# Historical Contingency Causes Divergence in Adaptive Expression of the *lac* Operon

Kedar Karkare,<sup>1</sup> Huei-Yi Lai,<sup>2</sup> Ricardo B.R. Azevedo,<sup>1</sup> and Tim F. Cooper D<sup>\*,1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA <sup>2</sup>School of Natural and Computational Sciences, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand \***Corresponding author:** E-mail: t.cooper@massey.ac.nz.

Associate editor: Patricia Wittkopp

#### Abstract

Populations of *Escherichia coli* selected in constant and fluctuating environments containing lactose often adapt by substituting mutations in the *lacl* repressor that cause constitutive expression of the *lac* operon. These mutations occur at a high rate and provide a significant benefit. Despite this, eight of 24 populations evolved for 8,000 generations in environments containing lactose contained no detectable repressor mutations. We report here on the basis of this observation. We find that, given relevant mutation rates, repressor mutations are expected to have fixed in all evolved populations if they had maintained the same fitness effect they confer when introduced to the ancestor. In fact, reconstruction experiments demonstrate that repressor mutations have become neutral or deleterious in those populations in which they were not detectable. Populations not fixing repressor mutations nevertheless reached the same fitness as those that did fix them, indicating that they followed an alternative evolutionary path that made redundant the potential benefit of the repressor mutation, but involved unique mutations of equivalent benefit. We identify a mutation occurring in the promoter region of the *uspB* gene as a candidate for influencing the selective choice between these paths. Our results detail an example of historical contingency leading to divergent evolutionary outcomes.

Key words: adaptation, epistasis, gene expression, lac operon, experimental evolution.

#### Introduction

"I am [speaking] of the central principle of all history—contingency. A historical explanation [rests] on an unpredictable sequence of antecedent states, where any major change in any step of the sequence would have altered the final result. This final result is therefore dependent, or contingent, upon everything that came before—the unerasable and determining signature of history."

—Stephen J. Gould (1989)

Wonderful Life, p. 283. "Life is just one damned thing after another!" —Lilian Bell (1909) The Concentrations of Bee, p. 241. The expression was used widely at that time.

Gould famously argued that the outcomes of biological evolution are often causally dependent on the precise series of changes that have occurred in the evolving lineages (Gould 1989; Blount et al. 2008, 2012; Zee et al. 2014). One possible basis for such historical contingency are epistatic interactions between mutations in determining fitness. Long-term evolution can be thought of as consisting of "one damned substitution after another," to paraphrase the popular expression from 1909. If the effects of different mutations on fitness are completely independent of each other (i.e., if there is no epistasis for fitness), then later substitutions will not be causally dependent on earlier ones, and there will be no meaningful contingency. If, however, a mutation confers a benefit only in the presence of certain earlier mutations (i.e., if there is epistasis for fitness), then evolution will be historically contingent (Blount et al. 2018). In that case, the presence of a particular mutation determines the range of subsequent mutations that are likely to be favored. Epistasis for fitness means that even closely related populations might respond differently and unpredictably to similar selection pressures, depending on the substitutions they undergo, exactly as Gould envisaged (Blount et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2013; Harms and Thornton 2014; Spor et al. 2014; Zee et al. 2014; Shah et al. 2015; Phillips et al. 2016; Peng et al. 2018).

Historical contingency is difficult to examine because it requires disentangling the roles of chance and natural selection in determining evolutionary outcomes (Blount et al. 2018). One approach to this is to construct actual and alternative evolutionary pathways and determine the dependence of key phenotypes on the order in which mutations occur. This approach has been followed in several studies examining evolution of single genes, typically finding that epistasis creates a strong dependence of evolutionary outcome on the



<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

order in which mutations occur (Weinreich et al. 2006; Ogbunugafor and Hartl 2016; Starr et al. 2017). At the level of whole organisms, this approach is not generally feasible if large numbers of mutations have occurred. Instead, it is possible to use controlled laboratory experimental evolution to examine the evolutionary outcomes of replicated populations and determine statistically a signature of historical contingency (Blount et al. 2008, 2012; Zee et al. 2014).

Several experimental evolution studies have found that adaptive potential differs between different genotypes, often, at least in part, explained by differences in their initial fitness (Travisano et al. 1995; Barrick et al. 2010; Bedhomme et al. 2013; Kryazhimskiy et al. 2014; Perfeito et al. 2014; Wünsche et al. 2017; Johnson et al. 2019). The strong influence of fitness on mutation effect is supported by work that has directly measured the effect of adding specific mutations to diverse genetic backgrounds (Pearson et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013; Kryazhimskiy et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2016). Nevertheless, specific genetic differences between founding strains can be influential (Blount et al. 2008, 2012; Zee et al. 2014). Indeed, historical contingency can affect replicate populations started from a common ancestor and evolved in a common environment (Collins and Bell 2004; Cooper and Lenski 2010; Wiser et al. 2013). In this case, contingency builds on chance differences in the origination and fixation of mutations. One example is the evolution of citrate utilization in an Escherichia coli long-term evolution experiment. In that work, one of 12 replicate populations evolved the ability to use citrate (Blount et al. 2008). Subsequent genetic analysis revealed that evolution of citrate utilization is rare because it depends on a series of prior mutational events (Quandt et al. 2014; Leon et al. 2018). Other examples of historical contingency have been inferred by finding genetically or phenotypically distinct evolutionary outcomes either dependent on early occurring mutations (Yedid and Bell 2002; Meyer et al. 2012) or from analysis of the total suite of changes occurring in evolved populations (Tenaillon et al. 2012).

We examine the basis of divergent evolution in lacl, the repressor of the lac operon genes that confer the ability to utilize lactose. Mutations in lacl fixed or rose to high frequency in 16 of 24 populations evolved for 8,000 generations in a long-term experiment that evolved populations of E. coli in environments containing combinations of glucose and lactose (Cooper and Lenski 2010; Satterwhite and Cooper 2015) (fig. 1A and supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Absence of repressor mutations in the remaining eight populations was surprising because lacl mutations confer a large fitness benefit in the ancestor (fig. 1B) and occur at a high rate, due to the presence of a mutational hotspot (Farabaugh et al. 1978). Evolutionary simulations incorporating the measured lacl- mutation rate and fitness effect indicate that this divergence cannot be attributed to chance differences in mutation timing and success. When we added a lacl- mutation to strains isolated from populations that did not fix it, we found that it no longer confers any benefit, and, in fact, is often deleterious, indicating that it interacts negatively with previous substitutions. These results demonstrate

that divergent adaptation in the *lac* operon is common and is due to effects contingent on previous adaptations.

#### Results

# Mutations in *lacl* Occur in about Half of Evolved Populations

We previously found that loss-of-function mutations in the lac operon repressor, lacl, were common in nine of 12 populations of E. coli evolved for 2,000 generations in environments either containing lactose (Lac) only or alternating daily between lactose and glucose (G/L) (Quan et al. 2012). Here, we find that after extension for a further 6,000 generations of evolution, lacl- mutations had fixed or become common in two more of these populations and in five of 12 derived populations that alternated between glucose and lactose every 2,000 generations (denoted G\_L and L\_G where the first letter indicates the sugar initially present during selection; fig. 1A). The absence of detectable *lacl* – mutations in eight of the 24 populations was surprising because a mutational hotspot in lacl causes inactivating frameshift mutations to occur at a high rate and those mutations provide a benefit in lactose-containing environments that outweigh an associated cost in glucose (Farabaugh et al. 1978; fig. 1). Herafter, we denote evolved populations that did and did not fix a lacl – mutation  $Ev^{lacl}$  and  $Ev^{lacl+}$ , respectively.

A possible explanation for the failure of *lacl*— mutations to fix after 8,000 generations in multiple populations is that an earlier occurring substitution(s) reduced either the rate at which *lacl*— mutations occur or the benefit they confer when they do arise. We refer to this possibility as the contingency hypothesis. An alternative, noncontingent explanation is possible, however. We examine these hypotheses below.

## Simulations Predict More *lacl*— Substitutions than Observed

An alternative to the contingency hypothesis is that laclmutations, although beneficial, have simply not occurred yet, or have occurred but have not yet had the time to reach high frequency. To evaluate this possibility, we simulated the evolution of populations with lacl- mutations available alongside a background pool of other beneficial and deleterious mutations (fig. 2). We used an individual-based model, incorporating estimates of mutation rates and effects, and allowing for competition between mutations (see Materials and Methods for details). Our results show that, as populations become larger, the frequency of substitution ( $f_s$ ) for a laclmutation rises quickly. With an effective population size of  $10^5$  individuals, *lacl* – fixed in most simulated populations ( $f_s = 91.5\%$  combining Lac, G\_L and L\_G selection regimes). The observed frequency in experimental populations was only  $f_s = 12/24 = 50\%$  (95% CI: 31.4–68.6%) despite the fact that they were much larger ( $N_e = 3.3 \times 10^7$ ) (Cooper and Lenski 2010). This difference is statistically significant (binomial test: P < 0.001). Thus, stochastic variation in mutation timing and competition cannot explain the high number of experimental populations retaining the ancestral lacl allele.



Fig. 1. lacl effect and distribution in 8,000 generation evolved populations. (A) Rows represent each of four evolution environments (Lac [lactose], G/L [alternating daily between glucose and lactose], G\_L [alternating every 2,000 generations between glucose and lactose, starting with glucose], L\_G [alternating every 2,000 generations between glucose and lactose, starting with lactose]) and columns the six replicate populations evolved in each environment. Details are in Materials and Methods. Dark blue cells indicate fixation of the laclmutation, light blue cells indicate a mixed population with lacl- still segregating, and white cells indicate that lacl- was undetectable. Details of screening are in Materials and Methods. (B) Fitness effect of the lacl- mutation added to the ancestor and assessed in three evolution environments relative to the original ancestor (following method described in Materials and Methods). Symbols show mean and lines 95% CIs of at least three replicate competitions in each treatment.



**Fig. 2.** Simulated frequency of *lacl*- substitution (*fs*). Simulations were modeled in Lac and extrapolated to apply to G\_L and L\_G 2,000-generation fluctuating environments as detailed in Materials and Methods. Symbols indicate the proportion of replicate simulations (n = 100 except at  $l_e = 5 \times 10^4$  [n = 50] and  $N_e = 10^5$  [n = 20]) in which *lacl*- fixed. Error bars are 95% CI. Means and errors were calculated using 1,000 boot-strapped samples. In the Lac treatment, populations were simulated for 8,000 generations. For G\_L and L\_G 2,000 generation fluctuating environments, the same simulated populations were sampled at 2,000 generations and frequency of *lacl*- substitution (*fs*) was calculated as detailed in Materials and Methods. The dashed line indicates the overall frequency of *lacl*- fixation at 8,000 generations among 24 experimentally evolved populations. Note that the two treatments are plotted with a small offset to avoid overplotting.

### Evolved Clones Have Similar *lacl* – Mutation Rates to Ancestor

A second hypothesis for *lacl* – mutations failing to fix in some populations is that their mutation rate was lower in those populations. Indeed, mutation at the lacl hotspot involves a strand slippage mechanism that might be affected by DNA topology, which is a common target of selection in an experiment closely related to this one (Blount et al. 2008, 2012; Zee et al. 2014). To the extent that a potential change in laclmutation rate depends on previously substituted mutations, this possibility represents an example of contingency. We estimate the ancestral *lacl*mutation rate at  $1.72 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-7}$  per cell per generation (95% Cl), which is consistent with a previous estimate (Schaaper et al. 1986). If Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> populations evolved lower lacl- mutation rates, expected fixation times would be increased. In fact, five of eight clones isolated from Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> populations had lacl- mutation rates indistinguishable from the ancestor (fig. 3). The remaining clones had an elevated *lacl* – mutation rate. In the case of the L G1 population, this elevation was substantial, presumably due to the presence of a 27-bp deletion in the mutS gene, which is likely to result in a general increase in the genomic mutation rate (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

In summary, changes in mutation rate to *lacl*— do not explain why it has not fixed in so many evolved populations. We note, however, that this analysis does not exclude the possibility that populations might have evolved in a way causing beneficial mutations at loci other than *lacl* to occur at higher rates and that these mutations might outcompete mutations occurring at *lacl* (Gerrish and Lenski 1998). We consider this possibility unlikely, however, because it would delay but not prevent *lacl*— mutation substitutions. Moreover, results presented below support an alternative mechanism affecting *lacl*— fixation.

Fitness Effect of *lacl*— Is Lower in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  Populations To directly test for a change in the selective benefit of *lacl* mutations as posited by the contingency hypothesis, we performed allelic replacement experiments to measure the fitness effect of *lacl*— mutations in clones isolated from all evolved populations. If the *lacl*— mutation was prevented from fixing due to epistasis, we expected it to be less beneficial, or even deleterious, in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations.

We found that the *lacl* – mutation was beneficial in the 12 populations in which it fixed (fig. 4). Among clones isolated from populations selected in Lac, G\_L, and L\_G environments, the grand mean fitness effect of the *lacl* – mutation measured in the lactose environment is 9.66% ( $\pm$ 2.29% [95% CI]), which is not significantly different from its effect in the ancestor (two-tailed *t*-test, *P* = 0.53). Among clones isolated from the G/L environment, the *lacl* – mutation conferred a significantly greater benefit than it did in the ancestor measured in that environment (8.50% vs. 4.05%, two-tailed *t*-test: *P* = 0.02). By contrast, the *lacl* – mutation conferred no benefit or was deleterious when introduced into clones isolated from the eight Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> populations, imposing a mean cost of 7% ( $\pm$ 4.81% [95% CI]) when measured in lactose (compared



**Fig. 3.** Mutation rate to lacl- for 8,000 generation  $lacl+_{ev}$  populations. Closed symbols indicate evolved populations and the open circle (and dashed line) indicates the mutation rate in the ancestor. Mean per locus mutation rates are calculated from  $n \ge 10$  replicates, and errors are 95% Cls. Asterisks indicate populations with a *lacl* mutation rate significantly different than the ancestor (*t*-test P < 0.05).



**Fig. 4.** Fitness of *lacl*— in ancestor and 8,000 generation evolved populations. Solid symbols indicate the fitness measurements for the ancestor and for clones from populations evolved in lactose, G\_L and L\_G environments, which were measured in lactose. Hollow symbols indicate the ancestor and clones isolated from populations evolved in the G/L environment, which were measured in the G/L environment. Symbols indicate mean fitness estimates ( $n \ge 3$ ). Errors shown for ancestor are 95% Cls.

with its effect in the ancestor, two-tailed *t*-test: P < 0.001) (fig. 4). These results reveal the presence of strong negative epistatic interactions between the *lacl*— mutation and one or more of the mutations that accumulated in the Ev<sup>*lacl*+</sup> populations, in agreement with the contingency hypothesis.

# Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> Populations Have an Alternative Mechanism of Reducing Lag Time

To examine the basis of the different fitness effects of the *lacl*- mutation, we compared its effect on growth dynamics in the ancestor, and in  $Ev^{lacl-}$  and  $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones. In the

ancestor, the most striking effect of the lacl- mutation was a decrease in the time taken for the population to resume growth following transfer to fresh medium (i.e., its lag time) from 5.00 to 3.87 h (*t*-test: P < 0.001; fig. 5A and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). This effect was also seen among  $Ev^{lacl-}$  clones ( $Ev^{lacl-}$  clones: lag = 2.96 h; *lacl*+ revertants: 5.60 h; paired *t*-test: P < 0.001; fig. 5B and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). By contrast, the lacl- mutation increased lag time when added to  $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones ( $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones: lag = 2.96 h; lacl- derivatives: 3.11 h; paired t-test: P = 0.03; fig. 5C and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). We found good agreement between direct competitions between lacl+/- strain pairs and virtual competitions based on growth curve parameters, indicating that growth parameters are meaningful measures of fitness components (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

The absence of *lacl*— mutation benefit may be because  $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones had substituted an alternative means of shortening lag time such that the effect of the *lacl*— mutation has become redundant. To test this possibility, we compared the lag times of  $Ev^{lacl+}$  and  $Ev^{lacl-}$  clones. We found that lag times were indistinguishable, consistent with  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations having evolved an alternative means of shortening lag time (two-tailed *t*-test P = 0.96; fig. 5D).

# Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> Populations Have Increased Sensitivity to a *lac* Operon Inducer

As a first step in examining the basis of the reduction of lag time among Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> populations, we measured changes in the expression of lac genes in environments with increasing concentrations of IPTG, a synthetic inducer. We found that clones from all  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations were more sensitive in responding to IPTG, reaching half maximum expression of LacZ, a gene product controlled by the LacI repressor, at a lower concentration of IPTG than the ancestor (supplementary fig. S4. Supplementary Material online). Oualitatively similar results were seen when we used an alternative inducer, TMG, and compared expression of a P<sub>lac</sub>-gfp reporter at 4 and (supplementary 6h following induction fig. S5. Supplementary Material online). These findings are consistent with a higher sensitivity to IPTG and TMG inducers in the Fv<sup>lacl+</sup> strains.

An increase in inducer sensitivity could cause reduced lag times in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations by allowing them to more quickly express *lac* genes following transfer to a fresh lactose supplemented environment. One mechanism through which this could occur is if basal *lac* expression was higher in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations, which increases the probability that a cell will turn on *lac* operon expression and resume growth (Chu and Barnes 2016). However, in both the IPTG and TMG induction data experiments, basal *lac* expression appeared unchanged in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations relative to the ancestor. We also considered the possibility of some change in the nature of lactose uptake. None of the evolved strains had mutations in the LacY permease protein so, to test the possibility that some other inducer import mechanism had evolved, we determined the reliance of *lac* induction on the LacY permease.



**Fig. 5.** Effect of *lacl* – mutation on growth dynamics of the ancestor and evolved clones. Red and blue lines indicate strains(s) with functional and nonfunctional *lacl* alleles, respectively. The green line in (*D*) indicates the ancestral *lacl* + strain, as plotted in panel (A), and is included for reference. Shaded regions indicate SD ( $n \ge 3$ ). All growth curves performed in the lactose environment. (A) Ancestor with and without the *lacl* – mutation. The mutation significantly decreases lag time in the lactose assay environment. (*B*) Clones from  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations. The *lacl* – mutation confers a small cost when added to these clones. (*D*) Original evolved clones from  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations. The shortened lag time dependent on the *lacl* – mutation in  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations has been achieved through a different mutational mechanism in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations. Lines indicate the mean of replicate growth curves estimated for the multiple clones in the noted evolutionary group (*B*–*D*).

To do this, we introduced a *lacY* loss-of-function mutation into the ancestor and the Lac5  $Ev^{lacl+}$  strain. (This strain evolved a strong antagonistic interaction with the *lacl*- mutation, see below.) We found that increased sensitivity of *lac* induction, in response to both lactose and TMG, was completely dependent on LacY (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). We also found that TMG, but not lactose, was able to weakly induce *lac* genes independent of LacY, but that ability was not different between the ancestor and the Lac5 strain.

### Reduced Benefit of *lacl* Mutations Is Caused by Mutations in *uspB*

To examine the nature of the mutations that interact with lacl- to reduce its benefit, we estimated the fitness effect of a lacl- mutation introduced into clones isolated at intervals along the evolutionary path of the eight  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations (fig. 6A). We reasoned that steep declines in lacl mutation effect would indicate the substitution of a negatively interacting mutation in the population. Among the tested populations, the steepest change was seen in the Lac5 population. Focusing on the beginning part of the evolution of this population, the effect of the lacl- mutation declined from conferring an 8.3% benefit in the ancestor to a mean cost of 0.9% among four independent clones isolated from this population at 500 generations of selection (fig. 6B). To determine the genetic basis of this change, the 500 generation evolved clones were sequenced and mutational changes relative to their ancestor identified (table 1). All four clones shared an IS150 insertion occurring 24-bp upstream of the uspB gene. The same mutation is present in clones isolated from this population after 4,000 and 8,000 generations of evolution.

To determine the interaction between *lacl*- and *uspB* mutations, we focused on a Lac5 8,000 generation evolved

clone. The lacl- mutation confers a cost in this clone measured in the lactose environment (Lac5 lac1- vs. Lac5: relative fitness =  $0.89 \pm 0.09$  [95% CI], P = 0.03). When we reverted the evolved uspB allele, the lacl – mutation conferred a 26% benefit, comparable with its effect in  $Ev^{lacl-}$  clones and greater than its effect in the ancestor (Lac5 uspB<sup>Anc</sup> lac1vs. Lac5  $uspB^{Anc}$ : relative fitness = 1.26  $\pm$  0.09 [95% CI], P = 0.006). This result identifies the uspB mutation as being one cause of the historical contingency we see, acting to reduce the benefit conferred by lacl-. We note, however, that the situation is complex and might depend on higher order interactions. Insertion mutations upstream or in uspB are present in all of seven sequenced clones isolated from Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> populations, but also in clones isolated from four Ev<sup>lacl-</sup> population (G\_L3, G/L5, L\_G6, and Lac1) (supplementary tables S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). This pattern indicates that clones without the lacl- mutation are significantly more likely to have the uspB mutation, consistent with a negative genetic interaction (one-tailed Fisher's exact test: P = 0.007). Nevertheless, that uspB and lacl – are sometimes found together suggests that other mutations might influence the relationship between them.

#### Divergent *lac* Regulation Strategies Do Not Promote Further Genetic Divergence

To test if the historical contingency influencing the effect of the *lacl* mutation extends to promoting continued divergence of  $Ev^{lacl+}$  and  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations along different evolutionary paths, we used Dice's coefficient of similarity (s) to compare the sets of mutations found among each population group (supplementary tables S1 and S2, Supplementary Material online). This metric is bounded between 0, indicating completely dissimilar mutation sets, and 1, indicating identical mutation sets. We found no signal of higher mutation





**Fig. 6.** Fitness effect of the *lacl*- mutation introduced into  $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones. (A) Symbols indicate the effect of adding the *lacl*- mutation into clones from each  $Ev^{lacl+}$  population isolated at indicated time points. Lines connect clones isolated from the same population at different points in time. The red rectangle indicates the population and 500 generation time point that clones were isolated from to analyze in more detail (shown in *B*). We were unable to transfer the *lacl*- mutation into a clone isolated at 2,000 generations from one  $Ev^{lacl-}$  population. The mutation effect trajectory of this population connects the ancestor and the 4,000 generation time point directly. (*B*) The effect of the *lacl*- mutation in the ancestor (black symbol) and clones from the 500 generation time point of the Lac5 population (red symbols). Clones 3 and 4 had the same genotype so are grouped here (table 1). Mean and 95% Cl of replicate fitness estimates are shown (n = 3).

parallelism within compared with between populations that substitute different *lacl* strategies. On average, Ev<sup>lacl+</sup> clones were more similar to  $Ev^{lacl-}$  clones than to each other (within  $Ev^{lacl+}$ , Dice's s = 0.045; between  $Ev^{lacl+/-}$ , s = 0.063; within  $Ev^{lacl-}$ , s = 0.073) (supplementary fig. S7A, Supplementary Material online). Moreover, no similarity estimate was significantly different to a null expectation based on randomly assigning sequenced clones to the  $Ev^{lacl+}$  and  $Ev^{lacl-}$  group labels. A limitation to this analysis is that it groups populations that were selected in different environments. Although it would be ideal to consider the effect of lacl- on subsequent mutation accumulation separately for each selection environment, only one of four environments contains multiple populations of each lacl type, limiting our ability to distinguish repeated from random effects. We note, however, that, with one exception, clones from the different selection environments did not detectably differ in the sets of mutathey accumulated (supplementary tions fig. S7B, Supplementary Material online).

#### Discussion

We found that the absence of potentially beneficial *lacl* mutations in  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations was due to epistasis and is, therefore, a case of historical contingency. In other words, populations followed at least two distinct evolutionary paths contingent on the presence of some earlier mutational change. This early mutation caused the fitness effect of subsequent *lacl*— mutations to be neutral or deleterious in all  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations, instead of beneficial as in the ancestor and  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations. We found no evidence to support alternative hypotheses of insufficient time or changes in mutation rate explaining the absence of *lacl*— mutations.

In the context of replicate evolved populations started from a common ancestor, as examined here, historical contingency stems from negative genetic interactions between early mutations and potentially beneficial mutations. At the physiological level, these interactions reflect that otherwise beneficial mutations are either redundant to, or interact antagonistically with, earlier substituting mutations. Redundancy could occur if there were two alternative mutational targets that cause the same phenotypic change. For example, mutations affecting either repressor proteins or operator binding sites (Quan et al. 2012). Antagonism could reflect, for example, alternative adaptive strategies that share some metabolite such that the evolution of one strategy changes the cellular physiological environment in a way that means the second is no longer beneficial (reviewed in De Visser et al. [2011]). Whereas redundancy allows for convergence in adaptive traits, even as populations might diverge genetically, antagonism between adaptive mechanisms predicts eventual phenotypic divergence as new mutations come to depend on the different cell environments caused by earlier ones (Szamecz et al. 2014).

We find that the shortened lag time conferred by *lacl*– mutations in the  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations is matched in  $Ev^{lacl+}$ populations, consistent with  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations substituting some alternative mutation(s) that makes *lacl*– redundant. This possibility is also supported by our findings that  $Ev^{lacl+}$ and  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations had indistinguishable growth curves, that  $Ev^{lacl+}$  populations increased in their sensitivity to *lac* operon induction, and that the overall set of substituted mutations did not differ between the population groups. Indeed, it seems that "evolutionary routes are many, but the destinations are limited." (Blount et al. 2008, 2012; Zee et al. 2014). A purely redundant mechanism of interaction does not, however, easily explain our finding that adding *lacl*– decreases fitness in five of eight  $Ev^{lacl+}$  strains more than can be explained by only the cost of constitutive *lac* 

 Table 1. Mutations in 500 Generation Clones of the Lac5 Population.

Gene	Clone			
	1	2	3	4
uspBª←::IS150→uspA rbs	–24/–367 <sup>ь</sup> bp	–24/–367 bp $\Delta$ 5,943 bp	–24/–367 bp ∆7,590 bp	–24/–367 bp ∆7,590 bp

<sup>a</sup>Also known as *vhiO*.

<sup>b</sup>Numbers indicate position of the insertion relative to the start of the flanking genes.

between *lacl*— and alternative adaptations. To the extent that this antagonism reflects a distinct mechanistic basis of adaptation, albeit with similar immediate phenotypic effects, it might eventually lead to diverging selective opportunities and, therefore, evolutionary paths.

The mechanism by which the *lacl*- mutation shortens lag time likely depends on the lack of functional repressor causing cells to produce higher levels of lac gene products through stationary phase so that they can more quickly import and catabolize lactose in fresh medium (Quan et al. 2012; Chu and Barnes 2016). The most obvious candidate for a mutation interacting with lacl-, therefore, would be one causing the same higher lac activity. We did not find any candidate mutations in the canonical *lac* regulon of  $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones, and, indeed, we did not detect any increase in basal lac expression among these clones. However, we did identify an IS150 insertion mutation occurring upstream of uspB as interacting with lacl in at least one population. The insertion occurs just upstream of uspB between the promoter and the start of the gene. It therefore seems likely to reduce or eliminate that gene's expression, though IS150 has an outward-facing promoter and could also upregulate uspB (Schwartz et al. 1988). In either case, a link between UspB and lactose metabolism is unclear. UspB is an integral membrane protein that is upregulated in stressful conditions, including starvation, and might play a role in mediating changes in membrane composition either directly or as a result of disrupted proteinprotein interactions (Farewell et al. 1998; Liu et al. 2019). In principle, such changes could affect stationary phase membrane composition to facilitate lactose uptake although lactose induction of lac expression still depended on the LacY permease so any change in uptake must have been minor (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). We did not rule out the possibility that uspB interacts indirectly with lactose metabolism, though the benefit of lacl- was lost in strains containing only uspB and one other mutation, a deletion of the rbs operon. The rbs deletion mutation occurred in almost all of our evolved populations, regardless of their lacl status, so it seems likely the interaction is, in fact, direct. Finally, we note that although the uspB mutation was found in all  $Ev^{lacl+}$  clones, it was also found in one  $Ev^{lacl-}$ population, indicating that the interaction between uspB and lacl mutations might be influenced by other mutations.

Both  $Ev^{lacl+}$  and  $Ev^{lacl-}$  populations are found in three of the four lactose-containing environments we consider, but at different frequencies. The ratio of *lacl*- absence to fixation (7:3) is substantially higher among the 2,000 generation fluctuating G\_L and L\_G selected populations than among those selected in the daily alternating G/L environment (0:5) (Fisher's exact test: P = 0.026), even though populations spent the same total time in each environmental component. The *lacl*- mutation was also less likely to fix among G\_L and L\_G populations than among Lac populations, although this difference was not significant (Fisher's exact test: P = 0.119). One explanation for these differences is that mutations selected in the glucose portion of the selection regime can interact negatively with *lacl*-, decreasing its benefit in lactose. Such mutations would not be expected to reach high frequency in the G/L daily fluctuating environments where they would compete directly with *lacl*— mutations for fixation.

How the history of a population affects its future evolution remains a major question in evolutionary biology (reviewed in Blount et al. [2018]). Does natural selection generally converge on high-fitness genotypes, such that evolutionary outcomes, even if not paths, are deterministic, or do antagonistic interactions cause chance differences in mutation occurrence and success to be built on, causing evolutionary outcomes to be contingent, and, therefore, unpredictable? Contingency leading to divergent fitness outcomes has been described in several controlled laboratory evolution experiments, even when comparing populations started from a common ancestor, and therefore having relatively few differences among which contingency can arise (Collins and Bell 2004; Blount et al. 2008; Beaumont et al. 2009; Cooper and Lenski 2010; Wiser et al. 2013). Divergence is commonly seen at the genetic level in these kinds of experiments, though the basis of differences is not usually examined. For example, whether distinct mutational pathways are likely to be equivalent or to affect available subsequent adaptive paths, and therefore likely to lead to eventual fitness differences. One example of the difficulty in evaluating this possibility comes from an experiment demonstrating a reproducibly different adaptive potential of genotypes differing crucially in mutations causing different residues in a gene affecting DNA supercoiling (Woods et al. 2011).

In summary, we describe an example of historical contingency affecting selection of *lac* regulation. Whereas approximately half of a set of replicate populations selected in lactose-containing environments quickly substituted a mutation in *lacl* that provided a benefit by decreasing lag time, remaining populations substituted other mutations that interacted negatively with *lacl* causing them to follow alternative evolutionary paths. At the point in our evolution experiment that was considered here, these paths are marked by distinct genetic, but not fitness, outcomes.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

Bacterial strains were isolated from populations evolved for 8,000 generations as part of a long-term evolution experiment. This experiment evolved replicate populations in seven different environmental treatments, four of which are considered here: lactose only (Lac), a combination of glucose and lactose fluctuating daily (G/L), or the same combination fluctuating every 2,000 generations with one treatment starting with glucose (G\_L) and another with lactose (L\_G) (Satterwhite and Cooper 2015) (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Evolution environments comprised these sugars added to base Davis-Mignoli (DM) medium at a concentration of 175  $\mu$ g/ml (glucose) or 210  $\mu$ g/ ml (lactose). These concentrations support approximately equal densities of stationary phase bacteria ( $\sim$  3.5  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ ml) (Cooper and Lenski 2010). Populations were propagated in 1 ml of medium in  $96 \times 2$  ml well blocks for 8,000

generations, using a daily 1:100 serial transfer. Each population was initially homogeneous, such that de novo mutation was the only source of genetic variation. Samples were frozen at -80 °C, with glycerol as a cryoprotectant, every 500 generations. Six replicate populations were evolved in each environment, with three started from REL606 and three from REL607, a spontaneous Ara+ derivative (Lenski et al. 1991). The different *ara* markers allow strains to be differentiated by plating on tetrazolium-arabinose plates, on which *ara*+ strains form white colonies and *ara*- red colonies. The Ara marker does not affect fitness measurements in any of the assay environments (Cooper and Lenski 2010). Lysogeny broth (LB) was used for nonselective culturing, whereas the respective evolution environment of each clone was used for fitness assays and growth measurements.

#### Identification of lacl Mutations

To determine fixation of lacl mutations within evolved populations, we plated 8,000-generation population samples (1,000-3,000 cells) on TGX indicator medium (Blount et al. 2008, 2012; Schenk et al. 2012; Zee et al. 2014). This medium consists of 10 g/l tryptone, 2.5 g/l sodium chloride, 5 g/l glucose, and 30 mg/ml of the LacZ substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal). Colony color on this medium indicates the level of LacZ activity in the absence of any recognized inducer, and thus distinguishes between ancestral repressed (white colonies) and mutant derepressed (blue colonies) lac expressing strains. Four populations had a mix of blue and white colonies and were not considered here. Strains were isolated from populations having >95% blue colonies and sequenced to confirm that derepression was due to a mutation in the lacl repressor. Evolved strains from populations that fixed a lacl mutation are denoted as  $Ev^{lacl-}$  and those from populations that maintained the ancestral allele are Ev<sup>lacl+</sup>

#### Strain Construction

To determine the fitness effect of lacl- mutations in evolved strains, we reverted them using the suicide plasmid pDS132::lacl+, as described previously (Satterwhite and Cooper 2015). Potential engineered revertants were screened for expected lacl+ phenotypes first on TGX medium. White colonies were streaked onto minimal medium supplemented with P-Gal. Clones that reverted to lacl+ cannot grow on this medium (Nghiem et al. 1988). Clones isolated from populations that retained the ancestral lacl allele were converted to lacl- by isolating spontaneous mutants selected on minimal medium supplemented with P-Gal as the only potential carbon source and with X-gal as a means to increase visual contrast of small colonies, making them easier to detect. In all cases, mutant isolation was confirmed by sequencing of the lacl gene. This also allowed us to confirm that selected lacl- strains had the same 4-base insertion/deletion mutations that dominated the mutations that fixed in the evolution experiment (Quan et al. 2012).

We used a combined CRISPR-recombineering approach to replace the evolved *uspB* allele (*uspB*::IS150) with the ancestral allele (Jiang et al. 2015). A *uspB* targeting sgRNA pTarget

plasmid (pTarget-uspB) was constructed by using the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit to assemble DNA fragments containing a donor region of the ancestral uspB locus, the pTarget vector backbone including a uspB N20 sequence, and two linking oligonucleotides (details in supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The uspB donor DNA encoded by the resulting plasmid does not alter the N20 target sequence in the edited strain. To prevent persistent editing after DNA repair, we modified the procedure used by Jiang et al. (2015) by simultaneously treating cells with arabinose and lactose for 1.5 h following transformation of pCas containing cells with pTarget-uspB. This combination activates the red recombination system and cuts the pTarget plasmid, causing it to be lost from cells. Transformants were plated on kanamycin plates to select pCas carrying cells and then grown at  $42 \,^{\circ}$ C to select for loss of that plasmid. The candidate edited cells were screened and confirmed by colony PCR.

#### **Mutation Rate Estimates**

The rate of mutation to constitutive *lac* expression was estimated using a fluctuation test. For each clone, the freezer stock was inoculated into LB, grown overnight at 37 °C, and then diluted 1:1,000 into ten fresh 1 ml LB cultures. After overnight growth, a 100- $\mu$ l sample from each replicate population was plated onto DM agar supplemented with X-gal and P-gal. A diluted sample was also plated onto LB agar plates to estimate total cell density. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h prior to counting.

To assess the plating efficiency of *lacl* – mutants on the Xgal + P-Gal selection plates, we compared the number of colonies growing when a small number of *lacl* – cells were plated by themselves on to permissive LB medium and when they were plated onto P-gal medium with an excess of a REL606 strain that had a lac operon deletion that made it unable to mutate to grow on P-Gal. The plating efficiency of lacl – cells was calculated as  $p_{\rm eff} = m_{\rm c}/m_{\rm e}$ , where  $m_{\rm c}$  is the number of lacl- mutants able to form visible colonies on P-Gal in combination with the deletion strain, and  $m_{\rm e}$  is the number of mutants that form colonies when plated alone onto LB. Colony counts in the fluctuation test were multiplied by  $1/p_{\text{eff}}$  to obtain corrected mutation counts. Following this correction, mutation rate analysis was carried out using the bz-rates estimator (http://www.lcqb.upmc.fr/bzrates) (Gillet-Markowska et al. 2015).

#### Individual-Based Simulations

The frequency of substitution ( $f_s$ ) for a *lacl*- mutation is a function of mutation rate, population size, and mutation dynamics. These dynamics, such as clonal interference and hitchhiking, can significantly affect fixation times. To estimate the expected fixation time for *lacl*- mutants in the long-term evolution experiment, we conducted individual-based simulations using a Wright-Fisher regime with a genome-wide mutation rate of  $U = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ , the mean genomic rate as calculated from relevant estimates (Wielgoss et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2012; Long et al. 2016), and a *lacl*+ to *lacl*- mutation rate of  $1.72 \times 10^{-7}$  (measured in the ancestor, see below).

Competing background mutations were divided into three deleterious and three beneficial classes, each comprising a different proportion of occurring mutations (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Each background mutation occurs at a new site and acts on fitness independently of other mutations in a simulated individual. Mutations to lacl- conferred a fitness increase as measured in this work in the ancestor (8.31% in Lac, 4.05% in G/L; fig. 1B). The effective population size prevailing in the evolution experiment ( $N = 3.3 \times 10^7$ ) (Cooper and Lenski 2010) was too large for us to replicate simulations in a reasonable time frame. We therefore simulated population sizes up to 10<sup>5</sup>. Fixation times are expected to be decreased at larger population sizes, therefore results at  $N = 10^5$  provide a conservative estimate for the probability of a lacl- mutation fixing in the experimental populations. Populations were allowed to evolve for 8.000 generations or until the laclmutation fixed (frequency above 95%). For each population size, we simulated 100 replicate populations and recorded the proportion of these replicates that fixed the lacl- mutation. We then bootstrapped the simulation results 1,000 times to estimate the 95% CI for  $f_s$ . For the 2,000-generation fluctuating environments, we simulated only the two 2,000 generations of evolution in lactose, and calculated  $f_s$  as  $f_s = f_{2k} + f_{2k}(1 - f_{2k})$ , where  $f_{2k}$  is the frequency of *lacl* – fixation during the first period of 2,000-generations of lactose selection. Assuming that lacl- mutations that did not fix during lactose selection periods were lost during any subsequent period of glucose selection, where they were costly, the second term gives the number of those populations expected to fix lacl- in the second period of lactose selection.

We did not simulate evolution in the G/L environment, as this requires estimation of the correlated effects of background mutations across glucose and lactose environments, which are ignored in the more slowly fluctuating 2,000 generation environments.

#### **Fitness Assays**

The relative fitness of a given strain was assayed relative to a reference with the opposite Lac (i.e., lacl+ vs. lacl-) or Ara (i.e., ara+vs. ara-) marker. Fitness assays were carried out in identical conditions to a tested strain's evolution environment. Prior to each assay, competitors were independently preconditioned to the competition environment for 1 day, except for the G/L environment, which was preconditioned over 2 days. For the G/L environment, cultures were diluted 100-fold from glucose to lactose for day two (for both preconditioning and competition steps). Following preconditioning, competitors were mixed at a 1:1 volume ratio and transferred with a 100-fold dilution into the competition environment. A sample was immediately plated on indicator agar (TGX or TA) in order to determine the starting frequency of the two competitors. At the end of the competition, samples were again plated on indicator agar. Absolute of competitor, fitness а. was calculated as  $w_a = \ln(100^d \times (N_a(f)/N_a(i)))$ , where d is the number of competition days, and N is the number of colony-forming units at the initial (i) and final (f) time points. The relative fitness of competitor *a* relative to competitor *b* is then  $w_{a/b} = w_a/w_b$ , and the selective advantage of *a* over *b* is  $s = w_{a/b} - 1$ .

#### Growth Rate Estimates and Virtual Competitions

Each clone was inoculated from a freezer stock into 1 ml of LB media and grown overnight. The following day, 1  $\mu$ l of each culture was transferred into 1 ml of DM supplemented with 210  $\mu$ g/ml lactose and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. On day three, 2  $\mu$ l of the preconditioned culture was transferred into 198  $\mu$ l of the same media in a 96-well polystyrene plate. This plate was incubated in a VersaMax microplate reader (Molecular Dynamics, CA) and grown at 37°C until cells reached stationary phase. The culture's optical density at 450 nm (OD450) was measured every 5 min during growth. Growth curves were analyzed using an extension of the logistic model (Baranyi and Roberts 1994; Ram et al. 2019) as implemented at: https://multi-choice-comparison.shinyapps. io/growth\_curves/. To check that estimated growth parameters were meaningful estimates of fitness components, we implemented a double-strain Baranvi-Roberts model to perform virtual competitions as mediated only through growth parameters separately estimated for each competitor (Ram et al. 2019). Virtual competition results were compared with direct competition fitness estimates. Briefly, virtual competitions model a common nutrient pool that is independently used for growth by two competitors depending on their measured growth parameters. The change in the density of each competitor was used to estimate a virtual relative fitness.

#### **Genome Sequencing**

Genomic DNA was isolated and purified using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega) following the protocol for Gram-negative bacteria at one-third volume. Double-stranded DNA was quantified using SYBR Green I Nucleic Acid Stain (Invitrogen) in a SpectraMax M5 Fluorescence Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices). Libraries were created following the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit protocol, at one-quarter volume, with Nextera XT Index Kit v2 adapters (Illumina). Libraries were individually quantified using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay with a Qubit 2.0 Flourometer (ThermoFisher) and fragment size determined using the Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer with a High Sensitivity DNA Analysis Kits (Agilent). Libraries were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq, producing 150 bp, paired-end reads. The Breseq computational pipeline was used to align reads to the reference sequence and identify mutations (Deatherage and Barrick 2014).

#### **Statistics**

R3.5.3 used for plotting and mutation similarity analysis (R Core Team 2017). The mclustcomp function in package mclustcomp was used to estimate Dice's coefficient of similarity comparing mutations fixed in clones isolated from different evolved populations. Simulations were performed using a custom Python script, which is available on request.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at *Molecular Biology and Evolution* online.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. DEB-1253650 to T.F.C. and Grant No. DEB-1354952 to R.B.R.A.) and the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden fund (Grant No. 19-MAU-082 to T.F.C.).

#### **Data Availability**

T.F.C. will make the strains constructed in this study available to qualified recipients following completion of an institutional material transfer agreement. The results of competition experiments, summary input data, and analysis scripts that pertain to the experiments and analyses reported in this article have been deposited at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad. 0rxwdbs05.

#### References

- Baranyi J, Roberts TA. 1994. A dynamic approach to predicting bacterial growth in food. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 23(3–4):277–294.
- Barrick JE, Kauth MR, Strelioff CC, Lenski RE. 2010. Escherichia coli rpoB mutants have increased evolvability in proportion to their fitness defects. Mol Biol Evol. 27(6):1338–1347.
- Beaumont HJE, Gallie J, Kost C, Ferguson GC, Rainey PB. 2009. Experimental evolution of bet hedging. *Nature* 462(7269):90–93.
- Bedhomme S, Lafforgue G, Elena SF. 2013. Genotypic but not phenotypic historical contingency revealed by viral experimental evolution. *BMC Evol Biol.* 13:46.
- Blount ZD, Barrick JE, Davidson CJ, Lenski RE. 2012. Genomic analysis of a key innovation in an experimental *Escherichia coli* population. *Nature* 489(7417):513–518.
- Blount ZD, Borland CZ, Lenski RE. 2008. Historical contingency and the evolution of a key innovation in an experimental population of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 105(23):7899–7906.
- Blount ZD, Lenski RE, Losos JB. 2018. Contingency and determinism in evolution: replaying life's tape. *Science* 362(6415): eaam5979–eaam6012.
- Chu D, Barnes DJ. 2016. The lag-phase during diauxic growth is a tradeoff between fast adaptation and high growth rate. Sci Rep. 6:25191.
- Collins S, Bell G. 2004. Phenotypic consequences of 1,000 generations of selection at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a green alga. *Nature* 431(7008):566–569.
- Cooper TF, Lenski RE. 2010. Experimental evolution with *E. coli* in diverse resource environments. I. Fluctuating environments promote divergence of replicate populations. *BMC Evol Biol.* 10:11.
- De Visser JAGM, Cooper TF, Elena SF. 2011. The causes of epistasis. *Proc Biol Sci.* 278(1725):3617–3624.
- Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using *breseq. Methods Mol Biol.* 1151:165–188.
- Farabaugh PJ, Schmeissner U, Hofer M, Miller JH. 1978. Genetic studies of the *lac* repressor. VII. On the molecular nature of spontaneous hotspots in the *lacl* gene of *Escherichia coli*. J Mol Biol. 126(4):847–857.
- Farewell A, Kvint K, Nyström T. 1998. *uspB*, a new  $\sigma$ S-regulated gene in *Escherichia coli* which is required for stationary-phase resistance to ethanol. *J Bacteriol*. 180(23):6140–6147.
- Gerrish PJ, Lenski RE. 1998. The fate of competing beneficial mutations in an asexual population. *Genetica* 102–103:127–144.
- Gillet-Markowska A, Louvel G, Fischer G. 2015. bz-rates: a web tool to estimate mutation rates from fluctuation analysis. G3 (*Bethesda*) 5:1–5.

- Harms MJ, Thornton JW. 2014. Historical contingency and its biophysical basis in glucocorticoid receptor evolution. *Nature* 512(7513): 203–207.
- Jiang Y, Chen B, Duan C, Sun B, Yang J, Yang S. 2015. Multigene editing in the *Escherichia coli* genome via the CRISPR-Cas9 System. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 81(7):2506–2514.
- Johnson MS, Martsul A, Kryazhimskiy S, Desai MM. 2019. Higher-fitness yeast genotypes are less robust to deleterious mutations. *Science* 366(6464):490–493.
- Kryazhimskiy S, Rice DP, Jerison ER, Desai MM. 2014. Global epistasis makes adaptation predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. *Science* 344(6191):1519–1522.
- Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. 2012. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing. *Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A*. 109(41):E2274–E2783.
- Lenski RE, Rose MR, Simpson SC, Tadler SC. 1991. Long-term experimental evolution in *Escherichia coli*. I. Adaptation and divergence during 2,000 generations. *Am Nat.* 138(6):1315–1341.
- Leon D, D'Alton S, Quandt EM, Barrick JE. 2018. Innovation in an E. coli evolution experiment is contingent on maintaining adaptive potential until competition subsides. PLoS Genet. 14(4): e1007348-e1007422.
- Liu Z, Zhao L, Zhang Q, Huo N, Shi X, Li L, Jia L, Lu Y, Peng Y, Song Y. 2019. Proteomics-based mechanistic investigation of *Escherichia coli* inactivation by pulsed electric field. *Front Microbiol.* 10:2644.
- Long H, Miller SF, Strauss C, Zhao C, Cheng L, Ye Z, Griffin K, Te R, Lee H, Chen C-C, et al. 2016. Antibiotic treatment enhances the genomewide mutation rate of target cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 113(18):E2498–E2505.
- Meyer JR, Dobias DT, Weitz JS, Barrick JE, Quick RT, Lenski RE. 2012. Repeatability and contingency in the evolution of a key innovation in phage Lambda. *Science* 335(6067):428–432.
- Nghiem Y, Cabrera M, Cupples CG, Miller JH. 1988. The *mutY* gene: a mutator locus in *Escherichia coli* that generates  $G.C \rightarrow T$ . *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 85(8):2709–2713.
- Ogbunugafor CB, Hartl D. 2016. A pivot mutation impedes reverse evolution across an adaptive landscape for drug resistance in *Plasmodium vivax. Malar J.* 15:40.
- Pearson VM, Miller CR, Rokyta DR. 2012. The consistency of beneficial fitness effects of mutations across diverse genetic backgrounds. *PLoS One* 7(8):e43864.
- Peng F, Widmann S, Wünsche A, Duan K, Donovan KA, Dobson RCJ, Lenski RE, Cooper TF. 2018. Effects of beneficial mutations in *pykF* gene vary over time and across replicate populations in a long-term experiment with bacteria. *Mol Biol Evol*. 35(1):202–210.
- Perfeito L, Sousa A, Bataillon T, Gordo I. 2014. Rates of fitness decline and rebound suggest pervasive epistasis. *Evolution* 68(1):150–162.
- Phillips KN, Castillo G, Wünsche A, Cooper TF. 2016. Adaptation of Escherichia coli to glucose promotes evolvability in lactose. Evolution 70(2):465–470.
- Quan S, Ray JCJ, Kwota Z, Duong T, Balázsi G, Cooper TF, Monds RD. 2012. Adaptive evolution of the lactose utilization network in experimentally evolved populations of *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS Genet*. 8(1):e1002444.
- Quandt EM, Deatherage DE, Ellington AD, Georgiou G, Barrick JE. 2014. Recursive genomewide recombination and sequencing reveals a key refinement step in the evolution of a metabolic innovation in *Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 111(6):2217–2222.
- R Core Team. 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria). Available at: https://www.R-project.org/.
- Ram Y, Dellus-Gur E, Bibi M, Karkare K, Obolski U, Feldman MW, Cooper TF, Berman J, Hadany L 2019. Predicting microbial growth in a mixed culture from growth curve data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 116(29):14698–14707.
- Satterwhite RS, Cooper TF. 2015. Constraints on adaptation of *Escherichia coli* to mixed-resource environments increase over time. *Evolution* 69(8):2067–2078.

- Schaaper RM, Danforth BN, Glickman BW. 1986. Mechanisms of spontaneous mutagenesis: an analysis of the spectrum of spontaneous mutation in the *Escherichia coli lacl* gene. J Mol Biol. 189(2):273–284.
- Schenk MF, Szendro IG, Krug J, De Visser JAGM. 2012. Quantifying the adaptive potential of an antibiotic resistance enzyme. *PLoS Genet.* 8(6):e1002783.
- Schwartz E, Herberger C, Rak B. 1988. Second-element turn-on of gene expression in an IS1 insertion mutant. *Mol Gen Genet*. 211(2):282–289.
- Shah P, McCandlish DM, Plotkin JB. 2015. Contingency and entrenchment in protein evolution under purifying selection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 112(25):E3226–E3235.
- Spor A, Kvitek DJ, Nidelet T, Martin J, Legrand J, Dillmann C, Bourgais A, de Vienne D, Sherlock G, Sicard D. 2014. Phenotypic and genotypic convergences are influenced by historical contingency and environment in yeast. *Evolution* 68(3):772–790.
- Starr TN, Picton LK, Thornton JW. 2017. Alternative evolutionary histories in the sequence space of an ancient protein. *Nature* 549(7672):409–423.
- Szamecz B, Boross G, Kalapis D, Kovács K, Fekete G, Farkas Z, Lázár V, Hrtyan M, Kemmeren P, Groot Koerkamp MJA, et al. 2014. The genomic landscape of compensatory evolution. *PLoS Biol.* 12(8):e1001935.
- Tenaillon O, Rodríguez-Verdugo A, Gaut RL, McDonald P, Bennett AF, Long AD, Gaut BS. 2012. The molecular diversity of adaptive convergence. Science 335(6067):457–461.
- Travisano M, Mongold J, Bennett A, Lenski R. 1995. Experimental tests of the roles of adaptation, chance, and history in evolution. *Science* 267(5194):87–87.

- Wang Y, Diaz Arenas C, Stoebel DM, Cooper TF. 2013. Genetic background affects epistatic interactions between two beneficial mutations. *Biol Lett.* 9(1):20120328–20120328.
- Wang Y, Diaz Arenas C, Stoebel DM, Flynn K, Knapp E, Dillon MM, Wünsche A, Hatcher PJ, Moore FB-G, Cooper VS, et al. 2016. Benefit of transferred mutations is better predicted by the fitness of recipients than by their ecological or genetic relatedness. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 113(18):5047–5052.
- Weinreich DM, Delaney NF, Depristo MA, Hartl DL. 2006. Darwinian evolution can follow only very few mutational paths to fitter proteins. *Science* 312(5770):111–114.
- Wielgoss S, Barrick JE, Tenaillon O, Cruveiller S, Chane-Woon-Ming B, Médigue C, Lenski RE, Schneider D. 2011. Mutation rate inferred from synonymous substitutions in a long-term evolution experiment with *Escherichia coli*. G3 (*Bethesda*) 1(3):183–186.
- Wiser MJ, Ribeck N, Lenski RE. 2013. Long-term dynamics of adaptation in asexual populations. *Science* 342(6164):1364–1367.
- Woods RJ, Barrick JE, Cooper TF, Shrestha U, Kauth MR, Lenski RE. 2011. Second-order selection for evolvability in a large *Escherichia coli* population. *Science* 331(6023):1433–1436.
- Wünsche A, Dinh DM, Satterwhite RS, Arenas CD, Stoebel DM, Cooper TF. 2017. Diminishing-returns epistasis decreases adaptability along an evolutionary trajectory. *Nat Ecol Evol.* 1(4):61.
- Yedid G, Bell G. 2002. Macroevolution simulated with autonomously replicating computer programs. *Nature* 420(6917):810–812.
- Zee PC, Mendes-Soares H, Yu Y-TN, Kraemer SA, Keller H, Ossowski S, Schneeberger K, Velicer GJ. 2014. A shift from magnitude to sign epistasis during adaptive evolution of a bacterial social trait. *Evolution* 68(9):2701–2708.