1	Efficient CRISPR genome editing and integrative genomic analyses reveal the mosaicism of
2	Cas-induced mutations and pleiotropic effects of <i>scarlet</i> gene in an emerging model system
3	Sen Xu <sup>1</sup> *, Swatantra Neupane <sup>1§</sup> , Hongjun Wang <sup>2§+</sup> , Thinh Phu Pham <sup>2§</sup> , Marelize Snyman <sup>2¶</sup> ,
4	Trung V. Huynh <sup>1</sup> , Li Wang <sup>1</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Division of Biological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, 65211, USA
6	<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, Texas, 76019, USA
7	<sup>+</sup> Current address: Department of Neurology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center,
8	Dallas, TX 75235, USA
9	<sup>¶</sup> Current address: Department of Dermatology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical
10	Center, Dallas, TX 75235, USA
11	
12	<sup>§</sup> Equally contributing authors.
13	
14	*Corresponding author. Email: sxwf7@umsystem.edu
15	
16	Running title: CRISPR genomic editing in Daphnia pulex

17 Keywords: mutation, Cas, knock-in, swimming behavior, off-target mutation

## 18 Abstract

19 Despite the revolutionary impacts of CRISPR-Cas gene editing systems, the effective and 20 widespread use of CRISPR technologies in emerging model organisms still faces significant 21 challenges. These include the inefficiency in generating heritable mutations at the organismal level, limited knowledge about the genomic consequences of gene editing, and an inadequate 22 23 understanding of the inheritance patterns of CRISPR-Cas-induced mutations. This study 24 addresses these issues by 1) developing an efficient microinjection delivery method for CRISPR editing in the microcrustacean Daphnia pulex; 2) assessing the editing efficiency of Cas9 and 25 26 Cas12a nucleases, examining mutation inheritance patterns, and analyzing the local and global 27 mutation spectrum in the scarlet mutants; and 3) investigating the transcriptomes of scarlet mutants to understand the pleiotropic effects of *scarlet* underlying their swimming behavior 28 29 changes. Our reengineered CRISPR microinjection method results in efficient biallelic editing 30 with both nucleases. While indels are dominant in Cas-induced mutations, a few on-site large deletions (>1kb) are observed, most likely caused by microhomology-mediated end joining 31 32 repair. Knock-in of a stop codon cassette to the *scarlet* locus was successful, despite complex 33 induced mutations surrounding the target site. Moreover, extensive germline mosaicism exists in 34 some mutants, which unexpectedly produce different phenotypes/genotypes in their asexual progenies. Lastly, our transcriptomic analyses unveil significant gene expression changes 35 associated with scarlet knock-out and altered swimming behavior in mutants, including several 36 37 genes (e.g., NMDA1, ABAT, CNTNAP2) involved in human neurodegenerative diseases. This study expands our understanding of the dynamics of gene editing in the tractable model organism 38 39 Daphnia and highlights its promising potential as a neurological disease model.

## 41 Introduction

42 CRISPR-mediated gene editing systems (Jinek et al. 2012; Cong et al. 2013; Mali et al. 2013) 43 have become a primary tool for introducing DNA sequence modifications in target nuclear 44 genomic regions because of their simplicity and ease of use. When fused with a guide RNA (gRNA), CRISPR nucleases can cause DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) at a target sequence 45 46 location that is complementary to the gRNA sequence. Without a DNA repair template, the 47 DSBs can be repaired by the error-prone non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) pathway, resulting in indels and disruption of gene function (Hefferin and Tomkinson 2005; Rodgers and 48 49 McVey 2016). When a homologous DNA template is provided, DSBs can be repaired through 50 homology-directed recombination (HDR) by template-directed DNA synthesis to bridge the gap 51 across DSBs (Liang et al. 1998; Sekelsky 2017). These features of the CRISPR editing system 52 thus offer flexible control of the genomic locations and outcomes of the genetic modifications.

More importantly, CRISPR-Cas technologies (Jinek et al. 2012; Cong et al. 2013; Mali 53 54 et al. 2013) have democratized the genetic and genomic research landscape, providing an 55 important means of genetic engineering to emerging model systems that traditionally lack tools for genetic manipulation. The past few years have witnessed the successful implementation of 56 57 CRISPR-mediated gene editing in organisms such as squids (Crawford et al. 2020), raider ants (Trible et al. 2017), black-legged tick (Sharma et al. 2022), cockroaches (Shirai et al. 2022) and 58 59 lizards (Rasys et al. 2019), to name a few. Nonetheless, efficiently generating heritable biallelic mutations remains one of the most significant challenges involved in implementing CRISPR-60 Cas9 gene editing in emerging model systems. 61

In this study, we present a highly efficient microinjection-based method for generating
heritable, biallelic knock-out mutations and evaluate the efficiency for knock-in mutations using

64 the CRISPR-Cas system in the freshwater microcrustacean Daphnia pulex. Daphnia has been a model system for ecology, evolution, and toxicology for several decades (Miner et al. 2012; 65 Ebert 2022). As the first whole-genome sequenced crustacean species (Colbourne et al. 2011), D. 66 67 *pulex* has become an important genomics model system for gene-environment interaction (Altshuler et al. 2011), epigenetics (Harris et al. 2012), and evolutionary genomics (Lynch et al 68 2017). There is also growing interest in using *Daphnia* as a model in studying the evolution of 69 70 development because *Daphnia* represents an important phylogenetic lineage in invertebrate evolution (Rivera et al. 2010; Mahato et al. 2014; Bruce and Patel 2022). Therefore, an efficient 71 72 gene editing method would be invaluable for the genetic toolkit of Daphnia to unleash its full potential as an emerging model system. 73

Microinjection into embryos has been a major means of genetic manipulation in 74 75 Daphnia. Under benign environmental conditions female Daphnia reproduce asexually through the production of ameiotic diploid embryos that directly develop into neonates in 2-3 days, 76 whereas in stressful environments female Daphnia produces haploid eggs and mate with males 77 78 to produce diploid dormant embryos (Figure 1). The asexual reproductive stage provides an 79 excellent platform for microinjection-based genomic engineering. Many asexual embryos can be 80 easily collected for injection from females of the same genotype. After microinjection, the injected embryos can quickly hatch into neonates (G<sub>0</sub> generation) in 2-3 days, and G<sub>0</sub> individuals 81 can have asexual progenies ( $G_1$  generation) in ~7 days, which guarantees a fast turn-around time 82 83 for phenotypically and genotypically identifying  $G_0$  and  $G_1$  mutants. Furthermore, the asexual reproduction mode allows the long-term preservation of stable mutant genotypes with low 84 85 maintenance efforts.

Building on these advantages, microinjection techniques have been developed for *Daphnia* to deliver biomolecules into the asexually produced embryos to achieve gene knockdown through RNAi (Kato et al. 2011; Hiruta et al. 2013), protein tagging (Kato et al. 2012), gene knock-out using CRISPR-Cas9 (Ismail et al. 2018), and knock-in using TALEN (Nakanishi et al. 2016). The successful development of these techniques has empowered important discoveries at the molecular level such as the molecular mechanisms of environmental sex determination in *Daphnia magna* (Kato et al. 2018).

However, it should be noted that most of these previous efforts focused on the species 93 94 Daphnia magna, a species has diverged ~200-million years ago from the focal species of this 95 study D. pulex (Colbourne and Hebert 1996). D. magna has a substantially larger body size and larger embryo size compared to D. pulex (Toyota et al. 2016). Modified microinjection protocols 96 97 have therefore been developed for *D. pulex* to deal with the technical challenges associated with these variations, for example, internal osmotic pressure in the embryos (Hiruta et al. 2013). 98 However, no efforts have aimed at establishing a microinjection procedure for creating heritable 99 100 biallelic knock-out and knock-in genotypes using CRISPR-Cas in D. pulex. Although knocking 101 out the Hox gene distalless was successful in D. pulex using CRISPR-Cas9 (Hiruta et al. 2018), 102 no biallelic knock-out lines were created because complete knockout of *distalless* is lethal.

More importantly, given that CRISPR-Cas system can cause spurious off-target mutations or on-target complex mutations in various model systems (Fu et al. 2013; Aryal et al. 2018; Höijer et al. 2022), it is imperative to systematically evaluate the mutations induced by CRISPR-Cas in the *Daphnia* system. However, such studies are still lacking to date.

To create heritable biallelic mutations in an efficient manner, it is critical to accurately
 deliver RNPs (ribonucleotide proteins - Cas9 fused with gRNAs) or plasmids into the nucleus of

109 an embryo at the one-cell stage. In the hope of accomplishing this at a high efficiency, we first 110 consider the timing of key events in the development of asexually produced embryos in *D. pulex*. 111 During the asexual reproduction in female *Daphnia*, oocytes go through a modified meiosis (i.e., 112 ameiosis) to produce chromosomally unreduced diploid embryos. In ameiosis, the original meiosis I is modified, resulting in suppressed recombination and no cytokinesis, while meiosis II 113 114 remains normal and produces a polar body and a diploid embryo in the end (Hiruta et al. 2010). 115 These asexually produced embryos can directly develop into neonates in the female's brood 116 chamber without fertilization.

117 The cytology of ameiosis in Daphnia has been carefully examined (Ojima 1958; 118 Zaffagnini and Sabelli 1972; Hiruta et al. 2010). The ameiotic division begins with the 119 breakdown of germinal vesicle while the eggs are still in the ovary of females, which also 120 coincides with the timing of female molting. Ovulation (i.e., embryos moving into brood 121 chamber from ovary) begins approximately 10-15 minutes after molting. Upon entering the 122 brood chamber, the egg cell enters anaphase I, with chromosomes staying near the periphery of 123 embryo (Ojima 1958; Zaffagnini and Sabelli 1972). The ameiotic division proceeds to anaphase 124 II in approximately 10 minutes post ovulation and the entire division process is completed 20 125 minutes post-ovulation with polar body emission (Hiruta et al. 2010). At this point the chromosomes move to the deeper part of the embryo, the nucleus membrane re-emerges, and the 126 127 first cleavage division is finished around 20-60 minutes post-ovulation (Ojima 1958; Hiruta et al. 128 2010).

Considering this timeline of key events, we suggest that the first 10 minutes postovulation (approximately between anaphase I to anaphase II) provides an optimal time window for microinjecting RNPs for introducing biallelic heritable modifications. The oocyte remains in the one-cell stage at this interval, during which the delivered RNPs would have opportunities to bind to chromosomes once the target loci become accessible (e.g., chromosomes exist in a less condensed state) during or after the ameiotic division. Also, microinjecting at an early timepoint is critical for the successful hatching of injected embryos because *Daphnia* embryos rapidly lose their membrane elasticity once ovulated and only early embryos with elastic membranes can sustain the damages caused by microinjection (Kato et al. 2011).

138 In addition to knowing when to deliver the RNPs alone into the embryo, understanding where in the embryo to deliver the RNPs is also critical for successful gene editing. The small 139 140 size of the asexual embryos (with a diameter ~50-100 µm) in D. pulex and the presence of 141 massive amount of egg yolk and fat droplets in the embryos make it infeasible to locate the 142 whereabouts of the nucleus or chromosomes during oogenesis under a typical stereomicroscope 143 used for microinjection. Considering that the chromosomes undergo movement from a peripheral spot near the embryo membrane to a more central part of the embryo after the ameiotic division 144 (Ojima 1958; Hiruta et al. 2010), an effective microinjection strategy would be to deliver a 145 146 concentrated dose of RNPs close to the center of the embryo so that the RNPs can rapidly spread 147 within the embryo to maximize possibilities of binding the targeted chromosomal loci.

Incorporating these considerations, we have developed a set of optimal practices for microinjection experiments for CRISPR-Cas genomic editing in *D. pulex* (**Figure 2**). In this study, we test the efficiency of Cas9 and Cas12a nucleases for generating a heritable biallelic *scarlet* gene knock-out. Also, we use Cas9 to create knock-in alleles at the *scarlet* gene. The SCARLET protein is responsible for transporting tryptophan, precursors of eye pigment (Ewart et al. 1994). Therefore, disruption of the *scarlet* gene can result in clear-eyed mutant daphniids that can be readily distinguished from the wild-type black-eyed individuals (Ismail et al. 2018).

We also introduce a few other innovative modifications (e.g., microinjection needles, injection stage) to the existing microinjection system of *Daphnia* to substantially increase its efficiency.

157 Furthermore, we analyzed the whole-genome DNA sequences of the knock-out and 158 knock-in mutants to assess the potential of off-target modifications and on-target mutation 159 accuracy in the D. pulex genome. Lastly, because knocking out ABC transporters including the 160 scarlet gene and white gene have pleiotropic impacts on the levels of biogenic amines in the 161 brain (Borycz et al. 2008), male courtship behavior (Anaka et al. 2008), and cyclic GMP 162 transportation (Evans et al. 2008), we examined the altered swimming behavior of scarlet 163 mutants and performed RNA-seq experiments to investigate its possible causes and to understand 164 the pleiotropic effects of the *scarlet* gene on genome-wide transcriptomic abundance.

#### 165 Materials and Methods

#### 166 *Experimental animals*

We maintained a healthy culture of 2-3-week-old *Daphnia* females that were all asexually derived from a single, natural *Daphnia* isolate EB1 (Eloise Butler, Minnesota). We kept these animals in artificial lake water COMBO (Kilham et al., 1998) at 25 °C and under a 16:8 (light:dark hours) photoperiod. Because we needed asexually reproducing females for collecting asexual embryos, the animals were fed with the green algae *Scenedesmus obliquus* every day and the newly born babies were removed every other day to prevent overcrowding that can trigger *Daphnia* to switch to sexual reproduction.

174 Microinjection equipment

We used Eppendorf FemtoJet 4i microinjector and Injectman 4 micromanipulator to perform
microinjection on *Daphnia* embryos under a Nikon SMZ800N dissection microscope. We

177 prepared microinjection needles using aluminosilicate glass capillaries (catalog no. AF100-64-178 10, Sutter Instrument). We chose the aluminosilicate glass rather than regular borosilicate glass because it penetrates the chorion and membrane of Daphnia embryos at high efficiency and 179 180 incurs little clogging at a fine tip size. Microinjection needles were pulled to have a final specification of  $\sim 1.5$ -um tip size and  $\sim 7$ -mm taper length on a P-1000 needle puller (Sutter 181 Instrument), using the following pulling parameters: heat 535 (ramp test value 525 + 10), pull 182 65, velocity 70, time 200, and pressure 250. The pulled needles were beveled on a BV-10 183 micropipette beveler (Sutter instrument) with a fine 104D grinding plate (Sutter Instrument) 184 covered by a thin layer of soap water to forge a 30-degree bevel at the tip. The beveled needles 185 were then immediately cleaned using 100% ethanol to remove contaminating debris introduced 186 during pulling and beveling. 187

#### 188 CRISPR-Cas reagents

For the knock-out experiments at the scarlet locus using Cas9, we designed one crRNA 189 190 (Supplementary Table S1) targeting the exon 1 and one crRNA for exon 2 using the Design 191 Custom gRNA tool from IDT (Integrated DNA Technologies) based on the DNA sequence of the EB1 isolate (Figure 2B). These sgRNAs were chemically synthesized (Alt-R<sup>TM</sup> custom 192 193 sgRNAs, IDT). To prepare the RNPs, equal molar amount of each sgRNA and the tracrRNA (Alt-R<sup>TM</sup> crRNA, IDT) was mixed and incubated at 95 °C for 5 min and cooled to room 194 195 temperature to form the guide RNA. The guide RNA was subsequently mixed with Cas9 enzyme 196 (catalog no. 1081058, IDT) and was incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. We coinjected two different RNPs into embryos, with each sgRNA at a concentration of 125 ng/µl and 197 Cas9 enzyme at 600 ng/ $\mu$ l. 198

For knocking out *scarlet* using Cas12a nuclease (Cpf1), we designed one crRNA targeting a 21-nucleotide sequence for the exon 1 and exon 2 each (**Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S1**). To prepare RNP, the Alt- $R^{TM}$  A.s. Cas12a nuclease V3 (catalog no. 1081068, IDT) was fused with crRNA at equal molar amounts at room temperature. We co-injected two different RNPs into embryos, with each sgRNA at a concentration of 125 ng/µl and Cas12a nuclease at 600 ng/µl.

For the knock-in experiment at the *scarlet* locus using Cas9 nuclease, we designed a HDR template for repairing the double-strand break at the crRNA2 target site (**Supplementary Table S1**). This HDR template was chemically synthesized ssDNA (IDT), containing a stop codon cassette flanked by homology arms of 40 bp on each end. If successfully inserted at the target locus, it would disrupt the translation of the scarlet gene because the stop codon cassette presents stop codons in all the six possible reading frames.

## 211 Embryo collection for microinjection experiments

212 For each microinjection experiment, we screened our animal culture to select a large number 213 (~100-150) of females that showed inflated dark ovary, indicating they would likely molt and ovulate in a few hours. Each of the selected animals was placed in a drop of COMBO artificial 214 215 lake water containing 60 mM sucrose. These animals were regularly checked for signs of 216 molting. The molted animals were closely monitored for signs of ovulation (i.e., oocytes starting to enter the brood chamber), which usually occurs 10-15 minutes after molting (Figure 2). Once 217 218 a female started ovulation and ~80% of embryos entered the brood chamber (Figure 2), we 219 transferred this female to an ice-cold (~1.5 °C) solution of COMBO with 60 mM sucrose.

We let the female stay in the ice-cold solution for approximately 5 minutes and then dissected the embryos out. We chose the 5-minute wait time because the oocytes should still be undergoing ameiotic division (see Introduction) while the cold temperature slows down the activities of cellular machinery.

The dissection was performed on the bottom surface of a small petri dish flipped upside down (60 mm x 15 mm, catalog no. FB0875713A, Fisher Scientific). After we removed the body of the daphniid, we aligned the embryos against the slightly raised edge of the petri dish. We also removed the excessive solution surrounding the embryos, leaving the embryos submerged under a thin layer of solution.

#### 229 Microinjection

We backloaded a microinjection needle with 1  $\mu$ l of RNP using a microloader tip (Eppendorf, cat no. 930001007). We aimed to inject 1-2 nl of RNP into the embryo. With each needle being different, the injection pressure was generally between 100 and 220 hPa, whereas the background pressure was between 100 and 200 hPa, with an injection time of 0.8 second.

Once the embryos were ready for injection, we immediately performed the injection procedure (**Figure 2**). We injected the RNPs near the center of the embryo. Once the injection was completed, we added COMBO with 60 mM sucrose to the embryos and left the embryos in this condition for ~30 minutes at room temperature (Cas9) or for 2 hours at 33°C (Cas12a). Then we transferred the injected embryos to a 24-well plate containing COMBO artificial lake water and cultured the embryos at 25°C. Embryos usually hatched into neonates in ~48 hours at this temperature.

#### 241 Mutant screening

Among the hatched neonates from the injected embryos ( $G_0$  individuals), we searched for individuals with a clear eye or an eye of partially missing black pigment (i.e., mosaic individuals) as tentative knock-out and knock-in mutants. We kept these  $G_0$  neonates and examined their progenies. If all the asexually produced  $G_1$  offspring of a  $G_0$  mutant were cleareyed, we concluded that the  $G_0$  individual carried biallelic knock-out mutations at the scarlet locus. We established a clonal mutant line using the  $G_0$  individual and its asexual progenies.

For the mosaic individuals, we examined the eye phenotype in the different broods of  $G_0$ individuals. We recorded the number of clear-eye and black-eye individuals and established mutant lines using a single clear-eye individual from the same or different broods.

#### 251 Whole-genome sequencing and mutation identification

252 To investigate whether off-target mutations occur in the knock-out and knock-in mutants, we 253 performed whole-genome sequencing on the asexually produced offspring of each established mutant line plus the wildtype. DNA of mutant lines was extracted from pooled samples of 3<sup>rd</sup> 254 and 4<sup>th</sup> generation offspring using a CTAB protocol (Wang and Xu 2021). The DNA sequencing 255 256 library was prepared by BGI America or by us, and the genome sequencing was performed on a DNB sequencing or Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform with 150-bp paired-end reads. Each mutant 257 258 line was sequenced at ~30x coverage per nucleotide site. Raw reads are available at NCBI SRA 259 (Sequence Read Archive) under project PRJNA1055485.

We aligned the raw reads of each mutant/wildtype line to the *D. pulex* PA42 reference assembly 3.0 (Ye et al. 2017) using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment Tool BWA-MEM version 0.7.17 (Li and Durbin 2010). We removed reads mapped to multiple locations in the genome and retained only uniquely mapped reads for identifying mutations. We generated calls of single

nucleotide variants and indels using the mpileup and call functions of BCFtools (Danecek et al. 2021) for all mutant lines in a single VCF file. Default parameters were used for BCFtools mpileup and call functions, with the addition of the following FORMAT and INFO tags to the VCF file: AD (allelic depth), DP (number of high-quality bases), ADF (allelic depth on forward strand) and ADR (allelic depth on reverse strand). We retained only biallelic single nucleotide polymorphism sites (SNPs) with a quality score (QUAL) >= 20, sequencing depth (DP) >= 10, and a distance >= 50 bp from an indel in each mutant line.

A custom Python script was used to identify mutations in each mutant line using a consensus method. For each SNP site, we established the consensus wildtype genotype call using a majority rule: with a total of N samples in a VCF file, the consensus genotype of a site needs to be supported by at least N-1 samples. If a mutant line shows a genotype different from the consensus genotype, a tentative mutation is identified.

These tentative mutations must meet two criteria to enter the final pool of mutations. First, a mutant allele had to be supported by at least two forward and two reverse reads to avoid false positives due to sequencing errors. Second, a mutant genotype was recognized only when it is a heterozygous genotype derived from a homozygous wildtype genotype. This criterion is to avoid false positives caused by allele dropout due to insufficient sequence coverage or artifacts in library construction at heterozygous sites. This computation pipeline was experimentally verified in a previous study with a false positive rate <0.05 (Snyman et al. 2021).

Furthermore, we examined whether any of the identified mutations in the mutant lines occur within a 100-bp vicinity of any nucleotide sequence that is a blast hit with E value > 0.01 to the gRNA target sequences.

#### 286 Structural variation detection

287 To understand whether the mutants harbored any off-target structural variations caused by Cas 288 nucleases, we used the SV caller Manta (Chen et al. 2016) to identify SVs in all the scarlet 289 mutants. Due to the limited power of short-read data in detecting SVs such as inversions, we 290 restricted our analysis to large indels and duplications. In addition to the mutants, we performed 291 genomic sequencing on the wildtype strain. To identify newly arising SVs in the wildtype 292 genomic background, we performed paired analysis between the wildtype and each mutant, 293 where the wildtype served as the control and the mutant represented treatment. All the SV calls 294 excluded imprecise predictions and any variants with a score below 30, thereby mitigating the 295 risk of false positives.

## 296 Behavioral and life-history assay of scarlet mutants

297 We examined whether the scarlet mutant females (KO2 and KO3) display excessive spinning swimming behavior compared to the wildtype, as reported in the scarlet mutant of another 298 299 Daphnia species D. magna (Ismail et al. 2021). As we found the wildtype females frequently 300 spins when they try to release babies from their brood pouch, we examined mutant and wildtype females that were 1-day old to 5-day old to avoid this confounding effect. Animals were placed 301 302 in a 20ml scintillation vial with COMBO artificial lake water under an LED light. We started 303 observing them after 30 minutes of acclimation. We counted the number of spins per minute within a 1-hour window. 304

305 RNA-seq data collection

Transcriptomic sequencing was performed with 2- or 3-day old neonates of seven scarlet mutant lines (KO1, KO2, K03, K04, KI2, KI3, and KI4) and the wildtype to understand how the scarlet

308 knock-out genotype reshaped genome-wide transcript abundance. Three replicate RNA-seq 309 libraries were sequenced for each sample on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform with 150-bp 310 paired-end reads. The raw RNA sequencing data for this project can be found at NCBI SRA 311 under PRJNA1060702. Additional notes about details of our RNA-seq experiments and analyses 312 are available in the Supplementary Materials. 313 Differential expression analysis We examined the raw read quality using FastOC 314 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Adapter trimming and quality 315 316 filtering were completed using Trimmomatic v.0.39 (Bolger et al. 2014). Trimmed reads were 317 mapped to the *Daphnia pulex* reference genome PA42 3.0 (Ye et al. 2017) using STAR aligner (Dobin et al. 2013) with default parameters. Reads mapping to multiple locations were removed 318 using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009), and the program featureCounts (Liao et al. 2014) was used to 319 obtain the raw read counts for each sample. Differential expression analysis was performed using 320 321 DESeq2 v.1.34.0 (Love et al. 2014) using the Wald negative binomial test. To obtain an 322 overview of the transcriptomic differences between all *scarlet* mutants and the wildtype, we 323 pooled and compared all mutants against the control samples. Also, we compared the 324 transcriptome of each mutant line with that of the wildtype for differential expression. We 325 adjusted p-values for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method as implemented in DEseq2. Genes were considered differentially expressed if they had an adjusted p-value of < 326 327 0.05 and greater than a 1.5-fold change. Our scripts are available at https://github.com/Marelize007/Scarlet. 328

329 *Co-expression analysis* 

330	To identify co-expressed gene modules and to explore the association between gene networks
331	and the scarlet phenotype, we performed Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis
332	(WGCNA, Langfelder and Horvath 2008) to generate a signed co-expression network using the
333	variance-stabilized read counts for the scarlet mutants and wild-type. For computational
334	efficiency, we restricted the analysis to the top 50% of the most variable genes (n=7093).
335	Clusters of highly co-expressed genes were identified by constructing the topological overlap
336	matrices, a measure of interconnection between genes, with a soft cut-off threshold of 14 using
337	the blockwiseModule function (see Supplementary Materials for additional technical details).
338	Module eigengenes were calculated using the moduleEigengene function. Module
339	eigengenes can be defined as the most representative gene within a module and allow us to study
340	how related the modules are and the correlation between modules and phenotypic traits. An
341	eigengene heatmap (Supplementary Figure S1) was constructed to visualize the correlation
342	between modules and the <i>scarlet</i> knock-out phenotype.
343	Lastly, we constructed a network of differentially expressed genes in the red module to
344	visualize the correlations between them (Supplementary Figure S2). Negative correlation
345	edges were colored black and positive correlation edges were colored red. Only edges with a
346	Pearson correlation coefficient greater than 0.9 were kept. The size of the vertices was scaled in
347	proportion to the level of expression of each gene. The genes were further clustered into
348	communities based on edge betweenness.

349 Functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes

- 350 We performed GO term enrichment analysis using the R package topGO (Alexa and
- Rahnenfuhrer 2019) to investigate the biological relevance of differentially expressed genes. The
- default algorithm, weight01, was used along with the Fisher's exact test.
- Furthermore, to detect KEGG pathways enriched for differentially expressed genes, the
- GHOSTX program (Moriya et al. 2007) was used to query all the annotated (18,440) genes from
- the *D. pulex* PA42 transcriptome (Ye et al. 2017) in the KEGG Automatic Annotation Server
- (KAAS). A total of 10,135 genes were assigned a KO (KEGG ortholog) number, of which 6,282
- 357 were mapped to KEGG pathways. Enriched pathways were identified through hypergeometric
- 358 tests with Holm-Bonferroni correction in a custom script
- 359 (https://github.com/Marelize007/Scarlet). KEGG pathways with a p-value < 0.05 were
- 360 considered significantly enriched with differentially expressed genes.
- 361 **Results**

#### 362 *Optimized microinjection methods*

Our microinjection method featured a few notable improvements, empowering fast and efficient 363 microinjection with *Daphnia*. Our needle pulling and beveling procedure consistently generated 364 365 injection needles with a tip size of 1-2  $\mu$ m and 5-6 mm taper. At such fine tip size, the beveled needle had substantially fewer clogging issues than non-beveled ones, whereas aluminosilicate-366 367 glass needles allowed easier penetration into the embryos than borosilicate-glass needles. Moreover, dissecting and injecting embryos on the same petri dish stage produced an increased 368 369 number of intact embryos for injection, compared to a procedure involving transferring the 370 newly ovulated embryos (extremely fragile with an irregular shape) from a dissection stage to the 371 injection stage (which would inevitably damage some embryos). To clearly demonstrate our 372 microinjection procedure, a video is available through this link (https://youtu.be/z1Dc0vTAj8A).

## 373 *Knock-out and knock-in efficiency at the scarlet locus*

374 Using our optimized microinjection procedure, we were able to consistently perform successful microinjection of Cas9/Cas12a RNPs into the asexual embryos of Daphnia and achieve a 375 376 hatching rate of 24-59% for the injected embryos (Table 1 and Table 2). In scarlet CRISPR-Cas9 knock-out experiments, the success rates for generating the clear-eye phenotype in  $G_0$ 377 individuals (Figure 2C) ranged from 1% to 15.6% with a median of 3.85%, whereas in the 378 379 knock-in experiments the clear-eye phenotype rate was between 1.1% and 3.4% (Table 1). Moreover, we identified mosaic mutants among  $G_0$ s that have reduced black pigments in the 380 381 eyes (Table 1). For the Cas12a knock-out experiments, the success rates for generating cleareyed  $G_0$  individuals were between 0 and 16%, whereas the rate for mosaic individuals ranged 382 between 0 and 19%. 383

From our knock-in experiments, two out of eight clear-eyed  $G_0s$  were found to have successful insertion of the stop codon cassette at the scarlet locus. However, the insertion of the stop codon was accompanied by complex modifications at the target site (see below).

#### 387 Transmission pattern of scarlet knock-out genotypes

For all the established clear-eyed and mosaic  $G_0$  individuals, we examined the eye phenotype of their asexual offspring from different broods ( $G_1$  individuals). For all the clear-eyed  $G_0$ s from Cas9 knock-out/knock-in experiments, all the  $G_1$ s from at least three different broods showed the clear-eye phenotype, indicating heritable biallelic modifications at the scarlet locus. Moreover, we examined the asexual  $G_2$  offspring from a random set of clear-eyed  $G_1$ s, and all the G2s showed the same phenotype as their mothers, as expected under asexual reproduction.

For the Cas9-produced mutants, we occasionally found a mosaic individual produced only black-eyed offspring, indicating somatic mutation at the *scarlet* locus. Nonetheless, most 396 mosaic individuals produced clear-eved offspring, sometimes along with black-eved siblings. We 397 noted that no offspring from mosaic individuals exhibited the mosaic phenotype. Some mosaic individuals produced only clear-eyed offspring, while others interestingly produced both clear-398 399 eyed and black-eyed offspring in the same or different broods (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S2). This observation led us to hypothesize that the asexual offspring of Daphnia 400 401 originated from different oogonia, some of which were genetically modified by CRISPR-Cas9 and others were not. This also raised the possibility that the genetically modified oogonia may 402 carry different genetic modifications at the scarlet locus, i.e., mosaicism in the germline cells. 403

On the other hand, we saw an increase of mosaic  $G_0$  individuals (5 out of 8) from Cas12a knock-out experiments. These mosaic individuals produced only black-eyed  $G_1$ s in all the examined broods during their life span (**Table S3**). This observation suggests increased somatic knock-out of *scarlet* with Cas12a nuclease under our experimental conditions. However, germline knock-out did occur in Cas12a experiments. Some mosaic  $G_0$  individuals and a cleareyed individual produced mixed broods (**Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S3**), strongly indicating germline mosaicism in the  $G_0$ s.

#### 411 *Knock-out genotypes induced by Cas9 nuclease*

We used the whole-genome short-read sequences of the mutants to analyze the Cas9-induced mutations at the *scarlet* locus. In our analyses we were able to phase the two haplotypes of the scarlet locus and identify the haplotype-specific mutations. Detailed mutation sequence alignment is available in **Supplementary File 1**. Our analyses showed that, although RNPs targeting two different sites of the *scarlet* locus were co-injected, only one knock-out mutant (KO4) contained a homozygous segmental deletion (234 bp) spanning the two target sites **Figure 4A**), indicating the simultaneous occurrence of DNA double-strand breaks induced by 419 CRISPR-Cas9 at these two target sites in the single-cell stage. Many of the other knock-out 420 mutants showed small indels at the target sites. For example, at target site 2 a 13-bp insertion on 421 allele 1 and a 2-bp insertion and a 3-bp substitution on allele 2 were identified in the mutant 422 KO1, most likely because of NHEJ repair (**Figure 4B**). Across all the mutants the lengths of 423 insertions ranged between 5 and 29 bp, whereas that of deletions varied from 1 to 16 bp 424 (**Supplementary Table S4**).

425 We noted that the modifications of two alleles at the same target sites were different in all mutants (Supplementary Table S4). For example, two alleles could have indels of different 426 427 sizes, or one allele had an insertion whereas the other allele had a deletion, indicating the DNA repair outcome was often independent between the two alleles. Intriguingly, although induced 428 429 mutations occurred at both target sites, no segmental deletions were generated in most of these 430 knock-out mutants. This was most likely because the induction of DNA double-strand breaks at different target sites occurred in a sequential manner rather than simultaneously during cell 431 division. 432

We identified a few mutants that carried unexpected, large deletions (>50bp) at the scarlet locus (**Figure 4D**). For example, in mutant KO2 allele 2 had a segmental deletion of 237 bp spanning the two target sites, whereas allele 1 had a 1569-bp deletion upstream of the target site 2 (**Figure 4D**). In the mutants KO6.1.3, KO6.3.3, and KO6.3.4, allele 1 had a 1033-bp, 1035-bp, and 1024-bp deletion upstream of the target site 2, respectively (**Figure 4D**). It seems allele 1 at target site 2 was more vulnerable to large deletion than allele 2, as no large deletions were found at allele 2 in any mutants.

440 The presence of both black-eyed and clear-eyed neonates in the asexual broods of the 441 mosaic individuals led us to hypothesize that the asexually produced neonates of the same 442 mother could be derived from primary oocytes with different or no genetic modifications caused 443 by CRISPR-Cas9 (i.e., mosaicism in the germline cells). To test this hypothesis, we examined the genotypes of the clear-eyed neonates from the same or different broods of the same mosaic 444 445 mother (KO5 and KO6). Within the same brood, neonates in the first brood of KO5 (KO5.1.1 and KO5.1.2) and the first three broods of KO6 (first brood-KO6.1.1, KO6.1.3, KO6.1.4; 446 second-KO6.2.1, KO6.2.2; third-KO6.3.2, KO6.3.3, KO6.3.4) all carried different genotypes, 447 whereas the fifth brood of KO6 (KO6.5.1, KO6.5.2, KO6.5.3) had the same genotype for all 448 neonates (Supplementary Table S4). These observations strongly supported our hypothesis. 449 450 Across the multiple broods of KO6, we observed the presence of different knock-out genotypes, substantiating the notion of mosaicism in germline cells. Also, the same genotype appeared in 451 different broods of the KO6 individual (KO6.3.2 and KO6.4.1), suggesting these neonates were 452 453 likely derived from the same primary oocyte cells or different primary oocytes of the same genetic modification. 454

455

## Knock-out genotypes induced by Cas12a

Compared to Cas9-induced mutants, our collection of Cas12a-induced knock-out mutants showed distinct patterns of genetic modification at the two target sites in *scarlet*. We detected only deletions at the target sites, with their sizes ranging from 1 to 73 bp (**Supplementary Table S4**). Moreover, in three of the four mutants more than one allele-specific target site remained unmodified, whereas for Cas9-induced mutants only one (KO1) out of 18 mutants had a single site unmodified (**Supplementary Table S3, S4**). For detailed mutation sequence alignment, see Supplementary File 1.

#### 463 *Knock-in genotypes at the scarlet locus*

464 Out of the five mutants from our knock-in experiment at target site 2 (mutation sequence 465 alignment available in Supplementary File 2), we identified two clear-eyed mutant lines (KI4, 466 KI6) with complete knock-in of the stop-codon cassette in allele 1 based on our reconstruction of 467 the haplotypes with short-read data. The allele 2 in both KI4 and KI6 harbored a 7-bp insertion at the target site (Figure 5). However, the identified knock-in events were accompanied by 468 469 complex local genomic rearrangements in both mutants. In KI4, the insertion of a 240-bp 470 segment containing the stop-codon cassette with multiple duplicated copies of homology arms 471 occurred at the location of a 635-bp deletion covering the majority of the target site, resulting in 472 a net loss of 395 bp (Figure 5). In KI6, two versions of allele 1 with knock-in insertion were 473 observed. In retrospect, this was most likely due to germline mosaicism and complicated our reconstruction of the insertion haplotypes. Our short-read genomic data allowed us to completely 474 475 reconstruct only one haplotype (KI6-hap1), leaving the other one partially resolved (KI6-hap2). 476 In KI6-hap1 (Figure 5), a 116-bp segment containing a stop-codon cassette and a homology arm 477 was inserted to replace a 635-bp segment (the same deletion tract observed in KI4). For KI6-478 hap2, an insertion > 127 bp containing multiple duplicated copies of one homology arm occurred 479 at the target site, accompanied by the deletion of original sequence of unknown length.

480 *Genome-wide mutation rate in scarlet mutants* 

To determine whether the *scarlet* mutants showed an elevated level of base-substitution mutations in comparison to the wildtype and whether any mutations were due to the mistarget effect of Cas9/Cas12a, we examined the genomic DNA sequences of all *scarlet* mutant lines derived from their  $3^{rd}/4^{th}$  generation offspring. Using a stringent germline mutation detection procedure that was experimentally tested with a false discovery rate < 5% (Snyman et al. 2021), we identified base substitutions in 7 mutant lines with 1-3 base substitutions in each line,

whereas the other 17 mutant lines (including the wildtype) had no base substitutions (**Supplementary Table S7**). None of the base substitutions occurred within or near the possible mistarget sites of Cas9/Cas12a (with 1 or 2 mismatches to the target sites). The base substitution rate was on the order of  $10^{-9}$  per site per generation, on par with the spontaneous mutation rate in *Daphnia* (Keith et al. 2016; Flynn et al. 2017), indicating no elevation of base substitution rate caused by gene editing.

493 Similarly, our analysis of structural variations (SVs) did not reveal an elevated number of large indels and duplications. In 20 out of 28 mutant lines, no SVs were detected. Only one 494 495 monoallelic insertion event was detected in one mutant (KI6), whereas six hemizygous deletion 496 events were identified with lengths ranging from 54 to 23,418 bp (Supplementary Table S8) in five mutants. Notably, a 23418-bp hemizygous deletion occurred in KO9. Moreover, two 497 498 duplication events were found (297bp and 1735bp). None of these events occurred in genic 499 regions except for a deletion event in mutant KO5.1.1 overlapping part of gene3461 (kinesinrelated protein 12 signal transduction). Considering that large-scale hemizygous deletion, 500 501 insertion and duplications (Xu et al. 2011; Keith et al. 2016) occurs at high rates in the asexual reproduction in *Daphnia* (on the order of  $10^{-5}$ /bp/generation), the observed deletion rates 502 (ranging from 1.12  $\times 10^{-7}$  to 4.86 x  $10^{-5}$ /bp/generation), insertion rate (3.07x $10^{-7}$ /bp/generation), 503 and duplication rates (from  $6.17 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ ) were below or on par with the spontaneous 504 rates (Supplementary Table S9). 505

506 *Spinning behavior in scarlet mutants* 

507 Our daily observations of the wildtype vs *scarlet* mutants (day 1 to day 5 post birth) revealed that 508 while the wildtype swam up and down with small hops and rarely spun themselves, the spinning 509 behavior exacerbated as the mutant neonates grew up (**Figure 6A**). The movement of mutant female neonates was a combination of normal movement interspersed by episodes of fast spinning, with the spins on day 1 averaging 7.5 in one minute increasing to 63.1 in one minute on day 5. We also observed that some mutants tend to perch at the bottom of the vial on their abdomen or back after fast spinning, which was rarely observed in the wildtype.

#### 514 Differential gene expression

We performed RNA-seq analyses with three biological replicates of seven *scarlet* mutants each and the wildtype, totaling 24 samples. An average of 20.9 million (SD=3.5 million) reads were sequenced per sample. Approximately 99% of the raw reads passed our quality control and trimming. On average 78% (SD=4.6%) of the retained reads uniquely mapped to the *D. pulex* reference genome and were used for downstream analyses (**Table S10**).

Our pooled differential expression analysis contrasting all mutants' replicates against the 520 521 wildtype revealed 328 significantly upregulated genes and 112 genes downregulated in the scarlet mutant lines compared to the wildtype (Supplementary Table S11, Supplementary File 522 523 3). The number of upregulated genes was significantly higher than downregulated genes (chi-524 square test p<0.0001). Among these genes, the *scarlet* gene was significantly downregulated in 525 the mutants (Figure 6B). GO term enrichment analysis showed that various metabolic and 526 catabolic processes comprised most of the top-ranked GO terms (Figure 6C, Supplementary 527 Table S12), with a notable exception of cyclin-dependent kinase serine/threonine kinase activity, sulfate transport, DNA replication initiation, and signaling. This indicated that the pleiotropic 528 529 effects of scarlet knock-out reached beyond the metabolic processes.

Through the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes, we
found that the significantly enriched pathways contained Protein digestion and absorption,
Pancreatic secretion, Influenza A, Lysosome, Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, Cell

533 adhesion molecules, and Starch and sucrose metabolism (Figure 6D, Supplementary Table 534 **S12**). Nearly all the significantly enriched pathways consisted of only up-regulated genes, with a mix of up- and down-regulated genes in Protein digestion and absorption (Figure 6D). 535 Considering the altered swimming behavior of *scarlet* mutants and neurotransmitter 536 deficiency noted in the scarlet mutant of D. magna (Ismail et al. 2021), we further examined the 537 538 KEGG pathways relevant for movement and neurological transmission, which were Neuroactive Ligand-receptor Interaction and Cell Adhesion Molecules. In Neuroactive Ligand-receptor 539 540 Interaction, differentially expressed genes included multiple paralogs of trypsin genes, 541 neuropeptides capa receptor-like gene, and glutamate receptor ionotropic NMDA1. It should be 542 noted that NMDA1 is involved in neurodevelopmental disorders with movement abnormalities. For Cell Adhesion Molecules, eight paralogs of contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CNTNAP2) 543 544 were upregulated. CNTNAP2 has been found to be associated with multiple neurological disorders including Autism (Alarcon et al. 2008) and Pitt-Hopkins syndrome (Peippo and 545 Ignatius 2011). 546 547 Furthermore, we identified a few non-enriched KEGG pathways that contain highly differentially expressed genes. In the GABAergic synapse pathway, gene ABAT was 548 549 significantly upregulated almost 4-fold in *scarlet* mutants. ABAT gene is known to be involved 550 in human disease Encephalopathy with uncontrolled limb movements and exaggerated reflexes 551 (Louro et al. 2016; Koenig et al. 2017). The abovementioned glutamate receptor ionotropic 552 NMDA1 was 1.6-fold upregulated in *scarlet* mutants and is also associated with the amyotrophic 553 lateral sclerosis (ALS) pathway.

In the individual analysis of each *scarlet* mutant in comparison to the wildtype, the number of significantly upregulated genes in mutants ranged from 453 to 1111, whereas that of

556 significantly downregulated genes was between 250 to 711 (Supplementary Table S11). 557 Moreover, we generated the consensus set of differentially expressed genes that were shared by 558 all mutants (i.e., the same direction of expression change > 1.5 fold), consisting of 26 up-559 regulated and 5 down-regulated genes (Supplementary Table S13). Many genes on this list were involved in various metabolism pathways (Supplementary Table S14). More importantly, 560 561 it corroborated several genes that emerged from the pooled analysis including ABAT, 562 CNTNAP2, and a few paralogs of trypsin. It also drew our attention to a downregulated gene in 563 the *scarlet* mutant, gene4054 (SLIT2), which is important for axon regeneration and axon 564 guidance, two fundamental processes in the nervous systems (Curcio and Bradke 2018). We 565 noted that another gene, gene3375 (SLIT3), which is involved in axon regeneration and axon guidance, did not enter the consensus list because in one mutant its fold change did not exceed 566 567 1.5. However, SLIT3 appeared to be co-regulated with scarlet in our co-expression analysis (see below). 568

## 569 *Gene modules and co-expression analysis*

With our gene expression data, a total of 14 gene co-expression modules were obtained. For each module, module eigengenes were Spearman rank correlated with the *scarlet* knock-out phenotype. Among the 14 modules identified, six were significant in their correlations with the *scarlet* mutant phenotype (**Supplementary Figure S1**). The positively correlated modules (**Supplementary Figures S2-S6**) were magenta ( $\rho = 0.43$ , p = 0.04), red ( $\rho = 0.76$ ,  $p = 1x10^{-5}$ ), yellow ( $\rho = 0.52$ , p = 0.009), and salmon ( $\rho = 0.6$ , p = 0.002) modules, while negatively correlated ones were: purple ( $\rho = -0.58$ , p = 0.003) and grey ( $\rho = -0.48$ , p = 0.02).

577 Out of these modules, the red module contained genes that were the most differentially 578 expressed between the wild-type and *scarlet* knock-out mutant lines. A network was constructed

579 of the differentially expressed genes in this module to visualize the correlations between them 580 (**Supplementary Figure S2**). The scarlet gene was most positively co-expressed with gene 581 CTRL (chymotrypsin-like protease CTRL-1), which functions as a protease and hydrolase, 582 whereas CPA4 and SLIT3 are the most negatively co-expressed genes with scarlet. CPA4, or 583 carboxypeptidase A4, functions as a protease that hydrolyzes peptide bonds at the carboxy-584 terminal end of a protein or peptide. SLIT3, slit homolog 3 protein, is a developmental protein 585 which aids in the differentiation and development of the nervous system.

## 586 Discussion

587 CRISPR gene editing has been successfully implemented in a growing number of emerging 588 model eukaryotic organisms (e.g., squid, ant, tick) using various effective delivery methods (Trible et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2019; Crawford et al. 2020; Sharma et al. 2022). These efforts have 589 paved the way for future functional genomic studies to examine genotype-phenotype relationship 590 in unprecedentedly diverse organisms. Among these emerging systems, Daphnia has excellent 591 592 potential for genomic functional studies, largely thanks to the wealth of knowledge accumulated 593 from decades of research on their evolution/adaptation, ecology, toxicology, phenotypic 594 plasticity, and response to environmental factors (Altshuler et al. 2011). Since the development 595 of first-generation Daphnia genomic tools (Colbourne et al. 2011), researchers have identified a 596 large number of candidate genes responsible for various biological processes, such as adaptation 597 to freshwater salinization (Wersebe and Weider 2023), heavy metal contamination (Shaw et al. 598 2007), the origin of obligate parthenogenesis and cyclical parthenogenesis (Xu et al. 2015; Xu et 599 al. 2022; Huynh et al. 2023; Snyman and Xu 2023), and adaptation to ecologically distinct 600 habitats (Ye et al. 2023), which are ready to be further interrogated for functional insights.

601 As a major tool for functional studies, although microinjection-based CRISPR-Cas9 gene 602 editing for *Daphnia pulex* has been established (Hiruta et al. 2018), this study addresses several 603 technical aspects of the microinjection procedure that have not been fully optimized. 604 Furthermore, through creating *scarlet* gene knock-out mutants, we evaluate the efficiency of creating heritable mutations with CRISPR-Cas9/Cas12a, the spectrum of on-target mutations, 605 and potential off-target mutations. Lastly, as *scarlet* appears to be pleiotropic, likely involved in 606 607 the production of histamine and other neurotransmitters (Ismail et al. 2021), we examine the swimming behavior of *scarlet* mutants and the associated transcriptomic profiles to investigate 608 609 the pleiotropic effects of *scarlet* and the underlying genetic causes.

#### 610 *Effectiveness of the microinjection procedure*

In this study we have developed a robust and effective procedure for generating knock-out 611 mutants in D. pulex. The microinjection procedures in model organisms such as Drosophila 612 (Ringrose 2009), Caenorhabditis elegans (Evans 2006), Anopheles mosquitoes (Carballar-613 614 Lejarazú et al. 2021) inspired us to develop optimized fabrication for injection needles with 615 upgraded glass capillary (i.e., aluminosilicate) and repurpose a flipped small Petri dish as the 616 injection stage for *Daphnia* embryos. We directly inject RNPs instead of plasmids encoding 617 Cas9/Cas12 and guide RNAs because RNPs can result in mutations at a much greater efficiency 618 than injecting plasmids or mRNA encoding Cas enzymes (Kim et al. 2014; Hendel et al. 2015). 619 Most importantly, based on the literature of the development of asexual Daphnia embryos 620 (Ojima 1958; Zaffagnini and Sabelli 1972; Hiruta et al. 2010), we propose and investigate that approximately 10 minutes post ovulation (1-2 min ovulation time, 6 min in ice-cold medium, and 621 2-3 min injection time), while the embryos are still in the single-cell stage, provides an effective 622 time window for inducing heritable biallelic modifications. 623

The results of our CRISPR-Cas9 knock-out experiments at the *scarlet* locus strongly supported this idea, with a success rate of 1-15% in generating clear-eyed  $G_0$  individuals (**Table** 1). More importantly, all the  $G_0$  individuals from the Cas9 experiments carry heritable biallelic mutations, as evidenced by the clear-eye phenotype in all their offspring, highlighting the effectiveness of our microinjection strategy.

629 Consistent with the results of Cas9 knock-out experiments, our microinjection 630 experiments using the A.s. Cas12a nuclease also efficiently generated heritable biallelic 631 mutations. This is the first successful implementation of CRISPR-Cas12a in *Daphnia* to the best 632 of our knowledge. The addition of Cas12a to the *Daphnia* gene editing toolkit significantly 633 expands the range of editable genomic regions beyond what can be achieved with Cas9 nuclease 634 alone.

However, it is notable that our Cas12a knock-out experiments yielded a large portion of 635 clear-eyed (5 out of 8) mutants due to somatic mutations (i.e., no clear-eyed asexual progenies). 636 637 We offer a potential explanation for the lower rate of introducing heritable mutations compared to the Cas9 knock-out experiments. The A.s. Cas12a nuclease used in this study is temperature 638 dependent and has low activity level below 30°C (Moreno-Mateos et al. 2017). As 30°C and 639 640 above is outside the normal temperature range of *Daphnia pulex*, in our experiments we kept the Cas12a-injected embryos at 33°C for only two hours post injection and then transferred them to 641 25°C. The Cas12a nuclease was likely not fully active in the single-cell stage embryo during the 642 643 2-hour incubation at 33°C. Thus, the editing activity most likely took place after the one cell stage and affected only somatic tissues including the eye. A potential solution to increase the 644 645 chance of germline modification is to incubate the injected embryos at the (near) optimal 646 temperature of Cas12a (e.g., 37°C), the detrimental effects of which to the embryos have to be

experimentally determined for *Daphnia*. Moreover, as more temperature tolerant versions of
Cas12a nuclease have become available (e.g., Cas12a Ultra from IDT, which was unavailable at
the time of this study), it will be beneficial for future studies to examine its gene editing
efficiency at *Daphnia*-appropriate or out-of-range temperatures.

## 651 Mosaicism in the germline cells and implications

652 One of the most interesting findings of this study is the mosaicism in the germline cells of scarlet G<sub>0</sub> mutants, which informs us of the editing process in the embryos and the inheritance of edited 653 alleles across generations. In general, genetic mosaicism resulting from CRISPR-Cas gene 654 655 editing in human and mouse zygotes has been recognized as a consequence of the prolonged 656 activity of Cas nucleases beyond the first embryo cleavage event (Davies 2019). Although we 657 intended to create biallelic modification in the one-cell stage of the *Daphnia* embryos, in some 658 cases the successful editing only occurred after the one-cell stage, affecting different tissues through independent editing events and resulting in different Cas-induced mutations. 659

It is evident from both our Cas9 and Cas12 experiments that the germline cells of  $G_0$ individuals (with mosaic scarlet phenotype) were differentially edited, which asexually produced mixed broods of clear-eyed and black-eyed progenies (**Figure 3, Table S2 and S3**). Even among the clear-eyed progenies of the same mosaic  $G_0$ s, our genomic analyses unveil that their knockout *scarlet* genotypes are different (**Table S4**). These observations also suggest that during the asexual reproduction cycle of *Daphnia*, oogonia going into one asexual brood are derived from different primary oocytes, rather than one primary oocyte giving rise to all the oogonia.

667 Genetic mosaicism due to gene editing is generally considered a potential risk for clinical 668 applications (Davies 2019) and could confound downstream analyses of the mutants. Our

669 genomic sequencing of the knock-in mutants was an example of the confounding effect of 670 germline mosaicism. Without realizing mosaicism and assuming all asexual progenies of a 671 female Daphnia were genetically identical, we pooled all the progenies of KI mutants to 672 establish a "clonal" mutant line. The presence of more than 2 alleles at the scarlet locus in the genomic sequences of this "clonal" line strongly indicates the presence of germline mosaicism in 673 the  $G_0$  individual. Nonetheless, germline mosaicism most likely does not exist in the  $G_1$ 674 individuals as all their offspring  $(G_2s)$  exhibit the same phenotype as the mother. There is also no 675 reason to believe that Cas nucleases could be present in the  $G_1$ s due to transgenerational passing 676 677 down from the G<sub>0</sub>s.

Despite its confounding effects, we argue that germline mosaicism due to the prolonged activities of Cas nucleases can be advantageous for *Daphnia* gene editing experiments. This is because, even in the absence of editing during the one-cell embryo stage, the prolonged nuclease activities can increase the chances of generating heritable biallelic alterations in the germline cells. Furthermore, given the independent editing activities in germline cells, multiple knock-out and knock-in genotypes could appear in the  $G_1$  offspring, facilitating the production of desirable mutant genotypes.

On the other hand, germline mosaicism necessitates a thoughtful plan for *Daphnia* mutant screening experiments, especially for those focusing on genes with no readily visible phenotypes. We suggest that a  $G_0$  mom should be maintained through at least two or three broods. The first-brood individuals of the same mom can be sacrificed for genotyping at the target locus to identify the presence/absence of mutant alleles. For the matter of efficiency, the first brood can be pooled for DNA extraction, PCR amplification of target locus, and a T7 endonuclease assay (Parkinson and Lilley 1997) used to detect induced mutations. If mutant alleles are detected from the first brood of a  $G_0$  individual, this  $G_0$  is either a mosaic or pure mutant. Then each of their second-brood progenies can be used to establish clonal lines that can be individually genotyped to identify mutant lines. We caution that even if the  $G_0$  mom carries germline mutations, the first brood could contain no mutant individuals, thus misleading our conclusions. To mitigate this, one could expand the first round of genotyping to the first two broods to increase the chance of detecting mutant alleles.

#### 698 Knock-out genotypes and implications

Despite their error-prone nature, nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) and microhomologymediated end joining (MMEJ) are primary pathways for the repair of DNA double-strand breaks when homologous repair template is not available (Sfeir and Symington 2015). In our Cas9 and Cas12a knock-out experiments, two gRNAs targeting the scarlet locus were co-injected. Therefore, we initially expected to see a homozygous segmental deletion if DNA double-strand breaks occur simultaneously at the two target locations. In the event of only one of the locations experienced cleavage, we expected to see small indels at one location.

However, the genotypes of our knock-out mutants show more complicated DNA repair outcomes, revealing some under-appreciated aspects of the gene editing process in *Daphnia* embryos. In fact, clean segmental deletions between two target sites only occurred in two out of 18 knock-out Cas9 mutants (KO2, KO4, **Table S4**), suggesting that Cas-induced cleavage at the two target locations occurs rarely at the same time, which could be due to their differential accessibility to the binding of RNPs associated with local nucleosome occupancy or other factors.

The majority of Cas9 mutants show small indels at both of the target locations. The 713 714 absence of segmental deletions spanning the two target sites strongly suggests that the DNA 715 cleavage at these sites did not occur at the same time, most likely one after another. Consistently, 716 in our Cas12a mutant KO10, only target site 2 had mutations, whereas site 1 had no mutation, possibly due to reduced activity of Cas12a in our experiments. Furthermore, the induced 717 718 modifications on the two alleles are different, suggesting independent repair events. This is 719 supported by the genotype of our Cas12 mutants KO7 and KO8, where only one allele of either target site 1 or target site 2 was modified, clearly pointing to the possibility that the DNA 720 721 cleavage on the two alleles do not occur at the same time.

722 Furthermore, we find large deletions (>1000bp) at target site 2 in a few Cas9 mutants. 723 This is not an uncommon DNA repair outcome for Cas9-induced cleavage and has been 724 previously reported in C. elegans, mouse zygote and cultured cells (Shin et al. 2017; Adikusuma 725 et al. 2018; Au et al. 2019; Davies 2019). As to the repair mechanism generating these 726 unintended large deletions, MMEJ DNA repair and polymerase theta-mediated end joining has 727 been proposed as a candidate mechanism (Owens et al. 2019; Schimmel et al. 2023). Although 728 these large deletions do not disrupt any other coding regions in our *scarlet* mutants, it is crucial 729 to consider the potential occurrence of large deletions when designing CRISPR target sites and 730 genotyping mutants. This type of large deletion is hardly detectable through regular PCR. For 731 example, the genotype of our KO2 sample was initially identified as a homozygous segmental 732 deletion based on a regular PCR test. However, its genomic sequencing unveiled a segmental 733 deletion on only one allele, whereas the other allele harbors a large deletion upstream of target 734 site 2 that removes one primer landing site for our PCR assay, which results in the PCR 735 amplification of only one allele with segmental deletion as observed in our initial genotyping.

## 736 *Knock-in efficiency*

737 Homology-dependent repair (HDR) with CRISPR using a DNA donor template is notoriously 738 inefficient in generating high-fidelity DNA modification (Riesenberg et al. 2023; Schimmel et al. 739 2023). This is primarily because HDR is inefficient in comparison to NHEJ and MMEJ, which 740 results in the activation of latter pathways for the imprecise repair of DNA damages (Riesenberg 741 et al. 2023). Although in the knock-in experiments we chose ssDNA as the donor template, 742 which boosts HDR efficiency compared to plasmid-based templates, the results of our knock-in 743 experiment still show inefficient HDR repair. We did not observe any precise editing as 744 expected, with most mutants not incorporating the stop codon cassette in the repair template. In 745 the two mutants (KI4, KI6) where incorporation occurred, the insertion of the stop codon cassette 746 is accompanied by a large 635-bp deletion and other complex rearrangements at the target site. It 747 is not clear which DNA repair pathway(s) are responsible for this mutagenic outcome. However, NHEJ-mediated knock-in (Maresca et al. 2013; Auer et al. 2014) seems capable of producing 748 749 this kind of knock-in involving complex local rearrangement as seen in another Daphnia species 750 D. magna (Kumagai et al. 2017).

Numerous strategies for improving the efficiency of HDR repair have been developed, including inhibiting key proteins of the NHEJ and MMEJ pathways (Riesenberg et al. 2023; Schimmel et al. 2023) and keeping a close proximity of the HDR repair template with the Cas components (Aird et al. 2018; Sharon et al. 2018). We note that the microinjection of smallmolecule chemical inhibitors of NHEJ and MMEJ pathway (Schimmel et al. 2023) with RNP and HDR template into *Daphnia* embryos is worth further investigation because of its simplicity in implementation.

758 *Off-target mutations in scarlet mutants* 

759 Unintended mutagenesis caused by Cas nucleases at non-target genomic locations undermines 760 the integrity of gene knock-out mutants. Our analyses of base-substitution and structural 761 variation (SV) mutations in the *scarlet* mutant lines did not show excessive mutations in 762 comparison to the wildtype, suggesting minimal risks of off-target mutagenesis of Cas9 and Cas12a in our experiments. Nonetheless, off-target risks need to be assessed in a case-by-case 763 764 manner because multiple factors such as the uniqueness of target sites, nuclease concentrations, 765 and the Cas nuclease variants used in specific experiments could jointly affect the occurrence of 766 off-target mutations (Davies 2019). Before engaging in an extensive gene editing experiment, it 767 is now possible to gain an empirical understanding of the off-target effects and genomic location 768 of mistargets through *in vivo* or *in vitro* methods that combine the digestion of DNA by RNPs and high-throughput sequencing (Huang and Huang 2023) 769

## 770 Daphnia as an emerging model for neurodegenerative behavior

Our behavioral assay of *scarlet* mutants shows the progression of spinning moves as individual daphniids grow up. The *scarlet* mutants in *D. magna* also show a similar progression pattern (Ismail et al. 2021). Interestingly, the spinning moves can be rescued by supplementing histamine to mutant neonates of *D. magna*, whereas adults' spins are irreversible, suggesting a progressive neurodegenerative effect of the scarlet knock out mutation (Ismail et al. 2021). However, the rescuing effect of histamine still needs to be verified in *D. pulex*.

The progressive nature of the altered swimming behavior in *scarlet* mutants draws an interesting parallel with the worsening of symptoms in some human neurodegenerative diseases such as Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) (Akcimen et al. 2023). Interestingly, the perturbed transcriptomes of our scarlet mutants offer insights into the potential mechanistic basis of this behavior. Several genes involved in human neurodegenerative diseases such as NMDA1,

782 CNTNAP2, and ABAT are highly differentially expressed in the behavior-changing *scarlet* 783 mutants compared to the wildtype.

784 These findings provide insight into the pleiotropic effects of the *scarlet* gene and open 785 opportunities for further understanding the altered gene expression of critical disease-causing 786 genes in relation to symptom progression. Nonetheless, our transcriptomic data is restricted to 2-787 3-day-old female neonates, leaving much to be explored regarding male's behavioral and 788 transcriptomic responses. As *Daphnia* is nearly transparent with the nervous system easily 789 visible in the head region with a modern-day microscope, future studies can use single-cell 790 RNA-seq or spatial transcriptomics to obtain precise neuron-specific transcriptomic profiles and 791 can in vivo tag and track specific proteins across developmental stages in the context of disease 792 progression. Moreover, the asexual clonal reproduction of Daphnia can provide an endless 793 supply of experimental replicates of the same genetic background. Therefore, we suggest that the Daphnia scarlet mutants provide a powerful model system for understanding the genetic causes 794 795 of neurological defects and associated behavioral aberrations from the perspective of faulty ABC 796 transporter genes.

#### 797 Acknowledgments

798 We would like to thank J. Dittmer and P. Wright for their assistance with swimming behavior

- respectively. We also thank Michael Pfrender for his comments on an early draft of this
- 800 manuscript. This work is supported by NIH grant R35GM133730, NSF CAREER grant MCB-
- 801 2042490/2348390, NSF EDGE grant 2220695/2324639 to SX.

802 <b>References</b>						
803 804	Adikusuma F Piltz S Corbett MA Turvey M McColl SR Helbig KI Beard MR Hughes I					
805	Pomerantz RT, Thomas PQ. 2018. Large deletions induced by Cas9 cleavage. <i>Nature</i>					
806	500: E8-E9. Aird EL Lovendehl KN St Martin A Harris PS Cordon WP 2018 Increasing Cas0 mediated					
807	homology-directed renair efficiency through covalent tethering of DNA renair template					
809	Communications Biology 1: 54					
810	Akcimen F. Lopez ER. Landers JE. Nath A. Chio A. Chia R. Travnor BJ. 2023. Amvotrophic					
811	lateral sclerosis: translating genetic discoveries into therapies. Nat Rev Genet 24: 642-					
812	658.					
813	Alarcon M, Abrahams BS, Stone JL, Duvall JA, Perederiy JV, Bomar JM, Sebat J, Wigler M,					
814 815	Martin CL, Ledbetter DH et al. 2008. Linkage, association, and gene-expression analyses identify CNTNAP2 as an autism-susceptibility gene. <i>Am J Hum Genet</i> <b>82</b> : 150-159.					
816	Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J. 2019. topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology. topGO:					
817	Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology.					
818	Altshuler I, Demiri B, Xu S, Constantin A, Yan ND, Cristescu ME. 2011. An integrated multi-					
819	disciplinary approach for studying multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: Daphnia					
820	as a model organism. Integr Comp Biol 51: 623-633.					
821	Anaka M, MacDonald CD, Barkova E, Simon K, Rostom R, Godoy RA, Haigh AJ,					
822	Meinertzhagen IA, Lloyd V. 2008. The <i>white</i> Gene of <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> Encodes					
823	a Protein with a Role in Courtship Behavior. J Neurogenet 22: 243-276.					
824	Aryal NK, Wasylishen AR, Lozano G. 2018. CRISPR/Cas9 can mediate high-efficiency off-					
825 016	Au V Li Lager E Payment G Elibette S Chen G Martin K Fornando L Deall C Posell EL					
820 827	Wang S et al 2019 CRISPR/Cas9 Methodology for the Generation of Knockout					
828	Deletions in <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> G3 ( <i>Bethesda</i> ) <b>9</b> : 135-144					
829	Auer TO, Duroure K, De Cian A, Concordet JP, Del Bene F. 2014. Highly efficient					
830	CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in in zebrafish by homology-independent DNA repair.					
831	Genome Res 24: 142-153.					
832	Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence					
833	data. <i>Bioinformatics</i> <b>30</b> : 2114-2120.					
834	Borycz J, Borycz JA, Kubów A, Lloyd V, Meinertzhagen IA. 2008. Drosophila ABC transporter					
835	mutants white, brown and scarlet have altered contents and distribution of biogenic					
836	amines in the brain. J Exp Biol 211: 3454-3466.					
837	Bruce HS, Patel NH. 2022. The carapace and other novel structures evolved via the cryptic					
838	persistence of serial homologs. Curr Biol 32: 3792-3799.					
839	Carballar-Lejarazú R, Tushar T, Pham TB, James AA. 2021. Microinjection method for					
840	Anopheles gambiae embryos. JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments): e62591.					
841	Chen X, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Shaw R, Barnes B, Schlesinger F, Kallberg M, Cox AJ, Kruglyak S,					
842	Saunders CT. 2016. Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline					
843	and cancer sequencing applications. <i>Bioinformatics</i> <b>32</b> : 1220-1222.					
844	Colbourne JK, Hebert PDN. 1996. The systematics of North American Daphnia (Crustacea:					
845	Anomopoda): A molecular phylogenetic approach. <i>Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci</i> 251, 240, 260					
846	<b>331</b> : 349-300.					

- Colbourne JK, Pfrender ME, Gilbert D, Thomas WK, Tucker A, Oakley TH, Tokishita S, Aerts
  A, Arnold GJ, Basu MK et al. 2011. The ecoresponsive genome of *Daphnia pulex*. *Science* 331: 555-561.
- Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin SL, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu XB, Jiang WY, Marraffini
   LA et al. 2013. Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems. *Science* 339: 819-823.
- Crawford K, Diaz Quiroz JF, Koenig KM, Ahuja N, Albertin CB, Rosenthal JJC. 2020. Highly
   Efficient Knockout of a Squid Pigmentation Gene. *Curr Biol* 30: 3484-3490 e3484.
- Curcio M, Bradke F. 2018. Axon Regeneration in the Central Nervous System: Facing the
  Challenges from the Inside. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 34: 495-521.
- Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T,
   McCarthy SA, Davies RM et al. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.
   *Gigascience* 10: giab008.
- Davies B. 2019. The technical risks of human gene editing. *Hum Reprod* **34**: 2104-2111.
- Bobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras
   TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29: 15-21.
- Ebert D. 2022. *Daphnia* as a versatile model system in ecology and evolution. *Evodevo* 13: 16.
- Evans JM, Day JP, Cabrero P, Dow JAT, Davies SA. 2008. A new role for a classical gene:
   *white* transports cyclic GMP. *J Exp Biol* 211: 890-899.
- Evans T. 2006. Transformation and microinjection. In *Transformation and microinjection*, doi: doi/10.1895/wormbook.1.108.1. The *C. elegans* Research Community.
- Ewart GD, Cannell D, Cox GB, Howells AJ. 1994. Mutational analysis of the traffic ATPase
   (ABC) transporters involved in uptake of eye pigment precursors in Drosophila
   melanogaster. Implications for structure-function relationships. *J Biol Chem* 269: 10370 10377.
- Flynn JM, Chain FJJ, Schoen DJ, Cristescu ME. 2017. Spontaneous mutation accumulation in
   *Daphnia pulex* in selection-free vs. competitive environments. *Mol Biol Evol* 34: 160 173.
- Fu YF, Foden JA, Khayter C, Maeder ML, Reyon D, Joung JK, Sander JD. 2013. High frequency off-target mutagenesis induced by CRISPR-Cas nucleases in human cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 31: 822-826.
- Harris KDM, Bartlett NJ, Lloyd VK. 2012. *Daphnia* as an Emerging Epigenetic Model
   Organism. Genetics Research International 2012: 147892.
- Hefferin ML, Tomkinson AE. 2005. Mechanism of DNA double-strand break repair by non homologous end joining. DNA Repair 4: 639-648.
- Hendel A, Bak RO, Clark JT, Kennedy AB, Ryan DE, Roy S, Steinfeld I, Lunstad BD, Kaiser
  RJ, Wilkens AB et al. 2015. Chemically modified guide RNAs enhance CRISPR-Cas
  genome editing in human primary cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 33: 985-989.
- Hiruta C, Kakui K, Tollefsen KE, Iguchi T. 2018. Targeted gene disruption by use of
  CRISPR/Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes in the water flea *Daphnia pulex*. *Genes Cells*23: 494-502.
- Hiruta C, Nishida C, Tochinai S. 2010. Abortive meiosis in the oogenesis of parthenogenetic
   *Daphnia pulex. Chromosome Res* 18: 833-840.
- Hiruta C, Toyota K, Miyakawa H, Ogino Y, Miyagawa S, Tatarazako N, Shaw JR, Iguchi T.
   2013. Development of a microinjection system for RNA interference in the water flea
   Daphnia pulex. BMC Biotechnol 13: 96.

- Höijer I, Emmanouilidou A, Östlund R, van Schendel R, Bozorgpana S, Tijsterman M, Feuk L,
  Gyllensten U, den Hoed M, Ameur A. 2022. CRISPR-Cas9 induces large structural
  variants at on-target and off-target sites in vivo that segregate across generations. *Nat Commun* 13: 627.
- Huang S, Huang X. 2023. A massively parallel approach for assessing CRISPR off-targets in vitro. *Cell Rep Methods* 3: 100561.
- Huynh TV, Hall AS, Xu S. 2023. The Transcriptomic Signature of Cyclical Parthenogenesis.
   *Genome Biol Evol* 15.
- Ismail NIB, Kato Y, Matsuura T, Gómez-Canela C, Barata C, Watanabe H. 2021. Reduction of histamine and enhanced spinning behavior of *Daphnia magna* caused by *scarlet* mutant.
   *Genesis* 59.
- Ismail NIB, Kato Y, Matsuura T, Watanabe H. 2018. Generation of white-eyed *Daphnia magna* mutants lacking scarlet function. *PLoS One* 13: e0205609.
- Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E. 2012. A Programmable
   Dual-RNA-Guided DNA Endonuclease in Adaptive Bacterial Immunity. *Science* 337: 816-821.
- Kato Y, Matsuura T, Watanabe H. 2012. Genomic Integration and Germline Transmission of
   Plasmid Injected into Crustacean Daphnia magna Eggs. *Plos One* 7.
- Kato Y, Perez CAG, Ishak NSM, Nong QD, Sudo Y, Matsuura T, Wada T, Watanabe H. 2018.
  A 5 ' UTR-Overlapping LncRNA Activates the Male-Determining Gene doublesex1 in the Crustacean Daphnia magna. *Curr Biol* 28: 1811-1817.
- Kato Y, Shiga Y, Kobayashi K, Tokishita S, Yamagata H, Iguchi T, Watanabe H. 2011.
  Development of an RNA interference method in the cladoceran crustacean *Daphnia magna*. *Dev Genes Evol* 220: 337-345.
- Keith N, Tucker AE, Jackson CE, Sung W, Lucas Lledo JI, Schrider DR, Schaack S, Dudycha
  JL, Ackerman M, Younge AJ et al. 2016. High mutational rates of large-scale duplication
  and deletion in *Daphnia pulex. Genome Res* 26: 60-69.
- Kim S, Kim D, Cho SW, Kim J, Kim JS. 2014. Highly efficient RNA-guided genome editing in
   human cells via delivery of purified Cas9 ribonucleoproteins. *Genome Res* 24: 1012 1019.
- Koenig MK, Hodgeman R, Riviello JJ, Chung W, Bain J, Chiriboga CA, Ichikawa K, Osaka H,
  Tsuji M, Gibson KM et al. 2017. Phenotype of GABA-transaminase deficiency. *Neurology* 88: 1919-1924.
- Kumagai H, Nakanishi T, Matsuura T, Kato Y, Watanabe H. 2017. CRISPR/Cas-mediated
   knock-in via non-homologous end-joining in the crustacean *Daphnia magna*. *Plos One* 12: e0186112.
- Langfelder P, Horvath S. 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
   analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9: 559.
- Li H, Durbin R. 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
   *Bioinformatics* 26: 589-595.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.
   2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25: 2078-2079.
- Liang F, Han M, Romanienko PJ, Jasin M. 1998. Homology-directed repair is a major doublestrand break repair pathway in mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95: 5172-5177.
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
   assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30: 923-930.

- 939 Louro P, Ramos L, Robalo C, Cancelinha C, Dinis A, Veiga R, Pina R, Rebelo O, Pop A, Diogo
- L et al. 2016. Phenotyping GABA transaminase deficiency: a case description and
  literature review. *J Inherit Metab Dis* 39: 743-747.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
   RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15: 550.
- Mahato S, Morita S, Tucker AE, Liang X, Jackowska M, Friedrich M, Shiga Y, Zelhof AC.
   2014. Common transcriptional mechanisms for visual photoreceptor cell differentiation among Pancrustaceans. *PLoS Genet* 10: e1004484.
- Mali P, Yang LH, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, DiCarlo JE, Norville JE, Church GM. 2013.
  RNA-Guided Human Genome Engineering via Cas9. *Science* 339: 823-826.
- Maresca M, Lin VG, Guo N, Yang Y. 2013. Obligate ligation-gated recombination (ObLiGaRe):
   custom-designed nuclease-mediated targeted integration through nonhomologous end
   joining. *Genome Res* 23: 539-546.
- Miner BE, De Meester L, Pfrender ME, Lampert W, Hairston NG. 2012. Linking genes to communities and ecosystems: *Daphnia* as an ecogenomic model. *Proc R Soc Biol Sci B* 279: 1873-1882.
- Moreno-Mateos MA, Fernandez JP, Rouet R, Vejnar CE, Lane MA, Mis E, Khokha MK,
   Doudna JA, Giraldez AJ. 2017. CRISPR-Cpf1 mediates efficient homology-directed
   repair and temperature-controlled genome editing. *Nat Commun* 8: 2024.
- Moriya Y, Itoh M, Okuda S, Yoshizawa AC, Kanehisa M. 2007. KAAS: an automatic genome
   annotation and pathway reconstruction server. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35: W182-185.
- Nakanishi T, Kato Y, Matsuura T, Watanabe H. 2016. TALEN-mediated knock-in via non homologous end joining in the crustacean Daphnia magna. *Combining Multiple Hypothesis Sci Rep* 6: 36252.
- Ojima Y. 1958. A cytological study on the development and maturation of the parthenogenetic
  and sexual eggs of *Daphnia pulex*. *Kwansei Gakuin Univ Ann Studies* 6: 123 171.
- Owens DDG, Caulder A, Frontera V, Harman JR, Allan AJ, Bucakci A, Greder L, Codner GF,
   Hublitz P, McHugh PJ et al. 2019. Microhomologies are prevalent at Cas9-induced larger
   deletions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: 7402-7417.
- Parkinson MJ, Lilley DM. 1997. The junction-resolving enzyme T7 endonuclease I: quaternary
   structure and interaction with DNA. *J Mol Biol* 270: 169-178.
- Peippo M, Ignatius J. 2011. Pitt-Hopkins Syndrome. *Pitt-Hopkins Syndrome* **2**: 171-180.
- Rasys AM, Park S, Ball RE, Alcala AJ, Lauderdale JD, Menke DB. 2019. CRISPR-Cas9 Gene
  Editing in Lizards through Microinjection of Unfertilized Oocytes. *Cell Reports* 28: 2288-2292.e2283.
- Riesenberg S, Kanis P, Macak D, Wollny D, Dusterhoft D, Kowalewski J, Helmbrecht N,
   Maricic T, Paabo S. 2023. Efficient high-precision homology-directed repair-dependent
   genome editing by HDRobust. *Nat Methods* 20: 1388-1399.
- 977 Ringrose L. 2009. Transgenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Methods Mol Biol* **561**: 3-19.
- Rivera AS, Pankey MS, Plachetzki DC, Villacorta C, Syme AE, Serb JM, Omilian AR, Oakley
   TH. 2010. Gene duplication and the origins of morphological complexity in
   pancrustacean eyes, a genomic approach. *BMC Evol Biol* 10: 123.
- Rodgers K, McVey M. 2016. Error-Prone Repair of DNA Double-Strand Breaks. *J Cell Physiol* 231: 15-24.
- Schimmel J, Munoz-Subirana N, Kool H, van Schendel R, van der Vlies S, Kamp JA, de Vrij
   FMS, Kushner SA, Smith GCM, Boulton SJ et al. 2023. Modulating mutational outcomes

- and improving precise gene editing at CRISPR-Cas9-induced breaks by chemical
  inhibition of end-joining pathways. *Cell Rep* 42: 112019.
- 987 Sekelsky J. 2017. DNA Repair in Drosophila: Mutagens, Models, and Missing Genes. *Genetics* 988 205: 471-490.
- Sfeir A, Symington LS. 2015. Microhomology-Mediated End Joining: A Back-up Survival
   Mechanism or Dedicated Pathway? *Trends Biochem Sci* 40: 701-714.
- Sharma A, Pham MN, Reyes JB, Chana R, Yim WC, Heu CC, Kim D, Chaverra-Rodriguez D,
  Rasgon JL, Harrell RA et al. 2022. Cas9-mediated gene editing in the black-legged tick, *Ixodes scapularis*, by embryo injection and ReMOT Control. *iScience:*doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.103781.
- Sharon E, Chen SA, Khosla NM, Smith JD, Pritchard JK, Fraser HB. 2018. Functional Genetic
   Variants Revealed by Massively Parallel Precise Genome Editing. *Cell* 175: 544-557
   e516.
- Shaw JR, Colbourne JK, Davey JC, Glaholt SP, Hampton TH, Chen CY, Folt CL, Hamilton JW.
   2007. Gene response profiles for *Daphnia pulex* exposed to the environmental stressor
   cadmium reveals novel crustacean metallothioneins. *BMC Genomics* 8: 477.
- Shin HY, Wang C, Lee HK, Yoo KH, Zeng X, Kuhns T, Yang CM, Mohr T, Liu C,
   Hennighausen L. 2017. CRISPR/Cas9 targeting events cause complex deletions and
   insertions at 17 sites in the mouse genome. *Nat Commun* 8: 15464.
- Shirai Y, Piulachs M-D, Belles X, Daimon T. 2022. DIPA-CRISPR is a simple and accessible
   method for insect gene editing. *Cell Reports Methods* 2: 100215.
- Snyman M, Huynh TV, Smith MT, Xu S. 2021. The genome-wide rate and spectrum of EMS induced heritable mutations in the microcrustacean *Daphnia*: on the prospect of forward
   genetics. *Heredity (Edinb)* 127: 535-545.
- Snyman M, Xu S. 2023. Transcriptomics and the origin of obligate parthenogenesis. *Heredity* 131:119-129.
- Toyota K, Miyagawa S, Ogino Y, Iguchi T. 2016. Microinjection-based RNA interference
   method in the water flea, *Daphnia pulex* and *Daphnia magna*. In *RNA Interference*.
   IntechOpen.
- Trible W, Olivos-Cisneros L, McKenzie SK, Saragosti J, Chang NC, Matthews BJ, Oxley PR,
   Kronauer DJC. 2017. orco Mutagenesis Causes Loss of Antennal Lobe Glomeruli and
   Impaired Social Behavior in Ants. *Cell* **170**: 727-735 e710.
- Wang H, Xu S. 2021. Something old, something new, a DNA preparation procedure for long read genomic sequencing. *Genome* 65: 53-56.
- Wersebe MJ, Weider LJ. 2023. Resurrection genomics provides molecular and phenotypic
   evidence of rapid adaptation to salinization in a keystone aquatic species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 120: e2217276120.
- Xu S, Huynh TV, Snyman M. 2022. The transcriptomic signature of obligate parthenogenesis.
   *Heredity* 128: 132-138.
- Xu S, Omilian AR, Cristescu ME. 2011. High rate of large-scale hemizygous deletions in
   asexually propagating *Daphnia*: omplications for the evolution of sex. *Mol Biol Evol* 28:
   335-342.
- Xu S, Pham TP, Neupane S. 2020. Delivery methods for CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing in crustaceans. *Marine Life Science & Technology* 2:1-5

- Xu S, Spitze K, Ackerman MS, Ye Z, Bright L, Keith N, Jackson CE, Shaw JR, Lynch M. 2015.
   Hybridization and the origin of contagious asexuality in *Daphnia pulex*. *Mol Biol Evol* 32: 3215-3225.
- Ye Z, Pfrender ME, Lynch M. 2023. Evolutionary Genomics of Sister Species Differing in
   Effective Population Sizes and Recombination Rates. *Genome Biol Evol* 15.
- Ye Z, Xu S, Spitze K, Asselman J, Jiang X, Ackerman MS, Lopez J, Harker B, Raborn RT,
  Thomas WK et al. 2017. A new reference genome assembly for the microcrustacean *Daphnia pulex. G3 (Bethesda)* 7: 1405-1416.
- Zaffagnini F, Sabelli B. 1972. Karyologic observations on the maturation of the summer and
   winter eggs of *Daphnia pulex* and *Daphnia middendorffiana*. *Chromosoma* 36: 193-203.

1039

# **Table 1.** Summary of CRISPR-Cas9 knock-out (KO) and knock-in (KI) experiments for thescarlet gene.

				1043	
		No. of	No. of	No. of	
Experiment	No. of injected embryos	hatching embryos	clear-eye Phenotype	mosait <sup>044</sup> phenotype <sub>5</sub>	
	chior y 05	(percentage)	(percentage)	( <b>percentage</b> ) 1046	
КО	80	28 (35.0%)	1 (3.6%)	-	
КО	176	45 (25.6%)	7 (15.6%)	- 1047	
КО	147	67 (45,6%)	1 (1.5%)	- 1048	
КО	63	24 (38.1%)	1 (4.1%)	- 1049	
KO	107	35 (32.7%)	2 (5.7%)	1 (2.9%)	
KO	245	100 (40.8%)	1 (1.0%)	1050 -	
KI	140	80 (57.1%)	1 (1.3%)	_ 105:	
KI	200	117 (58.5%)	4 (3.4%)	3 (2.6%)5;	
KI	235	130 (55.3%)	2 (1.5%)	- 1053	
KI	204	92 (45.1%)	1 (1.1%)	_ 1054	
				105	

1056

1057

**Table 2.** Summary of CRISPR-Cas12 experiments for the scarlet gene.

Experiment	No. of injected	No. of hatching	No. of clear-eye	1062 No. of 1063 mosaic 1064
	embryos	embryos (percentage)	Phenotype (percentage)	phenotype (percent <b>age</b> )
КО	59	28 (47.5%)	0	2 (7.1%)67
КО	75	28 (37.3%)	1 (3.6%)	1068 2 (7.1%) 1069
КО	131	31 (23.7%)	1 (3.2%)	- 1070
КО	93	25 (26.7%)	4 (16%)	- 1071
КО	57	21 (36.8%)	1 (4.7%)	4 (19.0%)72
КО	69	17 (24.6%)	0	2 (11.8 <sup>1073</sup> 1074

1079 **Figure 1.** The cyclically parthenogenetic life cycle in *Daphnia*.

1080



- 1088 Figure 2. (A) The microinjection workflow for CRISPR-Cas9/Cas12 RNP. (B) the schematic
- 1089 locations for the guide RNA targets for Cas9 and Cas12 gene editing. (C) The clear-eye
- 1090 phenotype (red arrow) caused by the knock-out of scarlet gene.





1123

1124

**Figure 3.** Examples of transmission pattern of scarlet knock-out genotype.

1125 Figure 4. Examples of Cas9/Cas12 induced mutations. (A) Short-sequence alignment around the

1126 homozygous 234-bp segmental deletion in mutant KO4. Segmental deletion is inferred because

1127 of the absence of reads (denoted by grey bars). (B) Cas9-induced allele-specific mutations (red)

at target site 2 in mutant KO1. (C) Cas12-induced allele-specific mutations (red) at target site 2

in mutant KO10. (D) Mutants with unexpected, on-target hemizygous deletions.



- 1142 Figure 5. Illustration of the genomic rearrangements accompanied the knock-in of stop codon
- 1143 cassette in the scarlet locus in two mutant lines, KI4 and KI6.



Figure 6. (A) The number of spins observed in scarlet mutants KO2 and KO3 in the 8 days post hatching. (B) The transcript
 abundance of scarlet in wildtype and mutants. (C) Top ranked GO terms from the GO enrichment analysis. Gene ratio is calculated as
 counts divided by the expected number of genes. (D) The Log2fold distribution of differentially expressed genes in the significantly
 enriched KEGG pathways. The height of peak represents the number of differentially expressed genes.



