



# Dazhu Hongjingtian Preparation as Adjuvant Therapy for Unstable Angina Pectoris: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Changfeng Man, Zhe Dai and Yu Fan\*

Institute of Molecular Biology and Translational Medicine, The Affiliated People's Hospital, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Jianxun Liu, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, China

#### Reviewed by:

Fang Lu, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, China Zhong Wang, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, China Michael Heinrich, UCL School of Pharmacy, United Kingdom

> \***Correspondence:** Yu Fan jszjfanyu@163.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 11 February 2019 Accepted: 14 February 2020 Published: 10 March 2020

#### Citation:

Man C, Dai Z and Fan Y (2020) Dazhu Hongjingtian Preparation as Adjuvant Therapy for Unstable Angina Pectoris: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front. Pharmacol. 11:213. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00213 **Objective:** Dazhu hongjingtian [DZHJT, *Rhodiola wallichiana* var. *cholaensis* (Praeger) S.H. Fu] preparation as an add-on therapy has been applied to the treatment of angina pectoris. We aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of DZHJT as adjuvant therapy for the treatment of unstable angina pectoris (UAP).

**Methods:** An extensive literature search was conducted on PubMed, Emase, Cochrane Library, Wanfang, CNKI, and VIP databases from inception to January 2019. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing DZHJT in combination with Western medicine with Western medicine alone were included. Two authors independently performed the literature search, data extraction and risk of bias assessment of included studies, and conducted the statistical analysis.

**Results:** A total of 18 RCTs involving 1,679 patients were included in the meta-analysis. Adjuvant treatment with DZHJT significantly decreased  $\geq$ 80% reduction in the frequency of angina attacks [risk ratio (RR) 1.57; 95% CI 1.36–1.81], weekly frequency of angina attacks [mean difference (MD) –1.03 times; 95% confidence interval (CI) –1.51 to –0.55], marked improved abnormal electrocardiogram (RR 1.46; 95% CI 1.23–1.74). In addition, DZHJT significantly reduced the whole-blood viscosity (MD –0.70 mPa.s; 95% CI –0.84 to –0.55), plasma viscosity (MD –0.28 mPa.s; 95% CI –0.38 to –0.19), serum level of fibrinogen (MD –0.67 g/L; 95% CI –0.79 to –0.54), thromboxanes B2 (MD –14.01 ng/L; 95% CI –20.86 to –7.15), and C-reactive protein (MD –1.48 mg/L; 95% CI –2.72 to –0.25). No significant differences in headache/dizziness (RR 0.72; 95% CI 0.31–1.67) were observed between two groups.

**Conclusion:** Adjuvant treatment with DZHJT has an add-on effect in reducing angina pectoris attacks in patients with UAP. The beneficial effect may be correlated with regulating whole-blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, fibrinogen, thromboxanes B2, and CRP level. However, future well-designed prospective, randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled trials with large sample sizes are required to evaluate the evidence.

Keywords: Dazhu hongjingtian, Rhodiola wallichiana, unstable angina pectoris, angina attacks, blood rheology, meta-analysis

1

# INTRODUCTION

Angina pectoris is a symptomatic condition characterized by chest pain attacks. It is clinically classified into stable angina pectoris (SAP) and unstable angina pectoris (UAP). UAP is a type of acute coronary syndrome characterized by an attack at rest and severe, prolonged, and frequent or newly developed angina pectoris (Basra et al., 2016). The population weighted prevalence of UAP is 5.7% in men and 6.7% in women (Hemingway et al., 2008). UAP is associated with higher risk of acute myocardial infarction and sudden death. The current therapeutic strategy of angina pectoris mainly includes anti-ischemia, anti-thrombosis, and anti-platelet or revascularization procedures (Parikh and Kadowitz, 2014; Silva et al., 2015).

Dazhu hongjingtian (DZHJT)/Rhodiola wallichiana var. cholaensis [Praeger] S.H. Fu (R. wallichiana var.) has been frequently introduced to patients with angina pectoris in China (Fan et al., 2005). R. wallichiana var. is used for preparing DZHJT injection/capsule preparation, extracted from the root and rhizome. These preparations (detailed information of DZHJT is provided in Supplemental Text S1) have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration of China. Cardiovascular effects of DZHJT have been described in the dilation of cardiac vessels and reduction of myocardial oxygen consumption (Zhang et al., 2005). In addition, DZHJT also has anti-inflammatory activity (Choe et al., 2012), anti-diabetic effect (Gao et al., 2009), and sedative-hypnotic property (Li et al., 2007). Clinically, DZHJT is mainly used to treat angina pectoris (Jiang and Pan, 2012). A previous well-designed meta-analysis (Chu et al., 2014) has demonstrated the beneficial effects of DZHJT in SAP patients. Several clinical studies (Yu et al., 2011; Chen, 2013; Zhang, 2013; Cao et al., 2014; Jia and Wang, 2014; Li and Zhao, 2014; Shen et al., 2014) have investigated the add-on effects of the DZHJT in patients with UAP, but the findings were limited by small sample sizes and varying study quality. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCT) to assess the efficacy and safety of DZHJT as adjuvant therapy for patients with UAP.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Literature Search

We conducted this meta-analysis following the checklists of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Guidelines (Liberati et al., 2009). This metaanalysis was registered in the PROSPERO international database of prospectively registered systematic reviews (PROSPERO CRD42018111885). Two authors systematically searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, China Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Wanfang Database and from inception to January 2019. The searching items for English medical literature were "unstable angina pectoris" OR "angina" OR "acute coronary syndrome" AND "rhodiola" OR "hong jing tian" OR "hongjingtian" AND "randomized controlled trial" OR "randomized" OR "randomized." Chinese searching terms included "bù wěn ding xíng xin jiǎo tòng" OR "unstable angina pectoris" AND "hóng jing tiān" OR "rhodiola" AND "suí ji" AND "duìzhào." A manual search was performed using the reference lists of relevant articles.

#### **Study Selection**

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) study design was RCT; (2) patients diagnosed with UAP according to the guideline of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association (ACCF/AHA) (Braunwald et al., 2000), World Health Organization (Organization, 1979), European Society of Cardiology (ESC) (Fox et al., 2006) or Chinese Society of Cardiology (CSC) (Cardiology, 2000); (3) DZHJT in combination with conventional Western medicine vs. Western medicine alone; and (4) primary outcomes were >80% reduction in frequency of angina attacks weekly and marked improvement of abnormal electrocardiogram (restore normal or nearly normal defined by at least 0.05 mv restoration at ST segment). The secondary outcomes were the whole-blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, fibrinogen, thromboxanes B2, or C-reactive protein (CRP) and adverse events. Articles were excluded when: (1) diagnostic criteria for UAP were not specified; (2) patients have SAP; (3) combined application of DZHJT with other Chinese herbs as intervention.

## **Data Extraction and Quality Assessment**

For the included trials, two authors independently extracted the data and assessed the methodological quality. Any disagreements in this process were resolved by discussion. The extracted data included the last name of the first author, year of publication, sample size, patients' age, diagnostic criteria, interventions (dose of DZHJT and course of treatment), outcome measures, and methodological information. We evaluated the methodological quality of the included trials according to the Cochrane risk of bias tool, which included selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias, and other sources of bias. Each trial was categorized by "high," "unclear," or "low" risk of bias.

# **Statistical Analysis**

The RevMan 5.2 software was used for the meta-analysis. We summarized as the risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for dichotomous outcomes or mean difference (MD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes. The Cochrane Q statistic and  $I^2$  index were applied to the analysis of heterogeneity across the studies. A random effect meta-analysis was conducted when the *p*-value of Cochrane Q statistic test is <0.10 and  $I^2$  >50%. Otherwise, we pooled the data by using a fixed-effect model. We used a funnel plot to examine the possible publication bias when the number of trials was sufficient. Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was conducted to test the stability of the pooling results.

# RESULTS

# Search Results and Study Characteristics

In brief, our initial literature search yielded 615 potentially relevant articles. After screening the titles and abstracts, we retrieved 54 full-text articles for detailed evaluation. We further



removed 36 articles on the basis of our predefined inclusion criteria. Thus, 18 articles (Yu et al., 2011; Chen, 2013; Zhang, 2013; Cao et al., 2014; Jia and Wang, 2014; Li and Zhao, 2014; Shen et al., 2014; Liu and Jiang, 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Weng et al., 2015; Zhai et al., 2015; Qin and Gao, 2016; Zhang and Lu, 2016; Du, 2017; Li, 2017; Li and Cheng, 2018; Wang and Yang, 2018; Zhang et al., 2018) were finally included in the meta-analysis (Figure 1).

The main characteristics of the included trials are summarized in **Table 1**. A total of 1,679 patients with UAP were identified with eligible trials. All of the selected trials were published in Chinese medical databases from 2011 to 2019. Two trials (Shen et al., 2014; Liu and Jiang, 2015) used DZHJT capsule as intervention, and the others used DZHJT injection. The duration of intervention ranged from 10 days to 8 weeks. Main conventional Western medicines referred to treatment included aspirin, nitrates,  $\beta$ -blockers, calcium channel blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, low molecular weight heparin, and lipid-lowering

agents. All of the 18 trials indicated randomization, but only 4 trials (Chen, 2013; Liu and Jiang, 2015; Zhai et al., 2015; Zhang and Lu, 2016) described the detailed method of randomization. None of the trials reported the allocation concealment, dropout or withdrawal. **Figure S1** shows the detailed methodological quality of the included trials.

# **Frequency of Angina Attacks**

A total of 13 trials (Yu et al., 2011; Chen, 2013; Zhang, 2013; Cao et al., 2014; Jia and Wang, 2014; Liu and Jiang, 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Zhai et al., 2015; Zhang and Lu, 2016; Du, 2017; Li, 2017; Li and Cheng, 2018; Wang and Yang, 2018) selected  $\geq$ 80% reduction in frequency of angina attacks as an outcome. As shown in **Figure 2A**, a fixed-effect model was applied because no heterogeneity was observed across trials ( $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.63). Meta-analysis showed that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT significantly reduced the  $\geq$ 80% reduction in frequency of angina attacks (RR 1.57; 95% CI 1.36–1.81). When we removed one trial (Yu et al., 2011) enrolling patients with age of more than

#### TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of the included trials.

Study/year	No. patients DZHJT/Con	Age (years) DZHJT/Con	Diagnostic criteria	Main i	intervention	Treatment course	Outcome measures
				DZHJT group	Control group		
Yu et al. (2011)	34/30	80–92	2000 CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, trimetazidine, isosorbide dinitrate, and symptomatic treatment.	10 days	1+8
Zhang (2013)	42/41	58.72 ± 12.86/ 60.72 ± 11.56	ESC	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, rosuvastatin, β-blockers, CCBs, and nitrates.	10 days	1 + 3 + 4 + 8 + 8
Chen (2013)	30/30	61–84	2000 CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, atorvastatin, β-blockers, nitrates, and ACEIs.	14 days	1+2+3+8
Li and Zhao (2014)	40/40	$57.5 \pm 5.6/$ $58.1 \pm 5.2$	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	β-blockers, ACEls/ARBs, nitrates, CCBs, and LMWH	15 days	3 + 4 + 5 + 6
Cao et al. (2014)	46/46	62–80	1979 WHO	DZHJT 20 ml/d, iv drop + control	ACEIs, β-blockers, antiplatelet, and lipid-lowering agents	14 days	Ð
Shen et al. (2014)	46/46	$57.2 \pm 8.1/$ $58.2 \pm 8.8$	CBCMA	DZHJT capsule 5.56 g/d, po + control	Aspirin, metoprolol, enalapril, atorvastatin, and nitrates	8 weeks	2 + 3 + 5 + 6 + 7
Jia and Wang (2014)	45/42	35–76	2000 CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, statins, β-blockers, nitrates	10 days	1+3
Liu and Jiang (2015)	40/40	$56 \pm 3/$ 56 ± 4	CBCMA	DZHJT capsule 2.28 g/d, po + gf control	Aspirin, isosorbide dinitrate, and clopidogrel	8 weeks	1+4+6
Weng et al. (2015)	61/62	$66 \pm 6/$ $66 \pm 8$	2007 ACC/AHA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, clopidogrel, nitrates, statins, and creatine phosphate sodium	10 days	2+3+5+8
Zhai et al. (2015)	40/40	$64.8 \pm 2.3/$ $60.2 \pm 3.2$	WHO	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, β-blockers, nitrates, statins, and creatine phosphate sodium	10 days	1 + 2 + 8
Wang et al. (2015)	40/40	39–75	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, atorvastatin, clopidogrel, metoprolol, isosorbide dinitrate, LMWH	14 days	2+3
Zhang and Lu (2016)	27/27	$60 \pm 7/$ $60 \pm 8$	2000 CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, $\beta$ -blockers, nitrates, statins, and clopidogrel	14 days	(1 + 2 + 4 + 6)
Qin and Gao (2016)	42/42	52–82	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, β-blockers, ACEls/ARBs, nitrates, CCBs, and LMWH	10 days	8
Du (2017)	40/40	$70.45 \pm 9.83/$ $71.02 \pm 9.79$	2000 CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Aspirin, trimetazidine, isosorbide dinitrate, and symptomatic treatment.	10 days	1

(Continued)

Frontiers in Pharmacology | www.frontiersin.org

Study/year	No. patients DZHJT/Con	Age (years) DZHJT/Con	Diagnostic criteria	Main ir	itervention	Treatment course	Outcome measures
				DZHJT group	Control group		
Li (2017)	39/39	57.75 ± 6.21/ 57.83 ± 6.07	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 m/d, iv drop + control	Isosorbide dinitrate, statins, clopidogrel	14 days	© + © + © + ©
Zhang et al. (2018)	63/63	60.3 ± 6.7	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	Anticoagulation, antiplatelet, antiischemia, salvianolate	14 days	(4) + (6) + (8)
Li and Cheng (2018)	38/38	58.21 ± 7.61/ 57.90 ± 7.04	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop + control	β-blockers, antiplatelet, nitrates, CCBs	28 days	© + ©
Wang and Yang (2018)	130/130	49.3 ± 11.9/ 52.6 ± 10.3	CBCMA	DZHJT 10 ml/d, iv drop +control	Isosorbide dinitrate, statins, antiplatelet, and symptomatic treatment.	14 days	(6) + (0)

80 years, the pooled RR of  $\geq$ 80% reduction in frequency of angina attacks was 1.52 (95% CI 1.31-1.76) in a fixed-effect model. Visual inspection of the funnel plot showed no evidence of publication bias (Figure S2). Five trials (Chen, 2013; Shen et al., 2014; Weng et al., 2015; Zhang and Lu, 2016; Li, 2017) reported the weekly frequency of angina attacks as an outcome measure. As shown in Figure 2B, a random effect model meta-analysis showed that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT was associated with a reduced weekly frequency of angina attacks [MD -1.03times; 95% confidence interval (CI) -1.51 to -0.88;  $I^2 = 84\%$ , p < 0.001]. Abnormal Electrocardiogram Nine trials (Chen, 2013; Zhang, 2013; Jia and Wang, 2014; Li and Zhao, 2014; Shen et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Weng et al., 2015; Li, 2017; Wang and Yang, 2018) reported marked improvement of abnormal electrocardiogram as an outcome. As shown in Figure 3, a fixed-effect model meta-analysis indicated that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT was associated with marked improvement of abnormal electrocardiogram (RR 1.46; 95% CI 1.23–1.74;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.93). No evidence of publication bias was observed based on the visual inspection of the funnel plot (Figure S3).

B2; ®

viscosity; © plasma viscosity; @ fibrinogen; © Thromboxanes

abnormal

marked improvement of

frequency of angina attacks; ③

weekly

0

attacks;

≥80% reduction in frequency of angina

Θ

adverse events.

# Serum Fibrinogen, Whole-Blood Viscosity, and Plasma Viscosity

As shown in **Figure 4A**, a fixed-effect model meta-analysis of five trials (Zhang, 2013; Li and Zhao, 2014; Liu and Jiang, 2015; Zhang and Lu, 2016; Zhang et al., 2018) indicated that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT significantly reduced serum fibrinogen level (MD -0.67 g/L; 95% CI -0.79 to-0.54;  $I^2 = 26\%$ , p = 0.25). As shown in **Figures 4B**,**C**, a random effect model meta-analysis showed that whole-blood viscosity (MD -0.78 mPa.s; 95% CI -1.14 to -0.41;  $I^2 = 76\%$ , p = 0.006); four trials (Zhang, 2013; Li and Zhao, 2014; Liu and Jiang, 2015; Zhang et al., 2018) and plasma viscosity (MD -0.28 mPa.s; 95% CI -0.38 to -0.19;  $I^2 = 80\%$ , p = 0.002); four trials (Zhang, 2013; Li and Zhao, 2014; Weng et al., 2015) were significantly reduced in the DZHJT combined with Western medicine group.

#### Serum Thromboxanes B2 and CRP Level

As shown in **Figure 5A**, a random effect model meta-analysis of three trials showed that DZHJT in combination with conventional Western medicine significantly decreased serum thromboxanes B2 level (MD –14.01 ng/L; 95% CI –20.86 to –7.15;  $I^2 = 74\%$ , p = 0.02); 3 trials (Shen et al., 2014; Li, 2017; Li and Cheng, 2018) compared with Western medicine alone. Moreover, **Figure 5B** shows that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT also significantly reduced serum CRP level (MD –1.48 mg/L; 95% CI –2.72 to –0.25;  $I^2 = 94\%$ , p < 0.001); three trials (Wang et al., 2015; Weng et al., 2015; Li, 2017) in a random effect model.

#### **Adverse Events**

Five trials (Yu et al., 2011; Weng et al., 2015; Zhai et al., 2015; Qin and Gao, 2016; Zhang et al., 2018) described the

		D7H.I	т	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
	Study or Subaroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl	Year	M-H. Fixed, 95% CI
-	Yu DW	26	34	9	30	5.3%	2.55 [1.43, 4.54]	2011	
	Zhang YJ	24	42	14	42	7.8%	1.71 [1.04, 2.83]	2013	
	Chen LF	13	30	11	30	6.1%	1.18 [0.63, 2.20]	2013	
	Cao HK	11	46	7	46	3.9%	1.57 [0.67, 3.69]	2014	
	Jia HJ	19	45	11	42	6.3%	1.61 [0.87, 2.97]	2014	
	Wang YK	24	40	21	40	11.7%	1.14 [0.77, 1.69]	2015	
	Zhai P	18	40	11	40	6.1%	1.64 [0.89, 3.01]	2015	
	Liu WP	23	40	16	40	8.9%	1.44 [0.90, 2.29]	2015	
	Zhang L	18	27	10	27	5.6%	1.80 [1.03, 3.15]	2016	
	Li BH	29	39	20	39	11.1%	1.45 [1.01, 2.07]	2017	
	Du D	30	40	13	40	7.2%	2.31 [1.43, 3.73]	2017	
	Wang HY	27	130	19	130	10.6%	1.42 [0.83, 2.42]	2018	
	LiJL	23	38	17	38	9.4%	1.35 [0.87, 2.09]	2018	<b>—</b>
	Total (95% CI)		591		584	100.0%	1.57 [1.36, 1.81]		•
	Total events	285		179					
	Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	9.82, df=	12 (P :	= 0.63); P	= 0%				
	Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.25 (	P < 0.0	0001)					0.5 0.7 1 1.5 Z

#### B Weekly frequency of angina attacks



FIGURE 2 | Forest plots showing comparison of ≥80% reduction in frequency of angina attacks (A) and weekly frequency of angina attacks (B) in patients with or without DZHJT treatment.

Ct. 1 C. 1	E		E	T			10	Mult Fine d OFM OI
Study or Subgroup	Events	lotal	Events	lotal	weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Year	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Zhang YJ	10	42	4	41	3.2%	2.44 [0.83, 7.16]	2013	
Chen LF	13	30	11	30	8.6%	1.18 [0.63, 2.20]	2013	
Li R	14	40	11	40	8.6%	1.27 [0.66, 2.45]	2014	
Shen GM	21	46	16	46	12.5%	1.31 [0.79, 2.18]	2014	
Jia HJ	17	45	9	42	7.3%	1.76 [0.88, 3.51]	2014	
Weng XQ	32	61	21	62	16.2%	1.55 [1.02, 2.36]	2015	
Wang YK	25	40	20	40	15.6%	1.25 [0.84, 1.85]	2015	
Li BH	31	39	22	39	17.2%	1.41 [1.02, 1.94]	2017	
Wang HY	25	130	14	130	10.9%	1.79 [0.97, 3.28]	2018	
Total (95% CI)		473		470	100.0%	1.46 [1.23, 1.74]		•
Total events	188		128					
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.10, df=	8 (P =	0.93); I <sup>2</sup> =	= 0%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.30	(P < 0.0	001)					Favours control Favours DZHJT

adverse events. The common adverse events were headache and dizziness. No severe adverse events were reported. The incidences of headache and dizziness was 3.75 and 5.06%, respectively. As shown in **Figure 6**, no significant differences were found in headache and dizziness (RR 0.72; 95% CI 0.31–1.67;  $I^2 = 0\%$ , p = 0.60) between two groups. When

A Serum fibring	ogen	DZH.IT			ontro	r		Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subaroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed. 95% CI	Year	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Zhang YJ	3.67	0.6	42	4.14	0.63	41	23.0%	-0.47 [-0.73, -0.21]	2013	
LiR	2.97	0.86	40	3.76	0.94	40	10.3%	-0.79[-1.18, -0.40]	2014	
Liu WP	2.9	0.9	40	3.8	0.9	40	10.4%	-0.90 [-1.29, -0.51]	2015	
Zhang L	3.16	0.39	27	3.75	0.43	27	33.6%	-0.59 [-0.81, -0.37]	2016	
Zhang AP	3.36	0.65	63	4.17	0.86	63	22.7%	-0.81 [-1.08, -0.54]	2018	_ <b>_</b>
2										
Total (95% CI)			212			211	100.0%	-0.67 [-0.79, -0.54]		◆
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 5.42, d	f= 4 (F	9 = 0.25	5); I <sup>2</sup> = 2	6%					
Test for overall effect	t: Z = 10.	27 (P •	< 0.000	01)						Favours DZH IT. Favours control
B Whole-blood	VISCOSI	ty								
	D	ZHJT		C	ontrol			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Zhang YJ	4.4	0.37	42	4.99	0.44	41	32.0%	-0.59 [-0.77, -0.41]	2013	-
LIR	4.06	1.09	40	4.76	1.15	40	21.3%	-0.70 [-1.19, -0.21]	2014	
Liu WP	4.2	1.1	40	4.7	1.1	40	21.6%	-0.50 [-0.98, -0.02]	2015	
Zhang AP	4.46	1.02	63	5.78	1.16	63	25.1%	-1.32 [-1.70, -0.94]	2018	
Total (95% CI)			185			184	100.0%	-0.78 [-1.14, -0.41]		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.10; C	$hi^2 = 12$	2.32, df	= 3 (P =	= 0.008	5); l² = 7	6%			-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1
Test for overall effect	: Z = 4.16	6 (P < 0	.0001)							Favours DZHJT Favours control
C. Plasma viscos	ity									
	D	7H.IT		Co	ntrol			Mean Difference		Mean Difference
Study or Subaroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% CI	Year	IV. Random, 95% Cl
Zhang YJ	1.68	0.2	42	2.01	0.16	41	26.0%	-0.33 [-0.41, -0.25]	2013	-
Shen GM	2.24	0.25	46	2.65	0.27	46	22.3%	-0.41 [-0.52, -0.30]	2014	<b></b>
LiR	1.32	0.21	40	1.51	0.29	40	21.7%	-0.19 [-0.30, -0.08]	2014	_ <b>_</b>
Weng XQ	1.43	0.14	61	1.65	0.11	62	29.9%	-0.22 [-0.26, -0.18]	2015	-
Total (95% CI)			189			189	100.0%	-0.28 [-0.38, -0.19]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.01; Cł	ni² = 15	.37, df	= 3 (P =	0.002	); l² = 8	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 6.16	(P < 0.	00001	)						-0.5 -0.25 U U.25 U.5
										Favours DZHJT Favours control

FIGURE 4 | Forest plots showing comparison of the serum fibrinogen (A), whole-blood viscosity (B), and plasma viscosity (C) in patients with or without DZHJT treatment.

	D	ZHJT		(	Control			Mean Difference		Mea	an Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95%	6 CI Ye	ar IV, Ra	andom, 95% Cl
Shen GM	84.7	7.6	46	93.5	8.4	46	41.5%	-8.80 [-12.07, -5.	53] 20	14 -	-
Li BH	53.25	17.49	39	71.36	19.41	39	27.7%	-18.11 [-26.31, -9	91] 20	17 🗕 🗕	
LiJL	53.16	15.22	38	70.49	16.18	38	30.8%	-17.33 [-24.39, -10	27] 20	18 -	
Total (95% CI)			123			123	100.0%	-14.01 [-20.86, -7.	15]	-	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	26.69; C	hi² = 7	.69, df	= 2 (P =	0.02); F	2 = 74%	6				
Toot for overall offect		(D )								-20 -1	0 0 10 20
restion overall effect.	Z = 4.01	(P < U.	0001)							Favours D7	HIT Favours control
restion overall ellect.	Z = 4.U1	(P < U.	0001)							Favours DZ	HJT Favours control
B C-reactive prote	Z = 4.01 ein	(P < U. ZHJT	0001)	Co	ontrol			Mean Difference		Favours DZ Mean Di	HJT Favours control
3 C-reactive prote Study or Subgroup	z = 4.01 ein D Mean	(P < U. Zhjt Sd	Total	Co Mean	ontrol SD	otal \	Weight I	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	Favours DZ Mean Di IV, Rando	HJT Favours control fference om, 95% Cl
C-reactive prote <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Weng XQ	2 = 4.01 ein D <u>Mean</u> 3.56	(P < 0. ZHJT <u>SD</u> 0.93	0001) <u>Total</u> 61	Co <u>Mean</u> 4.01	ontrol SD 0.87	<u>fotal 1</u> 62	<u>Weight</u> 34.8%	Mean Difference <u>V. Random, 95% CI</u> -0.45 (-0.77, -0.13)	<u>Year</u> 2015	Favours DZ Mean Di IV, Rando	HJT Favours control fference om, 95% Cl
C-reactive prote <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Weng XQ Wang YK	2 = 4.01 ein D <u>Mean</u> 3.56 3.2	(P < 0. ZHJT <u>SD</u> 0.93 1.19	0001) Total 61 40	Co <u>Mean</u> 4.01 5.48	0.87 1.53	<u>fotal 1</u> 62 40	<u>Weight 1</u> 34.8% 32.8%	Mean Difference <u>V, Random, 95% Cl</u> -0.45 [-0.77, -0.13] -2.28 [-2.88, -1.68]	Year 2015 2015	Favours DZ Mean Di IV, Rando	HJT Favours control fference om, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Weng XQ Wang YK Li BH	Z = 4.01 ein <u>Mean</u> 3.56 3.2 4.74	(P < 0. ZHJT <u>SD</u> 0.93 1.19 1.18	0001) Total 61 40 39	Co <u>Mean</u> 4.01 5.48 6.53	0.87 0.87 1.53 1.71	<u>fotal</u> 62 40 39	<u>Weight</u> 34.8% 32.8% 32.4%	Mean Difference V. Random, 95% CI -0.45 [-0.77, -0.13] -2.28 [-2.88, -1.68] -1.79 [-2.44, -1.14]	Year 2015 2015 2017	Favours DZ Mean Di IV, Rando 	HJT Favours control fference m, 95% Cl
C-reactive prote <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Weng XQ Wang YK Li BH Total (95% CI)	Z = 4.01 ein <u>Mean</u> 3.56 3.2 4.74	(P < 0. ZHJT <u>SD</u> 0.93 1.19 1.18	<u>Total</u> 61 40 39 <b>140</b>	Co <u>Mean</u> 4.01 5.48 6.53	ontrol SD 7 0.87 1.53 1.71	<u>fotal</u> 62 40 39 141	<u>Weight</u> 34.8% 32.8% 32.4% 100.0%	Mean Difference <u>V. Random, 95% CI</u> -0.45 [-0.77, -0.13] -2.28 [-2.88, -1.68] -1.79 [-2.44, -1.14] -1.48 [-2.72, -0.25]	Year 2015 2015 2017	Favours DZ Mean Di IV, Rando	HJT Favours control fference om, 95% Cl
C-reactive prote <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Weng XQ     Wang YK     Li BH     Total (95% CI)     Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	Z = 4.01 ein <u>Mean</u> 3.56 3.2 4.74 = 1.11; C	(P < 0. ZHJT <u>SD</u> 0.93 1.19 1.18 hi <sup>2</sup> = 3 <sup>4</sup>	Total 61 40 39 140 4.55, df	Co <u>Mean</u> 4.01 5.48 6.53	0.87 0.87 1.53 1.71	<u>fotal</u> 62 40 39 <b>141</b> )1); I² =	<u>Weight</u> 34.8% 32.8% 32.4% 100.0% 94%	Mean Difference <u>V. Random, 95% CI</u> -0.45 [-0.77, -0.13] -2.28 [-2.88, -1.68] -1.79 [-2.44, -1.14] -1.48 [-2.72, -0.25]	Year 2015 2015 2017	Favours DZ	HJT Favours control
C-reactive prote <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Weng XQ     Wang YK     Li BH     Total (95% CI)     Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =     Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.01 ein <u>D</u> <u>Mean</u> 3.56 3.2 4.74 = 1.11; C : Z = 2.36	(P < 0. ZHJT <u>SD</u> 0.93 1.19 1.18 hi <sup>2</sup> = 34 i (P = 0	Total 61 40 39 140 4.55, df	Co <u>Mean</u> 4.01 5.48 6.53	ontrol SD 0.87 1.53 1.71	<u>fotal</u> 62 40 39 <b>141</b> )1); I² =	₩eight 1 34.8% 32.8% 32.4% 100.0% 94%	Mean Difference <u>V, Random, 95% CI</u> -0.45 [-0.77, -0.13] -2.28 [-2.88, -1.68] -1.79 [-2.44, -1.14] -1.48 [-2.72, -0.25]	Year 2015 2015 2017	Favours DZ	HJT Favours control



we excluded one trial (Yu et al., 2011) enrolling patients with age of more than 80 years old, the pooled RR of headache and dizziness was 0.56 (95% CI 0.19–1.63) in a fixed-effect model.

# DISCUSSION

The main findings of this meta-analysis suggested that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT significantly reduced the frequency of angina attacks and restored the abnormal electrocardiogram. Moreover, whole-blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, fibrinogen, thromboxanes B2, and CRP levels were significantly lower after DZHJT in combination with Western medicine treatment compared with conventional Western medicine alone.

Haemostatic parameters mainly include fibrinogen level, whole-blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, and hematocrit. These haemostatic parameters are elevated in patients with UAP (Neumann et al., 1991). Whole-blood viscosity represents the frictional resistance of blood flow on the intimal wall of blood vessels. Fibrinogen plays a major determinant in platelet aggregation and blood viscosity, whereas increased whole-blood viscosity may lead to high shear forces at the vascular endothelium, contributing to plaque instability (Cowan et al., 2012). Elevated haemorheological parameters correlate with the increased risk of cardiovascular events (Di Minno and Mancini, 1990; Lowe et al., 1997; Marton et al., 2003). DZHJT has the action of removing stasis and stopping bleeding. Therefore, it can reduce the high blood viscosity associated with blood stagnation. Our metaanalysis indicated that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT significantly decreased the whole-blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, fibrinogen, and thromboxanes B2 level. DZHJT significantly reduced serum CRP level. In summary, the beneficial effect of DZHJT in patients with UAP may correlate with the capability to normalize blood rheology and reduce the inflammatory reaction. However, whether DZHJT can decrease the development of coronary artery disease requires further investigation.

Most of the included trials did not select adverse events as outcome measures. None of the included trials reported severe adverse events. Headache and dizziness were the most frequently reported adverse events among these included trials. Headache may be more closely correlated with the use of nitrates (Thadani and Rodgers, 2006). Nevertheless, our pooled results revealed no significant differences in headache and dizziness between two groups. The possible adverse events associated with DZHJT use require further monitoring.

Several limitations in this meta-analysis must be noted. Firstly, the overall methodological quality of the included trials was suboptimal. All the included trials were generally of small sample size and none of the trials mentioned the sample size calculation, allocation concealment, and withdrawal/dropout or adopted the blinded, placebo controlled designs. Secondly, Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) is a holistic system of medicine. However, most of the included trials did not consider syndrome differentiation in patient selection. TCM syndrome differentiation must be incorporated into the diagnostic process and DZHJT is suitable for blood stagnation syndrome. Thirdly, generalizing the current findings to patients with SAP must be with caution. Finally, the included trials did not report the long-term follow-up results, and whether adjuvant treatment with DZHIT can reduce the risk of future cardiovascular events is unknown.

# CONCLUSIONS

This meta-analysis suggests that adjuvant treatment with DZHJT has an add-on effect in reducing the frequency of angina pectoris attacks among patients with UAP. The beneficial effect of DZHJT may be correlated with its function to regulate whole-blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, fibrinogen, thromboxanes B2 and CRP level. However, based on the existing evidence, no conclusion about the therapeutic benefits, limitations of use and potential risks can be drawn. Future well-designed prospective, randomized, double-blind placebocontrolled trials with large sample sizes are required to evaluate the evidence.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CM and ZD made the literature search, extracted data, evaluated the study quality, and performed the statistical analysis. CM drafted the manuscript. YF designed the study, interpreted the results, and revised the manuscript.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Key&D Special Fund (BE2015666).

#### REFERENCES

- Basra, S. S., Virani, S. S., Paniagua, D., Kar, B., and Jneid, H. (2016). Acute coronary syndromes: unstable angina and non-ST elevation myocardial infarction. *Heart Fail. Clin.* 12, 31–48. doi: 10.1016/j.hfc.2015.08.004
- Braunwald, E., Antman, E. M., Beasley, J. W., Califf, R. M., Cheitlin, M. D., Hochman, J. S., et al. (2000). ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: executive summary and recommendations. A report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on practice guidelines (committee on the management of patients with unstable angina). *Circulation* 102, 1193–1209. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.102.10.1193
- Cao, H. K., Zhang, Y. K., Fu, W., and Yang, K. (2014). Effect of Dazhu hongjingtian Injection on unstable angina pectoris in the elderly patients. *Med. Infor.* 27, 272–273. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1006-1959.2014.30.423
- Cardiology, C. S. O. (2000). Recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of unstable angina pectoris. *Chin. J. Cardiol.* 28, 409–412. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1000-3614.2001.03.033
- Chen, L. F. (2013). Treatment of 30 cases of elderly unstable angina pectoris by integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine. *Fujian J. TCM* 44, 27–28. doi: 10.13260/j.cnki.jfjtcm.011391
- Choe, K. I., Kwon, J. H., Park, K. H., Oh, M. H., Kim, M. H., Kim, H. H., et al. (2012). The antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of phenolic compounds isolated from the root of Rhodiola sachalinensis A. BOR. Mol. 17, 11484–11494. doi: 10.3390/molecules171011484
- Chu, J. F., Wu, G. W., Zheng, G. H., Zheng, F., Xu, J. F., Peng, J., et al. (2014). A systematic review of randomized controlled trials on treating chronic stable angina pectoris by Rhodiola. *Chin. J. Integr. Trad. West Med.* 34, 940–946. doi: 10.7661/CJIM.2014.08.0940
- Cowan, A. Q., Cho, D. J., and Rosenson, R. S. (2012). Importance of blood rheology in the pathophysiology of atherothrombosis. *Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther.* 26, 339–348. doi: 10.1007/s10557-012-6402-4
- Di Minno, G., and Mancini, M. (1990). Measuring plasma fibrinogen to predict stroke and myocardial infarction. *Arteriosclerosis* 10, 1–7. doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.10.1.1
- Du, D. (2017). Effects of dazhu hongjingtian injection on QT dispersion and heart rate variability in elderly patients with unstable angina pectoris. Mod. J. Int. Trad. Chin. Western Med. 26, 723–725. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-8849.2017.07.013
- Fan, J. M., Wang, S. F., Qing, H., Zhai, L. H., Mao, J. Y., and Sun, L. J. (2005). Efficacy of rhodiola injection treatment for 233 cases of coronary heart disease associated with angina pectoris (blood-stasis syndrome): a multicenter randomized, double- blind, positive controlled trial. *TCM Res.* 18, 26–28. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1001-6910.2005.10.017
- Fox, K., Garcia, M. A., Ardissino, D., Buszman, P., Camici, P. G., Crea, F., et al. (2006). Guidelines on the management of stable angina pectoris: executive summary: the task force on the management of stable angina pectoris of the European society of cardiology. *Eur. Heart J.* 27, 1341–1381. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehl001

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2020.00213/full#supplementary-material

Supplemental Text S1 | The detailed information of DZHJT.

Figure S1 | Risk of bias graph (A) and risk of bias summary (B).

Figure S2 | Funnel plots of trial reporting  $\geq$ 80% reduction in frequency of angina attacks.

Figure S3 | Funnel plots of trial reporting marked improvement of abnormal electrocardiogram.

- Gao, D., Li, Q., Liu, Z., Feng, J., Li, J., Han, Z., et al. (2009). Antidiabetic potential of *Rhodiola sachalinensis* root extract in streptozotocininduced diabetic rats. *Methods Find. Exp. Clin. Pharmacol.* 31, 375–381. doi: 10.1358/mf.2009.31.6.1380457
- Hemingway, H., Langenberg, C., Damant, J., Frost, C., Pyorala, K., and Barrett-Connor, E. (2008). Prevalence of angina in women versus men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of international variations across 31 countries. *Circulation* 117, 1526–1536. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.720953
- Jia, H. J., and Wang, G. T. (2014). Effect of dazhu hongjingtian injection on the treatment of unstable angina pectoris and serum level of SOD and MDA. *Heilongjiang Med. J.* 38, 640–641. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1004-5775.2014.06.012
- Jiang, H., and Pan, T. (2012). Research progress of Rhodiola in prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases. *Chin. J. Int. Med. Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Dis.* 10, 341–342. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-1349.2012.03.045
- Li, B. H. (2017). Therapeutic effect of dazhu hongjingtian injection combined with clopidogrel on unstable angina pectoris and its effect on platelet function. *Clin. Med.* 37, 99–100. doi: 10.19528/j.issn.1003-3548.2017.10.050
- Li, J. L., and Cheng, H. T. (2018). Curative effect of sofren injection on unstable angina pectoris and its influence on platelet function. *Chin J. Heart. Heart Rhythm* 6, 65–67. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.09.007
- Li, R., and Zhao, L. (2014). Sofren injection for the treatment of unstable angina pectoris and its influence on hemorheology. *Mod. Dist. Educ. Chin. Med.* 12, 50–51. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-2779.2014.21.028
- Li, T., Xu, G., Wu, L., and Sun, C. (2007). Pharmacological studies on the sedative and hypnotic effect of salidroside from the Chinese medicinal plant rhodiola sachalinensis. *Phytomedicine* 14, 601–604. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2006.12.016
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gotzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., et al. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 62, e1–34. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.006
- Liu, W. P., and Jiang, C. H. (2015). Rhodiola crenulate capsule on thrombus formation in patients with unstable angina pectoris. *China J. Pharmaceutical Econ.* 10, 36–37.
- Lowe, G. D., Lee, A. J., Rumley, A., Price, J. F., and Fowkes, F. G. (1997). Blood viscosity and risk of cardiovascular events: the Edinburgh artery study. *Br. J. Haematol.* 96, 168–173. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.1997.8532481.x
- Marton, Z., Horvath, B., Alexy, T., Kesmarky, G., Gyevnar, Z., Czopf, L., et al. (2003). Follow-up of hemorheological parameters and platelet aggregation in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Clin. Hemorheol. Microcirc.* 29, 81–94.
- Neumann, F. J., Katus, H. A., Hoberg, E., Roebruck, P., Braun, M., Haupt, H. M., et al. (1991). Increased plasma viscosity and erythrocyte aggregation: indicators of an unfavourable clinical outcome in patients with unstable angina pectoris. *Br. Heart J.* 66, 425–430. doi: 10.1136/hrt.66.6.425
- Organization, W. H. (1979). Nomenclature and criteria for diagnosis of ischemic heart disease. Report of the joint international society and federation of cardiology/World Health Organization task force on standardization of clinical nomenclature. *Circulation* 59, 607–609. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.59.3.607

- Parikh, R., and Kadowitz, P. J. (2014). Angina pectoris: current therapy and future treatment options. *Expert Rev. Cardiovasc. Ther.* 12, 175–186. doi: 10.1586/14779072.2014.880339
- Qin, J. H., and Gao, Y. (2016). Clinical efficacy of dazhu hongjingtian for treatment of unstable angina pectoris. J. Med. Theor. Prac. 29, 3345–3346. doi: 10.19381/j.issn.1001-7585.2016.24.019
- Shen, G. M., Fan, S. M., and Tian, X. S. (2014). Influence of big plant rhodiola capsule treat on unstable angina patients. *Chin. J. Exp. Trad. Med. Form.* 20, 200–203. doi: 10.13422/j.cnki.syfjx.2014160200
- Silva, F. M., Pesaro, A. E., Franken, M., and Wajngarten, M. (2015). Acute management of unstable angina and non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Einstein* 13, 454–461. doi: 10.1590/S1679-45082015RW3172
- Thadani, U., and Rodgers, T. (2006). Side effects of using nitrates to treat angina. *Expert Opin. Drug Saf.* 5, 667–674. doi: 10.1517/14740338.5.5.667
- Wang, H. Y., and Yang, S. Z. (2018). Clinical observation of dazhu hongjingtian injection in the treatment of unstable angina pectoris. *Chin. J. Int. Med. Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Dis.* 16, 1075–1077. doi: 10.12102/j.issn.1672-1349.2018.08.024
- Wang, Y. K., Shang, S. Z., Gu, X., Zhang, Y. J., Ding, Z. X., Li, J. J., et al. (2015). Clinical observation on treating 80 cases of unstable angina with the dazhu hongjingtian injection. *Clin. J. Chin. Med.* 7, 62–64. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1674-7860.2015.22.032
- Weng, X. Q., Zhuang, C. W., Shi, X. L., Tu, C. L., and Jiang, H. (2015). Clinical analysis of the efficacy of creatine phosphate sodium combined with Dazhu Hongjingtian Injection for the treatment of unstable angina pectoris. *Clin. J. Med. Offic.* 43, 827–830. doi: 10.16680/j.1671-3826.2015. 11.16
- Yu, D. W., Su, Y., Yang, Y., Liang, Y., Liu, D., and Hua, P. H. (2011). Clinical analysis of Dazhu Hongjingtian in treatment of unstable angina pectoris in

patients with advanced age. Med. J. Nat. Defending Forces Southwest China 21, 1309–1311. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1004-0188.2011.12.011

- Zhai, P., Zhu, G. B., and Liang, J. (2015). Efficacy of dazhu hongjingtian combined with creatine phosphate sodium for the treatment of 80 cases of unstable angina pectoris. *Chin. Rem. Clin.* 15, 556–557.
- Zhang, A. P., Zhang, H. S., and Zhang, C. J. (2018). Clinical study on sofren injection combined with salvianolate in treatment of unstable angina pectoris. *Drugs Clin.* 33, 1594–1598.
- Zhang, L., and Lu, G. X. (2016). Therapeutic effects of dazhu hongjingtian injection combined with clopidogrel on unstable angina pectoris. *Hebei Med. J.* 38, 2140–2142.
- Zhang, Y. J. (2013). Clinical observation of sofren injection in treating patients with unstable angina. *Henan Med. Res.* 22, 348–350.
- Zhang, Z. H., Liu, J. S., Chu, J. N., Shang, X. H., Lin, C. R., Ma, X. B., et al. (2005). The effect of hongjingtian (Gadol) injection on cardiac hemodynamics and myocardial oxygen consumption of dogs. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi* 30, 1001–1005. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1001-5302.2005.13.012

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Man, Dai and Fan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.