Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Caterina Conte, Università Telematica San Raffaele, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Owen Kelly, Sam Houston State University, United States Suey Yeung, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Qiu Zhang zhangqiu@ahmu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Clinical Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 08 March 2022 ACCEPTED 11 July 2022 PUBLISHED 09 August 2022

CITATION

Chen Y, Chen F-h, Chen Y-q and Zhang Q (2022) Higher modified dietary inflammatory index is associated with increased risk of osteoporosis in US adults: Data from NHANES. *Front. Nutr.* 9:891995. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.891995

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Chen, Chen, Chen and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Higher modified dietary inflammatory index is associated with increased risk of osteoporosis in US adults: Data from NHANES

Yong Chen, Fu-hua Chen, Yi-qing Chen and Qiu Zhang*

Department of Endocrinology, First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China

Objective: The aim of this study was to study the relationship between modified dietary inflammatory index (MDII) score with osteoporosis (OP) in adult Americans.

Methods: Data were extracted from the United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (2007–2008, 2009–2010, 2013–2014, and 2017–2018). In this cross-sectional study, 5,446 participants were included and analyzed. Potential dietary inflammatory was assessed by MDII score (24-h recall), a composite method computed according to the relationship between nutrients and systemic pro-inflammatory cytokine level, and was further classified into tertiles. Weighted multivariable logistic regression analysis was employed to examine the associations between OP and MDII scores.

Results: In weighted multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models, the highest tertile of MDII score was associated with an increased risk of OP [odds ratio (OR): 1.73, 95% confidence interval (95 Cl%): 1.14–2.63]. In participants aged above 59 years, a higher MDII score showed a higher risk of OP (OR: 1.92; 95 Cl%: 1.16–3.15). In the sex-stratified models, the results remained significant only among women (OR: 1.80; 95% Cl: 1.02–3.17). In the menopausal status stratified model, after adjusting potential confounding variables, the association between the MDII score, either as a categorical (OR: 1.88; 95% Cl: 1.07-3.13) or continuous variables (OR: 1.19; 95%Cl: 1.02-1.38), and OP risk was significant among postmenopausal women.

Conclusion: Our study indicates that a higher MDII score (pro-inflammatory effect) is significantly associated with an increased risk of OP in US adults, especially among those postmenopausal women more than 60 years. This study further supports that those dietary changes have the potential to prevent OP.

KEYWORDS

osteoporosis, dietary inflammatory index, NHANES, American, nutrition

Introduction

In the United States, the prevalence of osteoporosis (OP) in 2017-2018 at the femur neck, lumbar spine, or both sites among adults above 50 years was 12.6%; 19.6% among women; and 4.4% among men (1). OP is caused by many factors and results in low bone mass and degradation of bone microstructure, which increases the risk of fractures (2). OP-related fractures decrease the quality of life of patients and impose a heavy economic burden on the healthcare system. Regarding the total direct costs associated with OP care, the fracture treatment and drug costs were €37.4 billion in 2010, which increased by 64% to €56.9 billion in 2019 (3, 4). This result was consistent with a 50% increase in the costs of the treatment of fractures by 2025, as predicted by Burge et al. who studied and estimated the fracturetreatment costs in the USA from 2005 to 2025 (5). Circulating levels of inflammatory markers can predict bone loss and bone resorption in older adults to some extent (6). The presence of serum inflammatory markers worsens the destruction of bone and increases the risk of fractures in older people (7-9).

The risk factors for OP are multifactorial, including sex, diet, race, lifestyle, genetics, advanced age, low calcium intake, smoking, and alcohol consumption, which might promote the progression of OP (10). There are four main dietary patterns in relation to bone health (i.e., Mediterranean, Eastern, Western, and Modern diets). The Mediterranean diet showed a positive relationship with higher bone mass among premenopausal women, lower incidence of hip fracture in the elderly, and low risk of falling among older people (11-13). Compared with studies on the effects of other dietary patterns, studies on the effects of the Eastern diet (ED) are limited. As the main feature of ED, the effect of high carbohydrates on the regulation of bone health is debatable (14, 15). Coxam reported that postmenopausal women who were administered a diet enriched in phytoestrogens (nutrients found in the ED and beneficial to the bones) had a lower risk of fracture due to OP (16). Western diets (WDs) are considered to be unhealthy. Four aspects are related to the adverse effects of the WD on bone health. (1) Due to the deficiency of dietary potassium and alkaline minerals in the WD, alkaline salts (such as calcium and magnesium phosphates) are released from the bone to balance the systemic pH, which destroys the bone and increases the risk of fracture (17). (2) The WD contains large quantities of saturated FAs, which adversely affect bone health. (3) Due to the low intake of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, the level of dietary fiber, which positively affects bone health, is low in the WD. (4) Highly processed foods constitute the WD, provide large quantities of fat and sugar, and can adversely affect bone health. Modern diets (MDs) comprise highly processed foods. Processed foods are not only convenient and nutritious but also improve bioavailability of isoflavones, the utilization of minerals, and enhance the production of MK-7. However, they contain

large quantities of phosphorus, so dium, and "hidden" sugars, which are associated with OP $(18,\,19).$

The Dietary Inflammation Index (DII) was developed to evaluate the inflammatory potential of a diet; a higher DII score indicates a pro-inflammatory diet (2). A study in Korea has reported a significant association between a higher DII score and higher OP risk in women (20). However, a study from the Brazilian Osteoporosis Study (BRAZOS) database reported a lack of association between the DII score and low-impact fractures in the Brazilian population (21). A cohort study from China reported a positive association between pro-inflammatory diets and an increase in the long-term risk of OP in older Chinese women (22). Consistent with a previous study, the above studies proved that bone mineral density differs with race, ethnic group, and diet (23). Therefore, determining the association between OP and modified dietary inflammatory index (MDII) might provide information for the prevention and treatment of OP among different races. To the best of our knowledge, the relationship between MDII score and OP in the United States has not been studied. In this study, the data of 5,446 participants were extracted from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) to determine the association between dietary inflammation and OP.

Methods

Data source and study design

The data for this analysis were obtained from NHANES, which is a cross-sectional investigation designed to assess the health and nutritional status of both adults and children in the United States. Details of the survey design have been reported previously (24). This study was restricted to participants aged above 40 years who had an examination for dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry femur bone data and a dietary interview from 2007 to 2018 in the NHANES database, except for the 2011–2012 and 2015–2016 survey cycles in which there are no data related to OP, with complete data on other covariates needed in this study. The detailed inclusion and exclusion in this study are shown in Figure 1.

Definition of OP

The BMD of the participants was measured using a Hologic QDR-4500A fan-beam densitometer (Hologic, Inc., Bedford, Massachusetts). Detailed information on the DXA examination is accessible on the NHANES website (25). In this study, we mainly analyzed the femur neck BMD due to its highest predictive value for hip fracture, and the hip is the site of high clinical relevance (26). The diagnosis of OP was based on T-score results and self-reported. T-score was calculated



as (BMD_{mesured} – mean BMD_{reference})/SD_{reference}. OP was defined as a T-score of BMD ≤ -2.5 (27). Consistent with the International Society for Clinical Densitometry's corresponding guidelines, the reference group for calculating T-score for the femur neck is composed of 20–29 years old non-Hispanic Caucasian participants from NHANES III (28).

Modified dietary inflammatory index

In this study, data on dietary intake were obtained from one in-person 24-h diet recall interview (24-h recall). The 24 h-derived dietary data were used to calculate the MDII score for all included participants. A complete description of the DII is available elsewhere (29). In brief, the dietary intake data are estimated from the types and amounts of foods and beverages (including all types of water) consumed during the 24-h prior to the interview. In this study, 28 of the 45 food parameters were calculated, namely, energy, carbohydrate, protein, fiber, total fat, cholesterol, saturated fatty acids, monounsaturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, n-3 fatty acids, n-6 fatty acids, alcohol, caffeine, beta carotene, vitamin A, vitamin B6, vitamin B12, vitamin B1, vitamin B2, vitamin C, vitamin D, vitamin E, iron, magnesium, zinc, selenium, niacin, and folic acid (Table 1). To calculate the modified DII score, the dietary intake of each food parameter is subtracted from the global daily mean intake, dividing by its standard deviation, converting the value to a percentile score, doubling each percentile score, and subtracting "1" to achieve a symmetrical distribution. Then, the intake data were multiplied by the respective inflammatory score for each food parameter. By summing each food parameter-specific DII score, we can obtain an individual overall modified DII score. To study the relationship between the modified DII score and OP, we further divided the modified DII score into tertiles with the following cut points: T1: (-4.870 to 0.419); T2: (0.4191-2.015); and T3: (2.016-4.577).

Covariates

Demographic factors (i.e., age, race, sex, education, and poverty level index), physical activity, drinking history, smoking status, hypertension status, diabetes classification, history of fracture, history of prednisone or cortisone, menopausal status, and calcium intake were obtained from NHANES. The age was categorized as 40-59 and ≥ 60 years. The races were categorized as Mexican American, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic black other Hispanic, and other Races. Education was categorized as less than high school graduate; high school graduate/GED; and above high school graduate. The poverty level index was classified as monthly poverty level index \leq 1.30; 1.30 < monthly poverty level index ≤ 1.85 ; and monthly poverty level index > 1.85. Physical activity was classified as inactive group (no leisure-time physical activity), insufficiently active group (leisure-time moderate activity 1-5 times per week with metabolic equivalents ranging from 3 to 6 or leisuretime vigorous activity 1-3 times per week with metabolic equivalents >6), or active group (those who had more leisuretime moderate or vigorous activity than above) (30). Drinking history was defined as one who has ever had 1 drink of any alcoholic beverages, including liquor, beer, wine, wine coolers, and any other type of alcoholic beverage in his/her entire life, not counting small tastes or sips. Smoking status was defined as current smokers who smoked >100 cigarettes in their lifetime and smoked cigarettes at the time of investigation; former smokers who had smoked >100 cigarettes during their TABLE 1 Components of the dietary inflammatory index (DII) in this study.

	DII component	Overall
		inflammatory
		effect score ^a
1.	Energy (kcal)	0.18
2.	Protein (g)	0.021
3.	Carbohydrate (g)	0.097
4.	Dietary fiber (g)	-0.663
5.	Total fat (g)	0.298
6.	Total saturated fatty acids (g)	0.373
7.	Total monounsaturated fatty acids (g)	-0.009
8.	Total polyunsaturated fatty acids (g)	-0.337
9.	Cholesterol (mg)	0.110
10.	Vitamin E (mg)	-0.419
11.	Vitamin A (µg)	-0.401
12.	Beta-carotene (µg)	-0.584
13.	Thiamin (Vitamin B1) (mg)	-0.098
14.	Riboflavin (Vitamin B2) (mg)	-0.068
15.	Niacin (mg)	-0.246
16.	Vitamin B6 (mg)	-0.365
17.	Folic acid (µg)	-0.190
18	Vitamin B12 (µg)	0.106
19.	Vitamin C (mg)	-0.424
20.	Vitamin D (D2 + D3) (μ g)	-0.446
21.	Magnesium (mg)	-0.480
22.	Iron (mg)	0.032
23.	Zinc (mg)	-0.313
24.	Selenium (µg)	-0.91
25.	Caffeine (mg)	-0.110
26.	Alcohol (g)	-0.278
27.	n-3 fatty acids (g)	-0.436
28.	n–6 fatty acids (g)	-0.159

^aOverall inflammatory effect score refers to the "food parameter-specific overall inflammatory effect score" accounting for the robustness of the literature, which is considered optimal at the median of 236 articles. Taking dietary fiber as an example, its score represents an anti-inflammatory effect, while total fat represents a pro-inflammatory effect.

lifetime and quit smoking before the time of the survey. The diabetes classification in this study was based on the Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021 (31). Participants were divided into three groups, namely, normal (HbA1c \leq 5.6% and without self-reported diabetes), prediabetes (HbA1c 5.7–6.4%), and diabetes (HbA1c \geq 6.5%, fasting glucose \geq 7 or self-reported diabetes). Hypertension status (yes or no), history of hip, wrist, or spine fracture (yes or no) were also extracted from dataset. Menopausal status was classified as pre/perimenopausal (women who had regular periods in the past 12 months) or postmenopausal (who had no regular periods in the past 12 months).

Statistical analysis

The overall statistical analysis in this study was performed according to the analytic guidelines suggested by the centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (32). Categorical variables were presented as frequencies (percentages), while continuous variables as mean (standard error, SE). Notably, MDII score was analyzed as a continuous and categorical variable. Rao-Scott χ^2 test was adopted for categorical variables and svyttest for continuous variables with RStudio.

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for risk of OP among all participants and participants stratified by age, sex, and postmenopause were estimated by weighted multivariable logistic regression. Model 1 was adjusted for age and sex, and model 2 further for model 1 plus hypertension, smoking status, physical activity, history of fracture, DM, race, BMI, education, history of prednisone or cortisone, calcium intake, alcohol use, and poverty index.

All analyses in this study were conducted using RStudio version 1.4.1717. All tests were two-tailed, and statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

Baseline characteristics of participants

Following exclusion of individuals with incomplete bone health data, other covariates, or dietary recall assessments, data from 5,446 participants of 4 circles NHANES, 2007-2008, 2009–2010, 2013–2014, and 2017–2018, aged \geq 40 years were analyzed (Figure 1). According to the criteria used to define OP in this study, 313 participants were identified as OP. The demographics and baseline characteristics of this study are presented in Table 1. OP was more prevalent in older women (\geq 60). Women had a higher proportion of OP than men (68.4 vs. 31.6). There were significant differences between the two groups in age, race, BMI, physical activity, drinking history, hypertension, history of fracture, history of prednisone or cortisone, and menopausal status of women. In addition, all 5,446 participants were categorized into three groups according to MDII tertiles: T1 (-4.870 to 0.419), T2 (0.4191-2.015), and T3 (2.016-4.577). Individuals with OP showed to have a higher proportion in the highest DII score (T3) than any other OP group (Table 2). Table 3 demonstrates that women had a higher DII score than men (P < 0.001), and Non-Hispanic Black is more likely to have a higher DII score. However, there was no difference in DII scores between age groups.

TABLE 2 Characteristics of the study population.

	Non-	Osteoporosis	P-value	
	osteoporosis	(N = 313)		
	(N = 5, 133)			
A an er (0/)			-0.001	
Age, n (%)	2.0(((57.0)	5((10.2))	<0.001	
40-59	2,966 (57.8)	56 (18.2)		
≥60 0 (0()	2,167 (42.2)	257 (81.8)	0.001	
Sex, n (%)	/>	()	<0.001	
Male	2,613 (50.9)	99 (31.6)		
Female	2,520 (49.1)	214 (68.4)		
Race/ethnicity, n (%)			< 0.001	
Mexican American	380 (7.4)	12 (3.9)		
Non-Hispanic White	3,603 (70.2)	255 (81.5)		
Non-Hispanic Black	601 (11.7)	11 (3.5)		
Other Hispanic	241 (4.7)	10 (3.2)		
Other Race	308 (6)	25 (7.8)		
BMI, <i>n</i> (%)			< 0.001	
<18.5	51 (1)	11 (3.4)		
18.5-24.9	1,067 (20.8)	141 (44.9)		
25.0-29.9	1,858 (36.2)	97 (30.9)		
≥30.0	2,157 (42)	64 (20.8)		
Education, n (%)			0.332	
Less than high school	1,026 (20)	73 (23.2)		
graduate				
High school graduate/GED	1,263 (24.6)	86 (27.6)		
Above high school graduate	2,844 (55.4)	154 (49.1)		
Poverty level index. n (%)	_,,		0.067	
Monthly poverty level index	1 099 (21 4)	85 (27)	0.007	
< 1 30	1,099 (21.4)	65 (27)		
≥ 1.50	709 (12.9)	55 (177)		
1.50 < Monthly poverty	/08 (15.8)	55 (17.7)		
level line ≤ 1.85	2 226 (64.0)	172 (55.4)		
Monthly poverty level index	3,326 (64.8)	173 (55.4)		
> 1.85			0.004	
Physical activity, n (%)	()	/>	<0.001	
Inactive	3,783 (73.7)	275 (87.9)		
Insufficiently active	703 (13.7)	18 (5.8)		
Active	647 (12.6)	20 (6.3)		
Drinking history, <i>n</i> (%)			0.001	
Yes	4,060 (79.1)	206 (65.8)		
No	1,073 (20.9)	107 (34.2)		
Smoking status, n (%)			0.349	
Non-smoker	2,613 (50.9)	161 (51.5)		
Former smoker	955 (18.6)	70 (22.4)		
Current smoker	1,565 (30.5)	82 (26.1)		
Diabetes classification, n			0.214	
(%)				
Diabetes	1,976 (38.5)	85(27.2)		

(Continued)

	Non- osteoporosis (N = 5,133)	Osteoporosis $(N = 313)$	P-value
Pre-diabetes	1,283 (25)	132 (42.2)	
Normal	1,874 (36.5)	96 (30.1)	
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)			< 0.001
Yes	2,654 (51.7)	213(68.1)	
No	2,479 (48.3)	100 (31.9)	
History of fracture, <i>n</i> (%)			< 0.001
Yes	873 (13.7)	82 (26.2)	
No	4,430 (86.3)	231 (73.8)	
History of prednisone or			
cortisone, n (%)			
Yes	359 (7)	25 (8.1)	0.552
No	4,774 (93)	288 (91.9)	
Menopausal status, n (%)			< 0.001
Pre/peri-	502 (20.9)	3 (1.4)	
Post-	1,895 (79.1)	211 (99.6)	
Calcium intake, SE	929 (12.6)	866.1 (66.2)	0.345
Modified dietary			0.018
inflammatory index, <i>n</i> (%)			
T1 (-4.870 to 0.419)	1,879 (36.6)	85 (27)	
T2 (0.4191–2.015)	1,714 (33.4)	99 (31.5)	
T3 (2.016–4.577)	1,540 (30.1)	129 (41.5)	

TABLE 2 Continued

SE, standard error.

Association between MDII and OP

Figure 2 shows the association of MDII score with OP in participants. While taking the lowest tertiles of MDII score (T1) as a reference, the weighted logistic regression models showed a significantly increased OR of OP for the participants in the highest tertile of MDII score after adjusting for potential confounding factors (T2: OR 1.21, 95% CI: 0.79–1.87; T3: OR 1.73, 95% CI: 1.14–2.63; and *P* for trend = 0.013).

Association between MDII and OP stratified by age and sex

As is shown in Table 4, the highest tertile of MDII in the individuals 60 years group had a significantly higher OR of OP than the lowest one after adjusting potential confounding factors (OR: 1.92; 95% CI: 1.16–3.15; P = 0.01). However, no significant association between MDII score and OP risk was observed in the subgroup of those participants aged between 40 and 59 years (OR: 1.18; 95% CI: 0.45–3.1; P = 0.73). Women who had a

TABLE 3 Characteristics of osteoporosis (OP) participants by modified dietary inflammatory index (MDII) score.

	Dietary infla Index score (c	P-value	
	Mean	SE	
Sex			< 0.001
Male	0.67	0.05	
Female	1.15	0.05	
Age			0.09
40-59	0.85	0.06	
≥60	0.98	0.05	
Race/ethnicity			< 0.001
Mexican American	0.82	0.08	
Non-Hispanic White	0.86	0.06	
Non-Hispanic Black	1.25	0.07	
Other Hispanic	0.97	0.10	
Other Race	0.91	0.15	

SE, standard error.

higher MDII score had a significantly higher OR for risk of OP (OR: 1.80; 95% CI: 1.02–3.17; P = 0.043), while there was no significant association between MDII and OP risk in men (OR: 1.63; 95% CI: 0.74–3.62; P = 0.22).

Association between MDII and OP stratified by postmenopausal participants

In Table 5, the associations between the MDII and OP, stratified by postmenopausal status, were also determined. In the unadjusted model, the highest tertile of MDII had a higher risk of OP than the lowest one (OR: 2.01; 95% CI: 1.24–3.23; P = 0.005). Similarly, after adjusting potential confounding variables, the association between the MDII, either as a categorical (OR: 1.88; 95% CI: 1.07–3.13; P = 0.03) or continuous variables (OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.02–1.38; P = 0.03), and OP risk remained significant among postmenopausal female participants (Figure 3). As is shown in Figure 3, in the forest plot, insufficient activity, and higher BMI are protective factors for OP, while old age, non-Hispanic White, other races, and MDII score are risk factors among postmenopausal women.

Discussion

This is the first study on the association between MDII score and OP in US adults. In our study, higher MDII was significantly related to OP. Among women, the MDII score had a significant association with the risk of OP, and participants with the highest MDII score had a high risk (80%) of OP after adjusting for



IABLE 4	Multivariate logistic model	l for OP risk stratified by age and sex.	

	Age			Sex				
	$40 \leq Age$	< 60	Age ≥	60	Male	:	Fema	le
MDII	OR (95%CI)	P-value						
T1	Reference ^a		Reference ^a		Reference ^b		Reference ^c	
T2	0.617 (0.20-1.73)	0.35	1.35 (0.85–2.13	0.19	0.81 (0.47-1.41)	0.45	1.58(0.84-2.96)	0.15
Т3	1.18 (0.45-3.1)	0.73	1.92 (1.16-3.15)	0.01	1.63 (0.74–3.62)	0.22	1.80 (1.02-3.17)	0.043
P for trend		0.605		0.013		0.307		0.045

^aMultivariable adjusted: sex, hypertension, smoking status, physical activity, history of fracture, DM, race, BMI, education, history of prednisone or cortisone, calcium intake, alcohol use, and poverty index.

^bMultivariable adjusted: age, hypertension, smoking status, physical activity, history of fracture, DM, race, BMI, education, history of prednisone or cortisone, calcium intake, alcohol use, and poverty index.

^cMultivariable adjusted: age, menopausal status, hypertension, smoking status, physical activity, history of fracture, DM, race, BMI, education, history of prednisone or cortisone, calcium intake, alcohol use, and poverty index.

potential confounding factors. However, the association between MDII score and OP among men was not statistically significant. These results were similar to those reported by Kim et al. in the KoGES_Health Examinee (HEXA) cohort study (20). This might be due to the difference in hormone status and genetic differences between men and women, and autoimmune diseases such as OP are common in women (33, 34).

A strong relationship between OP and inflammation was found in many studies (35–37). Chronic inflammation is

an important risk factor for OP and adversely affects bone formation (38). Specific dietary patterns such as MD, WD, ED, and modern diets significantly affect the levels of inflammation (18, 39, 40). For example, representative dietary nutrients, such as fatty acids, proteins, bioactive peptides, phytoestrogens, and prebiotics can promote or inhibit inflammation-related bone health (41–45). Potential adverse effects of a pro-inflammatory diet on bone mineral density were reported in a study (46). Therefore, we hypothesized that the MDII score would be a TABLE 5 Association of MDII score and OP risk in postmenopausal female participants.

	Crude		Multivariable adjusted ^a	
	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value
MDII (continuous)	1.18 (1.05–1.32)	0.006	1.19 (1.02–1.38)	0.03
MDII (tertiles)				
T1	Reference		Reference ^a	
T2	1.55 (0.86-2.72)	0.14	1.63 (0.86–3.07)	0.13
Т3	2.01 (1.24-3.23)	0.005	1.88 (1.07-3.13)	0.03
<i>P</i> for trend		0.005		0.008

^aMultivariable adjusted: age, hypertension, smoking status, physical activity, history of fracture, DM, race, BMI, education, history of prednisone or cortisone, total calcium intake, alcohol use, and poverty index.



80

reliable indicator for predicting the inflammatory response of OP among adults in the US. In this study, the MDII score of the elderly was positively associated with the diagnosis of OP. This might be because nutrients in the circulatory system of individuals of different ages can directly affect bone mineral density (47). Additionally, unlike younger individuals, the elderly have different gut microbial communities, which may affect the metabolism of the host under the influence of diverse dietary patterns (48, 49).

Some studies have reported the association between MDII score and bone health among postmenopausal women. A small cross-sectional study in Iran demonstrated women with higher DII scores had lower BMD in the lumbar spine but not in the femoral neck (50). However, a report on the NAHNES samples from adults in the US showed that a higher DII score in both men and women was related to a lower mean level of BMD in the total femur and femoral neck (46). Similar to our results, a recent study reported that higher DII scores were related to a lower BMD and higher risk of OP in postmenopausal Korean women (51). Therefore, the association between MDII score and OP in postmenopausal women differs with race and age; further studies regarding this need to be performed.

The underlying mechanism of the association between the DII score and bone health has been discussed in previous studies. Among the food parameters included in the MDII, fiber, βcarotene, riboflavin, flavones, and vitamins A, C, D, and E,n-3 and n-6 fatty acids mainly exhibit anti-inflammatory effects, while total fat, trans fat, and cholesterol are the main proinflammatory properties (29). OP is a chronic inflammatory response, considering that some pro-inflammatory markers, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF-a), interleukin (IL)-1b, and IL-6 can regulate RANKL expression, which increases the activity of osteoclast (52, 53). Due to uncertainties regarding the association between calcium and inflammation, calcium intake was not considered while calculating the MDII score (29). Although calcium intake was not significantly different between non-OP and OP groups in our study, calcium is the main factor that helps to maintain bone health and is a major component of the bone matrix. Thus, we included calcium intake in our multivariable model as an independent variable determining the association of MDII score and OP, and the results remained significant.

This study has several limitations. First, this was a crosssectional study, and therefore, causation could not be deduced from the results. Second, although self-reported 24-h dietary recall data are the most practical and useful method in the NHANES, recall bias might occur due to overreporting or underreporting. In addition, only 28 of the 45 food parameters were incorporated while calculating the MDII score. However, previous studies have proved that even if the numbers of food parameters used for the MDII score are below 30, the MDII score is still convincing (54, 55). Finally, many participants were excluded due to missing data on selected covariates, which prevented our results from reflecting a nationwide pattern. Despite these limitations above, our study had several advantages. First, it is the first study to investigate the association between MDII score and OP risk among adults in the US. Second, the potential confounding factors were adjusted, and the MDII score was treated both as a categorical and continuous variable, which increased the reliability of the findings concerning the subgroup of postmenopausal women. Third, using a relatively large and nationally representative database to determine the relationship between inflammation of diet and OP is the crucial strength of this study.

Conclusion

The results of this study suggested a significant association of a pro-inflammatory diet and an increase in the risk of OP in the elderly (\geq 60 years) and women. Additionally, postmenopausal women with higher MDII scores had a higher risk of OP. These findings may suggest that individuals who have a high risk of OP (e.g., women, postmenopausal women, and the elderly) need dietary advice.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QZ and YC contributed to the conception of this study. F-hC and Y-qC contributed to analysis and manuscript preparation. YC performed the data analyses and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Sarafrazi N, Wambogo EA, Shepherd JA. Osteoporosis or Low Bone Mass in Older Adults: United States, 2017-2018. *NCHS Data Brief.* (2021) 405:1– 8. doi: 10.15620/cdc:103477

2. Fang Y, Zhu J, Fan J, Sun L, Cai S, Fan C, et al. Dietary inflammatory index in relation to bone mineral density, osteoporosis risk and fracture risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int.* (2021) 32:633–43. doi: 10.1007/s00198-020-05578-8

3. Kanis JA, Norton N, Harvey NC, Jacobson T, Johansson H, Lorentzon M, et al. SCOPE 2021: a new scorecard for osteoporosis in Europe. *Arch Osteoporos*. (2021) 16:82. doi: 10.1007/s11657-020-00871-9

4. Adami G, Fassio A, Gatti D, Viapiana O, Benini C, Danila MI, et al. Osteoporosis in 10 years time: a glimpse into the future of osteoporosis. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis.* (2022) 14:1759720X221083541. doi: 10.1177/1759720X221083541

5. Burge R, Dawson-Hughes B, Solomon DH, Wong JB, King A, Tosteson A. Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the United States, 2005-2025. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2007) 22:465–75. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.061113

6. Ding C, Parameswaran V, Udayan R, Burgess J, Jones G. Circulating levels of inflammatory markers predict change in bone mineral density and resorption in older adults: a longitudinal study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2008) 93:1952–8. doi: 10.1210/jc.2007-2325

7. Cauley JA, Danielson ME, Boudreau RM, Forrest KY, Zmuda JM, Pahor M, et al. Inflammatory markers and incident fracture risk in older men and women: the Health Aging and Body Composition Study. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2007) 22:1088–95. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.070409

8. Nuti R, Brandi ML, Checchia G, Di Munno O, Dominguez L, Falaschi P, et al. Guidelines for the management of osteoporosis and fragility fractures. *Intern Emerg Med.* (2019) 14:85–102. doi: 10.1007/s11739-018-1874-2

9. Franceschi C, Campisi J. Chronic inflammation (inflammaging) and its potential contribution to age-associated diseases. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. (2014) 69(Suppl. 1):S4–9. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glu057

10. Tański W, Kosiorowska J, Szymańska-Chabowska A. Osteoporosis - risk factors, pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical treatment. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* (2021) 25:3557–66. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202105_25838

11. Pérez-Rey J, Roncero-Martín R, Rico-Martín S, Rey-Sánchez P, Pedrera-Zamorano JD, Pedrera-Canal M, et al. Adherence to a mediterranean diet and bone mineral density in Spanish Premenopausal Women. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:555. doi: 10.3390/nu11030555

12. Benetou V, Orfanos P, Feskanich D, Michaëlsson K, Pettersson-Kymmer U, Byberg L, et al. Mediterranean diet and hip fracture incidence among older adults: the CHANCES project. *Osteoporos Int.* (2018) 29:1591–9. doi: 10.1007/s00198-018-4517-6

13. Ballesteros J-M, Struijk EA, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, López-García E. Mediterranean diet and risk of falling in community-dwelling older adults. *Clin Nutr.* (2020) 39:276–81. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2019.02.004

14. Gregersen S, Samocha-Bonet D, Heilbronn LK, Campbell LV. Inflammatory and oxidative stress responses to high-carbohydrate and high-fat meals in healthy humans. *J Nutr Metab.* (2012) 2012:238056. doi: 10.1155/2012/238056

15. Mozaffari H, Daneshzad E, Azadbakht L. Dietary carbohydrate intake and risk of bone fracture: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Public Health*. (2020) 181:102–9. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2019.12.001

16. Coxam V. Phyto-oestrogens and bone health: Symposium on 'Diet and bone health'. Proc Nutr Soc. (2008) 67:184–95. doi: 10.1017/S0029665108007027

17. Tylavsky FA, Spence LA, Harkness L. The importance of calcium, potassium, and acid-base homeostasis in bone health and osteoporosis prevention. *J Nutr.* (2008) 138:164S–5S. doi: 10.1093/jn/138.1.164S

18. Guo D, Zhao M, Xu W, He H, Li B, Hou T. Dietary interventions for better management of osteoporosis: an overview. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2021) 12:1–20. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2021.1944975

19. Song D, Kim J, Kang M, Park J, Lee H, Kim D-Y, et al. Association between the dietary inflammatory index and bone markers in postmenopausal women. *PLoS ONE.* (2022) 17:e0265630. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0265630

20. Kim HS, Sohn C, Kwon M, Na W, Shivappa N, Hébert JR, et al. Positive association between dietary inflammatory index and the risk of osteoporosis: results from the KoGES_Health Examinee (HEXA) Cohort Study. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:1999. doi: 10.3390/nu10121999

21. Morimoto M, Shivappa N, de Souza Genaro P, Martini LA, Schuch NJ, Hebert JR, et al. Lack of association between dietary inflammatory index and low impact fractures in the Brazilian population: the Brazilian Osteoporosis Study (BRAZOS). *Adv Rheumatol.* (2019) 59:16. doi: 10.1186/s42358-019-0059-7

22. Su Y, Yeung SSY, Chen Y-M, Leung JCS, Kwok TCY. The associations of dietary inflammatory potential with musculoskeletal health in Chinese community-dwelling older people: the Mr. OS and Ms OS (Hong Kong) Cohort Study. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2022) 37:1179–87. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4556

23. Noel SE, Santos MP, Wright NC. Racial and ethnic disparities in bone health and outcomes in the United States. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2021) 36:1881–905. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4417

24. Shivappa N, Harris H, Wolk A, Hebert JR. Association between inflammatory potential of diet and mortality among women in the Swedish Mammography Cohort. *Eur J Nutr.* (2016) 55:1891–900. doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-1005-z

25. Body Composition Procedures Manual. (2018). Available online at: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2017-2018/manuals/Body_Composition_ Procedures_Manual_2018.pdf

26. Marshall D, Johnell O, Wedel H. Meta-analysis of how well measures of bone mineral density predict occurrence of osteoporotic fractures. *BMJ.* (1996) 312:1254–9. doi: 10.1136/bmj.312.7041.1254

27. Looker AC, Orwoll ES, Johnston CC, Lindsay RL, Wahner HW, Dunn WL, et al. Prevalence of low femoral bone density in older US adults from NHANES III. *J Bone Miner Res.* (1997) 12:1761–8. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.1997.12.11.1761

28. Kelly TL. Bone mineral density reference databases for American men and women. J Bone Miner Res. (1990) 5:249.

29. Shivappa N, Steck SE, Hurley TG, Hussey JR, Hébert JR. Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index. *Public Health Nutr.* (2014) 17:1689–96. doi: 10.1017/S1368980013002115

30. Qiu Z, Geng T, Wan Z, Lu Q, Guo J, Liu L, et al. Serum selenium concentrations and risk of all-cause and heart disease mortality among individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2022) 115:53–60. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqab241

31. American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: Standards of medical care in diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care*. (2021) 44:S15–S33. doi: 10.2337/dc21-S002

32. Zhang Y-W, Lu P-P, Li Y-J, Dai G-C, Cao M-M, Xie T, et al. Low dietary choline intake is associated with the risk of osteoporosis in elderly individuals: a population-based study. *Food Funct.* (2021) 12:6442–51. doi: 10.1039/D1FO00825K

33. Casimir GJA, Duchateau J. Gender differences in inflammatory processes could explain poorer prognosis for males. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2011) 49:478. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02096-10

34. Iseme RA, McEvoy M, Kelly B, Agnew L, Walker FR, Attia J. Is osteoporosis an autoimmune mediated disorder? *Bone Rep.* (2017) 7:121–31. doi: 10.1016/j.bonr.2017.10.003

35. Zhang Q, Song X, Chen X, Jiang R, Peng K, Tang X, et al. Antiosteoporotic effect of hesperidin against ovariectomy-induced osteoporosis in rats via reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol.* (2021) 35:e22832. doi: 10.1002/jbt.22832

36. Wang W, Bai J, Zhang W, Ge G, Wang Q, Liang X, et al. Protective effects of punicalagin on osteoporosis by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis and inflammation the NF- κ B and MAPK pathways. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11:696. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00696

37. Qian H, Yuan H, Wang J, Du Y, Zhang X, Sun Y, et al. A monoclonal antibody ameliorates local inflammation and osteoporosis by targeting TNF- α and RANKL. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2014) 20:370–6. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2014.03.017

38. Lorenzo J. Interactions between immune and bone cells: new insights with many remaining questions. *J Clin Invest.* (2000) 106:749–52. doi: 10.1172/JCI11089

39. Orchard T, Yildiz V, Steck SE, Hébert JR, Ma Y, Cauley JA, et al. Dietary inflammatory index, bone mineral density, and risk of fracture in postmenopausal women: results from the women's health initiative. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2017) 32:1136–46. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3070

40. Bailey MA, Holscher HD. Microbiome-mediated effects of the mediterranean diet on inflammation. *Adv Nutr.* (2018) 9:193–206. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmy013

41. Yaghooti H, Mohammadtaghvaei N, Mahboobnia K. Effects of palmitate and astaxanthin on cell viability and proinflammatory characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2019) 68:164–70. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2018.12.063

42. Kerstetter JE, Kenny AM, Insogna KL. Dietary protein and skeletal health: a review of recent human research. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* (2011) 22:16–20. doi: 10.1097/MOL.0b013e3283419441

43. Mada SB, Reddi S, Kumar N, Kumar R, Kapila S, Kapila R, et al. Antioxidative peptide from milk exhibits antiosteopenic effects through inhibition of oxidative damage and bone-resorbing cytokines in ovariectomized rats. *Nutrition*. (2017) 43–4:21–31. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2017.06.010

44. Wei G, Liang T, Wei C, Nong X, Lu Q, Zhao J. Daidzin inhibits RANKLinduced osteoclastogenesis *in vitro* and prevents LPS-induced bone loss *in vivo*. J Cell Biochem. (2019) 120:5304–14. doi: 10.1002/jcb.27806

45. Iraporda C, Errea A, Romanin DE, Cayet D, Pereyra E, Pignataro O, et al. Lactate and short chain fatty acids produced by microbial fermentation downregulate proinflammatory responses in intestinal epithelial cells and myeloid cells. *Immunobiology*. (2015) 220:1161–9. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2015.06.004

46. Mazidi M, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hebert JR, Vatanparast H, Kengne AP. The association between dietaryinflammatory properties and bone mineral

density and risk of fracture in US adults. Eur J Clin Nutr. (2017) 71:1273-7. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2017.133

47. Morley JE. Pathophysiology of the anorexia of aging. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* (2013) 16:27–32. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e328359efd7

48. Liu A, Lv H, Wang H, Yang H, Li Y, Qian J. Aging increases the severity of colitis and the related changes to the gut barrier and gut microbiota in humans and mice. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* (2020) 75:1284–92. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glz263

49. Prokopidis K, Cervo MM, Gandham A, Scott D. Impact of protein intake in older adults with sarcopenia and obesity: a gut microbiota perspective. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:2285. doi: 10.3390/nu12082285

50. Shivappa N, Hébert JR, Karamati M, Shariati-Bafghi S-E, Rashidkhani B. Increased inflammatory potential of diet is associated with bone mineral density among postmenopausal women in Iran. *Eur J Nutr.* (2016) 55:561–8. doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-0875-4

51. Na W, Park S, Shivappa N, Hébert JR, Kim MK, Sohn C. Association between inflammatory potential of diet and bone-mineral density in korean postmenopausal women: data from Fourth and Fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:885. doi: 10.3390/nu11040885

52. Michalski MN, McCauley LK. Macrophages and skeletal health. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2017) 174:43–54. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2017.02.017

53. Zeng X-Z, Zhang Y-Y, Yang Q, Wang S, Zou B-H, Tan Y-H, et al. Artesunate attenuates LPS-induced osteoclastogenesis by suppressing TLR4/TRAF6 and PLC γ 1-Ca-NFATc1 signaling pathway. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* (2020) 41:229–36. doi: 10.1038/s41401-019-0289-6

54. Shivappa N, Steck SE, Hussey JR, Ma Y, Hebert JR. Inflammatory potential of diet and all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality in National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III Study. *Eur J Nutr.* (2017) 56:683–92. doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-1112-x

55. Deng FE, Shivappa N, Tang Y, Mann JR, Hebert JR. Association between diet-related inflammation, all-cause, all-cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality, with special focus on prediabetics: findings from NHANES III. *Eur J Nutr.* (2017) 56:1085–93. doi: 10.1007/s00394-016-1158-4