

## EDITORIAL COMMENT

# Management of Refractory Ventricular Fibrillation

## Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation or Epinephrine?\*

Ravi S. Hira, MD,<sup>a</sup> Graham Nichol, MD, MPH<sup>b</sup>



Cardiac arrest is defined as cessation of cardiac mechanical activity confirmed by the absence of signs of circulation. Often this occurs in the out-of-hospital setting, and is commonly referred to as out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). About 20% of such patients have a first recorded rhythm that is shockable by a defibrillator (i.e., ventricular fibrillation [VF] or pulseless ventricular tachycardia). Of those who have bystander-witnessed arrest with a shockable rhythm, only 36% survive to hospital discharge (1). A subset of those who present with VF do not respond to early defibrillation. For example, about 10% of patients with OHCA may have refractory (or recurrent) VF, defined as VF still present after 5 shocks (2). Many patients with out-of-hospital VF have acute coronary occlusion that can be treated by emergency coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (3).

Commonly used treatments for patients with OHCA combine cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and early defibrillation by bystanders, with advanced cardiac life support by emergency medical services providers that includes CPR, defibrillation, and intravenous (IV) drugs, and post-resuscitation care in

hospital. Application of an automated external defibrillator by a layperson before the arrival of emergency medical services providers on scene (4), briefer time from the call for assistance to the arrival of emergency medical services providers on scene (5-10), and better quality of CPR (11-18) are associated with improved outcomes. Importantly, no hospital-based therapy other than induced hypothermia applied to achieve target temperature in <8 h has been demonstrated in trials to improve outcomes in patients with OHCA caused by VF (19,20). In a trial in which patients achieved their target temperature more slowly than those that showed induced hypothermia improved outcomes, the benefit of induced hypothermia was less clear (21). The large variation in survival after OHCA between communities (22), and recent improvement in outcomes in multiple communities (23-25), has renewed interest in improving outcomes after OHCA.

One technique that is promulgated to support patients with refractory cardiac arrest is the use of cardiopulmonary bypass during attempted resuscitation (26). This consists of emergent cannulation of a large vein and artery, then initiation of venoarterial extracorporeal circulation and oxygenation with an extracorporeal membrane oxygenator (ECMO). Multiple observational studies, primarily originating in Southeast Asia, suggest that ECMO is associated with improved outcome after refractory cardiac arrest (27-31). Because the overall survival in these locations is low compared with contemporary survival in the United States, it is unclear if one can generalize from their experience with ECMO. Observational studies in Melbourne, Australia and Paris, France suggest that early use of ECMO in combination with other interventions may be associated with good neurologic outcomes (32,33). A case-control study in Minneapolis, Minnesota of patients with refractory OHCA caused by a shockable rhythm reported that early transport to

\*Editorials published in *JACC: Basic to Translational Science* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Basic to Translational Science* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the <sup>a</sup>Division of Cardiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; and the <sup>b</sup>Harborview Center for Prehospital Emergency Care, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Dr. Nichol has received funding from ZOLL Medical Corporation (Chelmsford, Massachusetts); and serves as a consultant to ZOLL Circulation (San Jose, California). Dr. Hira has received honoraria from Abimed; and serves as a consultant for Abbott Vascular.

All authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the *JACC: Basic to Translational Science* [author instructions page](#).

the cardiac catheterization laboratory followed by ECMO then PCI was associated with greater survival with good neurologic function as compared with historical control subjects (50% vs. 8.2%;  $p < 0.0001$ ) (34). A randomized feasibility trial of ECMO in patients with refractory cardiac arrest was recently initiated in the United States (NCT03065647). In summary, there is considerable interest in the effectiveness of ECMO in humans with refractory OHCA.

Another technique that is promulgated to support patients with cardiac arrest is IV administration of medication to improve blood pressure and flow to the coronary and cerebral circulation so as to enhance the likely restoration of circulation and to reduce brain injury. Increased coronary perfusion pressure and cerebral perfusion pressure during CPR may be offset by increased myocardial work and reduced subendocardial perfusion (35). Multiple large observational studies from southeast Asia have suggested that early but not late use of epinephrine is associated with improved outcomes after OHCA (36–38). The only placebo-controlled trial of epinephrine (also called adrenalin) reported to date did not achieve its maximum expected enrollment but did observe that epinephrine significantly increased restoration of circulation and survival to admission as compared with placebo (39). Pooled analyses of prior trials confirm that vasopressin has no significant benefit over epinephrine (40). In 2 small trials in Greece, epinephrine combined with vasopressin and multiple doses of corticosteroids increased the likelihood of restoration of circulation as compared with placebo (41,42). A large pragmatic trial comparing epinephrine with placebo is currently enrolling patients with OHCA in England (ISRCTN73485024). In summary, there is considerable interest in the effectiveness of IV vasopressors in humans with refractory cardiac arrest.

Importantly, implementation of ECMO in patients with refractory cardiac arrest is likely to require specialized expertise, delay application of other potentially life-saving therapies (e.g., PCI), and incur large costs. Similarly, efforts to establish vascular access and administer a vasopressor may delay application of other potentially life-saving therapies. Clinical research resources are limited. The effectiveness of interventions must be demonstrated definitively if claims of their health benefit are to have scientific credibility (43). Thus, evidence-based practice guidelines recommend use of ECMO in patients with cardiac arrest only with a potentially reversible etiology during a limited period of mechanical cardiorespiratory support in settings where it can be rapidly implemented (26). In addition, they note that there is insufficient evidence to make a

recommendation about the optimal timing and frequency of epinephrine administration in cardiac arrest (44).

In this issue of *JACC: Basic to Translational Science*, Bartos et al. (45) use a 2-by-2 factorial design to evaluate the role of ECMO and IV epinephrine in a pig model of refractory VF. This was done to simulate patients with out-of-hospital refractory VF receiving early transport to the cardiac catheterization laboratory and ECMO-facilitated coronary revascularization compared with routine CPR-based revascularization without ECMO, and to control for concurrent use of IV epinephrine. The primary outcome of 4-h survival was significantly improved with ECMO use (82% vs. 31%;  $p = 0.003$ ). Return of spontaneous circulation following CPR was also significantly improved with ECMO use (100% vs. 44%;  $p = 0.001$ ). A total of 9 of the 14 animals on ECMO (64%) met criteria for decannulation at 4 h. There was no significant difference in 4-h survival in pigs receiving epinephrine versus placebo (47% vs. 69%;  $p = 0.47$ ), although the study lacked power to detect small but important differences in outcome. There was no significant interaction between use of epinephrine and ECMO.

SEE PAGE 244

This study supports the role of ECMO in refractory VF arrest, and challenges use of epinephrine in the same population. Epinephrine significantly increased aortic and coronary perfusion pressures and lactic acid levels. Although the latter decreased in all groups following reperfusion, those not receiving ECMO (i.e., CPR-only group) had substantial attrition of those with the highest lactic acid levels. Unfortunately, the study lacked power to compare post-reperfusion lactate levels.

Higher lactic acid levels are associated with worse outcomes (33) perhaps because of reduced overall perfusion, reduced local perfusion caused by vasoconstriction, increased transport out of tissues, or enhanced glycolysis. Bartos et al. (45) observed the best survival in animals in the Epi-/ECMO+ group followed by the Epi+/ECMO+ group. The Epi+/ECMO- group fared the worst. ECMO may have salvaged those with the highest lactic acid levels. It is unclear if epinephrine would have had the same effect in nonischemic refractory VF or other in cardiac arrest with a nonshockable rhythm.

A limitation of the excellent work by Bartos et al. (45) is that to simulate refractory VF, defibrillation was not administered to the animals until 45 min from arrest, which is later than is common in patients. The success of defibrillation and survival may have been different in animals receiving epinephrine versus placebo.

Another limitation of this work is that it considered ECMO but not other methods of mechanical circulatory support. There is limited evidence of the effectiveness of intra-aortic balloon pumps in patients with cardiovascular emergencies (46-48). In a pig model of myocardial infarction, early use of an axial-flow catheter combined with delayed reperfusion reduced infarct size versus standard PCI (e.g., Impella, Abiomed Inc., Danvers, Massachusetts) (49). In a pig model of VF, Impella improved resuscitability versus standard care (50). In a pig model of VF, balloon occlusion of the aorta but not IV epinephrine improved resuscitability (51). Although these methods do not provide oxygenation as does ECMO, they are markedly easier and faster to deploy than ECMO. Lack of oxygenation may be offset by briefer time with reduced blood flow. Future studies should evaluate these methods of mechanical circulatory support in animals and humans with cardiac arrest.

Importantly, most trials that evaluated advanced cardiac life support interventions were completed

before the recent emphasis on high-quality CPR and post-cardiac-arrest care. Over the last 2 decades there have been significant advances in interventional cardiology and management of patients with acute coronary syndromes including novel drugs, and rapid revascularization strategies that have markedly improved survival in such patients (52). It is imperative to now refocus attention on development and evaluation of evidence-based therapies and systems of care for patients with refractory OHCA that have not achieved return of spontaneous circulation but may still be salvageable. Given the benefit of ECMO and lack of benefit of IV epinephrine observed by Bartos et al. (45), such efforts might focus on mechanical circulatory support rather than IV vasopressor therapy.

**ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:** Dr. Graham Nichol, University of Washington, Harborview Center for Prehospital Emergency Care, Box 359727, Seattle, Washington. E-mail: [nichol@uw.edu](mailto:nichol@uw.edu).

## REFERENCES

- Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017;135:e146-603.
- Slovic CM, Wrenn KD. The technique of reversing ventricular fibrillation: improve the odds of success with this five-phase approach. *J Crit Illn* 1994;9:873-89.
- Dumas F, Cariou A, Manzo-Silberman S, et al. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with better survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: insights from the Procat (Parisian Region out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest) Registry. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2010;3:200-7.
- Hallstrom AP, Ornato JP, Weisfeldt M, et al. Public-access defibrillation and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:637-46.
- Sladjana A, Gordana P, Ana S. Emergency response time after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Eur J Intern Med* 2011;22:386-93.
- Do HQ, Nielsen SL, Rasmussen LS. Response interval is important for survival until admission after prehospital cardiac arrest. *Dan Med Bull* 2010;57:A4203.
- Agarwal DA, Hess EP, Atkinson EJ, et al. Ventricular fibrillation in Rochester, Minnesota: experience over 18 years. *Resuscitation* 2009;80:1253-8.
- Vukmir RB. Survival from prehospital cardiac arrest is critically dependent upon response time. *Resuscitation* 2006;69:229-34.
- Pons PT, Haukoos JS, Bludworth W, et al. Paramedic response time: does it affect patient survival? *Acad Emerg Med* 2005;12:594-600.
- Shy BD, Rea TD, Becker LJ, et al. Time to intubation and survival in prehospital cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2004;8:394-9.
- Cheskes S, Schmicker RH, Verbeek PR, et al. The impact of peri-shock pause on survival from out-of-hospital shockable cardiac arrest during the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Primed Trial. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:336-42.
- Cheskes S, Schmicker RH, Christenson J, et al. Perishock pause: an independent predictor of survival from out-of-hospital shockable cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2011;124:58-66.
- Stiell IG, Brown SP, Christenson J, et al. What is the role of chest compression depth during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation? *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:1192-8.
- Stiell IG, Brown SP, Nichol G, et al. What is the optimal chest compression depth during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resuscitation of adult patients? *Circulation* 2014;130:1962-70.
- Idris AH, Guffey D, Aufderheide TP, et al. Relationship between chest compression rates and outcomes from cardiac arrest. *Circulation* 2012;125:3004-12.
- Idris AH, Guffey D, Pepe PE, et al. Chest compression rates and survival following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 2015;43:840-8.
- Christenson J, Andrusiek D, Everson-Stewart S, et al. Chest compression fraction determines survival in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation* 2009;120:1241-7.
- Vaillancourt C, Everson-Stewart S, Christenson J, et al. The impact of increased chest compression fraction on return of spontaneous circulation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients not in ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:1501-7.
- Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study Group. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:549-56.
- Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:557-63.
- Nielsen N, Wetterslev J, Cronberg T, et al. Targeted temperature management at 33 degrees C versus 36 degrees C after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:2197-206.
- Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, et al. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. *JAMA* 2008;300:1423-31.
- Daya MR, Schmicker RH, Zive DM, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival improving over time: results from the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (ROC). *Resuscitation* 2015;91:108-15.
- Wissenberg M, Lippert FK, Folke F, et al. Association of national initiatives to improve cardiac arrest management with rates of bystander intervention and patient survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2013;310:1377-84.
- Okubo M, Kiyohara K, Iwami T, et al. Nationwide and regional trends in survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Japan: a 10-year cohort study from 2005 to 2014. *Resuscitation* 2017;115:120-8.
- Brooks SC, Anderson ML, Bruder E, et al. Part 6: alternative techniques and ancillary devices for cardiopulmonary resuscitation: 2015 American Heart Association Guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015;132:5436-43.

27. Chen YS, Lin JW, Yu HY, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation with assisted extracorporeal life-support versus conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in adults with in-hospital cardiac arrest: an observational study and propensity analysis. *Lancet* 2008;372:554-61.
28. Huang SC, Wu ET, Chen YS, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation rescue for cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pediatric patients. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:1607-13.
29. Tanno K, Itoh Y, Takeyama Y, et al. Utstein style study of cardiopulmonary bypass after cardiac arrest. *Am J Emerg Med* 2008;26:649-54.
30. Maekawa K, Tanno K, Hase M, et al. Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation for patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of cardiac origin: a propensity-matched study and predictor analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:1186-96.
31. Sakamoto T, Morimura N, Nagao K, et al. Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation versus conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in adults with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a prospective observational study. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:762-8.
32. Stub D, Bernard S, Pellegrino V, et al. Refractory cardiac arrest treated with mechanical CPR, hypothermia, ECMO and early reperfusion (the Cheer Trial). *Resuscitation* 2015;86:88-94.
33. Lamhaut L, Hutin A, Puymirat E, et al. A pre-hospital extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation (ECPR) for cardiac arrest: an observational study and propensity analysis. *Resuscitation* 2017 Apr 14 [E-pub ahead of print].
34. Yannopoulos D, Bartos JA, Martin C, et al. Minnesota Resuscitation Consortium's advanced perfusion and reperfusion cardiac life support strategy for out-of-hospital refractory ventricular fibrillation. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2016 Jun 13;5(6). pii: e003732. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.003732.
35. Link MS, Berkow LC, Kudenchuk PJ, et al. Part 7: adult advanced cardiovascular life support: 2015 American Heart Association Guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015;132:S444-64.
36. Hagihara A, Hasegawa M, Abe T, et al. Pre-hospital epinephrine use and survival among patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2012;307:1161-8.
37. Hayashi Y, Iwami T, Kitamura T, et al. Impact of early intravenous epinephrine administration on outcomes following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ J* 2012;76:1639-45.
38. Tanaka H, Takyu H, Sagisaka R, et al. Favorable neurological outcomes by early epinephrine administration within 19 minutes after EMS call for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients. *Am J Emerg Med* 2016;34:2284-90.
39. Jacobs IG, Finn JC, Jelinek GA, et al. Effect of adrenaline on survival in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:1138-43.
40. Mentzelopoulos SD, Zakyntinos SG, Siempos I, et al. Vasopressin for cardiac arrest: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:32-9.
41. Mentzelopoulos SD, Zakyntinos SG, Tzoufi M, et al. Vasopressin, Epinephrine, and corticosteroids for in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:15-24.
42. Mentzelopoulos SD, Malachias S, Chamos C, et al. Vasopressin, steroids, and epinephrine and neurologically favorable survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2013;310:270-9.
43. Dowie J. Clinical trials and economic evaluations? No, there are only evaluations. *Health Econ* 1997;6:87-9.
44. Callaway CW, Soar J, Aibiki M, et al. Part 4: advanced life support: 2015 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation* 2015;132:S84-145.
45. Bartos JA, Voicu S, Matsuura TR, et al. Role of epinephrine and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the management of ischemic refractory ventricular fibrillation: a randomized trial in pigs. *J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Science* 2017;2:244-53.
46. Ahmad Y, Sen S, Shun-Shin MJ, et al. Intra-aortic balloon pump therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175:931-9.
47. Wan YD, Sun TW, Kan QC, et al. The effects of intra-aortic balloon pumps on mortality in patients undergoing high-risk coronary revascularization: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of coronary artery bypass grafting and stenting era. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0147291.
48. Zheng XY, Wang Y, Chen Y, et al. The effectiveness of intra-aortic balloon pump for myocardial infarction in patients with or without cardiogenic shock: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2016;16:148.
49. Kapur NK, Qiao X, Paruchuri V, et al. Mechanical pre-conditioning with acute circulatory support before reperfusion limits infarct size in acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol HF* 2015;3:873-82.
50. Derwall M, Brucken A, Bleilevens C, et al. Doubling survival and improving clinical outcomes using a left ventricular assist device instead of chest compressions for resuscitation after prolonged cardiac arrest: a large animal study. *Crit Care* 2015;19:123.
51. Tang W, Weil MH, Noc M, et al. Augmented efficacy of external CPR by intermittent occlusion of the ascending aorta. *Circulation* 1993;88:1916-21.
52. Fox KA, Steg PG, Eagle KA, et al. Decline in rates of death and heart failure in acute coronary syndromes, 1999-2006. *JAMA* 2007;297:1892-900.

---

**KEY WORDS** epinephrine, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, ventricular fibrillation