

Early outcomes of Sun's procedure in elderly patients with acute aortic dissection: a single-center retrospective study Journal of International Medical Research 50(6) 1–10 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0300605221109377 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Liang Zhong^{1,#}, Hongyan Xiong^{2,#}, Jing Li¹, Yong He^{1,*} and Heping Zhou^{1,*}

Abstract

Objective: The effect of patient age on the outcome of Sun's procedure for acute type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) remains controversial. We retrospectively investigated the early outcomes of Sun's procedure in elderly patients with ATAAD in our single center.

Methods: This study involved 106 patients who underwent Sun's procedure. The patients were divided into the elderly group (\geq 70 years, n = 17) and younger group (<70 years). Baseline, intraoperative, and postoperative data were compared between the groups.

Results: The mean age in the elderly and younger groups was 75.7 and 50.7 years, respectively. The type of aortic root operations were not significantly different between the groups. Concomitant surgeries were more frequently performed in the elderly group, but without statistical significance. All intraoperative cardiopulmonary bypass variables as well as the inhospital and 30-day mortality rates were similar between the groups. The incidences of most postoperative complications were also similar except for a higher incidence of sepsis in the elderly group.

Conclusions: Emergency performance of Sun's procedure for patients with ATAAD characterized by dissection and/or entry tear in the aortic arch should not be denied on the basis of advanced age alone. Comparable early in-hospital outcomes can be achieved in elderly patients.

Corresponding author:

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Yanta West Road No. 277, Xi'an 710061, China

²Department of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery, Xi'an Central Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xiwu Road No. 16, Xi'an 710003, China

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

Heping Zhou, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Yanta West Road No. 277, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710061, China. Email: 572552885@qq.com

Keywords

Aortic dissection, cardiopulmonary bypass, selective cerebral perfusion, Sun's procedure, advanced age, early outcome

Date received: 23 December 2021; accepted: 6 June 2022

Introduction

Acute aortic dissection (AAD) is characterized by rapid development of an intimal flap caused by blood flowing into the media and forcing the intima and adventitia apart.¹ The AAD-associated mortality rate can reach 33% within the first 24 hours, 50% by the first 48 hours, and 70% within 1 week if left untreated.^{2,3} The reported incidence of AAD is 3 to 5 cases per 100,000 people per year, which may be underestimated because many preadmission deaths were not included in these statistics.^{4,5} Despite the development of diagnostic tools and advancements in therapeutic techniques, life-saving surgical treatment of acute type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) is still associated with an inhospital mortality rate of 22%.6,7 For patients with ATAAD involving the ascending aorta, aortic arch, and descending aorta, Sun's procedure is now becoming increasingly utilized worldwide.8 However, Sun's procedure is a complex operation that requires deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) or low flow, selective cerebral perfusion, a long cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) time, and a long operation time compared with other general cardiac surgeries. Thus, in elderly patients with ATAAD, Sun's procedure may present challenges for the clinicians, patients, and patients' families. Studies of the effect of patient age on the outcomes of ATAAD treated by Sun's procedure have also produced conflicting results.⁹⁻¹¹ In this singlecenter retrospective study, we investigated

the early outcomes of Sun's procedure in elderly patients with ATAAD.

Material and methods

Patient population

The reporting of this study conforms to the STROBE guidelines.¹² From October 2019 to September 2020, 166 patients underwent emergency treatment for ATAAD in our institution. Only patients treated with Sun's procedure for dissection, significant hematoma, and/or entry tear in the aortic arch as diagnosed by preoperative computed tomography were included in this study. Patients who were older than 90 years; had been diagnosed with immune disease, chronic renal failure, end-stage liver disease, or infectious disease; had a history of malignant tumors; had heart failure with a left ventricular ejection fraction of <45%; or had incomplete records were excluded from this analysis. In total, 106 patients (64%) met all stated criteria, and their data were retrospectively analyzed. These patients were divided into two groups: those aged \geq 70 years (elderly group) and those aged <70 years (younger group). The primary endpoint was in-hospital mortality. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients for study participation and publication of the results.

Surgical technique

After induction of general anesthesia, invasive blood pressure was monitored via the left radial artery and dorsalis pedis artery. Ice bags were routinely packed around the head, and near-infrared spectroscopy sensors were placed on the forehead above the frontal lobes bilaterally to assess regional cerebral oxygenation.¹³

All operations were performed through a standard median sternotomy approach under CPB. The right axillary artery was routinely exposed for arterial cannulation. Myocardial protection was carried out by retrograde modified del Nido cardioplegia via the coronary sinus. The diseased aortic root was reconstructed by ascending aorta replacement, the David procedure, or the Bentall procedure with a four-branched graft during the cooling process. When the nasopharyngeal temperature reached approximately 25°C, DHCA with selective cerebral perfusion through the right axillary artery and left carotid artery (5-10 mL/kg) was initiated. Further surgical details are described in a previous report.⁸ If the pressure gradient between the upper and lower extremity was >40 mmHg, aortofemoral bypass was performed with an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene vascular prosthesis. If the right coronary ostium was involved in the dissection, coronary artery bypass grafting was performed using the greater saphenous vein.

Data collection

Clinical baseline data including sex, age, body mass index, laboratory parameters, and basic diseases were retrospectively collected. Hemodynamic instability was defined as a systolic blood pressure of <80 mmHg. Malperfusion syndrome included cerebral, renal, mesenteric, and extremity ischemia. Intraoperative variables including the aortic root procedure, concomitant aortofemoral bypass or coronary artery bypass surgery, DHCA time, aortic crossclamping time, and CPB time were also collected. Postoperative laboratory test results (24 hours postoperatively), the application of continuous renal replacement therapy, the incidence of hypoxemia at 72 hours postoperatively (PaO_2/FiO_2 of <200 mmHg), length of intensive care unit stay, ventilation time, in-hospital death, and 30-day mortality were all reviewed.

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The presentation of data included values, percentages, and medians. Continuous data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. Categorical variables are presented as percentages. Categorical data were analyzed using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables were compared between groups using Student's t-test. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

All demographic data except age and clinical risk factors (hypertension, history of smoking and drinking, and diabetes mellitus) were similar between the two groups (Table 1). There was also no significant difference in preoperative malperfusion syndrome or hemodynamic instability. The patients in the elderly group were significantly older than those in the younger group (P < 0.001).

Preoperative and postoperative laboratory test results were compared between the two groups. The preoperative albumin level was significantly lower in the elderly group than in the younger group (P = 0.03). The preoperative estimated glomerular filtration rate was

Characteristics	Younger group (n = 89)	Elderly group (n = $I7$)	P-value
Age, years	$\textbf{50.7} \pm \textbf{8.7}$	$\textbf{75.7} \pm \textbf{4.4}$	< 0.00
Sex, M/F	67/22	12/5	0.68
BMI, kg/m ²	25.6 ± 4.6	24.1 ± 3.1	0.21
Hypertension	52 (58.4)	13 (76.5)	0.16
History of smoking	38 (42.7)	10 (58.8)	0.22
History of drinking	36 (40.4)	8 (47.1)	0.48
Diabetes mellitus	I (1.1)	0 (0.0)	0.66
Previous ischemic heart disease	$\Gamma(1.1)$	2 (11.8)	0.07
History of stroke	I (1.1)	I (5.9)	0.30
Chronic atrial fibrillation	0 (0.0)	I (5.9)	0.16
Hemodynamic instability	7 (7.9)	2 (11.8)	0.63
Preoperative neurologic deficit	I (1.1)	I (5.9)	0.30
Severe aortic regurgitation	3 (3.4)	11 (5.9)	0.51
Malperfusion syndrome			
Extremity ischemia	2 (2.3)	I (5.9)	0.41
Cerebral ischemia	2 (2.3)	I (5.9)	0.41
Renal ischemia	2 (2.3)	I (5.9)	0.41
Mesenteric ischemia	2 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	>0.99
Connective tissue disease	20 (22.5)	4 (19.0)	0.73

Table I. Patients' baseline characteristics.

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or n (%).

M, male; F, female; BMI, body mass index.

significantly higher in the elderly group than in the younger group (P < 0.01). The postoperative platelet and fibrinogen levels were also significantly lower in the elderly group than in the younger group (P = 0.03and P = 0.02, respectively). The postoperative myoglobin level was significantly higher in the elderly group than in the younger group (P = 0.01). There were no significant differences in liver function, renal function, the C-reactive protein level, the white blood cell count, or the red blood cell count (Table 2).

The aortic root operations included the Bentall procedure, David procedure, and ascending aorta replacement with no significant differences between the two groups. Concomitant surgeries, including right coronary artery bypass grafting and aortofemoral bypass, were more frequently performed in the elderly group but with no significant differences. Intraoperative blood loss was higher in the elderly group than in the younger group $(337.3 \pm 85.4 \text{ vs.} 407.3 \pm 77.4 \text{ mL}$, respectively; P = 0.002). The intraoperative CPB time, aortic crossclamping time, and DHCA time were comparable between the two groups (Table 3).

Postoperative recovery, including the length of hospital stay, ventilation time, and length of intensive care unit stay, was comparable between the two groups. No significant differences in postoperative complications were noted except for a higher incidence of sepsis in the elderly group (Table 4). The in-hospital mortality rate was satisfactory in the elderly group and only slightly higher than that in the younger group (17.6% vs. 15.7%, respectively). The 30-day mortality rate was also similar between the two groups.

Discussion

Data from the German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A (GERAADA)

5

		1	
Characteristics	Younger group (n = 89)	Elderly group (n = 17)	P-value
Preoperative			
White blood cells, $\times 10^{9}$ /L	11.2 ± 4.4	9.5 ± 3.9	0.15
Red blood cells, $\times 10^{12}/L$	$\textbf{3.8}\pm\textbf{0.9}$	4.1 ± 1.3	0.25
Platelets, $\times 10^{9}$ /L	165.4 ± 65.8	161.2 ± 66.8	0.81
C-reactive protein, mg/L	33.5 ± 14.5	$\textbf{49.0} \pm \textbf{18.5}$	0.28
ALT, U/L	$\textbf{65.3} \pm \textbf{29.5}$	28.2 ± 22	0.51
AST, U/L	82.1 \pm 40.3	$\textbf{28.8} \pm \textbf{22.3}$	0.42
Albumin, g/L	$\textbf{36.4} \pm \textbf{3.8}$	34.2 ± 3.6	0.03
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.0 ± 1.6	3.4 ± 1.7	0.41
D-dimer, mg/L	15.9 ± 11.0	12.3 ± 9.1	0.50
eGFR, mL/minute/1.73 m ²	93.2 ± 12.0	84.0 ± 14.0	<0.01
Myoglobin, μ g/L	$\textbf{530.0} \pm \textbf{54.0}$	509.0 ± 47.0	0.14
Postoperative			
White blood cells, $\times 10^{9}$ /L	13.1 ± 3.8	12.1 \pm 6.4	0.34
Red blood cells, $\times 10^{12}$ /L	3.5 ± 0.5	3.7 ± 0.4	0.12
Platelets $\times 10^{9}$ /L	$\textbf{83.7} \pm \textbf{45.2}$	58.6 ± 37.4	0.03
C-reactive protein, mg/L	124.6 \pm 54.7	83.1 ± 40.9	0.28
ALT, U/L	163.1 \pm 53.2	147.5 \pm 54.5	0.91
AST, U/L	$\textbf{403.6} \pm \textbf{121.5}$	210.9 ± 91.6	0.53
Albumin, g/L	42.0 ± 3.1	41.8 ± 3.4	0.87
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.2 ± 1.0	2.6 ± 0.7	0.02
D-dimer, mg/L	15.0 ± 10.1	19.0 \pm 12.9	0.19
eGFR, mL/minute/1.73 m ²	71.4 ± 12.5	$\textbf{66.7} \pm \textbf{9.8}$	0.15
Myoglobin, μ g/L	$\textbf{3824.2} \pm \textbf{321.5}$	$\textbf{4037.5} \pm \textbf{118.6}$	0.01

Table 2. Comparison of preoperative and postoperative laboratory tests.

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

and the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD) showed that about 30% of patients were \geq 70 years of age,^{14,15} whereas data from a Chinese registry (Sino-RAD) revealed that only 6.5% of the population was >70 years of age. One reason for this difference may be that in some rural places in China, patients died before admission or refused to accept surgery because of high surgical risks and financial problems.¹⁶ Another important reason may be physicians' attitudes, which was relatively conservative in terms of recommending surgery for elderly patients in the early stage of treating aortic dissection. Additionally, with the advanced aging of the population and increasing global life expectancy, cardiac surgeons are being faced with increasing numbers of elderly patients.

Emergency life-saving surgical intervention is still associated with high in-hospital mortality possibly because of surgical complexity and preoperative hemodynamic compromise. When confronted with an elderly patient with ATAAD, a cardiac surgeon may face an ethical dilemma regarding whether it is appropriate to deny offering life-saving surgery based solely on advanced age.^{9,17} Study results have been inconsistent with respect to the best surgical strategy for ATAAD in elderly patients.^{18–20} In the present study, we compared the postoperative outcomes of 106 consecutive patients with ATAAD who underwent an emergency Sun's procedure, focusing on the role of

Characteristics	Younger group (n = 89)	Elderly group (n = 17)	P-value
Aortic root procedure			
Bentall	10 (11.2)	2 (11.8)	0.95
David	8 (9.0)	l (5.9)	0.67
Ascending aorta replacement	71 (79.8)	14 (82.4)	0.81
Concomitant surgery			
CABG	1 (1.1)	l (5.9)	0.30
Aortofemoral bypass	4 (4.5)	2 (11.8)	0.25
Intimal tear location			
Ascending aorta	41 (46.1)	8 (47.1)	>0.99
Arch	31 (34.8)	5 (29.4)	0.78
Beyond arch	10 (11.2)	2 (11.8)	>0.99
No tear (hematoma)	7 (7.9)	2 (11.8)	0.63
CPB time, minutes	143.4±34.8	147.9 ± 36.5	0.63
Aortic cross-clamping time, minutes	$\textbf{73.1} \pm \textbf{17.8}$	$\textbf{81.8} \pm \textbf{26.4}$	0.09
DHCA time, minutes	19.3 ± 3.8	20.0 ± 4.2	0.50
Nasopharyngeal temperature, °C	$\textbf{23.9} \pm \textbf{2.8}$	$\textbf{24.3} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	0.58
Intraoperative blood loss, mL	$\textbf{337.3} \pm \textbf{85.4}$	$\textbf{407.3} \pm \textbf{77.4}$	0.002
Intraoperative blood transfusion, mL	$\textbf{478.3} \pm \textbf{65.4}$	$\textbf{550.0} \pm \textbf{64.2}$	<0.001

Table 3. Intraoperative data.

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or n (%).

CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; DHCA, deep hypothermic circulatory arrest; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting.

age in the outcome of aggressive total arch replacement. No difference in in-hospital mortality or postoperative complications was found between the two groups.

Is surgical therapy justified in elderly patients with ATAAD?

Increased age has been shown to be a strong independent predictor of in-hospital mortality associated with cardiovascular treatments, including surgical and medical therapy.^{21,22} For elderly patients with ATAAD, the risks of surgery should outweigh the risk of death from medical treatment.

Trimarchi et al.²³ revealed that although surgical mortality increased with advancing age, patients treated surgically had significantly lower in-hospital mortality than patients treated medically (23.8% vs. 59.3%, respectively) in all age groups. The authors also concluded that an age of \geq 70 years was an independent predictor of

in-hospital mortality (38.2% vs. 26.0%).²³ Beckmann et al.¹⁰ also found that among patients with ATAAD, the in-hospital mortality rate was 50% higher in those aged >70 years than in those aged <70years. However, the surgical mortality rate was still lower in surgically treated patients aged >70 years than in medically treated patients (37.5% vs. 52.5%, respectively). Moreover, postoperative complications were similar in the two groups. Therefore, to improve survival, an aggressive surgical approach is not considered unreasonable in select elderly patients with ATAAD. In the present limited experience, we found no significant difference in in-hospital mortality between the younger and elderly groups (15.7% vs. 17.6%, respectively).

Based on the above findings, we are inclined to believe that age alone should not be used as a sole criterion by which to exclude patients from surgical treatment for ATAAD. Cardiovascular surgeons can be more active in recommending surgical

Characteristics	Younger group (n = 89)	Elderly group (n = 17)	P-value
Length of hospital stay, days	$\texttt{21.2} \pm \texttt{7.2}$	17.1±6.4	0.27
Ventilation time, hours	65.7 ± 64.4	$\textbf{87.3} \pm \textbf{70.5}$	0.22
Length of ICU stay, days	7.6 ± 5.7	9.6 ± 6.2	0.19
First 24-hour drainage, mL	$\textbf{556.8} \pm \textbf{272.4}$	554.0 ± 28 l .7	0.97
Second 24-hour drainage, mL	$\textbf{432.5} \pm \textbf{213.9}$	$\textbf{481.8} \pm \textbf{254.3}$	0.43
Third 24-hour drainage, mL	$\textbf{376.5} \pm \textbf{216.1}$	$\textbf{379.6} \pm \textbf{205.6}$	0.97
Re-exploration for bleeding	2 (2.2)	I (5.9)	0.40
Tracheotomy	L (1.1)	2 (11.8)	0.07
Delirium	3 (3.4)	2 (11.8)	0.13
Stroke	3 (3.4)	I (5.9)	0.51
CRRT	17 (19.1)	4 (23.5)	0.68
Hypoxemia	60 (67.0)	11 (65.0)	0.84
Sepsis	2 (2.2)	3 (17.6)	0.03
Gastrointestinal bleeding	I (1.1)	2 (11.8)	0.07
Paraplegia	2 (2.2)	I (5.9)	0.40
In-hospital death	14 (15.7)	3 (17.6)	0.85
30-day mortality	1 (1.1)	2 (11.8)	0.07

Table 4. Postoperative data and outcomes.

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation or n (%).

ICU, intensive care unit; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy.

options for elderly patients without contraindications.

Optimal treatment of the aortic arch: limited or total repair?

Management of the aortic arch in elderly patients with ATAAD has long been a topic of debate.^{24,25}

Qin et al.²⁶ compared the short- and midterm results between the limited aortic repair group (ascending and/or hemi-arch replacement) and extended-arch repair group (ascending arch and proximal descending aortic replacement) in \geq 65-year-old patients with ATAAD. They found that the extendedarch repair group had higher in-hospital mortality than the limited aortic repair group, whereas there was no significant difference in the 5-year survival rate between the two groups. However, the authors considered that the results may have been compromised by the small number of patients in each group. Zhu et al.²⁷ analyzed their Stanford experience in the management of ATAAD with hemiarch and total arch replacement from 2000 to 2019 and found no difference in survival or distal aortic reoperation, which is consistent with previous studies.²⁸ The underlying rationale for total arch replacement is to avoid leaving any intimal tear in the aortic arch and to resolve malperfusion of arch branch vessels with the goal of preventing future rupture of the diseased aorta and stroke. Most aortic surgeons now agree that it is logical to recommend total arch replacement for patients with the highest theoretical benefit, such as younger patients and those with Marfan syndrome or other connective tissue disorders. However, more convincing evidence is needed to identify patients who will benefit the most from aggressive total arch replacement.

In our center, Sun's procedure is indicated in patients with ATAAD with the primary entry located in the arch and descending aorta, in those with involvement of the arch vessels, and in those with Marfan syndrome. If the patient is not of advanced age (>90 years) and has no severe comorbidities, we are inclined to recommend surgery after a thorough discussion of all risks and benefits with the whole family. This is especially important in developing countries where medical insurance is ready for every patient. The DHCA time in Sun's procedure is about 20 minutes, which is considered a safe period of circulatory arrest. Near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy is routinely used intraoperatively to monitor regional cerebral oxygenation.

In the present study, although intraoperative blood transfusion was more frequently performed in elderly patients than in younger patients possibly because of difficult hemostasis in elderly patients, there was no significant difference in early postoperative complications such as stroke, renal failure, or paraplegia. A multidisciplinary care team including respiratory therapists, physical therapists, and cardiac intensive care unit nurses is responsible for postoperative recovery. Our goal for each elderly patient with ATAAD is survival with good quality of life. Therefore, seasoned surgical judgment is of paramount importance and must be exercised before surgery.

This study had some limitations. It was a single-center retrospective review and was limited by the relatively small number of patients treated in just 1 year in our center. However, most aspects of our treatment protocol were standardized, and the results may be more convincing than those in previous studies. Selection bias was also present in our study, such as that arising from the exclusion of patients with end-stage liver disease and a low left ventricular ejection fraction, which might have resulted in the exclusion of some truly difficult cases. Furthermore, only early in-hospital outcomes were analyzed; investigation of long-term outcomes is needed in future studies.

In summary, emergency performance of Sun's procedure for patients with ATAAD characterized by an entry tear in the aortic arch or involvement of the supra-aortic vessels should not be denied on the basis of advanced age alone. Compared with young patients, similar early in-hospital outcomes can be achieved in elderly patients by judicious preoperative selection and multidisciplinary postoperative care. Further research is needed to evaluate management strategies that would improve long-term survival in this high-risk patient subset.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was supported by a grant from the Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi (No. 2022JM-573).

ORCID iD

Heping Zhou (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6823-8758

References

- 1. Nienaber CA, Clough RE, Sakalihasan N, et al. Aortic dissection. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016; 2: 16053.
- Tsai TT, Trimarchi S and Nienaber CA. Acute aortic dissection: perspectives from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2009; 37: 149–159.
- Moon MR. Approach to the treatment of aortic dissection. Surg Clin North Am 2009; 89: 869–893.
- 4. Thrumurthy SG, Karthikesalingam A, Patterson BO, et al. The diagnosis and

management of aortic dissection. *BMJ* 2011; 344: d8290.

- Wundram M, Falk V, Eulert-Grehn JJ, et al. Incidence of acute type A aortic dissection in emergency departments. *Sci Rep* 2020; 10: 7434.
- 6. Trimarchi S, Nienaber CA, Rampoldi V, et al. Contemporary results of surgery in acute type A aortic dissection: the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005; 129: 112–122.
- Pape LA, Awais M, Woznicki EM, et al. Presentation, diagnosis, and outcomes of acute aortic dissection: 17-year trends from the international registry of acute aortic dissection. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015; 66: 350–358.
- 8. Ma WG, Zheng J, Liu YM, et al. Dr. Sun's procedure for type A aortic dissection: total arch replacement using tetrafurcate graft with stented elephant trunk implantation. *Aorta* 2013; 1: 59–64.
- Stamou SC, Hagberg RC, Khabbaz KR, et al. Is advanced age a contraindication for emergent repair of acute type A aortic dissection? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2010; 10: 539–544.
- Beckmann E, Martens A, Kaufeld T, et al. Is total aortic arch replacement with the frozen elephant trunk procedure reasonable in elderly patients? *Eur J CardiothoracSurg* 2021; 60: 131–137.
- Salem M, Friedrich C, Thiem A, et al. Risk factors for mortality in acute aortic dissection type A: a centre experience over 15 years. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2021; 69: 322–328.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147: 573–577.
- Greenberg S, Murphy G, Shear T, et al. Extracranial contamination in the INVOS 5100C versus the FORE-SIGHT ELITE cerebral oximeter: a prospective observational crossover study in volunteers. *Can J Anaesth* 2016; 63: 24–30.

- 14. Conzelmann LO, Weigang E, Mehlhorn U, et al. Mortality in patients with acute aortic dissection type A: analysis of pre- and intraoperative risk factors from the German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A (GERAADA). Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016; 49: e44–e52.
- Evangelista A, Isselbacher EM, Bossone E, et al. Insights from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection: a 20year experience of collaborative clinical research. *Circulation* 2018; 137: 1846–1860.
- Wang W, Duan W, Xue Y, et al. Clinical features of acute aortic dissection from the registry of aortic dissection in China. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2014; 148: 2995–3000.
- Hata M, Sezai A, Niino T, et al. Should emergency surgical intervention be performed for an octogenarian with type A acute aortic dissection? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2008; 135: 1042–1046.
- Chiappini B, Tan ME, Morshuis W, et al. Surgery for acute type A aortic dissection: is advanced age a contraindication? *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004; 78: 585–590.
- Kawahito K, Kimura N, Yamaguchi A, et al. Early and late surgical outcomes of acute type A aortic dissection in octogenarians. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2018; 105: 137–143.
- Omura A, Matsuda H, Minami H, et al. Early and late outcomes of operation for acute type A aortic dissection in patients aged 80 years and older. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017; 103: 131–138.
- Rich MW, Bosner MS, Chung MK, et al. Is age an independent predictor of early and late mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction? *Am J Med* 1992; 92: 7–13.
- Blakeman BM, Pifarré R, Sullivan HJ, et al. Aortic valve replacement in patients 75 years old and older. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1987; 44: 637–639.
- Trimarchi S, Eagle KA, Nienaber CA, et al. Role of age in acute type A aortic dissection outcome: report from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2010; 140: 784–789.

- 24. Wang H, Wagner M, Benrashid E, et al. Outcomes of reoperation after acute type A aortic dissection: implications for index repair strategy. J Am Heart Assoc 2017; 6: e006376.
- 25. Di Eusanio M, Berretta P, Cefarelli M, et al. Total arch replacement versus more conservative management in type A acute aortic dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015; 100: 88–94.
- 26. Qin W, Su C, Li L, et al. Is limited aortic resection more justified in elderly patients with type A acute aortic dissection?

Insights from single center experience. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2020; 15: 183.

- Zhu Y, Lingala B, Baiocchi M, et al. Type A aortic dissection-experience over 5 decades: JACC historical breakthroughs in perspective. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020; 76: 1703–1713.
- 28. Uchida N, Ishihara H, Shibamura H, et al. Midterm results of extensive primary repair of the thoracic aorta by means of total arch replacement with open stent graft placement for an acute type A aortic dissection. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2006; 31: 862–867.