

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Vaccine 40 (2022) 5657-5663



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Vaccine



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine

Short communication

# Comparison of the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a reduced and standard booster dose of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in healthy adults after two doses of inactivated vaccine



Sitthichai Kanokudom <sup>a,b</sup>, Suvichada Assawakosri <sup>a,b</sup>, Nungruthai Suntronwong <sup>a</sup>, Jira Chansaenroj <sup>a</sup>, Chompoonut Auphimai <sup>a</sup>, Pornjarim Nilyanimit <sup>a</sup>, Preeyaporn Vichaiwattana <sup>a</sup>, Thanunrat Thongmee <sup>a</sup>, Ritthideach Yorsaeng <sup>a</sup>, Thaneeya Duangchinda <sup>c</sup>, Warangkana Chantima <sup>d,e</sup>, Pattarakul Pakchotanon <sup>c</sup>, Donchida Srimuan <sup>a</sup>, Thaksaporn Thatsanatorn <sup>a</sup>, Sirapa Klinfueng <sup>a</sup>, Juthathip Mongkolsapaya <sup>f,g</sup>, Natthinee Sudhinaraset <sup>a</sup>, Nasamon Wanlapakorn <sup>a</sup>, Sittisak Honsawek <sup>b,\*</sup>, Yong Poovorawan <sup>a,h,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

<sup>b</sup> Center of Excellence in Osteoarthritis and Musculoskeleton, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Thai Red Cross Society, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

<sup>c</sup> Molecular Biology of Dengue and Flaviviruses Research Team, National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science and Development Agency, NSTDA, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand

<sup>d</sup> Division of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever Research, Faculty of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand

e Siriraj Center of Research Excellence in Dengue and Emerging Pathogens, Faculty of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand

f Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK

<sup>g</sup> Chinese Academy of Medical Science (CAMS) Oxford Institute (COI), University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>h</sup> The Royal Society of Thailand (FRS(T)), Sanam Sueapa, Dusit, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 February 2022 Received in revised form 7 July 2022 Accepted 15 August 2022 Available online 22 August 2022

Keywords: Covid-19 Delta Omicron Booster Third dose Inactivated vaccine mRNA Vaccine Standard dose Reduced dose Clinical trial

### ABSTRACT

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has been a serious healthcare problem worldwide since December 2019. The third dose of heterologous vaccine was recently approved by World Health Organization. The present study compared the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of the reduced and standard third booster dose of the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccine in adults who previously received the two-dose CoronaVac vaccine. Results showed that headache, joint pain, and diarrhea were more frequent in the 15  $\mu$ g- than the 30  $\mu$ g-BNT162b2 groups, whereas joint pain and chilling were more frequent in the 100  $\mu$ g- than the 50  $\mu$ g-mRNA-1273 groups. No significant differences in immunogenicity were detected. These findings demonstrate that the reduced dose of the mRNA vaccines elicited antibody responses against the SARS-CoV-2 delta and omicron variants that were comparable to the standard dose. The reduced dose could be used to increase vaccine coverage in situations of limited global vaccine supply.

© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Background

A shortage of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine has been reported in several countries [1]. The impact of limited vaccine supply is a serious public health issue. Vaccine importing countries, including Thailand, are affected by vaccine shortage, resulting in delays in the vaccination schedule. A dose reduction strategy can help countries to save costs on the imported vaccine and distribute vaccine more quickly during the COVID-19 outbreak. Several countries achieved high two-dose COVID-19

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors at: Center of Excellence in Osteoarthritis and Musculoskeleton, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Thai Red Cross Society, Bangkok 10330, Thailand (S. Honsawek); Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand (Y. Poovorawan).

*E-mail addresses:* sittisak.h@chula.ac.th (S. Honsawek), yong.p@chula.ac.th (Y. Poovorawan).

vaccination coverage but have been unable to control the spread of SARS-CoV-2. The delta and omicron variants have recently spread worldwide [2], and are causing breakthrough infection in vaccinated individuals [3-4]. A preliminary study showed that vaccination of inactivated vaccine-vaccinated adults with a 15 µg-BNT162b2 mRNA dose elicited high immune responses against the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant [5-6]. Our previous study demonstrated that individuals who received inactivated vaccines as their primary series achieved a high immune response against delta and omicron variants following receipt of a booster vaccination with the standard dose of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 [7]. However, it remains unclear whether a reduced-dose booster of an mRNA vaccine, especially a 50 µg-mRNA-1273 vaccination following the inactivated vaccine primary series, could induce adequate neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 delta and omicron variants. Our study compared the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of reduced and standard doses of the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines in CoronaVac (CV)-vaccinated individuals.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Study design

This prospective cohort study enrolled healthy Thai adults > 18 years of age without previous COVID-19 infection by medical interview at the time of enrollment. Adults who were immunized with the two-dose CV 6 months (±1 month) after the second dose and consented to receive the 15 µg- BNT162b2 (n = 59) or 50 µg-mRNA-1273 (n = 51) reduced dose of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccine booster between November to December 2021 were included in the study. The comparison group included individuals who received the standard dose of either BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech Inc., NY) (n = 54) or mRNA-1273 (Moderna Inc., Cambridge, MA) (n = 58) for a previous study conducted between September and December 2021 [7]. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) in the Faculty of Medicine at Chulalongkorn University (IRB690/64). This trial was included on the Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR 20210910002). Written informed consent was obtained from participants prior to enrollment. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the principle of Good Clinical Practice Guidelines (ICH-GCP). Blood samples were collected before vaccination (V1, day 0, baseline) and after receipt of the booster dose (V2, the second visit day  $14 \pm 7$  and V3, the third visit, day  $28 \pm 7$ ) (Supplementary Fig. S1). The study was followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines [8].

### 2.2. Vaccines

The BNT162b (Pfizer-BioNTech Inc., New York, NY) contains 15  $\mu$ g (reduced) or 30  $\mu$ g (standard) of mRNA encoding SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein [9]. The mRNA-1273 (Moderna Inc., Cambridge, MA) contains 50  $\mu$ g (reduced) or 100  $\mu$ g (standard) of mRNA encoding SARS-CoV-2 S protein [10].

### 2.3. Reactogenicity assessment

The subjects were continuously monitored for local, systemic, and any adverse events (AEs) following immunization (AEFIs) occurring within 7 days using an online or paper-based selfassessment questionnaire. The data collection protocol was given to participants by trained investigators during the initial visit.

#### 2.4. Laboratory measurements

Serum samples were collected for analysis of total immunoglobulin (Ig) specific to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, the IgG anti-RBD, the IgG anti nucleoprotein (anti-N), the neutralization assay against delta (B.1.617.2) and omicron (B.1.1.529/BA.1) variants using surrogate virus neutralization test (sVNT), and the 50 % focus reduction neutralization test (FRNT<sub>50</sub>) as previously described [7,11]. The seropositivity rate of sVNT showed > 30 % inhibition, and the seropositivity rate of FRNT<sub>50</sub> was > 20-serum dilution. The assay used to measure the interferon-gamma (IFN- $\gamma$ ) SARS-CoV-2 releasing T cell response was performed on a heparinized whole blood sample as previously described [11].

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

The sample size was calculated using G\*power software version 3.1.9.6 (based on conventional effect size = 0.25, given significance level ( $\alpha$ ) = 0.05, power (1- $\beta$ ) = 0.8, numerator degree of freedom = 3, and number of groups = 4). The graphical representation and statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism version 9.3.1. Categorical analyses of age and sex were performed using the Chi-square test. Adverse events were analyzed using the risk difference with a 95 % confidence interval (CI). IgG-specific RBDs and FRNT<sub>50</sub> were designated as geometric mean titers (GMT) with a 95 % Cl. Other parameters were presented as medians with interquartile ranges. The differences in antibody titers, S/C, and percentage inhibition and IU/mL minus nil between groups were calculated using the Kruskal–Wallis or Wilcoxon signed-rank test (non-parametric) with multiple comparison adjustments. A *p*-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Demographic data

A total of 222 healthy participants were analyzed, including 110 participants enrolled to receive the reduced vaccine dose and 112 participants who received the standard vaccine dose and were enrolled in a prior study in September 2021 [7]. The baseline demographic characteristics of participants in all four groups who received reduced or standard doses of the BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 vaccines were generally comparable (Table 1). The mean age of participants who received the 15 µg-BNT162b2, 30 µg-BNT162b2, 50 µg-mRNA-1273, or 100 µg-mRNA-1273 vaccines were 39.1 ± 10.0, 41.6 ± 10.1, 38.7 ± 11.1, and 37.0 ± 10.5 y ears, respectively. No significant differences in age and sex were observed between the groups. Underlying diseases of the enrolled participants included allergy, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, thyroid, and other inactive diseases (Table 1). The median days to follow-up for the second visit were 18.55 (14-21), 14.3 (13-21), 15.5 (14-21), and 16 (14-20) days for the 15 µg-BNT162b2, 30 µg-BNT162b2, 50 µg-mRNA-1273, and 100 µg-mRNA-1273, respectively. The median days to follow-up for the second visit were 28.6 (27-30), 28.3 (27-35), 28.1 (28-29), and 27.9 (22-35) days for the 15 µg-BNT162b2, 30 µg-BNT162b2, 50 μg-mRNA-1273, and 100 μg-mRNA-1273, respectively.

### 3.2. Reactogenicity data

The most common solicited AE for all groups was injection site pain. Systemic events, including headache and myalgia. headache, joint pain, and diarrhea were more frequent in the 15  $\mu$ g- than the

#### Table 1

Demographics and characteristics of the vaccinated cohorts.

	BNT162b2	BNT162b2	mRNA-1273	mRNA-1273
	(15 µg)	(30 µg) <sup>y</sup>	(50 µg)	(100 µg) <sup>y</sup>
	(10 48)	(30 μg)	(00 μg)	(100 μ8)
Total number (n)	59	54	51	58
Mean age ± SD (years)	39.1 ± 10.0	$41.6 \pm 10.1$	38.7 ± 11.1	37.0 ± 10.5
Sex				
Male (%)	28 (47.5 %)	32 (59.3 %)	22 (43.1 %)	28 (47.8 %)
Female (%)	31 (52.5 %)	22 (40.7 %)	29 (56.8 %)	30 (52.2 %)
Underlying disease (%)				
Allergy	4 (6.8 %)	4 (7.4 %)	5 (9.8 %)	4 (6.9 %)
Diabetes Mellitus	3 (5.1 %)	2 (3.7 %)	_	2 (3.4 %)
Dyslipidemia	3 (5.1 %)	4 (7.4 %)	-	1 (1.7 %)
Hypertension	5 (8.5 %)	6 (11.1 %)	3 (5.8 %)	4 (6.9 %)
Thyroid	1 (1.7 %)	2 (3.7 %)	1 (1.9 %)	1 (1.7 %)
Others	2 (3.4 %)	3 (5.6 %)	3 (5.8 %)	2 (3.4 %)
Follow-up				. ,
Second visit (two weeks)				
Mean (range) days	18.5 (14-21)	14.3 (13-21)	15.5 (14-21)	16 (14-20)
Lost to follow-up (n)	3	1	1	0
Third visit (four weeks)				
Mean (range) days	28.6 (27-30)	28.3 (27-35)	28.1 (28-29)	27.9 (22-35)
Lost to follow-up $(n)$	3	0	5	2
2000 to 1011011 up (11)	2		5	-

Inactive disease-no medication involving immunosuppressant. <sup>y</sup> Previous enrolled participants of standard dose vaccination [7].

30 µg-BNT162b2 group, while joint pain and chilling were more frequent in the 100 µg- than the 50 µg-mRNA-1273 group (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S2). No severe AEs were reported.

### 3.3. IgG anti-N, total Ig, and IgG anti-RBD responses

Most participants were IgG anti-N seronegative (>94 %) after receiving the mRNA vaccines (Supplementary Fig. S3 and Table S1). There were no significant differences in the GMTs of total Ig and IgG anti-RBD between the four groups at baseline. By the second visit post-vaccination with 15 µg-BNT162b2, 30 µg-BNT162b2, 50 µg-mRNA-1273, and 100 µg-mRNA-1273, total Ig anti-RBD levels were 28,413, 31,793, 41,171, and 51,979 U/mL, respectively. By the third visit, total Ig anti-RBD was slightly reduced to 21,299, 21,053, 27,282, and 33,519 U/mL, respectively (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, the IgG anti-RBD levels were consistent with Ig anti-RBD (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Table S1). These results indicated that there were no significant differences in Ig and IgG anti-RBD levels between reduced and standard doses of the same vaccine.

# 3.4. Neutralizing antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 delta and omicron variants

The sVNT against the delta and omicron variants was evaluated in a subgroup of participants. Seropositivity on the first visit was 3/40 (0.08 %) and 1/40 (0.03 %) to the delta and omicron variants, respectively. By the third visit, the sera of participants from all four groups achieved over 97 % inhibition against the delta variant. In contrast, inhibition against the omicron variant at the third visit was 71.2 %, 53.5 %, 61.1 %, and 76.7 % in the 15 µg-BNT162b2, 30 µg-BNT162b2, 50 µg-mRNA-1273, and 100 µg-mRNA-1273 groups, respectively (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Table S1). Inhibition against the omicron variant was significantly lower among participants in the 30 µg-BNT162b2 group than participants in the other groups (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Table S1).

To assess live virus neutralization to the delta and omicron variants, FRNT<sub>50</sub> was performed. On the first visit, most participants were seronegative for FRNT<sub>50</sub> against the delta and omicron variants. By the third visit, the GMT against the delta variant in the 15  $\mu$ g-BNT162b2 and 50  $\mu$ g-mRNA-1273 groups increased to 1,505 and 2,088, respectively. The GMT against omicron in the 15  $\mu$ g-BNT162b2 and 50  $\mu$ g-mRNA-1273 groups was 343.4 and 541.2, respectively. Consistent with Ig RBD, no significant difference in  $\text{FRNT}_{50}$  was observed in sera from the reduced and standard-dose groups of the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines (Fig. 2D and Supplementary Table S1).

### 3.5. QuantiFERON assay

The *T*-cell response was compared between the reduced and standard doses of the mRNA vaccines in participants who previously received the two-dose CoronaVac. Results showed that the median IFN- $\gamma$  CD4 + T cell and CD4 + CD8 + T cell counts were higher at the second visit than at baseline in all groups. By the third visit, IFN- $\gamma$  CD4 + and CD4 + CD8 + T cell counts were slightly reduced but this was not statistically significant. Importantly, there were no differences in T cell counts between participants receiving the reduced and standard doses of each vaccine (Fig. 3A–B and Supplementary Table S1).

### 4. Discussion and conclusion

This study compared the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of the reduced and standard doses of the mRNA vaccine booster in individuals who previously received a two-dose CV. The most common solicited AEs after mRNA-based vaccine booster were injection site pain, myalgia, and headache, respectively. In comparison to the previous studies in Slovakia and the Czech Republic, common AEs were injection pain, fatigue, headache, and myalgia, respectively [12–13]. Our data reported the trend side effects among participants with a booster dose. A few participants had detectable IgG anti-N at baseline because they had received the two-dose CV. In agreement with Ig and IgG RBD responses, the reduced and standard-dose mRNA booster elicited high neutralizing activity against the SARS-CoV-2 delta and omicron variants. These results are consistent with a previous study of BNT162b2 following CV after three months [5]. Previous studies assessing the use of the standard mRNA vaccine dose after the CV series [6-7] or three standard doses of BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 [14] also demonstrated high neutralizing antibodies against the omicron variant. This aligns with another study reporting that the use of 15-µg-BNT162b2 as a booster dose elicited neutralizing activity against the SARS-CoV-2 delta and omicron variants [6]. Nevertheless, a previous study of mRNA-1273 showed that a third standard



# BNT162b2

Adverse events	Reduced	Standard
Injection site pain	54/59 (91.5%)	52/54 (96.3%)
Swelling	16/59 (27.1%)	16/54 (29.6%)
Redness	15/59 (25.4%)	18/54 (33.3%)
Fever	4/59 (6.8%)	2/54 (3.7%)
Headache	33/59 (55.9%)	20/54 (37.0%)
Myalgia	44/59 (74.6%)	38/54 (70.4%)
Nausea	11/59 (18.6%)	7/54 (13.0%)
Vomiting	4/59 (6.8%)	2/54 (3.7%)
Diarrhea	12/59 (20.3%)	4/54 (7.4%)
Joint pain	17/59 (28.8%)	7/54 (13.0%)
Chilling	22/59 (37.3%)	20/54 (37.0%)
Dizziness	18/59 (30.5%)	14/54 (25.9%)



### Vaccine 40 (2022) 5657-5663

# **Risk difference (95%CI)**

4.8 (-3.9, 13.5)
2.5 (-14.1, 19.2)
7.9 (-8.9, 24.7)
-3.1 (-11.2, 5.1)
-18.9 (-37.0, -0.8)
-4.2 (-20.7, 12.3)
-5.7 (-19.1, 7.7)
-3.1 (-11.2, 5.1)
-12.9 (-25.4, -0.5)
-15.9 (-30.5, -1.2)
-0.3 (-18.1, 17.6)
-4.6 (-21.2, 12.0)

# Β

# mRNA-1273

Adverse events	Reduced	Standard
Injection site pain	51/51 (100.0%)	55/58 (94.8%)
Swelling	29/51 (56.9%)	34/58 (58.6%)
Redness	18/51 (35.3%)	23/58 (39.7%)
Fever	0/51 (0.0%)	4/58 (6.9%)
Headache	35/51 (68.6%)	38/58 (65.5%)
Myalgia	46/51 (90.2%)	45/58 (77.6%)
Nausea	5/51 (9.8%)	10/58 (17.2%)
Vomiting	1/51 (2.0%)	4/58 (6.9%)
Diarrhea	10/51 (19.6%)	10/58 (17.2%)
Joint pain	8/51 (15.7%)	20/58 (34.5%)
Chilling	19/51 (37.3%)	40/58 (69.0%)
Dizziness	11/51 (21.6%)	23/58 (39.7%)

# **Risk difference (95%CI)** -5.2 (-10.9, 0.5) 1.8 (-16.8, 20.3) 4.4 (-13.8, 22.5) 6.9 (0.4, 13.4) -3.1 (-20.8, 14.5) -12.6 (-26.1, 0.9) 7.4 (-5.3, 20.1) 4.9 (-2.6, 12.5) -2.4 (-17.0, 12.2) 18.8 (3.0, 34.6) 31.7 (13.9, 49.5) 18.1 (1.2, 35.0) 20 0 20 40 60 50 µg 100 µg

Fig. 1. Reactogenicity data and risk difference analysis. The recorded incidence of AEs in participants who received the reduced booster dose with A) 15 µg (reduced) or 30 µg (standard) of BNT162b2 and B) 50 µg (reduced) or 100 µg (standard) of mRNA-1273. The risk difference with 95 % CI is shown.



**Fig. 2.** Antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2. Serum was obtained from participants who received two completed doses of the inactivated vaccine, CoronaVac, followed by 15 µg (reduced) mRNA vaccine–BNT162b2, 30 µg (standard) mRNA vaccine–BNT162b2, 50 µg (reduced) mRNA-1273, or 100 µg (standard) mRNA-1273. A) Total immunoglobulin anti-RBD (U/mL) and B) IgG anti-RBD (BAU/mL); Lines represent GMTs (95 % CI); ns indicate no significant difference. C) Surrogate virus neutralization assay (sVNT) against delta (B.1.617.2) and omicron (B.1.1.529) variants; lines represent median (interquartile range). D) Focus reduction neutralization assay (FRNT<sub>50</sub>) against delta (B.1.617.2) and omicron (B.1.1.529) variants; lines represent GMTs (95 % CI); ns indicates no significant difference; p < 0.05 (\*).



**Fig. 3.** QuantiFERON SARS-CoV-2-stimulating interferon-gamma assay. Heparinized samples were immediately incubated in a QuantiFERON (QFN) blood collection tube for 21 h. The plasma was evaluated using QFN IFN-γ ELISA. A) IFN-γ was produced by CD4-specific Ag. B) IFN-γ was produced by CD4- and CD8-specific Ag2. Lines represent medians (IQR); ns indicate no significant difference.

dose (100  $\mu$ g) elicited a stronger immune response than a third reduced dose (50  $\mu$ g) [15]. However, the reduced 50  $\mu$ g-mRNA-1273 vaccine was able to elicit an immune response against the SARS-CoV-2 delta and omicron variants [15–16].

In the present study, IFN- $\gamma$  release was observed by the second visit in participants who received the reduced mRNA vaccine dose. These results are consistent with previous studies of response to booster vaccination with the standard dose of mRNA vaccine after 3 [10] and 6 months [7] of CV priming. Both BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines are able to stimulate robust antigen-specific *T*-cell responses after 7 days [17]. Indeed, BNT162b2 vaccination-induces *T*-cell responses, including induction of IFN- $\gamma$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-2, and protection against ancestral strains might contribute to cross-protection against the omicron variant [18].

This study had some limitations. The surrogate virus neutralization test against the delta variant reached the upper limit of > 97 % inhibition. However, the  $FRNT_{50}$  result could explain why there were no significant differences in neutralizing activity against the delta and omicron variants. In addition, this study partially relied on subjects who received standard-dose immunization in another study. A more recent report of the standard-dose regimen should be used as the comparison group for the reduced-dose regimen. A follow-up study is underway to determine the durability of the booster vaccine.

In conclusion, there were no significant differences between administration of the reduced and standard doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in participants who previously received inactivated CV vaccine. This study may inform decision-making regarding the use of reduced mRNA vaccine doses in healthy adults who were immunized with CV.

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Sitthichai Kanokudom: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. Suvichada Assawakosri: Methodology. Nungruthai Suntronwong: Methodology. Jira Chansaenroj: Methodology. Chompoonut Auphimai: Methodology. Pornjarim Nilyanimit: Conceptualization. Preeyaporn Vichaiwattana: Methodology. Thanunrat Thongmee: Methodology. Thaneeya Duangchinda: Methodology. Warangkana Chantima: Methodology. Pattarakul Pakchotanon: Methodology. Sirapa Klinfueng: Methodology. Juthathip Mongkolsapaya: Methodology. Natthinee Sudhinaraset: Investigation. Nasamon Wanlapakorn: Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Sittisak Honsawek: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. Yong Poovorawan: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing.

### Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: [Sittisak Honsawek and Yong Poovorawan reports administrative support was provided by Chulalongkorn University Faculty of Medicine. Sitthichai Kanokudom reports financial support was provided by the Second Century Fund (C2F). Yong Poovorawan reports administrative support and equipment, drugs, or supplies were provided by the Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Chulalongkorn University, and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital.

Yong Poovorawan reports equipment, drugs, or supplies was provided by National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT). Yong Poovorawan reports equipment, drugs, or supplies was provided by Health Systems Research Institute (HSRI).].

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology personnel and all participants for helping and supporting this project. This research was financially supported by the Health Systems Research Institute (HSRI), National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT), the Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Chulalongkorn University, and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, and partially supported by the Second Century Fund (C2F) of Sitthichai Kanokudom, Chulalongkorn University.

### Funding

This research was financially supported by Health Systems Research Institute (HSRI), National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT), the Center of Excellence in Clinical Virology, Chulalongkorn University, and King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, and partially supported by the Second Century Fund (C2F) of Sitthichai Kanokudom, Chulalongkorn University.

### **Institutional Review Board Statement**

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB), Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University (IRB number 690/64).

#### **Informed Consent Statement**

Informed consent was obtained before participant enrollment. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the Good Clinical Practice Guidelines (ICH-GCP) principles.

### **Data Availability Statement**

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Appendix A. Supplementary material**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.08.033.

### References

- Henn W. Allocation criteria for an initial shortage of a future SARS-CoV-2 vaccine and necessary measures for global immunity. Vaccine 2020;38 (34):5396-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.06.058</u>.
- [2] Wang C, Han J. Will the COVID-19 pandemic end with the delta and omicron variants? Environ Chem Lett 2022;20(4):2215–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10311-021-01369-7</u>.
- [3] Kuhlmann C, Mayer CK, Claassen M, Maponga T, Burgers WA, Keeton R, et al. Breakthrough infections with SARS-CoV-2 omicron despite mRNA vaccine booster dose. Lancet 2022;399(10325):625–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00090-3</u>.
- [4] Wang SY, Juthani PV, Borges KA, Shallow MK, Gupta A, Price C, et al. Severe breakthrough COVID-19 cases in the SARS-CoV-2 delta (B.1.617.2) variant era. Lancet Microbe 2022;3(1):e4–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(21)</u> 00306-2.
- [5] Intapiboon P, Seepathomnarong P, Ongarj J, Surasombatpattana S, Uppanisakorn S, Mahasirimongkol S, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of an intradermal BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine booster after two doses of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthy population. Vaccines (Basel) 2021;9(12):1375. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9121375.
- [6] Angkasekwinai N, Niyomnaitham S, Sewatanon J, Phumiamorn S, Sukapirom K, Senawong S, et al. The immunogenicity and reactogenicity of four COVID-19

booster vaccinations against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concerns (Delta, Beta, and Omicron) following CoronaVac or ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 primary series. medRxiv 2022. ttps://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.29.21266947.

- [7] Assawakosri S, Kanokudom S, Suntronwong N, Auphimai C, Nilyanimit P, Vichaiwattana P, et al. Neutralizing Activities against the omicron variant after a heterologous booster in healthy adults receiving two doses of CoronaVac vaccination. J Infect Dis 2022(12). <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiac092</u>.
- [8] von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. PLoS Med 2007;4(10):e296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040296</u>.
- [9] Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al., Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. 2020; 383(27): p. 2603-2615. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577.
- [10] Jackson LA, Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, Roberts PC, Makhene M, Coler RN, et al. An mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 – Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med 2020;383(20):1920–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2022483</u>.
- [11] Kanokudom S, Assawakosri S, Suntronwong N, Auphimai C, Nilyanimit P, Vichaiwattana P, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the third booster dose with inactivated, viral vector, and mRNA COVID-19 vaccines in fully immunized healthy adults with inactivated vaccine. Vaccines (Basel) 2022;10(1):86. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010086</u>.
- [12] Riad A, Hocková B, Kantorová L, Slávik R, Spurná L, Stebel A, et al. Side effects of mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine: nationwide phase iv study among healthcare

workers in Slovakia. Pharmaceuticals 2021;14(9):873. <u>https://doi.org/</u>10.3390/ph14090873.

- [13] Riad A, Pokorná A, Attia S, Klugarová J, Koščík M, Klugar M. Prevalence of COVID-19 vaccine side effects among healthcare workers in the Czech Republic. J Clin Med 2021;10(7):1428. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10071428</u>.
- [14] Garcia-Beltran WF, St. Denis KJ, Hoelzemer A, Lam EC, Nitido AD, Sheehan ML, et al. mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Cell 2022;185(3):457–466.e4. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.033</u>.
- [15] Pajon R, Doria-Rose NA, Shen X, Schmidt SD, O'Dell S, McDanal C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant neutralization after mRNA-1273 booster vaccination. N Engl J Med 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEIMc2119912</u>.
- [16] Choi A, Koch M, Wu K, Chu L, Ma L, Hill A, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 variant mRNA vaccine boosters in healthy adults: an interim analysis. Nat Med 2021;27(11):2025–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01527-v.</u>
- [17] Li NL, Coates PT, Rovin BH. COVID-19 vaccination followed by activation of glomerular diseases: does association equal causation? Kidney int 2021;100 (5):959–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.kint.2021.09.002</u>.
- [18] Gao Yu, Cai C, Grifoni A, Müller TR, Niessl J, Olofsson A, et al. Ancestral SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells cross-recognize the Omicron variant. Nat Med 2022;28 (3):472–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01700-x</u>.