/R		SDD (L/R)	TP (L/R)	Chl-a (L/R)	DC (L/R)	TSI $\Sigma$ (L/R)
NLR	Min	0.01/0.1	0.0012/NA	0.09/1.02	8.65/10.86	33.36/38.02
	Max	4.68/3.03	8.44/0.83	459.48/281	2155.25/268.46	87.43/83.81
	Mean	0.9/0.74	0.51/0.07	25.59/27.01	157.59/48.26	61.24/60.9
	SD	1.11/0.7	1.18/0.14	50.91/35.79	257.1/46.09	10.7/10.92
	N	129/167	179/221	182/222	182/222	127/165
ELR	Min	0.12/0.33	0.001/0.001	0.49/0.31	1.63/3.17	40.73/32.37
	Max	2/9.64	1.46/0.47	1262.47/122.81	287.81/158.94	98.83/78.19
	Mean	0.45/2.26	0.15/0.05	35.79/8.69	32.50/24.94	61.74/49.03
	SD	0.34/1.65	0.33/0.06	94.59/14.48	38.44/24.00	9.26/9.41
	N	196/174	103/127	226/191	226/191	87/113
MXR	Min	0.2/0.12	0.004/0.08	0.01/0.17	20.02/7.7	2.51/30.66
	Max	11/4.95	6.31/2.51	139.89/213.88	2108.55/221.35	72.22/74.72
	Mean	1.66/1.43	0.41/0.1	9.84/12.32	184.40/45.1	46.66/46.31
	SD	2.4/1.51	1.07/0.31	18.62/30.4	274.81/39.25	13.86/9.92
	N	127/46	197/70	210/70	211/70	119/46
YGR	Min	0.03/3.03	0.001/0.001	0.59/0.39	21.63/19.36	29.06/22.5
	Max	7.7/11.3	0.19/0.08	1256.19/122.24	193.30/56.14	94.23/61.06
	Mean	2.25/7.1	0.02/0.01	86.81/9.78	45.61/30.51	52.59/44.59
	SD	2.07/3.59	0.04/0.02	197.98/17.74	38.28/6.94	16.48/12.52
	N	94/13	37/26	100/56	100/58	34/20
TQR	Min	0.45/0.4	0.001/0.008	0.01/0.04	2.30/10.50	1.14/19.88
	Max	13.9/5.8	6.79/0.11	31.37/1.4	1830.72/143.09	29.6/29.72
	Mean	5.19/1.89	0.17/0.04	1.67/0.38	289.80/62.56	21.28/28.9
	SD	3.37/1.24	0.63/0.03	3.33/0.29	408.26/55.26	10.73/5.29
	N	90/49	155/23	164/29	169/29	79/9

**Table 1.** Water quality parameters for lakes/reservoirs (L/R) in different limnetic regions across China. SDD, Secchi disk depth (meter); Chl-a, chlorophyll-a ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ); TP, total phosphorus (mg/L); DC, dissolved carbon (mg/L), TSI, trophic status index, derived from TP, Chl-a and SDD based on Calson's formulae proposed in 1977 (Limnology & Oceanography, 22(2): 361–369). NLR, northeast limnetic region; ELR, East China limnetic region; MXR, Mengxin limnetic region; YGR, Yungui limnetic region; TQR, Tibet-Qinghai limnetic region.

The objective of this study is to describe a DC data set collected by field surveys undertaken in lakes and reservoirs across mainland China. Our investigation provides a record of DOC and DIC measurements in 288 lakes across five lake regions, and 141 large reservoirs spanning complex topography, landscape, hydrology, eutrophic status, and salinity. Finally, we discuss DC concentrations for lakes and reservoirs with respect to the impact of eutrophic status and a saline gradient in different lake regions in China. We also provide full details for data access.


## Methods

**Study area and lake regions.** Surface features in China can be grouped into three levels based on elevation: the first level is represented by the Tibet Plateau; the second level contains areas lying north of the Kunlun Mountains, including several basins and plateaus; the third level consists of hills and plains (Fig. S1). Although climate in China is predominantly dominated by the dry season and the monsoon season, precipitation and temperature patterns across China differ from region to region (Fig. S2). Detailed data for the climate in China is available in Figshare file<sup>29</sup>.

Lake and reservoir density across China vary significantly, largely controlled by terrain, hydrology and population density (Fig. S3a). In addition, reservoirs are also governed by precipitation and geology (Fig. S3b).


Lakes in mainland China are divided into five lake regions, according to geography, topography, differences in the natural environment, utilization of lake resources, and the regional characteristics of the overall lake environment<sup>4,22</sup> (Fig. 1). The five lake regions are: the Northeast Limnetic Region (NLR), East China Limnetic Region (ELR), Inner Mongolia-Xingjiang Limnetic Region (MXR), Yungui Limnetic Region (YGR), and the Tibet-Qinghai Limnetic Region (TQR). In the NLR, lakes are mainly distributed in the Songnen Plain (60%), while reservoirs are mainly situated in the Changbai Mountain, the Daxing'an and the Xiaoxing'an Mountain ranges. In the ELR, lakes and reservoirs are located in the middle and low reaches of fluvial plains formed by the Yellow River, Yangzi River and Huai River. Thousands of closed lakes with a high level of salinity have developed in the TQR, most of which are sensitive to global warming<sup>9</sup>. The total area of lakes and reservoirs with an area greater than 1 km<sup>2</sup> in each limnetic region are listed in Table S1<sup>26</sup>.

**Field surveys and water sampling.** Field sampling was undertaken in each limnetic region over varying time periods. In the NLR, 239 samples were collected from 102 water bodies from late September 2012 to October 2017 in 13 field campaigns (Table S1). In the ELR, 241 samples were collected from 56 lakes and 53



**Fig. 2** The boxplot of dissolved carbon (DC) in lakes (reservoirs) with different eutrophic status in different lake regions in China. Lakes in (a) NLR, (b) ELR, (c) MXR, (d) YGR, and (e) TQR; Reservoirs in (f) NLR, (g) ELR, (h) MXR, (i) YGR, and (j) TQR. Please refer to the caption of Fig. 1 for the abbreviations used for the limnetic regions. The black line and the open square represent the median and mean respectively. The horizontal edges of the boxes denote the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles; the whiskers denote the lower and upper limits of the data.

reservoirs during six field campaigns, from September 2012 to July 2017. Nine field surveys were undertaken and 152 samples were collected in the MXR; 56 water bodies were sampled in September 2013 and September 2017. In the YGR, two field campaigns were conducted in October 2015 and October 2017, and 78 samples were collected from 32 water bodies in this region. Three field campaigns were carried out in September 2014 (Qinghai



**Fig. 3** Dissolved carbon (DC) concentrations in sampled inland waters in China. (a) In fresh lakes with different trophic status, (b) in reservoirs with different trophic status, (c) in fresh and saline lakes, (d) in lakes and reservoirs. The black line and the open square represent the median and mean respectively. The horizontal edges of the boxes denote the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles; the whiskers denote the lower and upper limits of the data.


Province), June to July 2015 and August to September 2017 (Tibet Autonomous Regions) across the TQR, and 142 samples were collected from 62 lakes and 4 reservoirs. The sampling stations for each lake and reservoir are highlighted on Fig. 1. All lakes and reservoirs were randomly selected, and they were distributed across all major climatic zones in China, as well as in different land-use patterns, including grassland, farmland, forest, desert and artificial land. Lake and reservoir area ranged in size from 0.5 to 4256 km<sup>2</sup>, and both fresh water and saline lakes were included in this study, as well as inflow-outflow lakes and noncontributing lakes.

Water samples were typically collected at 2–3 sampling stations, on average, from every reservoir or lake with a surface area <100 km<sup>2</sup>. If the surface area of the lake/reservoir was >100 km<sup>2</sup>, the number of sampling stations was increased to 5 in each lake/reservoir. Sampling stations were randomly selected away from the shore, and the distance between adjoining points was >2 km. Surface water samples were collected at each station approximately 0.5 m below the water surface. Water samples were collected in amber HDPE bottles and immediately placed into a portable refrigerator at 4 °C before being transported back to the laboratory. All HDPE bottles were acid-washed and rinsed with distilled water before field sampling. Water temperature and total dissolved solids (TDS, in mg L<sup>-1</sup>) were determined using a YSI 600 XLM Sonde with multiple probes (YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH). Water transparency was determined using Secchi disk depth (SDD, in meters) for each station for most of the water bodies investigated. All water samples for DOC and DIC analysis were kept in a portable refrigerator at 4 °C for no more than 7 days before they were returned to the laboratory.

A Kruskal-Wallis test was employed to test the difference in the DC concentrations among waters with different trophic status using SPSS 22.0. A Two-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test was employed as an analysis method using SPSS 22.0 to test the difference in DC concentrations between lakes and reservoirs, between saline and fresh lakes,  $p < 0.05$  represents a significant correlation.

### Data Records


**Lake DC concentration.** DC concentrations in ELR water bodies ranged from 1.63 to 287.81 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (median/mean  $\pm$  SD: 23.65/32.5  $\pm$  38.44 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), indicating a relatively low DC concentration in this region. Lakes in the NLR recorded higher DC concentrations (range of 8.65–2155.25 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), and lakes in the MXR also exhibited a high DC concentration with large variations. Lakes in the YGR recorded average DC concentrations comparable to those in the ELR. A large DC concentration range (2.3–1860.72 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded for lakes in the TQR, as well as the highest DC concentration (115.66/289.80  $\pm$  408.26 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). This finding was associated



**Fig. 4** Spatial pattern of dissolved carbon (DC) in waters in China. (a) Lake DC spatial pattern, and (b) reservoir DC spatial pattern.

to a close link with evapo-concentration for endorheic lakes<sup>16,28</sup>. As shown in Table 1, lakes in the TQR exhibited the highest DC concentrations, followed by MXR and NLR, while water bodies situated in the YGR and ELR displayed lower DC concentrations. Detailed data regarding these results are located in Figshare files<sup>29</sup>.

Average TSI (Table 1) indicates that most lakes in the NLR and ELR were eutrophic; lakes in the TQR all had an oligotrophic status. As shown in Fig. 2, DC concentrations show considerable variation across lake trophic status. Trophic status is a main regulator of DC in virtually all regions and both system types. In all lake regions, DC concentrations of eutrophic lakes were the highest, and the values in mesotrophic lakes were obviously higher than those in oligotrophic lakes (Fig. 2a-f,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $p < 0.020$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ). This trend




**Fig. 5** Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations in sampled inland waters in China. **(a)** In fresh lakes with different trophic status, **(b)** in reservoirs with different trophic status, **(c)** in fresh and saline lakes, **(d)** in lakes and reservoirs. The black line and the open square represent the median and mean respectively. The horizontal edges of the boxes denote the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles; the whiskers denote the lower and upper limits of the data.

was also recorded in the fresh water lake data set when the five lake regions were combined (Fig. 3a,  $p = 0.02$ ). Detailed data is available in Figshare file<sup>29</sup>.

DC concentrations in saline water bodies ( $186.53/297.13 \pm 356.14 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 186$ ) were also significantly higher than that in fresh water bodies ( $35.50/79.55 \pm 199.34 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 327$ ) (Fig. 3c,  $p < 0.001$ ). Higher DC concentrations in saline lakes are associated to evapo-concentration effects<sup>6,16,28</sup>. As shown in Fig. S4, endorheic lakes have a wide distribution and DC in these lakes should be further examined. Detailed data is available in file “6. Boundary file for endorheic and five lake regions”. By comparing results in Figs. 2a–e and 4a, higher DC concentrations were found in the NLR and MXR than in other lake regions.

**Lake DOC and DIC concentrations.** Lakes in the MXR recorded the highest DOC concentration ( $25.9/32.8 \pm 53.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), followed by TQR, NLR and ELR; lakes in the YGR recorded the lowest DOC concentration ( $3.5/5.4 \pm 4.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ). DOC concentrations in fresh lakes significantly differed with trophic status: DOC concentrations of eutrophic lakes were the highest, and concentrations in mesotrophic lakes were notably higher than those in oligotrophic lakes (Fig. 5a,  $p < 0.001$ ). DOC concentrations in saline water bodies were significantly higher than those in fresh water bodies (Fig. 5c,  $p < 0.001$ ). The main reason for higher DOC concentrations in saline lakes was due to the evapo-concentration effect<sup>16,28</sup>. DIC concentrations in fresh lakes also differed as the trophic status changed (Fig. 6a,  $p = 0.006$ ), and DIC in saline water bodies was significantly higher than that in fresh water bodies (Fig. 6c,  $p < 0.001$ ). Detailed data information highlighting these findings are available in Figshare file<sup>29</sup>.

**Reservoir DC concentration.** Average DC concentrations in reservoirs in the MXR (Fig. 2h) are comparable to those in the NLR; reservoir results in the ELR recorded a relatively low average DC concentration (Fig. 2g). DC concentrations in reservoirs in the NLR and in the eastern area of the MXR were higher than those in other regions (Fig. 4b). Our results indicate that eutrophication also exerts a strong correlation with DC concentrations in reservoirs in different regions across China (Fig. 2f–i). DC concentrations versus trophic status notably differed, and the general trend for DC concentrations in different trophic status exhibited a similar pattern for lakes. However, the impact of eutrophication on reservoirs in the TQR were not identified, and DC concentration is more relevant to salinity. The impact of eutrophication on DC concentration is also highlighted in the pooled data set with reservoirs (Fig. 3b). In addition, our data set indicated that DC in lakes ( $56.8/158.44 \pm 286.52 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,



**Fig. 6** Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations in sampled inland waters in China. (a) In fresh lakes with different trophic status, (b) in reservoirs with different trophic status, (c) in fresh and saline lakes, (d) in lakes and reservoirs. The black line and the open square represent the median and mean respectively. The horizontal edges of the boxes denote the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles; the whiskers denote the lower and upper limits of the data.

$n = 513$ ) was significantly higher than that in reservoirs ( $27.84/37.83 \pm 37.53 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 337$ ) ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 3d). Detailed data for this finding is present in Figshare file<sup>29</sup>.

**Reservoir DOC and DIC concentration.** Average DOC concentrations in reservoirs in the NLR and the eastern area of the MXR were higher than those in other regions; reservoirs in the YGR recorded relatively low average DOC concentrations. DOC concentrations versus trophic status were notably different, and the general trend for DOC concentrations in different trophic status<sup>3</sup> exhibited a similar pattern in lakes (Fig. 5b,  $p < 0.001$ ). However, where DOC concentration was linked to salinity, the impact of eutrophication on reservoirs in the TQR was not evident. Furthermore, results indicated that DOC in lakes ( $7.6/20.0 \pm 46.7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 513$ ) was significantly higher than DOC in reservoirs ( $3.7/6.5 \pm 7.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $n = 337$ ) (Fig. 5d,  $p < 0.001$ ). DIC concentrations in reservoirs also differed as trophic status changed (Fig. 6b), and DIC in lakes was significantly higher than that in reservoirs (Fig. 6d,  $p < 0.001$ ).

### Technical Validation

**DC measurements.** Water quality parameters were measured within 24 h after arriving in the laboratory. To determine dissolved carbon (DC), water samples were initially filtered through pre-combusted  $0.45 \mu\text{m}$  glass-fiber filters (Bandao Industrial Co., Ltd, China) before analysis using a Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (Shimadzu, TOC-VCPN). All water samples were measured using the same instrument with the same protocol for DC determination. In addition, results from our previous study indicated that DC concentrations for some saline lakes exceeded  $100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ <sup>6,17</sup>. Samples exceeding this limit were diluted using Milli-Q water to ensure concentrations were within the measurement range of the analyzer (TOC analyzer had a measuring range of  $0\text{--}100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ). Analysis of blanks and replicates showed a detection limit of  $0.3 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  and a precision of 5% at a concentration of  $4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ .

**Water quality determination.** In the laboratory, electrical conductivity (EC,  $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) was measured at room temperature ( $20 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) using a DDS-307 EC meter. Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) was extracted from water samples using a 90% buffered acetone solution, and the concentration for each water sample was determined using a Shimadzu UV-2660 PC spectrophotometer<sup>30</sup>. Total phosphorus (TP) concentration in water samples was



determined using a Continuous Flow Analyzer (SKALAR, San Plus System, Netherlands), using the molybdenum blue method after samples had been digested using potassium peroxydisulfate<sup>31</sup>.

**DC regulating factors.** The eutrophic status for lakes and reservoirs in China were classified into oligotrophic, mesotrophic and eutrophic water bodies according to SDD, Chl-a and TP based on the Carlson Trophic Status Index (TSI)<sup>32,33</sup>. This method used a series of consecutive numbers (0–100) to represent the nutrient classification state of the lakes:  $TSI \leq 30$  indicated an oligotrophic state, (30–50] indicated a mesotrophic state, and (50–100] indicated a eutrophic state. In addition, as DC concentration in lakes also has a strong association with salinity<sup>6,16,28</sup>, DC in lakes across China were characterized as brackish or fresh water bodies using  $EC > 1000 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ .

### Code availability

No custom code was used in the study.

Received: 28 August 2019; Accepted: 17 February 2020;

Published online: 09 March 2020

### References

- Cole, J. J. *et al.* Plumbing the global carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget. *Ecosystems* **10**, 171–184 (2007).
- Tranvik, L. J. *et al.* Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2298–2314 (2009).
- Finlay, K., Leavitt, P. R., Wissel, B. & Prairie, Y. T. Regulation of spatial and temporal variability of carbon flux in six hard-water lakes of the northern Great Plains. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2553–2564 (2009).
- Wen, Z. *et al.* Carbon dioxide emissions from lakes and reservoirs of China: A regional estimate based on the calculated pCO<sub>2</sub>. *Atmos. Environ.* **170**, 71–81 (2017).
- Sobek, S., Tranvik, L. J., Prairie, Y. T., Kortelainen, P. & Cole, J. J. Patterns and regulation of dissolved organic carbon: An analysis of 7,500 widely distributed lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**, 1208–1219 (2007).
- Song, K. S., Zhao, Y., Wen, Z. D., Fang, C. & Shang, Y. X. A systematic examination of the relationships between CDOM and DOC in inland waters in China. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **21**, 5127–5141 (2017).
- Wilson, H. F. & Xenopoulos, M. A. Ecosystem and seasonal control of stream dissolved organic carbon along a gradient of land use. *Ecosystems* **11**, 555–568 (2008).
- Zhao, Y. *et al.* Seasonal characterization of CDOM for lakes in semiarid regions of Northeast China using excitation–emission matrix fluorescence and parallel factor analysis (EEM–PARAFAC). *Biogeosciences* **13**, 1635–1645 (2016).
- Zhang, Y. L. *et al.* Characteristics and sources of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in lakes of the Yungui Plateau, China, differing in trophic state and altitude. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **55**, 2645–2659 (2010).
- Zhou, Y. *et al.* Improving water quality in China: Environmental investment pays dividends. *Water Res.* **118**, 152–159 (2017).
- Tong, Y. *et al.* Decline in Chinese lake phosphorus concentration accompanied by shift in sources since 2006. *Nat. Geosci.* **10**, 507–+ (2017).
- Agren, A., Buffam, I., Jansson, M. & Laudon, H. Importance of seasonality and small streams for the landscape regulation of dissolved organic carbon export. *J. Geophys. Res. - Biogeosci.* **112**, G03003 (2007).
- Cory, R. M., Ward, C. P., Crump, B. C. & Kling, G. W. Sunlight controls water column processing of carbon in arctic fresh waters. *Science* **345**, 925–928 (2014).
- Lapierre, J.-F., Guillemette, F., Berggren, M. & del Giorgio, P. A. Increases in terrestrially derived carbon stimulate organic carbon processing and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in boreal aquatic ecosystems. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2972 (2013).
- Spencer, R. G. M., Butler, K. D. & Aiken, G. R. Dissolved organic carbon and chromophoric dissolved organic matter properties of rivers in the USA. *J. Geophys. Res. - Biogeosci.* **117**, G03001 (2012).
- Song, K. S. *et al.* Spatiotemporal characterization of dissolved carbon for inland waters in semi-humid/semi-arid region, China. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **17**, 4269–4281 (2013).
- Wen, Z. D., Song, K. S., Zhao, Y., Du, J. & Ma, J. H. Influence of environmental factors on spectral characteristics of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in Inner Mongolia Plateau, China. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **20**, 787–801 (2016).
- Weyhenmeyer, G. A. *et al.* Significant fraction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from boreal lakes derived from hydrologic inorganic carbon inputs. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 933–U962 (2015).
- Sugiyama, Y. *et al.* Distribution of dissolved organic carbon in lakes of different trophic types. *Limnology* **5**, 165–176 (2004).
- Pacheco, F. S., Roland, F. & Downing, J. A. Eutrophication reverses whole-lake carbon budgets. *Inland Waters* **4**, 41–48 (2014).
- Kawasaki, N. *et al.* Bacterial Contribution to Dissolved Organic Matter in Eutrophic Lake Kasumigaura, Japan. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **79**, 7160–7168 (2013).
- Wang, S. M. & Dou, H. S. *Record of Chinese Lakes* **1**, 5–15. (Science Press, 1998).
- Shang, Y. *et al.* Characterization of CDOM absorption of reservoirs with its linkage of regions and ages across China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* (2018).
- Song, K. *et al.* Quantification of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) storage in lakes and reservoirs of mainland China. *J. Environ. Manage.* **217**, 391–402 (2018).
- Han, B. Reservoirs ecology and limnology in China: a retrospective comment. *J. Lake Sci.* **22**, 151–160 (2010).
- Ma, R. *et al.* China's lakes at present: Number, area and spatial distribution. *Sci. China Earth. Sci.* **41**, 394–401 (2011).
- Williams, W. D. Chinese and Mongolian saline lakes: a limnological overview. *Hydrobiologia* **210**, 39–66 (1991).
- Curtis, P. J. & Adams, H. E. Dissolved organic matter quantity and quality from fresh-water and saltwater lakes in east-central Alberta. *Biogeochemistry* **30**, 59–76 (1995).
- Wen, Z. D. *et al.* A national-scale dissolved carbon data set and its spatial pattern in mainland China's lakes and reservoirs. *Figshare* <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4560887> (2020).
- Jeffrey, S. W. & Humphrey, G. F. New spectrophotometric equations for determining chlorophylls a, b, c1 and c2 in higher plants, algae and natural phytoplankton. *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen* **167**, 191–194 (1975).
- Ye, L. *et al.* Cell Lysis of Cyanobacteria and Its Implications for Nutrient Dynamics. *Int. Rev. Hydrobiol.* **95**, 235–245 (2010).
- Carlson, R. E. A trophic state index for lakes. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **22**, 361–369 (1977).
- Aizaki, M. *et al.* Application of modified Carlson's trophic state index to Japanese lakes and its relationship to other parameters related to trophic state. 13–31 (Tuskuba, Japan, 1981).

## Acknowledgements

This research was jointly supported by the Youth Innovation Promotion Association of Chinese Academy of Sciences (2020234), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41730104, 41871234), the central level, scientific research institutes for basic R & D special foundation (TKS180401), and Heilongjiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LH2019D010). The authors wish to thank master students, including Ming Wang, Junbin Hou and Linwei Sha for their capable assistance in the field survey.

## Author contributions

The authors contributions are as follows: Zhidan Wen and Kaishan Song contributed equally to this work. Zhidan Wen and Kaishan Song participated in the design of this study. Zhidan Wen drafted the manuscript, and the manuscript editing was conducted by Kaishan Song. Lili Lyu and Chong Fang collected important background information, and Yingxin Shang participated in the part of the data analysis. Ge Liu and Jia Du participated in the field study. Lili Lyu carried out the laboratory analysis.

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Additional information

**Supplementary information** is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0419-5>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to K.S.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver <http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/> applies to the metadata files associated with this article.

© The Author(s) 2020