Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpa www.sciencedirect.com

Methodology of drug screening and target identification for new necroptosis inhibitors



^a Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, School of Pharmacy, Second Military Medical University, 325 Guohe Road, Shanghai 200433, China

^b National Center for Liver Cancer, Second Military Medical University, 366 Qianju Road, Shanghai 201805, China

^c Research Center for Marine Drugs, and Department of Pharmacology, School of Pharmacy, Second Military Medical University, 325 Guohe Road, Shanghai 200433. China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 July 2018 Received in revised form 2 November 2018 Accepted 15 November 2018 Available online 27 November 2018

Keywords: Necroptosis Inhibitors Drug screening Target identification Review

ABSTRACT

Apoptosis has been considered as the only form of regulated cell death for a long time. However, a novel form of programmed cell death called necroptosis was recently reported. The process of necroptosis is regulated and plays a critical role in the occurrence and development of multiple human diseases. Thus, the study on the molecular mechanism of necroptosis and its effective inhibitors has been an attractive field for researchers. Herein, we introduce the molecular mechanism of necroptosis and focus on the literature about necroptosis drug screening in recent years. In addition, the identification of the critical drug targets of the necroptosis is also discussed.

© 2019 Xi'an Jiaotong University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction of necroptosis

Programmed cell death is of great significance in the physiological process, such as maintaining the human homeostasis, eliminating the damaged cells and improving the ability of antiinfection [1]. For a long time, people maintain the perception that apoptosis is the sole form of regulated cell death, while necrosis is unregulated, and the work giving an insight to the necrosis mechanism has no value. Nevertheless, along with the investigation of the cellular mechanisms of necrosis, researchers find that besides apoptosis, there exist several cell-death types which are also regulated by certain signaling molecules, such as pyroptosis, ferroptosis, and autophagic cell death [2-8]. These discoveries change the conventional ideas about the classification of cell death, which may offer a different and effective therapy for necrotic diseases. Among these programmed cell deaths, necroptosis is a notable form of regulated necrotic cell death which is caspaseindependent. As shown in Fig. 1, necroptosis can be triggered by multiple stimuli like tumor necrosis factor (TNF), TNF-related apoptosis-inducing TRAIL ligand, Fas ligand, interferons (IFN) and other signals when apoptosis is prevented [9]. Although the signaling molecules are shared partly in apoptosis and necroptosis, their results are totally distinct [10]. The changes of morphology

Peer review under the responsibility of Xi'an Jiaotong University.

E-mail addresses: xfchen2010@163.com (X. Chen), yfchai@smmu.edu.cn (Y. Chai).

necroptosis requires the kinase activity of receptor interacting protein kinase 1 (RIPK1), which was first identified by Holler and colleagues [12]. After the activation of RIPK1, the second key protein, receptor interacting protein kinase 3 (RIPK3), is recruited and phosphorylated by the RIPK1 [13-15]. In some cases, RIPK3 can be activated without RIPK1, for instance, the execution of necroptosis induced by the murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) infection is RIPK1-independent [16]. After phosphorylation of RIPK3, the downstream critical protein mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein (MLKL) is activated and forms oligomers, then the trimerized MLKL translocates to the plasma membrane, so the calcium ion channel protein and sodium ion channel protein are activated, and the ions flow into the cell, resulting in the increase of cell osmotic pressure and cell lysis [17-23]. Another model of plasma membrane disruption caused by MLKL is that the activated protein will combine with lipids and break the integrity of cell membrane [24]. As the cell swelling and plasma membrane rupturing, the intracellular contents and danger associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) are released into the interstitial fluid and blood, which will lead to an array of human necrotic diseases, including liver injury [25,26], cold hypoxia-reoxygenation injury [27], renal ischemia/reperfusion injury [28-30], acute necrotizing pancreatitis [31,32], systemic inflammatory response syndrome [33,34], stroke [35,36], and other clinical diseases. Hence, it is essential to investigate the mechanism and discover the inhibitors of the necroptosis.

are similar between necroptosis and necrosis [11]. TNF-induced

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2018.11.002



Review Paper



Corresponding authors.

^{2095-1779/© 2019} Xi'an Jiaotong University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Fig. 1. Necroptotic pathways. After TNF stimulation, TNFR1 recruits TNF-receptor associated death domain (TRADD), cellular inhibitor of apoptosis proteins-1 and –2 (clAP1/ 2), TNF receptor associated factor-2 (TRAF2) and RIPK1 to form complex I. K63-linked polyubiquitination of RIPK1 by clAP1, leads to the recruitment of I-κB kinase (IKK) complex and transforming growth factor-β activating kinase-1 (TAK1), activating the nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) survival pathways. Complex IIa consisting of RIPK1, FADD and caspase-8 activates apoptosis in the absence of clAP1. RIPK1 interacts with RIPK3 and MLKL to form complex IIb, which mediates necroptosis in the presence of caspase-8 inhibitor (zVAD) [17].

2. Screening of necroptosis inhibitors

2.1. Screening based on cell level

This kind of drug screening is objective owning to the similarity to the human physiological process. The cells should be cultured in vitro before we start the experiment. Researchers can get an intuitive evaluation of the drug effect through the observation of the cell viability, morphology, growth and migration, *etc.* Fauster et al. [37] employed the Fas-associated protein with death domain (FADD)-deficient Jurkat cells to undergo cell death, which were treated with the second mitochondrial-derived activator of caspases (Smac) mimetic and the caspases inhibitor z-Val-Ala-Asp-(OMe)-Fluoromethyl Ketone (z-VAD-FMK) 30-60 min before the addition of TNF- α in the concentration of 10 ng/mL, and then was seeded onto the drug plates which was at two concentrations of $1.5 \,\mu\text{M}$ and $0.5 \,\mu\text{M}$, respectively. Cell viability was assessed using a luminescence-based Cell Titer-Glo. The screening procedure is demonstrated in Fig. 2. The result indicated that ponatinib and pazopanib were capable of blocking the process of necroptosis. Rodriguez et al. reported a newly found RIPK3 inhibitor, GW440139B, which was screened from the library containing more than 8900 compounds. This molecule provided a tool for preventing the phosphorylation of MLKL, thus blocking the necroptotic pathway [38]. According to the study by Degterev's laboratory [39], necroptosis of human monocytic U937 cells triggered by TNF- α in the presence of caspase inhibitor was used to conduct a cell based screen and the inhibitor of RIPK1, necrostatin-1, was first identified from a chemical library and demonstrated that it had a relatively high selectivity to suppress necroptosis. However, as reported by Degterev et al. [40], in view of the condition that Nec-1 could inhibit another enzyme activity named indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) [41], as well as the poor pharmacokinetics, the feasibility of the compound in the clinic was reduced. A different type of RIPK1 inhibitor, termed GSK963, was identified by Berger et al. This compound presented a high inhibitory activity for RIPK1 in vitro [42]. And a natural product kongensin A (KA) was also identified via a cell-based assay from a chemical library and it has a potential value to inhibit necroptosis efficiently [43]. The detailed information of representative necroptosis inhibitors screened by different labs is shown in Table 1. However, the excessive cost and complicated operation of the screening promote researchers to seek more simple methods, especially in modern pharmaceutical studies, because of the huge amounts of compounds.

2.2. Structure and fragment-based drug discovery

In contrast to the former screening, structure-based drug discovery can reduce the time and accelerate the experimental process since it utilizes the understanding of the three-dimensional structure of the target during the screening, and conduct further experiments with compounds which bind well. Molecular docking technique is a virtual drug design aided by the computer [44–47]. Fayaz et al. obtained the cocrystal structure of RIPK3 and analyzed the binding site in order to identify the novel inhibitors of RIPK3. Three compounds that exhibited an effective ability to interfere with the process of cerebral ischemia were discovered by the structure-based method. Further, these compounds can be optimized to design new inhibitors by the replacement of chemical groups [48]. According to the principle of induced fit theory, we can obtain a series of small molecule compounds with large binding force through screening of the compound libraries, and the application of X-ray diffraction enables researchers to get the three-dimensional structure of the small molecule compounds targeting the key kinases. Finally, the small molecule compounds can be optimized by adding substituents to have stronger potency and higher selectivity [2]. For instance, Xie et al. [49] displayed the crystallization of necrostatins/RIPK1 domain, and proved that these inhibitors all possessed a similar binding pocket, which



Fig. 2. A cell-based screening workflow of necroptosis inhibitors.

offered a structure basis of RIPK1 inhibition by necrostatins. And the proceeding of the lead optimization and drug discovery can be facilitated due to the structural analysis. Ponatinib-based selective RIPK1 inhibitors were designed as described by Najjar et al. [50]. They found that ponatinib can inhibit dual activity of RIPK1 and RIPK3 in vitro, which may limit its clinic application considering the safety. Therefore, in order to enhance its selectivity and retain its excellent binding affinity to kinases, they exploited molecular docking to get the three-dimensional combining model of ponatinib with RIPK1 on the basis of cocrystal structure of ponatinib/ RIPK2 analog and designed a set of ponatinib chemical structures (CS) analogs by introduction of different groups on phenyl ring in consideration of the differences between the binding pocket of RIPK1 and other kinases, referred to as DLG (Asp-Leu-Gly) and DFG (Asp-Phe-Glv) motif, respectively. The results displayed that CS6 can selectively inhibit RIPK1 over RIPK3 with a mild reduction in activity. Additionally, they also synthesized a new type of inhibitors termed ponatinib-Nec-1 (PN) hybrids with the expectation of combining the advantage of high potency of ponatinib and prominent selectivity of necrostatin-1. PN10, one of the hybrid inhibitors. It was testified to achieve a favorable combination of the two agents mentioned above. Another similar approach, fragment-based drug discovery, also contributes to the drug design and development. Firstly, creating a molecular fragments library, then screening the activity of molecular fragments and using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) or X-ray diffraction to get its conformation and binding sites, finally, optimizing the molecular fragment on the micro to get a lead compound [51–54]. The method consists of activity screening, molecular modeling, and the structure-activity relationship analysis. To certain extent, fragment-based drug screening is more valuable than the structure-based drug discovery due to the small molecular weight of the obtained compound, which can conduct a further optimization to improve its activity and selectivity.

2.3. DNA-encoded library screening

Recently, DNA-encoded library screening has been attracting more and more attention from pharmaceutical researchers and major pharmaceutical companies. It is foreseeable that DNA-encoded library screening would be a primary method in drug discovery [55–57]. This concept was first proposed by Brenner and Lerner in 1992 [58], then Nielsen et al. [59] and Needels et al. [60] adopted this approach to conduct their research, respectively. In fact, encoding and selection methods are very significant to DNAencoded library screening, which shows the efficiency of the approach. The first step of DNA-encoded library screening is to design a compound library via combinatorial chemistry, and the number of compounds can reach into billions of above, then every specific compound would be covalently connected with a unique sequence of DNA tag which is used as an identifier for the compound, so the DNA can be regarded as a medium for chemistry library. After selected by the targets, researchers can obtain the corresponding compound structure information and synthesize the compound through DNA sequencing. And finally, researchers can verify the activity of the compound to the target via cell proliferation assay. In conclusion, DNA-encoded library screening can be divided into five steps containing split-and-pool synthesis, affinity-based selection, PCR amplification, DNA sequencing and resynthesis without DNA. The chemical space of DNA-encoded library is a few orders of magnitude larger than traditional screening methods [61]. Therefore, the problems of time wasting and compounds insufficient in traditional high-throughput screening could be resolved efficiently [62], providing a more rapid method for drug screening. Harris et al. [63] established a library using a split-pool method with three cycles of building blocks, which contains 7.7 billion compounds. And they got a series of high-selective, potent inhibitors of RIPK1 through the drug screening from the library. Experiments showed that the compounds selected by the above method have higher activity and more excellent selectivity versus the known RIPK1 inhibitors in the previous literature, and they subsequently identified another compound, GSK2982772, by optimizing the former compound. It possesses a similar chemical structure, but the pharmacokinetic property of the compound is more prominent than that of GSK481. The agent is recognized as a first-in-class inhibitor of RIP1 and now is in clinic trials [64]. Hence, DNA-encoded library screening would play a critical role in discovering the lead compounds.

3. Target identification

Degterev et al. [2] has reported that RIPK1 is the direct cellular target of necrostatins. According to the previous studies, phosphorylation of RIPK1 requires its own kinase activity. Thereby, the determination of phosphorylation of RIPK1 content can reflect the activity of RIPK1. To identify the target of necrostatins, they chose the 293 T cells transfected with pcDNA3-FLAG-RIPK1 vector to express the protein, then anti-FLAG M2 agarose beads were applied to enrich RIPK1, and the beads were incubated in the reaction buffer with different concentrations of necrostatins. Afterwards, immunoblotting was performed to obtain the RIPK1 band. Finally, the phosphorylation of RIPK1 band was visualized by autoradiography (Fig. 3). The results showed that necrostatins can inhibit the autophosphorylation of RIPK1 in a dose-dependent fashion, which indicated that RIPK1 was the molecular target of necrostatins. And they designed a molecular docking model of RIPK1/Nec-1 complex using molecular modeling techniques. And the result of the structure-activity relationship of Nec-1 further suggested that RIPK1 was the direct target of Nec-1. Besides,

Table 1

Information of representative necroptosis inhibitors.

NO.	Name	Target	EC ₅₀ /IC ₅₀	Structure	Ref
1	Compound1	MLKL (KD=9.3 µM)	$IC_{50}\ <\ 0.05\ \mu M\ (MDFs\ cell)$		[22]
2	6E11	RIPK1 (KD=0.13 μM)	$EC_{50} = 4.6 \mu M (Jurkat cell)$		[27]
3	7-Cl-O-Nec-1	RIPK1 (KD=0.026 µM)	$EC_{50}=0.1\mu M~(Jurkat~cell)$		[27]
4	Pazopanib	RIPK1 (KD= $0.26 \mu M$)	$EC_{50}=0.254\mu M~(Jurkat~cell)$		[37]
5	Ponatinib	RIPK1 (KD=0.037 μM), RIPK3	$EC_{50} = 0.089 \mu M$ (Jurkat cell)	N-N	[37]
6	GW440139B	RIPK3	$EC_{50} = 0.0736\mu M \; (NIH\; 3T3\; cell)$	нусон	[38]
7	Necrostatin-1	RIPK1 (IC ₅₀ = 2μ M)	$EC_{50} = 0.494 \mu M (Jurkat cell)$		[39,42]
			$IC_{50} = 1 \ \mu M \ (U927 \ cell)$ $IC_{50} = 2 \ \mu M \ (U937 \ cell)$	N S	
				N H	
8	GSK963	RIPK1 ($IC_{50} = 0.029 \mu M$)	$IC_{50} = 0.001 \mu\text{M}$ (L929 cell) $IC_{50} = 0.004 \mu\text{M}$ (U937 cell)		[42]
				Ň	
9	ponatinib-Nec-1 (PN10)	RIPK1	$IC_{50}=0.01\mu M~(Jurkat~cell)$	- C	[50]
10	GSK481	RIPK1 (IC ₅₀ = $0.01 \mu M$)	$IC_{50} = 0.01\mu M~(U937~cell)$		[63]
11	GSK2982772	RIPK1 (IC ₅₀ =0.001µM)	$IC_{50} = 0.0063 \mu M (U937 cell)$	ONH N-NH	[64]
12	Necrosulfonamide (NSA)	MLKL	$IC_{50} = 0.124\mu M \;(HT29\;cell)$		[67]
13	Dabrafenib	RIPK3 (KD=0.0265 µM)	$EC_{50} = 0.75 \mu M (HT29 \text{ cell})$ $EC_{50} = 0.65 \mu M (HI937 \text{ cell})$		[68]
			20 ₅₀ – 0.05 µm (0557 ccm)	F N F O S N N	
14	GSK872	RIPK3 (IC_{50}\!=\!0.0018\mu\text{M})	$\begin{split} & EC_{50} = 0.7 \mu M \; (HT29 \; cell) \\ & EC_{50} = 0.12 \; \mu M \; (PECs \; cell) \end{split}$	N N HN	[70]



Fig. 3. The experimental workflow of cell-based RIP1 kinase activity assay for small molecules.

Ren et al. [65] discovered a new compound via screening the library and made an optimization to get higher efficiency and selectivity. To identify the target of compound, they monitored the phosphorylation of RIPK1 and RIPK3 through western blotting and evaluated the activities of RIPK1 and RIPK3 by ADP-Glo assay. The results showed that RIPK1 was the target of the novel compound. Martens et al. [66] found that the anti-career drug sorafenib can inhibit the TNF-induced cell death at a lower concentration with non-toxic effect. To identify the target of sorafenib, they applied the biotinylated sorafenib to make a pull-down experiment. First, different concentrations of biotinvlated sorafenib were preincubated with streptavidin agarose beads respectively, and then the beads were washed with lysis buffer twice. After that, the beads were incubated with the cell lysates. After incubation, the $2 \times$ Laemli buffer was used to gather the samples, then the samples were boiled and analyzed via immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. The results showed that sorafenib can inhibit the activity of RIPK1 and RIPK3 with a dose-dependent effect. Through the similar method, Sun et al. [67] confirmed that the direct target of necrosulfonamide (NSA) was MLKL, one of the critical signaling proteins in the necroptosis process. In addition, Li et al. [43] found that chaperone heat shock protein (HSP90) inhibited RIPK3-dependent necroptosis and was the cellular target of natural product KA.

In addition, the research of Li et al. [68] identified dabrafenib, an anticancer drug used in the clinic, was a new inhibitor of RIPK3. They established a non-radioactive luminescent kinase assay to screen the inhibitors of RIPK3, and the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) assay was used to demonstrate that dabrafenib can bind to the RIPK3. The recombinant RIPK3 was immobilized to the CM5 chip, then the drug dabrafenib was flowed into the sensor chip with a rate of $30 \,\mu$ L/min and used buffer to make it dissociation.

4. Conclusion and outlook

Researchers find that necroptosis is involved in multiple inflammatory diseases, which carries a new therapeutic direction. And most of these diseases exist in a substantial number of patients, so big pharma and labs concentrate mainly on the discovery of the inhibitors of RIPK1, RIPK3 and MLKL to suppress the process of necroptosis [69]. Unfortunately, none of the compounds discovered in recent decades meet the expectation of clinical application, and the limitations of these compounds impel researchers to continuously screen new drugs with higher selectivity and potency. Furthermore, the molecular mechanism of necroptosis is not fully understood. Thus, we may explore novel key transducers of necroptosis during the study period and screen the corresponding compounds to block the necroptosis pathway, as well as to alleviate diseases associated with cell necrosis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81503039).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- M. Pasparakis, P. Vandenabeele, Necroptosis and its role in inflammation, Nature 517 (2015) 311–320.
- [2] A. Degterev, J. Hitomi, M. Germscheid, et al., Identification of RIP1 kinase as a specific cellular target of necrostatins, Nat. Chem. Biol. 4 (2008) 313–321.
- [3] B.R. Stockwell, J.P. Friedmann Angeli, H. Bayir, et al., Ferroptosis: a regulated cell death nexus linking metabolism, redox biology, and disease, Cell 171 (2017) 273–285.
- [4] S.L. Fink, B.T. Cookson, Apoptosis, pyroptosis, and necrosis: mechanistic description of dead and dying eukaryotic cells, Infect. Immun. 73 (2005) 1907–1916.
- [5] S.L. Fink, B.T. Cookson, Caspase-1-dependent pore formation during pyroptosis leads to osmotic lysis of infected host macrophages, Cell Microbiol. 8 (2006) 1812–1825.
- [6] H. Yu, P. Guo, X. Xie, et al., Ferroptosis, a new form of cell death, and its relationships with tumourous diseases, J. Cell Mol. Med. 21 (2017) 648–657.
- [7] J. Shi, W. Gao, F. Shao, Pyroptosis: gasdermin-mediated programmed necrotic cell death, Trends Biochem. Sci. 42 (2017) 245–254.
- [8] X. Liu, Z. Zhang, J. Ruan, et al., Inflammasome-activated gasdermin d causes pyroptosis by forming membrane pores, Nature 535 (2016) 153–158.
- [9] D. Wallach, T.B. Kang, C.P. Dillon, et al., Programmed necrosis in inflammation: toward identification of the effector molecules, Science 352 (2016) aaf2154.
- [10] A. Linkermann, D.R. Green, Necroptosis, N. Engl. J. Med. 370 (2014) 455–465.
- [11] P. Vandenabeele, L. Galluzzi, T. Vanden Berghe, et al., Molecular mechanisms of necroptosis: an ordered cellular explosion, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 11 (2010) 700–714.
- [12] N. Holler, R. Zaru, O. Micheau, et al., Fas triggers an alternative, caspase-8independent cell death pathway using the kinase rip as effector molecule, Nat. Immunol. 1 (2000) 489–495.
- [13] S. He, L. Wang, L. Miao, et al., Receptor interacting protein kinase-3 determines cellular necrotic response to TNF-alpha, Cell 137 (2009) 1100–1111.

- [14] Y.S. Cho, S. Challa, D. Moquin, et al., Phosphorylation-driven assembly of the rip1-rip3 complex regulates programmed necrosis and virus-induced inflammation, Cell 137 (2009) 1112–1123.
- [15] Y. Lin, S. Choksi, H.M. Shen, et al., Tumor necrosis factor-induced nonapoptotic cell death requires receptor-interacting protein-mediated cellular reactive oxygen species accumulation, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 10822–10828.
- [16] J.W. Upton, W.J. Kaiser, E.S. Mocarski, DAI/ZBP1/DLM-1 complexes with RIP3 to mediate virus-induced programmed necrosis that is targeted by murine cytomegalovirus vIRA, Cell Host Microbe 11 (2012) 290–297.
- [17] W. Zhou, J. Yuan, Necroptosis in health and diseases, Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 35 (2014) 14–23.
- [18] Z. Cai, S. Jitkaew, J. Zhao, et al., Plasma membrane translocation of trimerized MLKL protein is required for TNF-induced necroptosis, Nat. Cell Biol. 16 (2014) 55–65.
- [19] L. Galluzzi, G. Kroemer, Necroptosis: a specialized pathway of programmed necrosis, Cell 135 (2008) 1161–1163.
- [20] J. Zhaoa, S. Jitkaew, Z. Cai, et al., Mixed lineage kinase domain-like is a key receptor interacting protein 3 downstream component of TNF-induced necrosis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 109 (2012) 5322–5327.
- [21] T. Vanden Berghe, A. Linkermann, S. Jouan-Lanhouet, et al., Regulated necrosis: the expanding network of non-apoptotic cell death pathways, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 15 (2014) 135–147.
- [22] J.M. Hildebrand, M.C. Tanzer, I.S. Lucet, et al., Activation of the pseudokinase mlkl unleashes the four-helix bundle domain to induce membrane localization and necroptotic cell death, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 111 (2014) 15072–15077.
- [23] L. Galluzzi, O. Kepp, F.K. Chan, et al., Necroptosis: mechanisms and relevance to disease, Annu Rev. Pathol. 12 (2017) 103–130.
- [24] H. Wang, L. Sun, L. Su, et al., Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein MLKL causes necrotic membrane disruption upon phosphorylation by RIP3, Mol. Cell 54 (2014) 133–146.
- [25] W.K. Saeed, D.W. Jun, Necroptosis: an emerging type of cell death in liver diseases, World J. Gastroenterol. 20 (2014) 12526–12532.
- [26] L. Dara, Z.X. Liu, N. Kaplowitz, Questions and controversies: the role of necroptosis in liver disease, Cell Death Discov. 2 (2016) 16089.
- [27] C. Delehouzé, S. Leverrier-Penna, F. Le Cann, et al., 6E11, a highly selective inhibitor of receptor-interacting protein kinase 1, protects cells against cold hypoxia-reoxygenation injury, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 12931.
- [28] A. Linkermann, J.H. Brasen, N. Himmerkus, et al., Rip1 (receptor-interacting protein kinase 1) mediates necroptosis and contributes to renal ischemia/reperfusion injury, Kidney Int. 81 (2012) 751–761.
- [29] M.G. Shashaty, J.P. Reilly, C.A. Sims, et al., Plasma levels of receptor interacting protein kinase-3 (RIP3), an essential mediator of necroptosis, are associated with acute kidney injury in critically ill trauma patients, Shock 46 (2016) 139–143.
- [30] A. Lau, S. Wang, J. Jiang, et al., RIPK3-mediated necroptosis promotes donor kidney inflammatory injury and reduces allograft survival, Am. J. Transplant. 13 (2013) 2805–2818.
- [31] G. Wang, F.Z. Qu, L. Li, et al., Necroptosis: a potential, promising target and switch in acute pancreatitis, Apoptosis 21 (2016) 121–129.
- [32] J.M. Louhimo, M.L. Steer, G. Perides, Necroptosis is an important severity determinant and potential therapeutic target in experimental severe pancreatitis, Cell Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2 (2016) 519–535.
- [33] J.A. Rickard, J.A. O'Donnell, J.M. Evans, et al., RIPK1 regulates RIPK3-MLKLdriven systemic inflammation and emergency hematopoiesis, Cell 157 (2014) 1175–1188.
- [34] L. Duprez, N. Takahashi, F. Van Hauwermeiren, et al., RIP kinase-dependent necrosis drives lethal systemic inflammatory response syndrome, Immunity 35 (2011) 908–918.
- [35] J.M. Bran, U.R. Goessler, K. Hormann, et al., Keloids: current concepts of pathogenesis (review), Int. J. Mol. Med. 24 (2009) 283–293.
- [36] Y. Ni, W.W. Gu, Z.H. Liu, et al., RIP1K contributes to neuronal and astrocytic cell death in ischemic stroke via activating autophagic-lysosomal pathway, Neuroscience 371 (2017) 60–74.
- [37] A. Fauster, M. Rebsamen, K.V. Huber, et al., A cellular screen identifies ponatinib and pazopanib as inhibitors of necroptosis, Cell Death Dis. 6 (2015) e1767.
- [38] D.A. Rodriguez, R. Weinlich, S. Brown, et al., Characterization of RIPK3-mediated phosphorylation of the activation loop of MLKL during necroptosis, Cell Death Differ. 23 (2016) 76–88.
- [39] A. Degterev, Z. Huang, M. Boyce, et al., Chemical inhibitor of nonapoptotic cell death with therapeutic potential for ischemic brain injury, Nat. Chem. Biol. 1 (2005) 112–119.
- [40] A. Degterev, J.L. Maki, J. Yuan, Activity and specificity of necrostatin-1, smallmolecule inhibitor of RIP1 kinase, Cell Death Differ. 20 (2013) 366.
- [41] A.J. Muller, J.B. DuHadaway, P.S. Donover, et al., Inhibition of indoleamine 2,3-

dioxygenase, an immunoregulatory target of the cancer suppression genebin1, potentiates cancer chemotherapy, Nat. Med. 11 (2005) 312–319.

- [42] S.B. Berger, P. Harris, R. Nagilla, et al., Characterization of GSK'963: a structurally distinct, potent and selective inhibitor of RIP1 kinase, Cell Death Discov. 1 (2015) 15009.
- [43] D. Li, C. Li, L. Li, et al., Natural product kongensin A is a non-canonical HSP90 inhibitor that blocks RIP3-dependent necroptosis, Cell Chem. Biol. 23 (2016) 257–266.
- [44] S. Ghosh, A. Nie, J. An, et al., Structure-based virtual screening of chemical libraries for drug discovery, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 10 (2006) 194–202.
- [45] P.D. Lyne, Structure-based virtual screening: an overview, Drug Discov. Today 7 (2002) 1047–1055.
 [46] A. Lavecchia, C. Di Giovanni, Virtual screening strategies in drug discovery; a
- [46] A. Laveccha, C. D. Glovanni, Virtual screening strategies in drug discovery, a critical review, Curr. Med. Chem. 20 (2013) 2839–2860.
- [47] A.S. Reddy, S.P. Pati, P.P. Kumar, et al., Virtual screening in drug discovery a computational perspective, Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 8 (2007) 325–351.
- [48] S.M. Fayaz, V.S. Suvanish Kumar, C.K. Davis, et al., Novel RIPK3 inhibitors discovered through a structure-based approach exert post-ischemic neuroprotection, Mol. Divers. 20 (2016) 719–728.
- [49] T. Xie, W. Peng, Y. Liu, et al., Structural basis of rip1 inhibition by necrostatins, Structure 21 (2013) 493–499.
- [50] M. Najjar, C. Suebsuwong, S.S. Ray, et al., Structure guided design of potent and selective ponatinib-based hybrid inhibitors forripk1, Cell Rep. 10 (2015) 1850–1860.
- [51] D.E. Scott, A.G. Coyne, S.A. Hudson, et al., Fragment-based approaches in drug discovery and chemical biology, Biochemistry 51 (2012) 4990–5003.
- [52] D.C. Rees, M. Congreve, C.W. Murray, et al., Fragment-based lead discovery, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 3 (2004) 660–672.
- [53] M.J. Harner, A.O. Frank, S.W. Fesik, Fragment-based drug discovery using NMR spectroscopy, J. Biomol. NMR. 56 (2013) 65–75.
- [54] PJ. Hajduk, J. Greer, A decade of fragment-based drug design: strategic advances and lessons learned, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 6 (2007) 211–219.
- [55] T. Gura, Biochemistry, DNA helps build molecular libraries for drug testing, Science 350 (2015) 1139–1140.
- [56] A. Mullard, DNA tags help the hunt for drugs, Nature 530 (2016) 367–369.
- [57] G. Zimmermann, D. Neri, DNA-encoded chemical libraries: foundations and applications in lead discovery, Drug Discov. Today 21 (2016) 1828–1834.
- [58] S. Brenner, R.A. Lerner, Encoded combinatorial chemistry, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 89 (1992) 5381–5383.
- [59] J. Nielsen, S. Brenner, K.D. Janda, Synthetic methods for the implementation of encoded combinatorial chemistry, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 9812–9813.
- [60] M.C. Needels, D.G. Jones, E.H. Tate, et al., Generation and screening of an oligonucleotide-encoded synthetic peptide library, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 90 (1993) 10700–10704.
- [61] R.A. Goodnow Jr, C.E. Dumelin, A.D. Keefe, DNA-encoded chemistry: enabling the deeper sampling of chemical space, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 16 (2017) 131–147.
- [62] R.M. Franzini, D. Neri, J. Scheuermann, DNA-encoded chemical libraries: advancing beyond conventional small-molecule libraries, Acc. Chem. Res. 47 (2014) 1247–1255.
- [63] P.A. Harris, B.W. King, D. Bandyopadhyay, et al., DNA-encoded library screening identifies benzo[b][1,4]oxazepin-4-ones as highly potent and monoselective receptor interacting protein 1 kinase inhibitors, J. Med. Chem. 59 (2016) 2163–2178.
- [64] P.A. Harris, S.B. Berger, J.U. Jeong, et al., Discovery of a first-in-class receptor interacting protein 1 (RIP1) kinase specific clinical candidate (GSK2982772) for the treatment of inflammatory diseases, J. Med. Chem. 60 (2017) 1247–1261.
- [65] Y. Ren, Y. Su, L. Sun, et al., Discovery of a highly potent, selective, and metabolically stable inhibitor of receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1) for the treatment of systemic inflammatory response syndrome, J. Med. Chem. 60 (2017) 972–986.
- [66] S. Martens, M. Jeong, W. Tonnus, et al., Sorafenib tosylate inhibits directly necrosome complex formation and protects in mouse models of inflammation and tissue injury, Cell Death Dis. 8 (2017) e2904.
- [67] L. Sun, H. Wang, Z. Wang, et al., Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein mediates necrosis signaling downstream of RIP3 kinase, Cell 148 (2012) 213–227.
- [68] J.X. Li, J.M. Feng, Y. Wang, et al., The B-Raf (V600E) inhibitor dabrafenib selectively inhibits RIP3 and alleviates acetaminophen-induced liver injury, Cell Death Dis. 5 (2014) e1278.
- [69] A. Degterev, A. Linkermann, Generation of small molecules to interfere with regulated necrosis, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 73 (2016) 2251–2267.
- [70] P. Mandal, S.B. Berger, S. Pillay, et al., RIP3 induces apoptosis independent of pronecrotic kinase activity, Mol. Cell. 56 (2014) 481–495.