

Novel assay to measure the plasmid mobilizing potential of mixed microbial communities

Uli Klümper*, Ariadni Droumpali, Arnaud Dechesne and Barth F. Smets*

Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

Edited by:

Kornelia Smalla, Julius Kühn-Institut, Germany

Reviewed by:

Sven Jechalke, Julius Kühn-Institut, Germany Isabel Henriques, University of Aveiro, Portugal Masaki Shintani, Shizuoka University, Japan

*Correspondence:

Uli Klümper and Barth F. Smets, Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej 113, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark e-mail: ulik@env.dtu.dk; bfsm@env.dtu.dk

Mobilizable plasmids lack necessary genes for complete conjugation and are therefore nonself-transmissible. Instead, they rely on the conjugation system of conjugal plasmids to be horizontally transferred to new recipients. While community permissiveness, the fraction of a mixed microbial community that can receive self-transmissible conjugal plasmids, has been studied, the intrinsic ability of a community to mobilize plasmids that lack conjugation systems is unexplored. Here, we present a novel framework and experimental method to estimate the mobilization potential of mixed communities. We compare the transfer frequency of a mobilizable plasmid to that of a mobilizing and conjugal plasmid measured for a model strain and for the assayed community. With Pseudomonas putida carrying the gfp-tagged mobilizable IncQ plasmid RSF1010 as donor strain, we conducted solid surface mating experiments with either a *P. putida* strain carrying the mobilizing IncP-1a plasmid RP4 or a model bacterial community that was extracted from the inner walls of a domestic shower conduit. Additionally, we estimated the permissiveness of the same community for RP4 using P. putida as donor strain. The permissiveness of the model community for RP4 [at 1.16×10^{-4} transconjugants per recipient (T/R)] was similar to that previously measured for soil microbial communities. RSF1010 was mobilized by the model community at a frequency of 1.16×10^{-5} T/R, only one order of magnitude lower than its permissiveness to RP4. This mobilization frequency is unexpectedly high considering that (i) mobilization requires the presence of mobilizing conjugal plasmids within the permissive fraction of the recipients; (ii) in pure culture experiments with *P. putida* retromobilization of RSF1010 through RP4 only took place in approximately half of the donors receiving the conjugal plasmid in the first step. Further work is needed to establish how plasmid mobilization potential varies within and across microbial communities. This method has the potential to provide such insights; in addition it allows for the direct isolation of *in situ* mobilizing plasmids together with their endogenous hosts.

Keywords: plasmid mobilization, permissiveness, RSF1010, RP4, plasmid transfer, conjugation, horizontal gene transfer

INTRODUCTION

Plasmid transfer is believed to be a main mechanism in rapid bacterial adaption to environmental changes (Sørensen et al., 2005; Grohmann, 2011; Heuer and Smalla, 2012). Plasmids can be classified into two main groups based on the presence of genes associated with the transfer phenotype (Smillie et al., 2010). Conjugal plasmids encode a complete set of transfer genes needed to be self-transmissible. Mobilizable plasmids, on the other hand, lack some of the genes encoded in the transfer operon (*tra*), which encodes most of the functions involved in mating pair formation (MPF; Thomas and Nielsen, 2005).

Conjugal plasmids possess an origin of transfer (*oriT*), a relaxase, type IV coupling proteins (T4CP) and a type IV secretion system (T4SS). The relaxase is a key protein of the conjugal machinery, common to all conjugal and mobilizable plasmids. Conjugal transfer of self-transmissible plasmids like the IncP-1 α plasmid RP4 is based on pilus establishment between donor and recipient cells coded by the T4SS. The plasmid then transfers through the pilus into the recipient (**Figure 1**). Mobilizable plasmids encode only a *MOB* module (with or without the T4CP) and need the MPF apparatus of a co-resident (i.e., located within the same cell) conjugal plasmid to be transmissible by conjugation (Smillie et al., 2010). To be transferred, they take advantage of a conjugal plasmid that initiates replication through expression of its rep genes. These genes are involved in pilus formation and connection of the relaxosome with proteins enabling passage of the DNA across the membranes (Yano et al., 2013). Direct mobilization involves a presently co-resident conjugal plasmid; in retromobilization the donor cells (harboring the mobilizable plasmid) must first receive a mobilizing conjugal plasmid from the recipient, which thereafter mobilizes the mobilizable plasmid toward the recipient (Figure 1). Therefore, microbial communities need a high intrinsic conjugal plasmid content to allow mobilization of mobilizable plasmids with potentially useful genetic content, when no co-resident conjugal plasmids are present in the newly introduced donor strain.

The most well-studied non-self-transmissible, mobilizable plasmids belong to the IncQ group. Compared to the broad



host range IncP-1 conjugal plasmids, they are relatively small (5.1–14.2 kb; Loftie-Eaton and Rawlings, 2012). Thanks to their host independent replication system, these plasmids have a broader host range than any other known replicating components in bacteria (Meyer, 2009). They can be conjugally mobilized by a variety of different plasmid encoded type IV transporters (Meyer, 2009) as well as through integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs; Lee et al., 2012), both often at high frequencies (Gregory et al., 2008; Meyer, 2009).

Mobilization by the IncP-1 plasmids has contributed extensively to the dissemination of IncQ plasmids (Meyer, 2009) and the coupling of the transfer machinery of the IncP-1 RP4 plasmid to mobilize the IncQ RSF1010 plasmid has been well studied (Lessl et al., 1993; Haase et al., 1995).

In order to assess a conjugal plasmid's potential contribution to horizontal gene transfer in a microbial community, the permissiveness of the community toward the plasmid is a main parameter. We have defined permissiveness as the fraction of a community able to receive and maintain a target exogenous plasmid (Musovic et al., 2010; Klümper et al., 2014). Different factors such as phylogenetic diversity, cell density, and various environmental stress factors may affect community permissiveness (Musovic et al., 2010; Heuer et al., 2011). While some bacteria are known to exude signal molecules in order to obtain plasmids (Hirt, 2002), permissiveness toward a self-transmissible, conjugal plasmid is probably a passive trait of the bacterial community. The ability of a community to receive genes located on mobilizable non-self-transmissible plasmids, on the other hand, would rely on the community's own content of conjugal plasmids. While the spread and contribution of conjugal plasmids to gene exchange has been intensely studied (Heuer et al., 2012; Shintani et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014), the mobilization potential of microbial communities and the contribution of mobilizable plasmids to horizontal gene flow have been comparably poorly studied (Top et al., 1995). Exogenous isolation techniques to capture mobilizing and mobilizable plasmids from natural communities have been developed (Top et al., 1994; van Elsas et al., 1998; Smalla et al., 2000). However, the characterization of communities based on their mobilization potential has mainly been carried out using indirect measures through triparental matings where both donor and terminal recipient were artificially introduced to the communities (Hill et al., 1992). For example, manure addition was shown to increase a soil microbial community's ability to support mobilization of a mobilizable plasmid between two introduced strains through an increased intrinsic plasmid content (Götz and Smalla, 1997). Direct mobilization of mobilizable plasmids into indigenous bacteria of a mixed community has been detected before (Hill et al., 1992; van Elsas et al., 1998), but was never directly quantified.

Here, we present a novel experimental method to estimate the plasmid mobilization potential of a mixed bacterial community, using IncQ RSF1010 as model plasmid. We quantify the mobilization potential of a model community extracted from a domestic shower conduit. We evaluated the transfer frequency by comparing it to the community's permissiveness toward the mobilizing, conjugal plasmid RP4. We finally related the observed transfer frequencies to those measured in transfer between isogenic strains. We additionally aimed to isolate transconjugants that mobilized the RSF1010 plasmid, assuming that retromobilization is the main mobilization process.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

PRINCIPLE OF PLASMID TRANSFER DETECTION

The recipient community was challenged with various plasmid combinations introduced through Pseudomonas putida in solid surface filter matings (Figure 2). All strains used or constructed for this study can be found in Table 1. The plasmids (Table 2) were marked with a genetic tag encoding a conditionally expressible fluorescent marker. The used entranceposon (Bahl et al., 2009) carries a *lacI*^q repressible promoter upstream of the *gfp*mut3 gene, coding for the green fluorescent protein (gfp). The plasmid donor strain was chromosomally tagged with a gene cassette encoding constitutive red fluorescence and constitutive *lacI*^q production. As a result, there is no *gfp* expression in the donor strain, but upon plasmid transfer to recipient bacteria, gfp expression is possible, resulting in green fluorescent cells or microcolonies, which can be detected and quantified by fluorescence microscopy or sorted by fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS), respectively. P. putida KT2440 served as the donor strain in all the experiments, and was tagged through electroporation with plasmid pGRG36-lacIq-Km^R-Lpp-mCherry carrying both the transposase genes and the Tn7 lacIq-Lpp-mCherry-Km^R region for specific integration of the *lacI^q-Lpp-mCherry-Km^R* gene cassette into the chromosomal attTn7 site as described earlier (Bahl et al., 2009).

The 8.7 kbp IncQ plasmid, RSF1010, originally isolated from *Escherichia coli* (Scholz et al., 1989), harbors streptomycin and sulphonamide resistance determinants and genes for the degradation of arginine and ornithine. For *gfp*-tagging the P_{A10403} -*gfpmut3-Km*^R section of entranceposon [*Km*^R, *P*_{A10403}-*gfpmut3*] was amplified by PCR, subjected to subsequent enzyme digestion and ligated to the RSF1010 vector cut with the same enzyme. The correct insert location at the enzyme cut site of [*Km*^R,

 P_{A10403} -gfpmut3] in plasmid RSF1010 was confirmed by sequencing from the inserted fragment in one direction using primer Seq_Bw_Ent_gfp: 5'-GCCAGAACCGTTATGATGTCGG-3'. The selected gfpmut3-tagged RSF1010 (abbreviated as RSF1010::gfp) plasmid was finally introduced by transformation into the donor strain, P. putida KT2440:: Km^{R} -Lpp-mCherry.

A donor *P. putida* KT2440::*Km*^R-*Lpp-mCherry* with both RSF1010::*gfp* and the wild type conjugal plasmid RP4 was also constructed. The previously created donor strain *P. putida* KT2440::*Km*^R-*Lpp-mCherry* carrying the RSF1010::*gfp* plasmid was mated with *E. coli* J5 harboring an untagged version of the RP4. Mating was carried out on microfiber filters (GF/C Whatman filter, 24 mm). Cells were detached from the mating filters and *P. putida* donor strains hosting both plasmids were selected for on 10 mM citrate medium supplemented with streptomycin and tetracycline and checked for red and green fluorescence after IPTG induction of *gfp*.

DONOR AND RECIPIENT STRAIN GROWTH AND PREPARATION

The *P. putida* recipient and donor strains were grown overnight on R2A medium supplemented with the plasmid specific antibiotics (**Table 2**) and harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 × *g* for 10 min. Harvested cells were resuspended and washed twice with sterile 0.9% NaCl solution to remove residual antibiotics and thereafter adjusted to a bacterial density of 3×10^6 bacteria/mL using Thoma chamber counts and sterile 0.9% NaCl solution for dilutions.

RECIPIENT COMMUNITY EXTRACTION AND PREPARATION

As model recipient microbial communities, we extracted biofilms that colonized the inner walls of a domestic shower PVC hose from a private residence. The shower hose was first drained in a sterile 50 mL Falcon tube. The emptied hose was then incised with a sterilized steel scalpel blade and the biofilm at its inner surface removed by scraping. The removed biofilm was transferred to the same 50 mL Falcon tube. The suspension was centrifuged for 8 min at 10.000 \times g. The pellet was resuspended in 5 mL TTSP [tetrasodium pyrophosphate (50 mM), Tween 80[®] (0.05%)], vortexed at maximum speed for 5 min, and sonicated 60 s in a Branson Sonifier 250 (Branson, MO, USA) at 40% power at 200 W to disrupt cell aggregates. The bacterial suspension was then filtered through a sterile 20 µm pore-size filter. This filtrate was used as the recipient community in mating assays after adjusting the bacterial density to $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ bacteria/mL, as confirmed by Thoma chamber counts.

SOLID SURFACE FILTER MATING ASSAY

The recipient communities were challenged with the plasmids introduced through the constructed donor via solid surface filter matings (Musovic et al., 2010) at a 1:1 initial donor to recipient cell ratio and an initial density of approximately 30,000 bacteria/mm² filter surface area, with 10-fold diluted R2A as solid 1.5% agar mating medium. Conjugation was verified by epifluorescence stereomicroscopy after 48 h incubation at room temperature and the transfer events quantified (Musovic et al., 2010). R2A was chosen as filter mating medium as it is presumed optimal for water borne organisms (Reasoner et al., 1979). However, to simulate low nutrient conditions typical of drinking water distribution systems



Table 1 | Donor and recipient strains used in this study.

Species/strain	Plasmid	Resistance (µg/mL)	Chromosomal markers	Reference
Pseudomonas putida KT2442	RP4::gfp	<i>Km^R, Amp^R, Tet^R</i> (50, 100, 10)	<i>Rif^R</i>	Musovic et al. (2010)
P. putida KT2440	RSF1010::gfp	Strep ^R (100)	lacl ^q -pLpp-mCherry, Km ^R	This study
P. putida KT2440	RSF1010:: <i>gfp</i> , RP4	<i>Strep^R, Amp^R, Tet^R, Km^R</i> (100, 100, 40, 50)	lacl ^q -pLpp-mCherry, Km ^R	This study
P. putida KT2440	-	-	-	Nelson etal. (2002)
P. putida KT2440	RP4	<i>Tet^R, Km^R, Amp^R</i> (40, 50, 100)	-	This study

Table 2 | Plasmids used in this study.

Plasmid	Transfer	Size	Incompatibility	Resistance (µg/mL)	Host range	Degradation pathways	Reference
RP4	Conjugal	60 kb	IncP-1α	Amp ^R , Km ^R , Tet ^R (100, 50, 20)	broad	BP, 4CBP	Barth and Grinter (1977)
RSF1010	Mobilizable	8.7 kb	IncQ	<i>Strep</i> ^R (100)	broad	Arginine, Ornithine	Honda et al. (1991)

(Boe-Hansen et al., 2002), the R2A medium was diluted to the maximum extent possible, while maintaining high enough bacterial activity for growth of microcolonies, to establish donor to recipient cell contact during the mating, and for expression of the plasmid encoded *gfp*-gene after plasmid transfer. Five different dilutions of R2A (1:5, 1:10, 1:50, 1:100, 1:1000) were tested and the 10-fold diluted R2A was finally chosen, as it was the highest dilution at which transconjugants were still observed for all tested plasmids.

VISUALIZATION AND QUANTIFICATION OF TRANSFER EVENTS BY STEREOMICROSCOPY AND IMAGE ANALYSIS

Successful plasmid transfer was visualized *in situ* by stereomicroscopy and quantified by automated image analysis (Image Pro Plus 7.1; Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA) as previously described (Musovic et al., 2010), using a Leica MZ16 FA fluorescence stereomicroscope equipped with a 10x plan apochromatic

objective, a 10× eyepiece (10×/21B), a 40× magnification zoom. Conditions for *gfp*- and *mCherry*-based fluorescence detection were 480/20 nm with emission at 525/40 nm and 580/25 with emission at 650/60 nm, respectively, and images were acquired with a Leica DFC300 fluorescence camera. A representative scanning zone of 7 × 7 fields of 980 × 732 μ m each were analyzed per filter. With a total filter area of 270 mm², the scanned and quantified area corresponded to approximately 13% of the total filter area. Triplicate filters were analyzed for each donor/recipient combination.

Quantification of transfer events was performed with a custommade macro written in Image Pro Plus 7.1. This macro successively extracts and subtracts the background from the original image, performs a best-fit equalization of the image intensity, before detecting bright objects larger than 4 μ m² based on automatic segmentation. Analysis of images was limited to the brightly illuminated elliptic central area of the field of view (**Figure 3**). All



images were manually controlled for enumeration errors, and values corrected if deviations were noted. The number of *gfp*-positive colonies (transfer events) detected was scaled up to the total filter area and transfer frequency was calculated by dividing this number by the number of potential recipients originally placed on the filter.

CELL COLLECTION AND FLUORESCENCE ACTIVATED CELL SORTING OF TRANSCONJUGANTS

Cells from the filter mating between *P. putida* (RSF1010::*gfp*) and the model community were removed by vortexing in 2 mL of a 0.9% NaCl-solution for 3 min. Flow cytometric detection of cells and *gfp*-based isolation of transconjugants were carried out using a FACSAria IIIu Flowcytometer (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), as previously described (Klümper et al., 2014).

RESULTS

PERMISSIVENESS OF THE RECIPIENT COMMUNITY FOR CONJUGAL IncP-1 PLASMID RP4

We explored the intrinsic ability of an extracted model microbial community to mobilize the broad host range mobilizable plasmid RSF1010 as well as its ability to receive the conjugal broad host range plasmid RP4. Both plasmids were introduced via a red fluorescent-tagged donor *P. putida* in which plasmid encoded *gfp* expression is repressed (**Table 1**). Microscopic examination and enumeration of the mating events (**Figure 3**) between the recipient microbial community and *P. putida* (RP4::gfp) revealed a transfer frequency of 1.16×10^{-4} transconjugants per potential recipient (T/R; **Figure 4**). A higher transfer frequency (1.76×10^{-3} T/R) was observed in the mating assay using isogenic *P. putida* donor and recipient strains (**Figure 4**). In this experiment, all recipients were obviously within the plasmid host range and any incompatibility effect with RP4 could be ruled out because they were all initially plasmid-free. Hence, the observed transfer frequency in these intrastrain experiments was not limited by the recipient permissiveness, but only by donor promiscuity (the fraction of donor cells expressing conjugal genes), successful completion of initiated plasmid transfer events to *P. putida* recipient cells, and the degree of donor–recipient contact saturation.

We can now express the community's permissiveness against the defined co-culture experiments: The community permissiveness for the conjugal RP4 (1.16×10^{-4} T/R) is divided by the conjugal transfer frequency of plasmid RP4 in intrastrain matings, where all *P. putida* recipients can potentially take up RP4 (1.76×10^{-3} T/R), as a standard. The resulting community permissiveness for RP4 is 0.066 RP4 intrastrain equivalents.

MOBILIZING POTENTIAL OF THE RECIPIENT COMMUNITY FOR PLASMID RSF1010

When the model community was challenged with *P. putida* (RSF1010::*gfp*), a transfer frequency of 1.16×10^{-5} T/R was measured. This value is one order of magnitude lower than the



community's measured permissiveness for the conjugal plasmid RP4 (Figure 4).

In these experiments RSF1010 must have been retromobilized into the recipient community by cells carrying IncQ compatible mobilizing conjugal plasmids (Figure 1). In order to explore the retrotransfer frequency of RSF1010 further, isogenic P. putida strains were used to execute two different intrastrain matings, taking advantage of all P. putida recipient cells being potential RSF1010 hosts. In the first experiment, a plasmid-free, non-mCherry-tagged P. putida strain served as recipient. In the second experiment, a non-mCherry-tagged P. putida strain hosting the untagged wild-type conjugal, mobilizing RP4 plasmid served as recipient. In the first experiment no RSF1010 transfer was observed, consistent with RSF1010's non-self-transmissible nature. In the second experiment with P. putida (RP4) as recipient, retrotransfer was observed, with a measured frequency of 8.34×10^{-4} T/R. Successful RSF1010 retrotransfer requires initial conjugal plasmid transfer from recipients to RSF1010 donors, before RSF1010 is mobilized and retransferred to the recipients (Top et al., 1992; Figure 1C).

RSF1010 retrotransfer frequency by *P. putida* (RP4) results from a combination of the RP4 transfer process from the recipient to the donor (**Figure 1C** Steps 1 and 2) and the subsequent mobilization of RSF1010 through the now co-resident RP4 plasmid (**Figure 1C** Steps 3 and 4). It can be contrasted with the measured RP4 intraspecies transfer frequency of 1.76×10^{-3} T/R. RP4 intrastrain transfer corresponds to the first two steps in RSF1010 retrotransfer (**Figure 1A**). Hence, the probability for a cell that recently acquired RP4 via conjugal transfer to mobilize RSF1010 can be estimated at 47.4% [8.34 × 10⁻⁴ T/R for *P. putida* (RSF1010::gfp) to *P. putida* (RP4) divided by 1.76×10^{-3} (T/R) for *P. putida* (RP4::gfp) to *P. putida*]. For this specific pair of mobilizing and mobilizable plasmid, retrotransfer is high (**Figure 4**).

The retrotransfer of RSF1010 to the recipient community occurs at a frequency of 10% compared to its permissiveness for the RP4 plasmid. Still, as shown above, mobilization of RSF1010 is realized only approximately every second time a conjugal plasmid is transferred from the recipient community into the donor strain, based on mobilization through RP4. If all these potential mobilization events were realized, the maximal mobilization potential of the recipient community is reached. The theoretical maximal mobilization potential toward RSF1010 can be quantitatively assessed as 2.45×10^{-5} T/R by dividing its transfer frequency toward the community $(1.16 \times 10^{-5} \text{ T/R})$ by the now established 47.4% probability of retrotransfer. When subsequently dividing 2.45×10^{-5} T/R through the community's permissiveness toward RP4 (1.16×10^{-4} T/R) as a standard, this results in 0.211 RP4 permissiveness equivalents as the maximal mobilization potential.

POTENTIAL COMMUNITY PERMISSIVENESS TOWARD MOBILIZABLE PLASMID RSF1010

In a final experiment, we quantified the intrinsic permissiveness of the model community for RSF1010. To do so, we augmented the community's own RSF1010 mobilizing potential by adding an exogenous RSF1010 mobilizing strain. Hence, the recipient community was challenged with *P. putida* hosting both the RSF1010::*gfp* and the wild-type RP4, which can directly mobilize RSF1010 (**Figure 1B**). The observed transfer frequency of RSF1010 in this mating was 3.14×10^{-3} T/R. This frequency is, surprisingly, higher (~30-fold) than the community's permissiveness for RP4. As expected, this value is also substantially higher (~2 orders of magnitude) than the RSF1010 mobilization frequency (**Figure 4**) relying on the community's inherent retromobilization potential only.

FACS BASED SORTING OF RSF1010 TRANSCONJUGANTS

Cell suspensions from matings between the recipient community and *P. putida* (RSF1010::*gfp*) were collected, resuspended and subjected to FACS to isolate green fluorescent transconjugants (Klümper et al., 2014). 200 transconjugants were successfully sorted, despite a sorting time exceeding 24 h, due to the low initial relative abundance of transconjugant cells at less than 1:1,000,000 events sorted.

DISCUSSION

Plasmids of the promiscuous, conjugal IncP-1 group illustrate the enormous potential of horizontal gene transfer among an extremely wide variety of gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial species (Gelder et al., 2005; Klümper et al., 2014; Musovic et al., 2014; Shintani et al., 2014). Studies on conjugal gene flow mainly focused on the passive characteristics of a mixed community to receive self-transmissible plasmids. Former approaches to assess the mobilization potential of mixed communities were using an indirect approach through triparental matings where both donor and terminal recipient were artificially introduced to the communities (Hill et al., 1992; Götz and Smalla, 1997) and even capture the mobilizing (van Elsas et al., 1998) or mobilizable (Smalla et al., 2000) genetic elements from natural communities. This study is the first one to directly quantify the potential of a microbial community to actively mobilize non-self-transmissible, mobilizable plasmids to its indigenous bacteria. It also illustrates how the community's intrinsic plasmid content can contribute to an increased gene uptake potential. To estimate the maximum mobilization potential of a community, we utilized filter matings at maximized cell-to-cell contact of donor and potential recipients (Musovic et al., 2010). The spatial limitations for contact in water distribution systems might be small compared to other environments like the ones reported for soil (Dechesne et al., 2005). However, the initial invasion of the plasmid donor into the biofilm community might be limited to the surface of the biofilm and further reduced at high water flow conditions (Licht et al., 1999; Merkey et al., 2011; Król et al., 2013). Therefore, using our maximum cell-to-cell contact assay instead of natural conditions allows every single recipient cell to establish contact with donor cells and potentially engage in gene transfer. However, using this assay might limit the retransfer potential of the plasmid from new transconjugants to further recipients. Recipients that newly acquired the plasmid might only be surrounded by P. putida donor cells and not by other cells from the recipient community and can thus not retransfer the plasmid to other recipients. This retransfer process can especially be crucial for mobilizable plasmids. The first retromobilization transfer event leads to the co-occurrence of the mobilizable plasmid with the mobilizing conjugal plasmid(s) in the same cell. Through this co-occurrence the transconjugant cell significantly increases its transfer frequency of the mobilizable plasmid to the recipient community by switching the mechanism from retromobilization to direct mobilization, thereby omitting the steps involved in transferring the mobilizer to the donor cell. We measured a more than 300-fold increase in plasmid transfer for P. putida to the mixed community between retro- to direct mobilization. This large increase in transfer frequency was also reported earlier with a difference of over three orders of magnitude for direct mobilization versus retromobilization for a different mobilizable plasmid among pure strains (Top et al., 1995). Therefore, experiments that assess how this retransfer process influences the mitigation and invasion of a mobilizable plasmid from the initial donor through a mixed and spatially stratified biofilm community might be needed. To conclude, once mobilizable plasmids are in co-occurrence with a promiscuous mobilizing plasmid, they can significantly contribute to horizontal gene transfer in mixed communities.

We show here that the IncQ model plasmid RSF1010 can be easily mobilized by the bacterial community extracted from a household water distribution system. The permissiveness of this microbial community toward the conjugal plasmid RP4 is comparable in magnitude with that measured in diverse soil communities (Musovic et al., 2014). The lower permissiveness toward RP4 measured for mixed recipient communities compared to *P. putida* intraspecies transfer results primarily from the inability of a fraction of the bacterial community to either receive, transiently maintain, or express plasmid encoded genes.

The community's potential to retromobilize and subsequently receive RSF1010 is only one order of magnitude lower than its permissiveness toward RP4. This surprisingly high transfer frequency may result from the fact that IncQ plasmids have a broader host range than any other known replicating component in bacteria (Meyer, 2009) combined with an extremely efficient transfer mechanism (Gregory et al., 2008; Meyer, 2009). The numbers appear even higher taking into account that in pure culture experiments with P. putida, only half of the microcolonies that recently received RP4 retromobilized RSF1010. Earlier retrotransfer experiments between two E. coli strains (Top et al., 1992) showed T/R ratios within the same orders of magnitude $(10^{-3}-10^{-4})$ as our intrastrain matings. But, they suggested that retrotransfer of the mobilizable plasmid appears at rates lower than 1% once the first step of acquiring a conjugal plasmid is realized. In that work transfer was quantified based on single cells and after 2.33 h. Our far higher numbers (\sim 50%) might therefore result from quantifying transfer on a microcolony basis after 48 h. Only one retrotransfer event within a microcolony is needed for quantification as successful transfer event and due to increased incubation time retrotransfer can happen not only through the initial, but also through newly established conjugal pili. Nonetheless, the observed retromobilization requires the presence of mobilizing, conjugal plasmids within the permissive fraction of the recipients. Other mobilization possibilities involve conjugation-independent transfer of plasmids through the formation of nanotubes from members of the complex community toward the donor cells (Dubey and Ben-Yehuda, 2011), but are only realized if nanotubes from the recipient to the P. putida donor are established. Therefore, a high intrinsic conjugal plasmid content of the model recipient community in combination with RSF1010's efficient transfer mechanism is the most likely reason for the observed high mobilization potential.

IncP type IV secretion systems can conjugally connect a large variety of organisms (Grahn et al., 2000; Thomas and Nielsen, 2005; Klümper et al., 2014). But like the plasmids encoding them, they are evolutionary adapted to connect their mainly Gramnegative hosts. These self-transmissible plasmids might easily reach dead ends after being transferred, if the secretion system is not encoded efficiently for retransfer in the new host. Contrarily, mobilizable plasmids might less frequently reach dead ends once acquired, since they can utilize the conjugal connections build through adapted resident plasmids in their new host (Meyer, 2009) or through ICEs (Lee et al., 2012). Additionally, mobilizable plasmids are relatively stable, as their high copy number (Meyer, 2009) increases retention in a host until new transfer becomes possible. These two facts in connection with their strictly host-independent initiation of replication helps them to sustain in a very broad host range, including Pseudomonas sp., related species in the Proteobacteria, as well as phylogenetically distant species within the Firmicutes, Actinomycetes and even Cyanobacteria (Meyer, 2009) or plants (Buchanan-Wollaston et al., 1987). Consequently, RSF1010, as a mobilizable plasmid, has a far higher replication host range than RP4. RSF1010 can even spread to a mixed community at a more than 30-fold higher transfer frequency when directly mobilized through co-occurring plasmid RP4 in the same donor cell compared to RP4 itself. Therefore, mobilizable plasmids might contribute to long term gene spread and acquisition to a so far underestimated extent, especially in environments with high intrinsic mobilizing plasmid content. In our current experiment, we use a simplified system and are able to deliver insights into the mobilization potential of a community at the first acquisition event of a newly introduced mobilizable plasmid. The wide variety of mobilization systems possibly involved might not resemble the one encoded by RP4 in efficiency. Still, equivalents based on the community's permissiveness toward RP4 can be used here, since long term maintenance and retransfer are not taken into account. For more complex natural systems and experiments that allow extensive retransfer we recommend assessing the intrinsic mobilization potential of microbial communities based on absolute transfer frequencies, as the transfer and maintenance processes of RSF1010 and RP4 differ too much in the long term.

Apart from quantification of the mobilization potential, the method presented here provides several possibilities to study plasmid ecology and mobilization mechanisms. FACS based sorting of RSF1010 carrying transconjugants from the recipient community was possible. Studying the diversity of transconjugants might provide insights into the enormous host range of mobilizable plasmids, compared to those of broad host range conjugal plasmids (Klümper et al., 2014). But the high amount of sorting time prohibits intensive studies at this point. However, taking advantage of FACS sorting, even at low speed, new possibilities for plasmid isolation emerge. The mobilizing, conjugal plasmid can, now, after retromobilization, co-occurring with RSF1010 in the transconjugant, be subsequently isolated within its original environmental host. Compared to common exogenous plasmid isolation techniques our method has the potential to also capture plasmids that are only transiently hosted and therefore quickly lost in the introduced capturing strains. Since these plasmids remain stable in their original hosts, we gain the ability to isolate them with our method. Isolated plasmids need therefore only stable maintenance in their natural hosts rather than in an artificially introduced strain. This increases the range of obtainable plasmids and immediately supplies information on where they naturally occur. This method reverses the exogenous isolation technique for mobilizable plasmids (Top et al., 1994) and is cultivation independent. Additionally using the tools presented here in combination with FACS sorting, single cell observations to better understand the exact mechanisms proposed for retromobilization (Top et al., 1992, 1995) might become possible.

In conclusion, this method is the first one to assess the plasmid mobilization potential of a microbial community on a quantitative level by estimating transfer frequencies through fluorescent microbial community has the potential to easily mobilize a newly introduced mobilizable plasmid at high rates compared to a conjugal plasmid. We also showed that the mobilizable plasmid is spread at far increased frequencies once directly mobilized by a co-occurring conjugal plasmid from within the same cell.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank L. Riber and S. J. Sørensen for access to the tagged RSF1010 plasmid, L. K. Jensen for technical assistance in the laboratory and S. M. Milani for assistance in FACS sorting. This work was funded by the Villum Kann Rasmussen Foundation Center of Excellence CREAM (Center for Environmental and Agricultural Microbiology).

REFERENCES

- Bahl, M. I., Oregaard, G., Sørensen, S. J., and Hansen, L. H. (2009). Construction and use of flow cytometry optimized plasmid-sensor strains. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 532, 257–268. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-853-9_15
- Barth, P. T., and Grinter, N. J. (1977). Map of plasmid RP4 derived by insertion of transposon C. J. Mol. Biol. 113, 455–474. doi: 10.1016/0022-2836(77) 90233-9
- Boe-Hansen, R., Albrechtsen, H.-J., Arvin, E., and Jørgensen, C. (2002). Bulk water phase and biofilm growth in drinking water at low nutrient conditions. *Water Res.* 36, 4477–4486. doi: 10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00191-4
- Buchanan-Wollaston, V., Passiatore, J. E., and Cannon, F. (1987). The mob and oriT mobilization functions of a bacterial plasmid promote its transfer to plants. *Nature* 328, 172–175. doi: 10.1038/328172a0
- Dechesne, A., Pallud, C. C., Bertolla, F., Grundmann, G. L., and Grundmann, L. G. (2005). Impact of the microscale distribution of a Pseudomonas strain introduced into soil on potential contacts with indigenous bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 8123–8131. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8123-8131.2005
- Dubey, G. P., and Ben-Yehuda, S. (2011). Intercellular nanotubes mediate bacterial communication. *Cell* 144, 590–600. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.015
- Gelder, L., De Vandecasteele, F. P. J., Celeste, J., Forney, L. J., Top, E. M., Brown, C. J., et al. (2005). Plasmid donor affects host range of promiscuous IncP-1beta plasmid pB10 in an activated-sludge microbial community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 5309–5317. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.9.5309-5317.2005
- Grahn, A. M., Haase, J., Bamford, D. H., and Lanka, E. (2000). Components of the RP4 conjugative transfer apparatus form an envelope structure bridging inner and outer membranes of donor cells: implications for related macromolecule transport systems. J. Bacteriol. 182, 1564–1574. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.6.1564-1574.2000
- Gregory, R., Saunders, J. R., and Saunders, V. (2008). Rule-based modelling of conjugative plasmid transfer and incompatibility. *Biosystems* 91, 201–215. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystems.2007.09.003
- Grohmann, E. (2011). "Horizontal gene transfer between bacteria under natural conditions," in *Microbes and Microbial Technology: Agricultural and Environmental Applications*, eds I. Ahmad, F. Ahmad, and J. Pichtel (New York, NY: Springer), 163–187. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-7931-5_7
- Götz, A., and Smalla, K. (1997). Manure enhances plasmid mobilization and survival of *Pseudomonas putida* introduced into field soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 1980–1986.
- Haase, J., Lurz, R., Grahn, A. M., Bamford, D. H., and Lanka, E. (1995). Bacterial conjugation mediated by plasmid RP4:RSF1010 mobilization, donorspecific phage propagation, and pilus production require the same Tra2 core components of a proposed DNA transport complex. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 4779–4791.
- Heuer, H., Binh, C. T. T., Jechalke, S., Kopmann, C., Zimmerling, U., Krögerrecklenfort, E., et al. (2012). IncP-1ɛ plasmids are important vectors of antibiotic resistance genes in agricultural systems: diversification driven by Class 1 integron gene cassettes. *Front. Microbiol.* 3:2. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012. 00002
- Heuer, H., Schmitt, H., and Smalla, K. (2011). Antibiotic resistance gene spread due to manure application on agricultural fields. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 14, 236–243. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2011.04.009
- Heuer, H., and Smalla, K. (2012). Plasmids foster diversification and adaptation of bacterial populations in soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 1083–1104. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00337.x
- Hill, K. E., Weightman, A. J., and Fry, J. C. (1992). Isolation and screening of plasmids from the epilithon which mobilize recombinant plasmid pD10. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58, 1292–1300.
- Hirt, H. (2002). In vivo induction of virulence and antibiotic resistance transfer in *Enterococcus faecalis* mediated by the sex pheromone-sensing system of pCF10. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 716–723. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.2.716-723.2002
- Honda, Y., Sakai, H., Hiasa, H., Tanaka, K., Komano, T., and Bagdasarian, M. (1991). Functional division and reconstruction of a plasmid replication origin: molecular dissection of the oriV of the broad-host-range plasmid RSF1010. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 179–183. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.1.179
- Klümper, U., Riber, L., Dechesne, A., Sannazzarro, A., Hansen, L. H., Sørensen, S. J., et al. (2014). Broad host range plasmids can invade an unexpectedly diverse fraction of a soil bacterial community. *ISME J.* doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.191 [Epub ahead of print].

- Król, J. E., Wojtowicz, A. J., Rogers, L. M., Heuer, H., Smalla, K., Krone, S. M., et al. (2013). Invasion of *E. coli* biofilms by antibiotic resistance plasmids. *Plasmid* 70, 110–119. doi: 10.1016/j.plasmid.2013.03.003
- Lee, C. A., Thomas, J., and Grossman, A. D. (2012). The Bacillus subtilis conjugative transposon ICEBs1 mobilizes plasmids lacking dedicated mobilization functions. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 3165–3172. doi: 10.1128/JB.00301-12
- Lessl, M., Balzer, D., Weyrauch, K., and Lanka, E. (1993). The mating pair formation system of plasmid RP4 defined by RSF1010 mobilization and donor-specific phage propagation. J. Bacteriol. 175, 6415–6425.
- Licht, T. R., Christensen, B. B., Krogfelt, K. A., and Molin, S. (1999). Plasmid transfer in the animal intestine and other dynamic bacterial populations: the role of community structure and environment. *Microbiology* 145, 2615–2622.
- Loftie-Eaton, W., and Rawlings, D. E. (2012). Diversity, biology and evolution of IncQ-family plasmids. *Plasmid* 67, 15–34. doi: 10.1016/j.plasmid.2011.10.001
- Merkey, B. V., Lardon, L. A., Seoane, J. M., Kreft, J.-U., and Smets, B. F. (2011). Growth dependence of conjugation explains limited plasmid invasion in biofilms: an individual-based modelling study. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 2435–2452. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02535.x
- Meyer, R. (2009). Replication and conjugative mobilization of broad host-range IncQ plasmids. *Plasmid* 62, 57–70. doi: 10.1016/j.plasmid.2009.05.001
- Musovic, S., Dechesne, A., Sørensen, J., and Smets, B. F. (2010). Novel assay to assess permissiveness of a soil microbial community toward receipt of mobile genetic elements. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 4813–4818. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 02713-09
- Musovic, S., Klümper, U., Dechesne, A., Magid, J., and Smets, B. F. (2014). Long-term manure exposure increases soil bacterial community potential for plasmid uptake. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 6, 125–130. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229. 12138
- Nelson, K. E., Weinel, C., Paulsen, I. T., Dodson, R. J., Hilbert, H., Martins dos Santos, V. A. P., et al. (2002). Complete genome sequence and comparative analysis of the metabolically versatile *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. *Environ. Microbiol.* 4, 799–808. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2002.00366.x
- Reasoner, D. J., Blannon, J. C., and Geldreich, E. E. (1979). Rapid seven-hour fecal coliform test. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 38, 229–236.
- Scholz, P., Haring, V., Wittmann-Liebold, B., Ashman, K., Bagdasarian, M., and Scherzinger, E. (1989). Complete nucleotide sequence and gene organization of the broad-host-range plasmid RSF1010. *Gene* 75, 271–288. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(89)90273-4
- Shintani, M., Matsui, K., Inoue, J.-I., Hosoyama, A., Ohji, S., Yamazoe, A., et al. (2014). Single-cell analyses revealed transfer ranges of IncP-1, IncP-7, and IncP-9 plasmids in a soil bacterial community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 138–145. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02571-13
- Smalla, K., Heuer, H., Götz, A., Niemeyer, D., Krogerrecklenfort, E., and Tietze, E. (2000). Exogenous isolation of antibiotic resistance plasmids from piggery manure slurries reveals a high prevalence and diversity of IncQ-like plasmids. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 4854–4862. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.11.4854-4862.2000

- Smillie, C., Garcillán-Barcia, M. P., Francia, M. V., Rocha, E. P. C., and de la Cruz, F. (2010). Mobility of plasmids. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 74, 434–452. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00020-10
- Sørensen, S. J., Bailey, M., Hansen, L. H., Kroer, N., and Wuertz, S. (2005). Studying plasmid horizontal transfer in situ: a critical review. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 700– 710. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1232
- Thomas, C. M., and Nielsen, K. M. (2005). Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 711–721. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1234
- Top, E., De Smet, I., Verstraete, W., Dijkmans, R., and Mergeay, M. (1994). Exogenous isolation of mobilizing plasmids from polluted soils and sludges. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 60, 831–839.
- Top, E., Rore, H., Collard, J.-M. J., Gellens, V., Slobodkina, G., Verstraete, W., et al. (1995). Retromobilization of heavy metal resistance genes in unpolluted and heavy metal polluted soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 18, 191–203. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00176.x
- Top, E., Vanrolleghem, P., Mergeay, M., and Verstraete, W. (1992). Determination of the mechanism of retrotransfer by mechanistic mathematical modeling. *J. Bacteriol.* 174, 5953–5960.
- van Elsas, J. D., Gardener, B. B., Wolters, A. C., and Smit, E. (1998). Isolation, characterization, and transfer of cryptic gene-mobilizing plasmids in the wheat rhizosphere. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 880–889.
- Yano, H., Rogers, L. M., Knox, M. G., Heuer, H., Smalla, K., Brown, C. J., et al. (2013). Host range diversification within the IncP-1 plasmid group. *Microbiology* 159, 2303–2315. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.068387-0
- Zhang, M., Visser, S., Pereira E Silva, M. C., and van Elsas, J. D. (2014). IncP-1 and PromA group plasmids are major providers of horizontal gene transfer capacities across bacteria in the mycosphere of different doil fungi. *Microb. Ecol.* doi: 10.1007/s00248-014-0482-6 [Epub ahead of print].

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 30 September 2014; accepted: 04 December 2014; published online: 22 December 2014.

Citation: Klümper U, Droumpali A, Dechesne A and Smets BF (2014) Novel assay to measure the plasmid mobilizing potential of mixed microbial communities. Front. Microbiol. 5:730. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00730

This article was submitted to Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology.

Copyright © 2014 Klümper, Droumpali, Dechesne and Smets. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.