de men tia

Living with Dementia in Aotearoa (LiDiA): A Feasibility Study for a Dementia Prevalence Study in Māori and Non-Māori Living in New Zealand

Adrian Martinez-Ruiz[®], Susan Yates, Gary Cheung and Sarah Cullum

Department of Psychological Medicine, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Makarena Dudley

School of Psychology, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Rita Krishnamurthi

National Institute for Stroke and Applied Neurosciences, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand

Fuafiva Fa'alau and Ngaire Kerse

School of Population Health, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Mary Roberts and Seini Taufa

Research Department, Moana Research, Auckland, New Zealand

Claudia Rivera Rodriguez

Department of Statistics, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Corresponding author:

Sarah Cullum, Department of Psychological Medicine, The University of Auckland, Level 3, Building 507 22-30 Park Avenue, Grafton, Auckland 1142, New Zealand. Email: sarah.cullum@auckland.ac.nz

Dementia 2024, Vol. 23(3) 343–365 © The Author(s) 2023 © • • •

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/14713012231173012 journals.sagepub.com/home/dem



Abstract

Introduction: Recent estimations have projected a threefold increase in dementia prevalence in Aotearoa New Zealand (NZ) by 2050, particularly in Maori and Pacific peoples. However, to date, there are no national data on dementia prevalence, and overseas data are used to estimate the NZ dementia statistics. The aim of this feasibility study was to prepare the groundwork for the first full-scale NZ dementia prevalence study that is representative of Māori, European, Pacific and Asian peoples living in NZ.

Methods: The main feasibility issues were: (i) Sampling to ensure adequate community representation from the included ethnic groups, (ii) Preparing a workforce to conduct the fieldwork and developing quality control, (iii) Raising awareness of the study in the communities (iv) Maximizing recruitment by door-knocking, (v) Retaining those we have recruited to the study and (vi) Acceptability of study recruitment and assessment using adapted versions of the 10/66 dementia protocol in different ethnic groups living in South Auckland.

Results: We found that a probability sampling strategy using NZ Census data was reasonably accurate and all ethnic groups were sampled effectively. We demonstrated that we were able to train up a multi-ethnic workforce consisting of lay interviewers who were able to administer the 10/ 66 dementia protocol in community settings. The response rate (224/297, 75.5%) at the door-knocking stage was good but attrition at subsequent stages was high and only 75/297 (25.2%) received the full interview.

Conclusions: Our study showed that it would be feasible to conduct a population-based dementia prevalence study using the 10/66 dementia protocol in Māori, European and Asian communities living in NZ, utilizing a qualified, skilled research team representative of the families participating in the study. The study has demonstrated that for recruitment and interviewing in Pacific communities a different but culturally appropriate approach is required.

Keywords

dementia, population based study, dementia prevalence, feasibility study, multi-ethnic dementia prevalence

Background

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has classified dementia as a global public health priority (World Health Organisation, 2012) (1). Advocacy, awareness-raising, developing specific dementia policies and plans, supporting caregivers and research are some of the actions needed to improve dementia care and services. The WHO recommends these actions to be context-specific and culturally relevant (World Health Organisation, 2012). It also encourages countries around the world to include dementia on their public health agendas and to coordinate these actions across national, regional, and local levels. The extent and impact of dementia in Aotearoa New Zealand (NZ) is not completely understood as there are no community-based national dementia prevalence data available. The NZ estimated total resident population is over 5 million (Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2018a; 2018b), and by extrapolating data from other countries (with similar development levels), the prevalence of dementia in NZ has been projected to increase from 60,000 cases in 2015 to 170,000 cases in 2050 (Deloitte Report for Alzheimer's New Zealand, 2017).

NZ is officially recognised as a bicultural country comprised of Māori and non-Māori populations. Non-Māori populations include NZ Europeans, Asian (the majority being Chinese and Indian), Pacific Island (the majority being Samoan, Cook Island, Tongan and Fijian), and Middle Eastern, Latin American and Africans (MELAA). Statistics NZ (Tatauranga Aotearoa) allows people to self-identify with more than one ethnic group and reports that in 2018 70.2% of the NZ population self-identified as NZ Europeans, 16.5% as Māori, 15% as Asian, 8% as Pacific Island, 2% as MELAA, and 1% as other ethnicities (Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2018a; 2018b). Maori, Asian and Pacific Island populations are growing and ageing at a faster rate than NZ-Europeans, and thus the prevalence of dementia is expected to increase more rapidly in these communities (Ma'u et al., 2021). Accurate community-based dementia prevalence information for Māori, Asian and Pacific peoples living in NZ is unavailable. The calculated dementia prevalence based on administrative data in 2019-2020 was 5.8% for Māori, 6.4% for Pacific, 3.7% for NZ European, and 3.4% for Asian in the age 60+ population; and 18.8% for Māori, 22.7% for Pacific, 13.6% for European, and 13.3% for Asian in the age 80+ population (Cheung et al., 2022). These data are based on diagnostic coding and therefore do not include people with unidentified and/or undiagnosed dementia in these communities. Access to diagnostic services may differ across ethnic groups, for example, it has been reported that Asian peoples living in NZ are more likely to have undiagnosed dementia compared to NZ Europeans (Martinez-Ruiz et al., 2020). Older adults in some ethnic groups may be at a higher risk of dementia, due to increased prevalence of the cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors associated with dementia (Feigin et al., 2015; Joshy & Simmons, 2006; Simmons et al., 1999; Thornley et al., 2011). A study using routinely collected data at a memory service in South Auckland has shown that Maori and Pacific people are diagnosed with dementia at an earlier age compared to NZ-Europeans (Cullum et al., 2018), which could be a result of earlier onset and higher rates of obesity, hypertension and type 2 diabetes mellitus (Feigin et al., 2015; Joshy & Simmons, 2006; Simmons et al., 1999). The life-course social determinants may also play an important role in increasing the risk of developing dementia in these communities (Dudley et al., 2019). An example of such social determinants in the Maori community is the on-going effects of colonisation, such as difficulties accessing health care services, low levels of education, and discrimination (Ellison-Loschmann & Pearce, 2006; Graham & Masters-Awatere, 2020).

To develop culturally appropriate and responsive services for dementia in NZ the true extent and impact of dementia in all the major NZ ethnic groups must be accurately estimated. This will provide a foundation to measure "the full impact of dementia, raise public awareness, reduce stigma and inform policy development regarding the implementation of evidence-based prevention, treatment and support services for people with dementia and their families" (Martinez-Ruiz, Yates, et al., 2021).

The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of (i) sampling a multi-ethnic population to ensure adequate representation of the major NZ ethnic groups and (ii) using adapted versions of a standardised dementia diagnostic assessment tool, the 10/66 dementia protocol (Prince et al., 2007), to measure dementia prevalence as well as the psychological and economic impact of dementia on families in those communities.

Methods

The methods used in the study have been thoroughly described elsewhere (Martinez-Ruiz, Yates, et al., 2021). In brief, this study used mixed methods (quantitative and qualitative) to answer the feasibility questions (Table 1) in conducting a dementia prevalence study in a multi-ethnic community-based population. The ethnic groups included were Māori, NZ European, Asian (Chinese, Indian, and Fijian-Indian), and Pacific (Tongan and Samoan) (Figure 1). The specific ethnic groups within Asian and Pacific categories were chosen because they were the largest within those categories and also by availability of bilingual bicultural interviewers (for example, we were

Feasibility questions	Methodology	Outcome
 Sampling to ensure adequate community representation from the included ethnic groups 	Quantitative	 Expected 2018 NZ census vs found number of people age 65+ in the selected study areas. Number of people screened in the selected meshblocks. Total number of door-knocked house Total number of door-knocked houses answered and declined, Numbers of people age of 65+ that agree to be contacted, For those who agreed to be contacted, Retention rate Decline rate Proportion of baseline participants that agree to be interviewed Final interview response rate. D(26 demonstria diagnesis meta
2) Preparing a workforce to conduct the fieldwork and developing quality control	Quantitative/ Qualitative	 Interviewers age, gender, and ethnicity Number and mean time duration of training sessions in total and by ethnic group. Interviewer's feedback
 Raising awareness of the study in the community – participants and public involvement 	Qualitative	 Participants feedback and consultation with community groups.
4) Maximizing recruitment by door- knocking	Quantitative	 Total number of door-knocking sessions Total number time spent on door knocking Average time spent per door-knocking session. Total no. of doorknockers involved Average number of doorknockers per door-knocking session Total no. of supervisors Average number of supervisors Average number of supervisors
5) Retaining those we have recruited to the study	Quantitative/ Qualitative	 a. Average number of supervisors per session l. Follow-up contact (phone, face to face or both) 2. Preferred language used for follow-up 3. Completeness of collected data and preferred language for interviewing. 4. Issues raised during the consent process or before the interview. 5. Total time spent in filling in the consent form, answer questions about the consent form, and any difficulties around signing/reading the consent form
 Acceptability of study recruitment and assessment in different ethnic groups 	Qualitative	 Feedback obtained from participants Interviews time duration. Koha (gift) management Best way to offer koha to participants Participants' opinions about the koha

Table 1. Feasibility Questions, Used Methodology and Outcome Variables.

*Overall, we measured the proportion of potential participants (and informants) who were approached, consented and completed the research protocol and adapted 10/66 dementia protocol interview as a quantitative measure of acceptability.



Figure I. LiDiA feasibility study design.

unable to recruit any interviewers from the NZ Cook Island community). The study was led by the principal investigator (SC), but each ethnic group also had an ethnic-specific bilingual bicultural lead co-investigator. The study's data collection phase was conducted throughout 2020 and the first semester of 2021, coinciding with the COVID-19 pandemic.

This study was approved by the New Zealand Northern A Health and Disability Ethics Committee – New Zealand Government/Ministry of Health (ref number: 18NTA176).

Study Setting and Population

We selected South Auckland as our study site because of its ethnically diverse population. The demographic profile for the 65+ population living in South Auckland is 7% Māori, 12% Pacific Peoples, 20% Asian, and 60% NZ European (Winnard et al., 2015). This contrasts with the national 65+ population of 6% Māori, 3% Pacific Peoples, 6% Asian and 83% NZ European (Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2018a; 2018b). Door-knocking was used to identify and recruit potential participants. The inclusion criteria were people who (i) self-identified as one of the seven included ethnic groups; (ii) were aged 65 years or over; (iii) were living in private residences; and (iv) had an informant willing to participate in the study. People living in long-term care facilities or retirement villages were excluded. Written consent was obtained from all participants and informants.

Instruments

We used the culturally and linguistically fair 10/66 dementia protocol for this feasibility study (Prince et al., 2007). The 10/66 dementia protocol has a sensitivity of up to 94% and a specificity of up to 97% in diagnosing dementia as reported in a global cross-cultural validation study (Prince et al., 2003). It has been translated and validated in multiple languages worldwide, including te reo Māori (Martinez-Ruiz et al., 2022), Hindi and Fijian-Hindi (Martinez-Ruiz & Krishnamurthi et al., 2021), Mandarin and Cantonese (Prince et al., 2003; Subramaniam et al., 2015). The 10/66 dementia protocol interview takes approximately 90 minutes to complete, and its main sections are described in Table 2. Its diagnostic algorithm uses scores obtained from: (i) the Community Screening Instrument (Hall et al., 2000), (ii) the verbal fluency test (Morris et al., 1989), (iii) the Consortium to

Participant	Cognitive Interview:	Cognitive Test:	Sociodemographic Questionnaire:
	GMS (Copeland et al., 1986) B3 generates hierarchically organized ICD10 (World Health Organisation, 1993) and DSM-IV diagnoses (American Psychiatric Association, 1994).	CSI-D (Hall et al., 2000) participant version; CE (Morris et al., 1989) w memory test (immedia delayed recall); CERAI fluency test; Neurolog examination - Palm-fis test from the Luria ba frontal lobe tasks;	Sociodemographic and risk FRAD factors questionnaire vord list (participant version). ate and D verbal ical t-hand ttery of
Informant	Informant Interview:		Sociodemographic Questionnaire:
Household	Brief informant history from the Client Service Receipt inventory 2000); Self-reported questionn Zarit Burden interview or ZBI et al., 1986); History and Aetic Copeland, 2001); Neuropsychi Questionnaire or NPI-Q (Kauf Questions about house and famil	CSI-D (Hall et al., 2000); v or CSRI (Chisholm et al., aire (Martin, 1999); The (Zarit et al., 1980; Zarit ology Schedule (Dewey & atric inventory er et al., 2000). y income	Sociodemographic and risk factors questionnaire (proxy version) ^a

Table 2. 10/66 dementia assessment sections.

Note: ICD = International Classification of Diseases; DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; GMS = Geriatric Mental State; CERAD = Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease; CSI-D = Community Screening Interview for Dementia.

^aProxy version was used if the main participant were unable to complete the participant version of Sociodemographic and risk factors questionnaire.

Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) word list memory test (Morris et al., 1989), and (iv) the Geriatric Mental State (GMS) interview (Copeland et al., 1986). The algorithm is processed in two sequential stages: in stage one the total scores for each component are calculated and in stage two the final diagnoses are arranged by a hierarchically structured imposed algorithm (Prince et al., 2007). The final binary outcome is "10/66 dementia case" or "10/66 non-dementia case."

Six feasibility questions were addressed in this study (Table 1) and the methods for each are described below:

Sampling to ensure adequate community representation from the included ethnic groups. A standard population-based sampling procedure (meshblock sampling and door-knocking) was used to identify and recruit a representative sample of participants from the seven ethnic groups. The expected number of dementia cases and the probabilities of finding them in adults aged 65+ in each of the main ethnic groups were calculated using sociodemographic data from the NZ Census information for every Statistics NZ statistical area in South Auckland (Statistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2019a; 2019b; 2019c). We selected geographical areas with the highest probability of finding people aged 65+ for each ethnicity, and then selected meshblocks within those areas that maximised the probability of finding older people from the main ethnic groups when door-knocking in that neighbourhood. Meshblocks are defined as "the smallest geographic unit for

which Statistics NZ has demographic information" (approximately 100 people) (Statistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2016a; 2016b). We selected two study areas each comprising up to 10–20 meshblocks (defined as Study Area 1 and Study Area 2) and door knocked all houses included in those areas. All older adults who met inclusion criteria (including those within the same household) were invited to participate in the study. Study Area 1 had a high probability of finding age 65+ adults from Māori, Samoan, Tongan and Indian backgrounds; while Study Area 2 had a higher probability of finding people from Chinese background. Both study areas had a moderately high NZ European population. We aimed to recruit 25 participants per ethnic group included in the study to test the accuracy of the sampling methods.

Table 1 lists the outcomes to be reported which include 2018 NZ Census expected vs found number of people in the selected areas, total number of people screened in the selected meshblocks, numbers of houses door knocked and answered, numbers of people who accepted and declined door-step interview, numbers of people aged 65+ in each ethnic group that agreed to be further contacted and their response rates.

Preparing a workforce to conduct the fieldwork and developing quality control. Lay interviewers were recruited using electronic resources such as university website or email or through contacts from people/students/health professionals known to the study's lead investigator or co-investigators. Interviewers were bicultural and bilingual, identified with at least one ethnicity of Māori, NZ European, Chinese, Indian, Fijian-Indian, Tongan and Samoan. Non-European interviewers were able to speak English and at least one ethnic group language fluently. For practical and safety reasons, the interviews were to be conducted by pairs of interviewers in which one interviewed the participant and the other the informant. The interviewers were fully trained by following culture-specific protocols for each ethnic group and the specific steps of the training process have been thoroughly described elsewhere (Martinez-Ruiz, Yates, et al., 2021). Quality control was carried out as part of the training. For example, interviewers practiced the 10/66 dementia protocol interviews with each other and with older volunteers, and constructive feedback was provided by the study's principal investigator and/or lead co-investigator and a dementia specialist. In addition, the first three 10/66 dementia protocol interviews with study participants were conducted also under their supervision.

For the purpose of the feasibility study, we report the interviewers' gender and ethnicity as well as the number and mean time duration of training sessions. The interviewers' feedback regarding the training, participant engagement and interviewing process are also reported. Interviewers' feedback was obtained via scheduled videoconference meetings for each ethnic group. The questions asked included: 1) are there any changes that you would recommend for the training? 2) are there any changes you would recommend for the interviews? 3) any things that worked well? 4) any things we need to add or do differently? 5) any specific cultural and/or language issues that arose during the process? 6) any issues we need to approach differently for cultural and/or language reasons? Additionally, feedback was obtained from interviewers throughout the process, both on an individual level and at regular update meetings. These meetings took place once per week throughout the duration of the data collection study phase. The interviewers' feedback was collected by a research assistant, transcribed verbatim and handed to the lead investigator or lead co-investigator. This allowed for specific issues to be raised and resolved during the period that the interviews were being conducted.

Raising awareness of the study in the community – participants and public involvement. We aimed to gather information that would inform the co-design of a study launch strategy for the main study.

Therefore in the feasibility study we engaged with communities through local non-governmental organisations serving older people in different ethnic groups, to raise awareness three to four months before the start of recruitment, and to devise a launch event for the feasibility study. These activities would aid strategy development for the main study.

Maximizing recruitment by door-knocking. We used door-knocking to recruit participants from the community. The doorknockers were bilingual and bicultural with the same ethnic backgrounds as the main ethnic groups living in the selected areas. Some were the study interviewers and we also recruited University students from the seven ethnic groups who were employed on a casual basis for this research project. Each door-knocking exercise was supervised by either a lead co-investigator or research assistant. People who answered the door were asked the questions presented in Table 3. All participants who answered our initial questions on the doorstep received a gift (a key ring) as a gesture of appreciation for their time. Recruitment was assessed by the total number of door-knocking sessions (and average time spent in total and by session), total number of door knockers involved (and average number of doorknockers involved per session) and total number of supervisors (and average number of supervisors involved per session).

Retaining those we recruited to the study at the door-knocking stage. After the potential participants and informants agreed to participate in the research, they were contacted to set up an appointment for the interview. The appointment was organized by one of the ethnic-specific lead co-investigators or someone designated by them. Taking into consideration what was most culturally appropriate, participants were contacted either face-to-face (preferred by Māori for example), by telephone, or both. In addition, and depending on the participant preferences, the communication was made either in their native language (te reo Māori, Mandarin/Cantonese, Hindi, Fijian-Hindi, Tongan or Samoan) or in English. We reported, in total and by ethnic group, the number of people contacted either by phone, face-to-face or both, preferred language used for contact and for interview, and completeness of interview data. Feedback was obtained from the interviewers regarding any issues raised

Table 3. Door-Knocking Initial Questionnaire.

- I. Is there anyone over the age of 65 living in the house?
- 2. Do you mind telling me how many people aged 65+ live here?
- 3. The survey will focus mostly on Māori and New Zealand European/Pacific/Asian people aged 65+ Are any of the people over 65 also Māori and New Zealand European/Pacific/Asian? Choose one below.
 - a. Do you mind telling me how many Māori aged 65+ live here?
 - b. Do you mind telling me how many NZ European aged 65+ live here?
 - c. Do you mind telling me how many Pacific people aged 65+ live here, and their ethnicity?
 - d. Do you mind telling me how many Asian people aged 65+ live here, and their ethnic
- 4. Do you think they/you might be willing to take part in a survey with some (Māori/New Zealand European/ Samoan/Tongan/Fijian indian/Chinese) researchers who would come back in a few weeks' time.

No commitment just want to know if you think they might be willing.

5. Is there also someone who knows them well that you think might be willing to take part in a survey with some (Māori/NZ European/Samoan/Tongan/Fijian-Indian/Chinese) researchers who would come back in a few weeks' time.

No commitment, I just want to know if you might be willing.

If willing to take part on the study we asked for: Name, address, phone, language.

during the consent process or pre-interview cultural protocols. A gift of \$100 (NZD) was given to each family that participated in the full 10/66 interview as recognition and appreciation of the time involved.

Acceptability of study recruitment and assessment in different ethnic groups. Feedback from the participants was obtained after the 10/66 dementia protocol interview, and included the following questions: (i) Did the interview go okay? (ii) Were there any things you really enjoyed about the interview? (iii) Was there anything that worried you? and (iv) Do you have any other comments? The questionnaire administration, and gift management were also assessed to evaluate the acceptability of the study recruitment and assessment. The feedback was obtained either immediately following the interview or via a follow up phone call or by a follow-up face-to-face visit. The responses were recorded verbatim by the interviewers and the main issues identified were summarised and reported in the results.

Data Analysis

Descriptive analysis was used to report the overall findings and by ethnic groups. Means, standard deviations are reported for continuous variables, while rates, percentages and proportions were reported for categorical variables. Dementia diagnosis was made using the 10/66 dementia diagnostic algorithm described previously (Martinez-Ruiz & Krishnamurthi et al., 2021). Deductive thematic analysis was used for textual data.

Results

Sampling to Ensure Adequate Community Representation From the Included Ethnic Groups

Table 4 presents the expected number of age 65+ adults living in Study Area 1 and 2 derived from 2018 Census data (Statistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2019b) and the actual number of age 65+ adults found at door-knocking. Using the combined results of Study Area 1 and 2, we found fewer Māori and NZ Europeans aged 65+ at door-knocking than expected based on the 2018 NZ Census; whereas the numbers of Fijian-Indians and Indians aged 65+ were higher than expected, and the numbers of Chinese, Samoan and Tongan people aged 65+ at door-knocking were approximately the same as the 2018 NZ Census data.

A total of 1,607 houses were door-knocked in the selected areas. Of these, 297 potential participants met the inclusion criteria in the 1278 (89.1%) houses that answered, and 224/297 (75.5%) agreed to be further contacted. The retention and decline rate at follow-up, proportion of baseline participants that agreed to be interviewed, final interview response rate as well as the 10/66 dementia rate are described in Figure 2.

Preparing a Workforce to Conduct the Fieldwork and Developing Quality Control

A total of 36 interviewers were recruited for the feasibility study, and 25 (69.4%) were female. We initially recruited Tongan medical students to interview in Tongan communities however the Pacific expert advisory group felt that this would not be culturally appropriate because younger people should not interview older people about sensitive subjects. They advised that well-respected older women in the community were recruited as interviewers instead. Consequently, we outsourced the

	Study Area I Expected Number	Study Area I Number at Door- Knocking	Study Area 2 Expected Number	Study Area 2 Number at Door- Knocking	Total Expected Number	Total Number at Door-Knocking
Māori	39	15	0	6	39	21
Non-Māori						
NZ European	72	27	36	23	108	50
Indian	12	7	24	49	36	56
Fijian-Indian	0	23	9	12	9	35
Chinese	3	3	75	69	78	72
Samoan	48	38	0	0	48	38
Tongan	42	25	0	0	42	25

 Table 4.
 Expected Number of Aged 65+ Adults Living in the Studied Areas According to 2018 New Zealand

 Census Data Versus the Number of People Found at Door-Knocking.



Figure 2. Recruitment processes. hh = number of houses, p = number of participants.

Samoan and Tongan arms of the study to a local Pacific research group, Moana Connect (https://www.moanaconnect.co.nz), in order to maximise recruitment and retention.

The average number of training sessions for all ethnic groups was 3.4, and the average time spent per training session was 3.2 hours. The results per ethnic group are described in Table 5.

Feedback from the interviewers was classified into five main topics:

- a. Translation, adaptation and administration of the questionnaires: (i) The appropriateness of some of the topics in the questionnaires might be taboo in some cultures, for example, suicidal ideation and questions regarding sexuality, (ii) Continuing concerns about stigma around dementia in some of the communities and whether this would affect recruitment.
- b. Interviewers' dementia knowledge: Some study participants wanted to learn more about dementia and available support but interviewers were unable to provide this information. Interviewers would benefit from some basic dementia training and be provided with translated written information about dementia which could been given as a "gift" to thank the participants.
- c. Interviewer and study participant safety: The importance of interviewing in pairs for safety reasons was acknowledged but was sometimes inconvenient for arranging interviews due to conflict in availability of interviewers (who were employed on a casual basis). The participants' time commitments and their own conflict with time schedules of interviewers was also raised.
- d. Engagement with participants: There was a need to provide more training to the doorknockers and interviewers on how to present the research as friendly and time-efficient to potential participants because (i) some participants/families were concerned about being "scammed" by doorknockers; (ii) there were concerns about confidentiality such as how the research results will be used and shared; (iii) some potential participants were concerned about time commitments and perceived the interview as too long.
- e. Improving logistics and follow-up: Interviewers made suggestions to improve logistics regarding scheduling and follow-up on interviews, interviewers, and participants' availability. Some of the potential participants may have lost interest in the study if they were not followed up promptly (however we were also limited by a lengthy COVID-19 lockdown in this respect).

		Non-Māori						
	Māori	NZ European	Chinese	Indian	Fijian- Indian	Samoan	Tongan	Total
	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
Number of interviewers	4	4	7	6	3	7	5	36
Interviewer gender (f)	4	2	5	3	3	5	3	25
Number of training sessions	5	3	3	4	3	3	3	24
Time per training session (hours) ^a	2.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0
Total training time (hours)	12.5	10.5	10.5	12.0	10.5	10.5	10.5	77
Feedback obtained (n)	Y (3)	Y (4)	Y (4)	Y (4)	Y (3)	Y (7)	Y (5)	30

Table 5. Sociodemographic and Training Characteristics of Interviewers.

^aMean time.

Raising Awareness of the Study in the Community – Participants and Public Involvement

We initially raised awareness and informed older people in the selected ethnic groups about the study by offering dementia education sessions through organisations providing care for older people in those groups, e.g. Dementia Auckland (www.dementiaauckland.org.nz), Chinese Positive Ageing Charitable Trust, Shanti Niwas Day centre for Indian elders (https://shantiniwas.org.nz/dayprogrammes), and Vaka Tautua (https://www.vakatautua.co.nz/). We also organised a multiethnic feasibility study launch at the University marae (sacred Maori meeting house) and invited members from the different communities to contribute with traditional music and dance from their own cultures. The study launch was very popular and generated much enthusiasm, but we also found that it caused some confusion about who was eligible for the study, and there was disappointment for some who were not eligible to participate because we were targeting specific geographical areas. We addressed this by making leaflet drops (which included all the languages of the study) to mailboxes in the selected areas which was much more effective in targeting the appropriate sampling frame. The leaflets were produced in English and the other major languages of each area (te reo Māori, Samoan, Tongan, and Hindi in Study Area 1; Chinese and Hindi/Fijian-Hindi in Study Area 2). The leaflet content included introducing the principal investigator, an outline of the study and an invitation to participate. Most study participants that we spoke to on the doorstep told us they knew about the study beforehand due to the leaflet delivered to their mailboxes by the study team. Feedback sessions about the study were conducted in the same local non-governmental organisations serving older people in different ethnic groups and a cross-cultural interest group was conducted with Asian health professionals regarding the best ways to conduct dementia research in their communities (Cheung et al., 2019).

Maximizing Recruitment by Door-Knocking

We conducted a total of 39 door-knocking sessions in Study Area 1 and Study Area 2, which took a total time of 72.2 hr. Each door-knocking session lasted for an average of 1.8 hr (\pm 0.62). A total of 34 doorknockers were recruited for the study, and an average of 5 doorknockers participated in each session. There were five supervisors in total and at least one supervisor per session. The specific features of the door-knocking sessions by study area are described in Table 6.

Retaining Those Recruited to the Study

Out of 224 potential participants who agreed to be followed up after the door-knocking, 194 were followed up by telephone, 25 by face-to-face contact, and 5 required both telephone and face-to-face approaches. Sixty-six participants selected English as the preferred follow-up language, and 158 participants preferred follow-up in their own (non-English) language.

Of the potential participants, only 75/224 (33.4%) were interviewed; many changed their minds between the door-knocking stage and the telephone call stage when the full 10/66 dementia protocol interview was arranged. The reasons for decline were mostly due to the time commitment required and the availability of an informant, but also due to fears about potential COVID-19 infection (despite elimination of the virus in NZ at this point in time). In other cases, the participants met the inclusion criteria, the interview was subsequently arranged, but the informant did not show up to the interview due to time commitments and availability and the interview had to be classified as incomplete (Figure 2). The percentage of attrition between the door-knocking stage and full interview ranged between 35% for Māori and 84% for the Samoan group. Attrition between door-knocking

	Study Area I	Study Area 2	TOTAL
Total number of sessions	22	17	39
Sessions conducted in the morning (9–12)	2	10	12
Sessions conducted in the afternoon (12–18)	20	7	27
Total time spent (hours)	44.2	28	72.2
Time spent per session (hours)	2.0 (±0.7)	I.6 (±0.42)	I.8 (±0.62)
Total doorknockers involved ^a	23	27	34
Mean doorknockers per session	5 (±2)	4 (±2)	5 (±2)
Total number of supervisors	5	5	5ª (
Average number of supervisors per door knocking session	I–2	I–2	I–2

Table 6. Door Knocking Sessions Characteristics.

^aSome doorknockers and supervisors participated in both areas.

and complete 10/66 dementia protocol interview appeared to be least for Maori but would have been 70% if the 10/66 dementia protocol interviews without an informant were excluded. Of the 75 participants who went on to have the 10/66 dementia protocol interview, 30 participants chose English, and 45 chose their native language as the preferred language for the interview. The recruitment, retention processes and results are described in Figure 2, and Table 7 summarizes the results by ethnic group. Most of the Samoan (30/38) and Tongan (22/25) participants agreed to be further contacted at the door-knocking stage. However, only 6/52 Samoan and Tongan families agreed to have the 10/66 dementia protocol interview when they were contacted after the doorknocking sessions. The Samoan and Tongan interviewers reported that, in addition to the time commitment, many Pacific families were "too polite" to decline at the door-knocking stage; while some did not want to have interviewers come into their homes mainly due to fear of COVID-19 infection (Pacific peoples being at highest risk and outbreaks occurring mainly in South Auckland at the time); others were concerned that their driving licence might be taken away if they were found to have poor memory. The average time to complete the consent form was 15 mins (\pm 5), and no difficulties were reported with reading the consent form in various languages. The mean time spent in pre-interview protocol/introductions, and mean time spent per interview, the preferred form of koha (gift), and the percentage providing feedback, varied by ethnic group and are presented in Table 7.

Acceptability of Study Recruitment and Assessment in Different Ethnic Groups

All participants responded in the affirmative to the feedback question: "did the interview go okay?" To the question, "were there any things you really enjoyed about the interview?" two participants in the NZ European group said they did not enjoy the interview but did not elaborate further on the reasons. To the question "was there anything that worried you?" four Māori participants expressed being worried about their final cognitive test score, one NZ European participant responded that it was "a long interview," and another was "worried about being able to answer the questions." Most of the non-European participants expressed their appreciation for having the opportunity to be interviewed in their own language. Most expressed gratitude for the supermarket voucher given at the end of the interview, but some of the Pacific participants expressed a preference for cash instead as they did not use the supermarket. Feedback response rate by ethnic group is summarized in Table 7.

		Non-Maori						
	Māori	NZ European	Chinese	Fijian-Indian	Indian	Samoan	Tongan	Total
	Ē	с	۲	۲	c	۲	c	u (%)
People over 65	21	50	72	35	56	38	25	297
Declined to be further contacted at initial interview	_	16	81	ъ	22	8	m	73 (24.5) ^a
Agreed to be further contacted at initial interview	20	34	54	30	34	30	22	224 (75.5) ^a
Method used for following up								
Phone	9	34	54	30	34	16	20	194(86.6) ^b
Face to face	4	0	0	0	0	=	0	25 (11.2) ^b
Both phone and face to face	0	0	0	0	0	m	2	5 (2.2) ^b
Language used for following up								
English	61	34	2	0	0	0	_	66 (29.4) ^b
Other	_	0	52	30	34	20	21	158 (70.6) ^b
Declined to be interviewed after follow-up contact	6	61	33	20	25	24	22	149 (66.5) ^b
Interviewed	4	15	21	0	6	9	0	75 (33.4) ^b
Participants								
Gender (f)	7	6	01	2	4	4		36 (48.0) ^c
Age \overline{X}	73.7	72	71.4	73.I	77.4	68.8		72.7 (±2.9)
Marital status (married)	6	6	16	6	œ	٣		51 (68.0) ^c
Participants without informant	9	_	0	0	0	_		8 (10.6) ^c
Informants								
Gender (f)	6	S	=	8	S	m		38 (56.7) ^e
Age X	48.6	61.3	66.2	68.2	69.5	46.2		60.0 (±10.2)
Relationship (spouse/partner)	2	8	16	6	8	_		44 (65.6) ^e
Language used in the interview								
English	13	15	2	0	0	0		30 (40.0) ^c
Other	_	0	61	0	6	9		45 (60.0) ^c
Mean time per interview (minutes)	160 (±10)	133 (±24)	90 (±18)	133 (±21)	134 (±15)	180 (±16)		I48 (±16)
Mean time spent of korero ^d (minutes)	23 (±8)	8 (±2.5)	7 (±2.5)	12 (±2.5)	I2 (±2.5)	22 (±7.5)		I4 (±7.5)
Preferred form of koha (gift)	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Cash		
								(continued)

-
-0
d)
≝
_
~
·=
=
<u> </u>
0
~
0
-
J
J
<u> </u>
с
<u>م</u>
е 7 . (
e 7. (
le 7. (
ble 7. (
ble 7. (
able 7. (
Table 7. (
Table 7. (

		Non-Māori						
	Māori	NZ European	Chinese	Fijian-Indian	Indian	Samoan	Tongan	Total
	ч	L	ч	Ľ	ч	c	с	n (%)
Complete interviews	9	15	21	01	6	9	I	67 (89.3) ^c
10/66 Dementia diagnosis	0	2	2	2	_	_	I	8 (11.9) ^e
Clinical diagnosis (incomplete interviews) ^f	2	_	0	0	0	0		3 (4.0) ⁶
10/66 Dementia diagnosis and/or clinical diagnosis	2	m	2	2	_	_	I	II (14.6) ^c
Feedback n (%)	<u>+</u>	13	20	7	6	68		63 (84.0) ^c
^a Percentage calculated over total people over 65. ^b Percentage calculated over total people who agreed to be	further cont	acted at initial inter	view.					

^Percentage calculated over total people who were interviewed. $^{\rm d}{\rm Korero:}$ introductions and speeches.

^ePercentage calculated over complete interviews. ^fPeople with clinical diagnosis made by the memory clinic. ^gFeedback obtained from the interviewers and supervisors.

Discussion

Our results showed that a dementia prevalence study is feasible in NZ, however there are significant challenges conducting such a study in a multi-ethnic community. We found that the probability sampling strategy using NZ Census data was reasonably accurate and all ethnic groups were sampled effectively. We demonstrated that we were able to train up a multi-ethnic workforce consisting of bi-cultural bi-lingual lay interviewers who were able to administer the 10/66 dementia protocol in community settings. The response rate (224/297, 75.5%) at the door-knocking stage was good but attrition at subsequent stages was high and only 75/297 (25.2%) received the full interview. The low response rate might result in selection bias, so recruitment methods would need to be adjusted in a full study to maximise data collection. The decline rate at first contact (24.5%) and at follow-up (66.5%) suggests that we need to maximise data collection at first contact. For example, this might include a short cognitive test at the door-step in those who consent, which, although not providing a diagnosis, might indicate sources of bias and/or potential prioritisation for further stages.

Our findings mirrored other studies in which ethnic minorities are less likely to participate in clinical and epidemiological studies (Fisher & Kalbaugh, 2011; Konkel, 2015; Milani et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2020). For example, a systematic review of barriers and facilitators to minority research participation in the US (George et al., 2014) found that the key participation barriers faced by ethnic minority groups are mistrust and consequent fear of participation, stigma related to research participation, and competing demands. Another study found that Hispanic individuals were less willing to participate in studies that may be seen as invasive or demanding (Milani et al., 2021). It has been also reported that due to lack of trust in research and language barriers, Asian Americans were less willing than other racial groups to participate in health research (Liu et al., 2019). Similar to our study, the Cognitive Function and Ageing Study I and II (Gao et al., 2015), that included older people aged 65 years and over, found that at follow up the decline rate was higher (45.3%) compared to the first phase of the study (18.3%), and reported that deprivation status and female sex were more likely to predict decline in the follow up phase. Occupation, education, health, and attitudes to medical science and belief have been also described as factors influencing the participation willingness of ethnic minorities in research (Smart & Harrison, 2017).

High rates of attrition in our study were partly due to unavailability of informants living at the same residence. Statistics New Zealand have reported that, along with NZ Europeans, Māori have the highest rates of people over the age of 65 living alone (Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2016a; 2016b), thus making it more difficult to find an informant. A cross-sectional study amongst older adults living in NZ reported that Māori and NZ Europeans had the highest rates of people living alone by 38% and 52% respectively, when compared to Pacific Peoples (16%) and Asians (18%) (Jamieson et al., 2018). The Health, Work, and Retirement Study conducted in NZ found that older Māori were more likely to feel lonely, and had weaker perceptions of social support when compared to non-Māori (Stephens et al., 2010). A recent study on frailty in older people were referred for home care services in NZ, reported higher rates of Māori participants who were widowed, separated, never married, and divorced compared to other ethnic groups included in the study (Abey-Nesbit et al., 2021). A more flexible approach might be required to gather informant information and maximise participation, for example re-visiting homes at alternative times, accepting alternative informants, and/or interviewing the informants by telephone.

Although Pacific participants were more likely to have an informant living at home, attrition was highest amongst the Samoan and Tongan communities. The feedback received from the Pacific interviewers and their supervisors' included concerns about stigma around dementia in these communities, participants and relatives having other time commitments, and avoiding interviewers (despite multiple attempts to contact them). It was also reported that the method of recruitment was not culturally appropriate for Pacific communities and that more work was required to co-design effective recruitment strategies in these communities. The Samoan and Tongan interviewers highlighted the importance that, in future studies, engaging community leaders (e.g., church leaders) and identifying other sources for disseminating information about the research to give the study more credibility in the Pacific communities. In addition, the length of the interview and some questions included in the interview were considered taboo and not culturally appropriate. Interviews lasted for over 3 hr in some cases, and the time reported to finish the interviews in the Samoan group was the longest of all ethnic groups. This was due to an increased time required to i) develop relatedness and trust before the interview commenced, ii) further elaborate on terminology and explain terms in the appropriate languages. Due to the lengthy interviews, participants often would feel tired or unable to answer questions and expressed their wishes not to continue with the interview. Also, some difficulties were identified during the interview regarding Samoan and Tongan translations used in the 10/66 interview. For example, there is no Samoan term for 'depression'. There is stigma associated with some topics, for example, the negative transliteration of the term "mental" - even when it means "mental wellbeing". The Samoan Expert Advisory Group (SEAG) emphasised the need for interviewers to elaborate on certain questions that may not be immediately clear to participants to prevent confusion among participants and informants. These issues have been identified in other studies requiring cross-cultural adaptation of similar surveys (Farina et al., 2022). Providing consistent context across the interview process would ensure responses captured by the families are as accurate as possible. The issues found in the Samoan and Tongan groups might be mitigated by raising awareness (and reducing stigma) around dementia in Pacific communities, developing culturally appropriate tools and models of care to assess cognitive decline in those families, and further Pacific-led research with regards to dementia.

Although our over-sampling for non-European ethnic groups was successful, we found a larger than expected number of Māori, Chinese, Fijian-Indian and Indian participants in one of the study areas, and a larger than expected number of Fijian-Indian participants in the other study area, compared to the predicted numbers calculated using the 2018 NZ Census data (Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2016a; 2016a). These findings are important for future studies as the accuracy of the NZ Census is essential for sample calculation by area. The discrepancies found between our results and the 2018 NZ Census might be explained within intrinsic factors in 2018 NZ Census such as response rate (2018 NZ Census 83.3% compared to 92.2% for the 2013) (Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa, 2019a; 2019b; 2019c), or extrinsic factors such as the rate of residential mobility among the studied populations, (e.g. the 2018 CM Health Census reported that only 39% of CM Health residents were living in the same house as they were 5 years before the census, which in term can indicate a high rate of residential mobility)(Lees et al., 2021).

Regarding awareness-raising we found that targeting the specific geographical areas was likely more effective than a broader approach through local organisations, radio or social media, but the latter methods may be more suited to a fully powered dementia prevalence study. In particular our interviewers fed back that dementia knowledge about dementia was poor (for both interviewers and participants) so an overall strategy to improve dementia education might be beneficial and would help the interviewers to collect complete data and encourage continued participation (Lavrakas, 2008).

There are some other limitations that need to be acknowledged:

(i) The study was designed to address feasibility issues and was not a pilot study designed to provide data for subsequent sample size calculation for a larger fully-powered study (Browne, 1995; Julious, 2005; Sim & Lewis, 2012), however our finding that approximately 14.6% of people met diagnostic criteria for dementia (see table 7) is aligned with previous similar studies (Prince et al., 2003).

- (ii) Due to the unavailability of bilingual bicultural researchers and interviewers, and budget constraints, not all of the major ethnic groups in New Zealand were included in the study, thus the findings may not be applicable to the overall NZ population.
- (iii) We only included people aged 65 years or over but we know that future studies will need to include a younger population as there is some evidence that certain ethnic groups (e.g. Māori and Pacific peoples) are at higher risk of developing dementia at a younger age due to higher prevalence of modifiable risk factors (Cullum et al., 2018).
- (iv) This study only included people recruited from people living in the community, but a fully powered dementia prevalence should also include populations from different settings (e.g. long-term care facilities and retirement villages).
- (v) Our study was carried out in a multi-ethnic urban area, but different strategies may be required to recruit participants in rural areas in NZ.
- (vi) The Samoan and Tongan versions of the 10/66 dementia protocols used in this study were not adequately validated due to spectrum bias, as the participants in the (unpublished) validity studies had more severe dementia and very few had mild dementia, causing spuriously high sensitivity and specificity. Further research is required if the 10/66 dementia protocol is to be used for a prevalence study in the NZ Pacific communities.

Conclusion

Overall, our study showed that it would be feasible to conduct a population-based dementia prevalence study using the 10/66 dementia protocol in Māori and some non-Māori communities (NZ Europeans, Chinese, Indian and Fijian-Indians). The study has demonstrated that recruitment and interviewing in the Samoan and Tongan communities will require an alternative more culturally appropriate approach. Our study has demonstrated the benefits of recruiting a qualified, skilled research team that is representative of the families participating in the study. NZ-specific robust evidence regarding the number of people affected by dementia, as well as its risk factors, caregiver distress, and financial implications on families are needed to develop culturally informed policies. A national prevalence study will help to address those issues and to raise public awareness about dementia. It will also help to develop culturally appropriate services that support families living with dementia in all NZ communities.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Brain Research New Zealand, Health Research Council of New Zealand.

Ethical Approval

The Living with Dementia in Aotearoa (LiDiA): a feasibility study for a dementia prevalence study in Māori and Non-Māori living in New Zealand was approved by the Northern A Health and Disability Ethics Committee,

Number: 18NTA176. The findings will be disseminated through peer-reviewed academic journals, national and international conferences, and public events.

ORCID iD

Adrian Martinez-Ruiz D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4974-6674

References

- Abey-Nesbit, R., Peel, N. M., Matthews, H., Hubbard, R. E., Nishtala, P. S., Bergler, U., Deely, J. M., Pickering, J. W., Schluter, P. J., Jamieson, H. A., & Jamieson, H. A. (2021). Frailty of Māori, Pasifika, and non-Māori/ non-Pasifika older people in New Zealand: A national population study of older people referred for home care services. *The journals of gerontology. Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*, 76(6), 1101–1107. DOI: 10.1093/gerona/glaa265
- American Psychiatric Association (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-IV.* 4th ed. American Psychiatric Association.
- Browne, R. H. (1995). On the use of a pilot sample for sample size determination. *Statistics in medicine*, *14*(17), 1933–1940. DOI: 10.1002/sim.4780141709
- Cheung, G., Appleton, K., Boyd, M., & Cullum, S. (2019). Perspectives of dementia from asian communities living in New Zealand: A focus group of asian health care professionals. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 34(12), 1758–1764. DOI: 10.1002/gps.5189
- Cheung, G., To, E., Rivera-Rodriguez, C., Ma'u, E., Chan, A. H. Y., Ryan, B., & Cullum, S. (2022). Dementia prevalence estimation among the main ethnic groups in New Zealand: A population-based descriptive study of routinely collected health data. *BMJ Open*, 12(9), Article e062304. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062304
- Chisholm, D., Knapp, M. R., Knudsen, H. C., Amaddeo, F., Gaite, L., & van Wijngaarden, B. (2000). Client socio-demographic and service receipt inventory – European version: Development of an instrument for international research: EPSILON study 5. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 177(39), s28–s33. DOI: 10.1192/ bjp.177.39.s28
- Copeland, J. R., Dewey, M. E., & Griffiths-Jones, H. M. (1986). A computerized psychiatric diagnostic system and case nomenclature for elderly subjects: GMS and AGECAT. *Psychological Medicine*, 16(1), 89–99. DOI: 10.1017/s0033291700057779
- Cullum, S., Mullin, K., Zeng, I., Yates, S., Payman, V., Fisher, M., & Cheung, G. (2018). Do communitydwelling Māori and Pacific peoples present with dementia at a younger age and at a later stage compared with NZ Europeans? *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 33(8), 1098–1104. DOI: 10.1002/gps. 4898
- Deloitte Report for Alzheimer's New Zealand (2017). Updated Dementia Economic Impact Report 2016. New Zealand 2017. [Available from: https://www.alzheimers.org.nz/getmedia/79f7fd09-93fe-43b0-a837-771027bb23c0/Economic-Impacts-of-Dementia-2017.pdf/]
- Dewey, M. E., & Copeland, J. R. (2001). Diagnosis of dementia from the history and aetiology schedule. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 16(9), 912–917. DOI: 10.1002/gps.446
- Dudley, M., Menzies, O., Elder, H., Nathan, L., Garrett, N., & Wilson, D. (2019). Mate wareware: Understanding 'dementia' from a Māori perspective. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 132(1503), 66–74. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31581183
- Ellison-Loschmann, L., & Pearce, N. (2006). Improving access to health care among New Zealand's Maori population. *American Journal of Public Health*, *96*(4), 612–617. DOI: 10.2105/ajph.2005.070680
- Farina, N., Jacobs, R., Sani, T. P., Schneider, M., Theresia, I., Turana, Y., Fitri, F. I., Albanese, E., Lorenz-Dant, K., Docrat, S., Toit, P. D., Ferri, C. P., Govia, I., Comas-Herrera, A., Ibnidris, A., Knapp, M., & Banerjee, S. (2022). Description of the cross-cultural process adopted in the STRiDE (STrengthening responses to dementia in DEveloping countries) program: A methodological overview. *Alzheimer's and Dementia (Amsterdam, Netherlands)*, 14(1), e12293. DOI: 10.1002/dad2.12293
- Feigin, V. L., Krishnamurthi, R. V., Barker-Collo, S., McPherson, K. M., Barber, P. A., Parag, V., Arroll, B., Bennett, D. A., Tobias, M., Jones, A., Witt, E., Brown, P., Abbott, M., Bhattacharjee, R., Rush, E., Suh,

F. M., Theadom, A., Rathnasabapathy, Y., Te Ao, B., & Bonita, R. (2015). Thirty-Year trends in stroke rates and outcome in Auckland, New Zealand (1981–2012): A multi-ethnic population-based series of studies. *PLoS One*, *10*(8), Article e0134609. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134609

- Fisher, J. A., & Kalbaugh, C. A. (2011). Challenging assumptions about minority participation in US clinical research. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(12), 2217–2222. DOI: 10.2105/ajph.2011.300279
- Gao, L., Green, E., Barnes, L. E., Brayne, C., Matthews, F. E., Robinson, L., & Arthur, A.Medical Research Council Cognitive Function and Ageing Collaboration (2015). Changing non-participation in epidemiological studies of older people: Evidence from the cognitive function and ageing study I and II. Age and ageing, 44(5), 867–873. DOI: 10.1093/ageing/afv101
- George, S., Duran, N., & Norris, K. (2014). A systematic review of barriers and facilitators to minority research participation among African Americans, Latinos, Asian Americans, and Pacific Islanders. *American journal* of public health, 104(2), Article e16–31. DOI: 10.2105/ajph.2013.301706
- Graham, R., & Masters-Awatere, B. (2020). Experiences of Māori of Aotearoa New Zealand's public health system: A systematic review of two decades of published qualitative research. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 44(3), 193–200. DOI: 10.1111/1753-6405.12971
- Hall, K. S., Gao, S., Emsley, C. L., Ogunniyi, A. O., Morgan, O., & Hendrie, H. C. (2000). Community screening interview for dementia (CSI 'D'); performance in five disparate study sites. *International Journal* of Geriatric Psychiatry, 15(6), 521–531. DOI: 10.1002/1099-1166(200006)15:6<521::aid-gps182>3.0.co; 2-f
- Jamieson, H. A., Gibson, H. M., Abey-Nesbit, R., Ahuriri-Driscoll, A., Keeling, S., & Schluter, P. J. (2018). Profile of ethnicity, living arrangements and loneliness amongst older adults in Aotearoa New Zealand: A national cross-sectional study. *Australasian journal on ageing*, 37(1), 68–73. DOI: 10.1111/ajag.12496
- Joshy, G., & Simmons, D. (2006). Epidemiology of diabetes in New Zealand: Revisit to a changing landscape. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 119(1235), U1999. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/16751823
- Julious, S. A. (2005). Sample size of 12 per group rule of thumb for a pilot study. *Pharmaceutical Statistics*, 4(4), 287–291. DOI: 10.1002/pst.185
- Kaufer, D. I., Cummings, J. L., Ketchel, P., Smith, V., MacMillan, A., Shelley, T., Lopez, O. L., DeKosky, S. T., & DeKosky, S. T. (2000). Validation of the NPI-Q, a brief clinical form of the neuropsychiatric inventory. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 12(2), 233–239. DOI: 10.1176/jnp.12.2.233
- Konkel, L. (2015). Racial and ethnic disparities in research studies: The challenge of creating more diverse cohorts. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 123(12), A297–A302. DOI: 10.1289/ehp.123-A297.
- Lavrakas, P. (2008). Encyclopedia of survey research methods. SAGE Publications, Inc. In. DOI: 10.4135/ 9781412963947.
- Lees, J., Lee, M., & Winnard, D. (2021). *Demographic profile: 2018 census, population of counties manukau*. Counties Manukau Health.
- Liu, Y., Elliott, A., Strelnick, H., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., & Cottler, L. B. (2019). Asian Americans are less willing than other racial groups to participate in health research. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, 3(2– 3), 90–96. DOI: 10.1017/cts.2019.372
- Ma'u, E., Cullum, S., Yates, S., Te Ao, B., Cheung, G., Burholt, V., Dudley, M., Krishnamurthi, R., & Kerse, N. (2021). *Dementia economic impact report 2020*. Retrieved from Auckland New Zealand. University of Auckland
- Martin, A. J. (1999). Assessing the multidimensionality of the 12-item general health questionnaire. *Psychological reports*, 84(3 Pt 1), 927–935. DOI: 10.2466/pr0.1999.84.3.927
- Martinez-Ruiz, A., Cullum, S., Cheung, G., Yates, S., Krishnamurthi, R., Rodriguez, C. R., Kerse, N., & Dudley, M. (2022). Diagnostic accuracy of 10/66 dementia protocol in Māori kaumātua (elders) living in Aotearoa New Zealand. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 135(1548), 42–53. Retrieved from https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35728129
- Martinez-Ruiz, A., Huang, Y., Gee, S., Jamieson, H., & Cheung, G. (2020). Individual risk factors for possible undetected dementia amongst community-dwelling older people in New Zealand. *Dementia (London)*, 19(3), 750–765. DOI: 10.1177/1471301218786277

- Martinez-Ruiz, A., Krishnamurthi, R., Dahiya, E. S., Rai-Bala, R., Naicker, S., Yates, S., Rivera Rodriguez, C., Cheung, G., Dudley, M., Kerse, N., & Cullum, S. (2021). Diagnostic accuracy of 10/66 dementia protocol in Fijian-Indian elders living in New Zealand. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(9), 4870. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18094870
- Martinez-Ruiz, A., Yates, S., Cheung, G., Dudley, M., Krishnamurthi, R., Fa'alau, F., Roberts, M., Taufa, S., Fa'alili-Fidow, J., Rivera-Rodriguez, C., Kautoke, S., Ma'u, E., Kerse, N., & Cullum, S. (2021). Living with dementia in Aotearoa (LiDiA): A cross-sectional feasibility study protocol for a multiethnic dementia prevalence study in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *BMJ Open*, 11(5), Article e046143. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046143
- Milani, S. A., Swain, M., Otufowora, A., Cottler, L. B., & Striley, C. W. (2021). Willingness to participate in health research among community-dwelling middle-aged and older adults: Does race/ethnicity matter? *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*, 8(3), 773–782. DOI: 10.1007/s40615-020-00839-y
- Morris, J. C., Heyman, A., Mohs, R. C., Hughes, J. P., van Belle, G., Fillenbaum, G., Mellits, E. D., & Clark, C. (1989). The Consortium to establish a Registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD). Part I. Clinical and neuropsychological assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, 39(9), 1159–1165. DOI: 10.1212/wnl. 39.9.1159
- Patel, S. N., Staples, J. N., Garcia, C., Chatfield, L., Ferriss, J. S., & Duska, L. (2020). Are ethnic and racial minority women less likely to participate in clinical trials? *Gynecologic oncology*, 157(2), 323–328. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.01.040
- Prince, M., Acosta, D., Chiu, H., Scazufca, M., & Varghese, M.10/66 Dementia Research Group (2003). Dementia diagnosis in developing countries: A cross-cultural validation study. *Lancet*, 361(9361), 909–917. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)12772-9
- Prince, M., Ferri, C. P., Acosta, D., Albanese, E., Arizaga, R., Dewey, M., Gavrilova, S. I., Guerra, M., Huang, Y., Jacob, K. S., Krishnamoorthy, E. S., McKeigue, P., Rodriguez, J. L., Salas, A., Sosa, A. L., Sousa, R. M. M., Stewart, R., & Uwakwe, R. (2007). The protocols for the 10/66 dementia research group population-based research programme. *BMC Public Health*, 7, 165. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2458-7-165
- Sim, J., & Lewis, M. (2012). The size of a pilot study for a clinical trial should be calculated in relation to considerations of precision and efficiency. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 65(3), 301–308. DOI: 10. 1016/j.jclinepi.2011.07.011
- Simmons, D., Harry, T., & Gatland, B. (1999). Prevalence of known diabetes in different ethnic groups in inner urban South Auckland. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 112(1094), 316–319.
- Smart, A., & Harrison, E. (2017). The under-representation of minority ethnic groups in UK medical research. *Ethnicity and Health*, 22(1), 65–82. DOI: 10.1080/13557858.2016.1182126
- Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2016a). Statistical standard for meshblock. Retrieved from Wellington www.stats.govt.nz
- Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2016b). Two's a crowd: Living alone in New Zealand (pdf). Retrieved from Wellington: http://www.stats.govt.nz/~/media/Statistics/browse-categories/people-andcommunities/well-being/social-connectedness/social-networks/living-alone/living-alone.pdf
- Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2018a). Population New Zealand: Statistics New Zealand. Retrieved from https://www.stats.govt.nz/topics/ethnicity
- Statistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2018b). Statistics about ethnicity give information by the ethnic groups that people identify with or feel they belong to. Retrieved from https://www.stats.govt.nz/topics/ethnicity
- Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2019a). 2018 census collection response rates unacceptably low. Retrieved from https://www.stats.govt.nz/methods/2018-census-collection-response-rates-unacceptably-low
- Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2019b). 2018 Census data user guide. Retrieved from Wellington https://www.stats.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Methods/2018-Census-data-user-guide/2018-censusdata-user-guide.pdf
- Statsistics New Zealand-Tatauranga Aotearoa. (2019c). 2018 Census ethnic groups summaries. Retrieved from Wellington.

- Stephens, C., Alpass, F., & Towers, A. (2010). Economic hardship among older people in New Zealand: The effects of low living standards on social support, loneliness, and mental health. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology (Online)*, 39(2), 49–55. https://www.psychology.org.nz/journal-archive/NZJP-Vol392-2010-6-Stephens.pdf
- Subramaniam, M., Chong, S. A., Vaingankar, J. A., Abdin, E., Chua, B. Y., Chua, H. C., Eng, G. K., Heng, D., Hia, S. B., Huang, W., Jeyagurunathana, A., Kua, J., Lee, S. P., Mahendran, R., Magadi, H., Malladi, S., McCrone, P., Pang, S., Picco, L., & Prince, M. (2015). Prevalence of dementia in people aged 60 years and above: Results from the WiSE study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease: JAD*, 45(4), 1127–1138. DOI: 10. 3233/jad-142769
- Thornley, S., Chan, W. C., Crengle, S., Riddell, T., Ameratunga, S., Mehta, S., Gentles, D., Wells, S., Marshall, R., & Jackson, R. (2011). Sociodemographic differences in prevalence of diagnosed coronary heart disease in New Zealand estimated from linked national health records. *The New Zealand medical journal*, 124(1334), 21–34. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21946633
- Winnard, D., Lee, M., & Macleod, G. (2015). Demographic profile: 2013 census, population of Counties Manukau. Counties Manakau Health. Retrieved from https://www.countiesmanukau.health.nz/assets/ About-CMH/Demographics-and-populations/f17cdca696/Census-2013-profile-for-residents-of-Counties-Manukau.pdf
- World Health Organisation. (1993). The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: Diagnostic criteria for research. World Health Organisation.
- World Health Organisation (2012). Dementia: A public health priority. World Health Organisation.
- Zarit, S. H., Reever, K. E., & Bach-Peterson, J. (1980). Relatives of the impaired elderly: Correlates of feelings of burden. *The Gerontologist*, 20(6), 649–655. DOI: 10.1093/geront/20.6.649
- Zarit, S. H., Todd, P. A., & Zarit, J. M. (1986). Subjective burden of husbands and wives as caregivers: A longitudinal study. *The Gerontologist*, 26(3), 260–266. DOI: 10.1093/geront/26.3.260

Adrian Martinez-Ruiz, Adrian Martinez-Ruiz is an old-age psychiatrist. He is currently a PhD student at the University of Auckland, New Zealand. His PhD project explores the possibility to conduct a national population-based study of the prevalence of dementia in Aotearoa New Zealand. His main research interests focus on MCI, neuropsychiatric symptoms, and epidemiological studies related to neurocognitive disorders.

Susan Yates, Dr Susan Yates is a Clinical Psychologist and Neuropsychologist. She completed her training at the University of Waikato, prior to moving to the United Kingdom where she worked in Diagnostic Memory Clinics in East London. On returning to New Zealand in 2013 she worked for The Memory Team at Counties Manukau Health, prior to moving into private practice. Since 2018 she has also held roles with the Dementia Prevention Research Clinic (DPRC) and the Living with Dementia in Aotearoa (LiDiA) Research Group at the University of Auckland.

Gary Cheung, Dr Gary Cheung is an academic old age psychiatrist at the School of Medicine, the University of Auckland, New Zealand. He was awarded the 2021 Alzheimers New Zealand Fellowship to recognise his contribution of disseminating and researching Cognitive Stimulation Therapy, an evidence based psychosocial intervention for people with dementia in New Zealand, including adapting it for Māori. Dr Cheung is an interRAI associate fellow and the Chair of New Zealand interRAI Research Network.

Makarena Dudley, Dr Makarena Dudley is a clinical neuropsychologist and senior Māori researcher who specialises in the field of dementia and Māori. On this study Dr Dudley provided cultural advice throughout the duration of study and assisted in the recruitment and training of the Māori interviewers on

the use of the 10/66. Dr Dudley attended and supervised the first few interviews that were conducted with participants. Dr Dudley also contributed to the writing of the journal article.

Rita Krishnamurthi, Rita Krishnamurthi is a public health researcher and the Deputy Director of the National Institute for Stroke and Applied Neurosciences at AUT. Rita is currently involved in a number of research projects focused on stroke and dementia epidemiology. She is a co-director of the Auckland Regional Community Stroke (ARCOS V). She is currently an AI and coordinator of several projects including the HRC-funded randomised clinical trial to test the effectiveness of Health and Wellness Coaching in people with minor stroke. Rita involved in teaching at undergraduate and postgraduate levels.

Fuafiva Fa'alau, Fuafiva Fa'alau is a researcher and a lecturer at the Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, Pacific Health, New Zealand. She is working on New Zealand's Living with Dementia project focusing on its impact in pacific families.

Mary Roberts, Mary is the General Manager for Moana Connect. Before working for Moana Connect, she was the Clinical Lead for TAHA Maternal and Child Health Service and the Pacific Advisor for the Immunisation Advisory Centre (IMAC). Mary's background as a registered nurse has enabled her to hold roles as the Pacific Nurse Educator for Alliance Health Plus, in addition, to her extensive experience working in the community as a Primary Healthcare nurse with children, parents and families.

Seini Taufa, Dr Seini Taufa is the Research and Evaluation Lead for Moana Connect. Dr Taufa was previously based at the University of Auckland where she taught for over 10 years within the departments of Social and Community Health and Pacific Health, School of Population Health.

Claudia Rivera Rodriguez, Claudia Rivera Rodriguez (PhD) is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Statistics, the University of Auckland. She completed her post-doctorate at Harvard University. Her expertise includes routinely collected and correlated/longitudinal medical data. She has extensive experience working with interRAI data and an investigator on several projects that use routinely collected data to study mental health

Ngaire Kerse, Dr. Kerse is a GP professor at the University of Auckland and the Joyce Cook Chair in Ageing Well. She has a 25 years track record in building research teams doing clinical and epidemiological ageing research.

Sarah Cullum, Dr Sarah Cullum is an old age psychiatrist and an Associate Professor at The University of Auckland. She leads the LiDiA (Living with Dementia in Aotearoa) research group. The overarching aim of the LiDiA research programme is to understand the extent and the cultural, psychosocial and economic impact of dementia in the major NZ ethnic groups, to inform the implementation of a national dementia strategy.