SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN Association study of AGO1 and AGO2 genes polymorphisms with recurrent pregnancy loss

Young Ran Kim^{1,4}, Chang Soo Ryu^{2,4}, Jung Oh Kim², Hui Jeong An², Sung Hwan Cho², Eun Hee Ahn¹, Ji Hyang Kim¹, Woo Sik Lee³ & Nam Keun Kim^{2*}

An Argonaute (AGO) protein within the RNA-induced silencing complex binds a microRNA, permitting the target mRNA to be silenced. We hypothesized that variations in AGO genes had the possibility including affected the miRNA function and associated with recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) susceptibility. Especially, we were chosen the AGO1 (rs595961, rs636832) and AGO2 (rs2292779, rs4961280) polymorphisms because of those polymorphisms have already reported in other diseases excluding the RPL. Here, we conducted a case-control study (385 RPL patients and 246 controls) to evaluate the association of four polymorphisms with RPL. We found that the AGO1 rs595961 AA genotype, recessive model (P = 0.039; P = 0.043, respectively), the AGO1 rs636832 GG genotype, and recessive model (P = 0.037; P = 0.016, respectively) were associated with RPL in women who had had four or more consecutive pregnancy losses. The patients with the AGO1 rs636832 GG genotypes had greater platelet counts (P = 0.023), while the patients with the AGO2 rs4961280 CA genotypes had less homocysteine (P = 0.027). Based on these results, we propose that genetic variations with respect to the AGO1 and AGO2 genotypes are associated with risk for RPL, and might serve as useful biomarkers for the prognosis of RPL.

Recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) is defined by multiple, consecutive pregnancy loss. Some experts consider the consecutive loss of two pregnancies as RPL, whereas others restrict the definition of RPL to three or more losses. Depending on which definition is applied, it is estimated that 2-4% of women attempting to become pregnant experience¹. Despite a considerable amount of recent progress identifying the causes of RPL, the underlying factors remain unknown for approximately 50% of RPL cases². Among the possible causes are cytogenetic abnormalities, antiphospholipid syndrome, anatomical factors, and hormonal factors, but it appears that few cases are caused by a single pathogenic factor. Rather, most cases are attributed to an interaction of more than one genetic risk factor. The uncertainty about the causes of RPL has made it difficult to identify the genes and biological mechanisms involved. Using a candidate gene approach in tandem with genome-wide association studies, researchers have been able to identify low- and moderate-penetrance alleles associated with RPL risk. Although the identified risk variants cannot fully explain the RPL heritability, these variants of the genetic area were an important discovery³. Furthermore, there is accumulating evidence of differential association between specific genetic factors and distinct RPL subtypes⁴⁻⁶.

The microRNA (miRNA) is a 21- to 24-bp non-coding RNA that base pairs with its complementary sequences in an mRNA and silences the mRNA by several possible mechanisms. MiRNAs are important in many pathological conditions and aberrant miRNA expression can have serious consequences. Aberrant miRNAs have been associated with both RPL and endometriosis⁷ and recent studies revealed that miRNAs, which are associated with poor pregnancy outcomes, exist in bodily fluids of women and are secreted by culture-grown cells⁸. Furthermore, it has been reported that mature miRNA present in exosomes are associated with the development of autoimmune diseases such as Hashimoto's disease and Graves' disease9. In additions, miRNAs are well-known regulators of cell cycle progression, proliferation, and differentiation in the endometrium during the menstrual cycle¹⁰⁻¹². All of this evidence supports a role for miRNAs in reproductive processes. The regulation of miRNA biogenesis and

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University, 65th Street, Yatap Road, Bundang-gu, Seongnam, 13497, South Korea. ²Department of Biomedical Science, College of Life Science, CHA University, 335 Pangyo-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam, 13488, South Korea. ³Fertility Center of CHA Gangnam Medical Center, CHA University, 566 Nonhyeon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 06135, South Korea. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Young Ran Kim and Chang Soo Ryu. *email: nkkim@cha.ac.kr

processing is mediated by various proteins, such as Drosha, Dicer, Exportin 5 (XPO5), DGCR8, and proteins of the Argonaute (AGO) family, along with several enzymes¹³. Pre-miRNAs are synthesized in the nucleus and are then transported by XPO5 and Ran-GTP into the cytoplasm where they are truncated by the RNase Dicer to form miRNA duplexes^{14,15}. These duplexes then interact with AGO proteins present within the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), resulting in the formation of a functional RISC. Within the RISC, the two strands of the miRNA duplex are divided; one strand is degraded and the other acts as a template to direct binding and subsequent silencing of the target mRNA^{16,17}.

The human AGO family is separated into four subfamilies. The only subfamily with catalytic activity is AGO2, and this subfamily serves a critical function within the RISC¹⁸. The RNA and proteins associated with AGO1 and AGO2 are present at considerable levels in many body tissues, which previously led us to focus on these two subfamilies¹⁹. AGO1 inhibits the proliferation and motility of cell through inducing apoptosis²⁰, and regulates genes that influence growth, survival, and the cell cycle progression²¹. In contrast, AGO2 has been shown to be upregulated in numerous cancers and is associated with the growth of tumor cells and overall patient survival²². In a mouse model study, Ago2 regulated protein expression in mouse embryos, and this had important effects on the progression of blastocyst differentiation²³. Furthermore, deletions of both Ago1 and Ago2 affect the formation and cleavage activity of RISC, and the deletion of Ago2 is associated with down-regulation of miRNAs in other tissues²⁴. Overall, these findings reveal that miRNAs may be important for a successful pregnancy and the AGO protein is central to the functioning of miRNAs. Therefore, we hypothesized that the AGO protein is a susceptibility factor for RPL, as disruption of the AGO protein would disrupts miRNA function.

Here, we examined the associations of *AGO1* and *AGO2* gene polymorphisms with RPL pathogenesis and prognosis in a Korean population. Specifically, we examined two polymorphisms each for *AGO1* (rs595961, rs636832) and *AGO2* (rs2292779, rs4961280) because these polymorphisms have been studied previously and are already reported to be associated with other diseases. To our knowledge, this study is the first to provide evidence that *AGO1* and *AGO2* polymorphisms play a role in RPL of Korean women.

Results

The baseline characteristics. The baseline characteristics of the RPL patients and controls are shown in Table 1. The hematocrit, platelet count (PLT), and estradiol concentration (E2) in the RPL patients were greater than in the control group controls (P = 0.001, P = 0.003, P = 0.002, respectively). The concentration of follicle-stimulating hormone, concentration of luteinizing hormone, and prothrombin time were all significantly different between RPL patients and members of the control group (all P < 0.0001). No other significant differences were observed in the parameters mentioned.

Genotype frequency analyses of the *AGO1* and *AGO2* gene polymorphisms between RPL patients, subgroups of RPL patients, and controls. To confirm that depending on the increasing number of pregnancy losses was associated with *AGO1* and *AGO2* gene polymorphisms, the patient subgroup was further divided into two groups based on a number of pregnancy losses. The first group is that had three or more pregnancy loss (PL) (subgroup $PL \ge 3$), and the second group is that had four or more PL (subgroup $PL \ge 4$). We investigated the *AGO1* polymorphisms rs595961G>A and rs636832A>G, and the *AGO2* polymorphisms rs2292779C>G and rs4961280C>A, in all groups. The results are shown in Table 2. The genotype frequencies of the polymorphisms were satisfied in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05). The *AGO1* polymorphisms rs595961G>A and rs636832A>G was associated with prevalence of RPL prevalence in the subgroup $PL \ge 4$ (Table 2). These two polymorphisms were significantly associated with RPL under the recessive model (*AGO1* rs595961 GG + GA vs. AA: AOR = 4.008, 95% CI = 1.047-15.349, P = 0.043; rs636832 AA + AG vs. GG: AOR = 2.821, 95% CI = 1.210-6.577, P = 0.016). However, we did not detect a significant association between RPL and the polymorphisms in total RPL patients (PL ≥ 2) or and the subgroup (PL ≥ 3).

Allele combinations analysis of AGO1 and AGO2 polymorphisms in RPL patients and control women. Table 3 shows allele combination models and the frequencies in which they were observed in the RPL and control groups. We analyzed allele combinations for all four polymorphism and observed an association between seven allele combinations (G-A-C-A, G-A-G-C, G-G-G-C, A-A-C-C, A-A-G-C, A-G-C-C, A-G-G-C) and RPL risk (Table 3). Among them, the combinations G-A-C-A (AOR = 3.705), G-A-G-C (AOR = 1.347), A-G-C-C (AOR = 4.137), and A-G-G-C (AOR = 5.736) had an increased association with RPL prevalence compared to the control group, while the other allele combination models had a decreased association with RPL compared to the control group. Furthermore, this tendency held for allele combination analysis of two and three polymorphisms. Particularly, when the allele combination included the minor allele of AGO1 rs595961/AGO1 rs636832/AGO2 rs2292779; AOR = 3.903, 95% CI = 2.122–7.179, P < 0.0001), and A-G (AGO1 rs595961/AGO1 rs636832/AGO2 rs4961280; AOR = 3.796, 95% CI = 2.260–6.375, P < 0.0001), and A-G (AGO1 rs595961/AGO1 rs636832; AOR = 2.961, 95% CI = 1.839–4.767, P < 0.0001) had increased odds ratios for RPL risk compared to the control subjects. In addition, we performed a combination analysis that compared genotype combination frequencies in RPL patients and control subjects (Supplementary Table S2).

Differences in clinical traits with respect to *AGO1* **and** *AGO2* **polymorphisms.** We measured the platelet count of peripheral blood, fasting blood sugar, the hematocrit, body mass index, plasma levels of fasting total homocysteine, and triglyceride levels (Table 4). The platelet counts and fasting blood sugar level were elevated in RPL patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG genotype compared to the *AGO1* rs636832 AA and AG genotypes (Fig. 1). The hematocrit was lower in control individuals with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG and *AGO2* rs2292779 GG genotypes compared to the other genotypes. Also, the plasma triglyceride levels were elevated in patients

Characteristic	Controls (n = 246)	RPL patients (n = 385)	P^a
Age (years, mean \pm SD)	33.32 ± 5.74	33.21 ± 4.55	0.792
Hematocrit (µmol/L, mean \pm SD)	35.68 ± 4.25	37.31 ± 3.37	0.001 ^b
PLT ($10^3/\mu$ L, mean ± SD)	236.41 ± 65.58	255.43 ± 59.22	0.003
PT (sec, mean \pm SD)	11.53 ± 3.10	11.58 ± 0.86	< 0.0001 ^b
aPTT (sec, mean \pm SD)	32.51 ± 4.16	32.24 ± 4.33	0.636
BMI (kg/m ² , mean \pm SD)	21.66 ± 3.33	21.49 ± 3.84	0.673
BUN (mg/dL, mean \pm SD)	8.39 ± 1.77	9.99 ± 2.77	0.061
Creatinine (mg/dL, mean \pm SD)	0.69 ± 0.08	0.72 ± 0.12	0.400
Uric acid (mg/dL, mean \pm SD)	4.27 ± 0.95	3.80 ± 0.84	0.340
Total cholesterol (mg/dL, mean $\pm \rm SD)$	311.00 ± 140.01	187.73 ± 49.42	0.066 ^b
Folate (nmol/L, mean \pm SD)	12.16 ± 9.43	14.21 ± 11.94	0.613
Homocysteine (μ mol/L, mean \pm SD)	7.79 ± 1.38	6.98 ± 2.10	0.249
FSH (mIU/mL, mean \pm SD)	8.12 ± 2.85	7.52 ± 10.52	<0.0001 ^b
LH (mIU/mL, mean ± SD)	3.32 ± 1.74	6.30 ± 12.09	<0.0001 ^b
E2 (pg/mL, mean \pm SD)	26.00 ± 14.75	35.71 ± 29.46	0.002 ^b
TSH (µIU/mL, mean \pm SD)	_	2.18 ± 1.55	_
Prolactin (ng/mL, mean \pm SD)	_	15.68 ± 12.98	_
CD56 NK cell (%, mean \pm SD)	_	18.26 ± 7.99	_
Triglyceride (mg/dL, mean \pm SD)	_	181.42 ± 156.63	_
HDL-chol (mg/dL, mean \pm SD)	—	61.82 ± 17.63	-
FBS (mg/dL, mean \pm SD)	_	95.24 ± 16.97	_

Table 1. Baseline characteristics between RPL patients and controls. *P*^a was calculated using a two-sided t-test for continuous variables. ^bWe were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test for continuous data when F-test *P*-value for equal variances was lower than 0.05. RPL, recurrent pregnancy loss; PLT, platelet; PT, prothrombin time; aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; BMI, body mass index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; LH, luteinizing hormone; E2, estradiol; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; HDL-chol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FBS, fasting blood sugar; SD, standard deviation.

with the AGO2 rs4961280 AA genotype (Table 4). Other factors were not associated with the polymorphisms examined here.

Discussion

RPL is defined repeated consecutive spontaneous abortions. Important factors involved in recurrent early pregnancy loss are genetic, endocrine, anatomical, and immunological in origin²⁵. At present, the correlation between RPL and genetic polymorphisms that have been identified with next-generation sequencing is unclear, although genetic polymorphisms have been noted to confer susceptibility to RPL²⁶. In this study, the association between four polymorphisms of *AGO1* and *AGO2* genes and the susceptibility for RPL in a Korean population was examined, specifically *AGO1* rs595961G>A, *AGO1* rs636832A>G, *AGO2* rs2292779C>G, and *AGO2* rs4961280C>A. These four polymorphisms were significantly associated with the RPL patient group and the association between the polymorphisms and RPL can be explained by mechanisms other than protein expression: the polymorphism may affect the splicing of precursor mRNA and the conformation and function of proteins associated with this process²⁷; or the polymorphisms may affect the structure of AGO proteins rather than their quantity. We believe the present study is the first to reveal a relationship between susceptibility to RPL and *AGO1* and *AGO2* polymorphisms.

The miRNAs have been implicated in numerous pathological conditions¹³ and in the regulation of biochemical pathways, including cell proliferation, differentiation, metabolism, apoptosis, development, inflammation, and immunity in many eukaryotic organisms^{28,29}. The mature miRNA in exosomes has been associated with immune regulation³⁰. Previous studies identified an association between *AGO1* and *AGO2* and an angiogenesis defect model associated with the inflammation³¹. Furthermore, AGO1 was associated with an angiogenic pathway involving hypoxia-responsive miRNAs that could be a potentially suitable target for anti- or pro-angiogenesis³². Importantly, the regulation of AGO2 is reportedly the safety mechanism that limits the range of the anti-inflammatory activity of miR-146a³³. Our previous study also demonstrated an association between miR-146a and risk of recurrent implantation failure³⁴. As noted, AGO2 is the catalytic core of mammalian RISC involved in miRNA expression, and is reportedly essential to mammalian gastrulation and mesoderm formation^{35–38}. Since, it is well-known that different miRNAs can bind to distinct sequences caused by polymorphisms³⁹. Interestingly, the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) was significantly correlated with the expression of AGO2 protein⁴⁰. Therefore, there is a well-established link between *AGO1* and *AGO2* and RPL, based in part on the relationship between AGO proteins, immune regulation, and autoimmune diseases^{30,41}.

In accord with our hypothesis, our analyses revealed that the *AGO1* rs595961G>A and *AGO1* rs636832A>G genotypes were significantly associated with the prevalence of RPL in this study. Several factors associated with RPL, specifically, a patient's hematocrit, platelet count, body mass index, homocysteine, triglyceride levels, and

Genotype	Controls (n = 246)	RPL patients $(n=385)$	AOR (95% CI)	P *	$PL \ge 3$ (n=203)	AOR (95% CI)	P *	$\frac{PL \ge 4}{(n=81)}$	AOR (95% CI)	P *
AGO1 rs595961G>A										
GG	189 (76.8)	275 (71.4)	1.000 (reference)		146 (71.9)	1.000 (reference)		57 (70.4)	1.000 (reference)	
GA	53 (21.5)	96 (24.9)	1.231 (0.838-1.807)	0.289	49 (24.1)	1.204 (0.772-1.879)	0.413	19 (23.5)	1.187 (0.650-2.169)	0.577
AA	4 (1.6)	14 (3.6)	2.412 (0.782-7.442)	0.126	8 (3.9)	2.576 (0.761-8.724)	0.128	5 (6.2)	4.146 (1.075– 15.996)	0.039
Dominant (GG vs GA + AA)			1.313 (0.907–1.901)	0.150		1.300 (0.849–1.991)	0.228		1.396 (0.796-2.448)	0.244
Recessive (GG+GA vs AA)	2.295 (0.746– 7.054)	0.147		2.464 (0.731- 8.308)	0.146		4.008 (1.047– 15.349)	0.043		
HWE-P	0.898	0.130								
AGO1 rs636832	A>G									
AA	126 (51.2)	218 (56.6)	1.000 (reference)		113 (55.7)	1.000 (reference)		42 (51.9)	1.000 (reference)	
AG	107 (43.5)	138 (35.8)	0.729 (0.521-1.018)	0.064	73 (36.0)	0.765 (0.517-1.131)	0.180	28 (34.6)	0.783 (0.455-1.349)	0.378
GG	13 (5.3)	29 (7.5)	1.277 (0.641-2.547)	0.487	17 (8.4)	1.456 (0.677-3.130)	0.337	11 (13.6)	2.547 (1.061-6.118)	0.037
Dominant (AA vs AG+GG)	0.788 (0.572– 1.086)	0.146		0.840 (0.578– 1.221)	0.361		0.975 (0.589– 1.611)	0.920		
Recessive (AA+AG vs GG)	1.455 (0.741– 2.858)	0.276		1.627 (0.770– 3.437)	0.202		2.821 (1.210- 6.577)	0.016		
HWE-P	0.108	0.276								
AGO2 rs2292779	9C>G									
CC	92 (37.4)	156 (40.5)	1.000 (reference)		86 (42.4)	1.000 (reference)		38 (46.9)	1.000 (reference)	
CG	125 (50.8)	174 (45.2)	0.825 (0.584-1.165)	0.275	89 (43.8)	0.763 (0.511-1.140)	0.187	35 (43.2)	0.678 (0.398-1.154)	0.152
GG	29 (11.8)	55 (14.3)	1.135 (0.675-1.910)	0.633	28 (13.8)	1.065 (0.584-1.943)	0.837	8 (9.9)	0.674 (0.282-1.610)	0.375
Dominant (CC vs CG+GG)	0.880 (0.633- 1.224)	0.449		0.817 (0.558– 1.194)	0.296		0.676 (0.407– 1.122)	0.130		
Recessive (CC+CG vs GG)	1.246 (0.770– 2.018)	0.371		1.217 (0.696– 2.125)	0.491		0.819 (0.358- 1.873)	0.636		
HWE-P	0.170	0.565								
AGO2 rs4961280	DC>A				1				4	
CC	216 (87.8)	321 (83.4)	1.000 (reference)		171 (84.2)	1.000 (reference)		66 (81.5)	1.000 (reference)	
CA	30 (12.2)	59 (15.3)	1.325 (0.827-2.126)	0.242	29 (14.3)	1.238 (0.715-2.146)	0.446	14 (17.3)	1.526 (0.763-3.055)	0.232
AA	0 (0.0)	5 (1.3)	NA	0.998	3 (1.5)	NA	0.998	1 (1.2)	NA	0.998
Dominant (CC vs CA + AA)	1.438 (0.902– 2.294)	0.127		1.366 (0.797– 2.340)	0.256		1.639 (0.830– 3.235)	0.155		
Recessive (CC+CA vs AA)	NA	0.998		NA	0.998		NA	0.998		
HWE-P	0.230	0.233								

Table 2. Genotype frequency analyses of polymorphisms of genes for the Argonaute proteins *AGO1* and *AGO2* in RPL patients, subgroups of patients with RPL and controls. RPL, recurrent pregnancy loss; PL, pregnancy loss; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; AGO, Argonaute; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; *Adjusted by age.

fasting blood sugar have been shown to be significantly associated with *AGO1* and *AGO2* gene polymorphisms in this study. Interestingly, in a recent survey, it was concluded that that there are no differences in effective factors between two and three or more consecutive pregnancy losses⁴². However, we found associations between *AGO1* and *AGO2* polymorphisms and number of RPLs. Specifically, the occurrence of four or more PLs was associated

with *AGO1* rs595961G>A and *AGO1* rs636832A>G polymorphisms. In the present study, we found that the *AGO1* rs595961G>A genotype was associated with decreased body mass index in RPL patients. Moreover, the *AGO2* rs4961280C>A genotype was associated with the decreased hematocrit in RPL patients. The previous studies were demonstrated that Ago2 had mediated the functions in the control of hematopoiesis in the mouse model and hematopoietic stem cell model, and was reported that had functioned as a critical regulator of erythropoiesis in the mouse model^{43,44}. These result and previous studies were showed the possibility that this polymorphism of *AGO2* might affect the process of hematopoiesis or erythropoiesis. Also, the *AGO1*rs636832A>G and *AGO2*rs2292779C>G genotypes were associated with the lower homocysteine levels in RPL patients. Furthermore, patients with the *AGO1* rs636832GG genotype had significantly higher platelet counts than patients with the *AGO1* rs636832AA or AG genotypes (Fig. 1A). In addition, patients

Allele combinations	Controls (2n = 492)	RPL patients (2n = 770)	OR (95%CI)	Р
AGO1 rs595961G>A/A	AGO1 rs636832A>G/A	GO2 rs2292779C>G/AGO2	2 rs4961280C>A	
G-A-C-C	223 (45.4)	311 (40.3)	1.000 (reference)	
G-A-C-A	6 (1.3)	31 (4.0)	3.705 (1.520-9.032)	0.002
G-A-G-C	107 (21.8)	201 (26.1)	1.347 (1.007-1.802)	0.045
G-A-G-A	14 (2.9)	22 (2.9)	1.127 (0.564-2.251)	0.735
G-G-C-C	37 (7.6)	52 (6.8)	1.008 (0.639-1.589)	0.974
G-G-C-A	2 (0.5)	4 (0.5) 1.434 (0.260-7.901)		1.000
G-G-G-C	40 (8.1)	22 (2.8) 0.394 (0.228–0.682)		0.001
G-G-G-A	0 (0.0)	3 (0.4)	5.022 (0.258-97.790)	0.270
A-A-C-C	20 (4.1)	5 (0.6)	0.179 (0.066-0.485)	0.0003
A-A-C-A	4 (0.9)	1 (0.1)	0.179 (0.020-1.616)	0.167
A-A-G-C	16 (3.2)	4 (0.5)	0.179 (0.059–0.544)	0.001
A-A-G-A	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	0.239 (0.010-5.903)	0.419
A-G-C-C	13 (2.7)	75 (9.8)	4.137 (2.240-7.641)	< 0.0001
A-G-C-A	1 (0.3)	8 (1.0)	5.736 (0.712-46.210)	0.089
A-G-G-C	4 (0.9)	32 (4.2)	5.736 (2.000-16.460)	0.0002
AGO1 rs595961G>A/A	GO1 rs636832A>G/A	GO2 rs2292779C>G		
G-A-C	206 (41.9)	341 (44.3)	1.000 (reference)	
G-A-G	114 (23.2)	224 (29.1)	1.187 (0.893–1.577)	0.237
G-G-C	64 (13.1)	56 (7.3)	0.529 (0.355–0.787)	0.002
G-G-G	47 (9.5)	25 (3.2)	0.321 (0.192–0.538)	< 0.0001
A-A-C	25 (5.1)	5 (0.7)	0.121 (0.046-0.321)	< 0.0001
A-A-G	14 (2.8)	3 (0.5)	0.130 (0.037-0.456)	0.001
A-G-C	13 (2.7)	84 (10.9)	3.903 (2.122-7.179)	< 0.0001
A-G-G	9 (1.8)	31 (4.1)	2.081 (0.971-4.459)	0.055
AGO1 rs595961G>A/A	1 <i>GO1</i> rs636832A>G/A	GO2 rs4961280C>A	I	
G-A-C	330 (67.1)	512 (66.5)	1.000 (reference)	
G-A-A	21 (4.4)	53 (6.9)	1.627 (0.963-2.747)	0.067
G-G-C	79 (16.0)	74 (9.6)	0.604 (0.427-0.853)	0.004
G-G-A	1 (0.2)	7 (0.9)	4.512 (0.552-36.860)	0.160
A-A-C	35 (7.2)	8 (1.1) 0.147 (0.067–0.322)		< 0.0001
A-A-A	6 (1.2)	0 (0.1)	0.050 (0.003–0.884)	0.004
A-G-C	18 (3.6)	106 (13.8)	3.796 (2.260–6.375)	< 0.0001
A-G-A	2 (0.3)	9 (1.2)	2.900 (0.623-13.510)	0.218
AGO1 rs595961G>A/A	GO2 rs2292779C>G/2	AGO2 rs4961280C>A		
G-C-C	260 (52.8)	364 (47.2)	1.000 (reference)	0.007
G-C-A	9(1.8)	35 (4.5)	2.778 (1.312-5.879)	0.006
G-G-C	149 (30.2)	222 (28.8)	1.064 (0.819–1.382)	0.641
G-G-A	14 (2.9)	26 (3.3)	1.327 (0.679–2.590)	0.406
A-C-C	33 (6./)	79 (10.3)	1.710 (1.105-2.646)	0.015
A-C-A	7 (1.5)	9(1.1)	0.918 (0.338-2.498)	0.868
A-G-C	20(4.2)	36 (4.7)	1.286 (0.728-2.272)	0.386
AGOI 18595961G>A/A	1GOT IS050852A>G	E6E (72 A)	1,000 (reference)	
G-A	111 (22.5)	91 (10 E)	0.412 (0.201, 0.568)	<0.0001
0-0	20 (7.0)	0(12)	0.413 (0.501-0.508)	< 0.0001
A-A A C	22 (4 5)	9 (1.2) 0.131 (0.062-0.273) 115 (15 0) 2.061 (1.820, 4.767)		< 0.0001
ACO1 rc505061C > A/A	22(4.3)	115 (15.0)	2.901 (1.839-4.707)	<0.0001
G-C	270 (55 0)	398 (51 7)	1 000 (reference)	
G-G	270 (33.0)	248 (32 3)	1.000 (reference)	0.732
A-C	39 (7 9)	88 (11 5)	1 531 (1 018-2 301)	0.040
A-G	22 (4.5)	<u>36 (4 6)</u> <u>1 110 (0 630 1 020)</u>		0.711
AGO1 rs595961G>A/A	GO2 rs4961280C > A	(10)		
G-C	408 (83.0)	586 (76.1)	1.000 (reference)	
G-A	23 (4.6)	60 (7.8)	1.816 (1.105–2.986)	0.017
A-C	54 (10.9)	115 (14.9)	1.483 (1.048-2.098)	0.026
A-A	7 (1.5)	9 (1.2)	0.895 (0.331-2.424)	0.827

Table 3. Analyses of allele combinations of AGO1 and AGO2 polymorphisms in RPL patients and controls.RPL, recurrent pregnancy loss; AGO, Argonaute; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

with the *AGO1*rs636832AG genotype had significantly lower fasting blood sugar levels than patients with the *AGO1*rs636832GG genotype (Fig. 1B). We conclude that the *AGO1* polymorphisms studied here are associated with RPL.

There had a few limitations, which should be considered when interpreting the results, in this study. If we were progressed the functional study of other polymorphisms of Argonaute in the future, we will gain more powerful evidence. However, we have focused on the study that would be finding the biomarkers of RPL, and we have progressed that associated the study between various polymorphism of Argonaute located in intron variants and the prevalence of RPL. Therefore, the functional study of these polymorphisms of Argonaute is not possible to the progressing because of these polymorphisms were located in the intron variants. Moreover, the study groups were small because we relied on a single medical center and selected participants based on strict criteria. Despite these limitations, we detected statistically significant differences between the patient and control groups. If our results are confirmed in larger, multicenter studies, the regulation of *AGO1* and *AGO2* may serve as a biomarker to the diagnosis of RPL.

In conclusion, we have identified associations between polymorphisms in the *AGO1* gene and prevalence of RPL in a Korean population, as well as the significant clinical effects. These polymorphisms of *AGO1* gene were located to intron variant but showed that have the possibility of biomarkers to diagnose and estimate the risk of RPL. Furthermore, we suggest that these polymorphisms may be associated with the pathogenesis of RPL.

Methods

Study population. The population in this study comprised 631 participants. The control and patient groups met strict criteria. The 246 women in the control group were recruited at the CHA Bundang Medical Center. Each control subject had a normal (46, XX) karyotype, regularly occurring menstrual cycles, a history of one or more pregnancies that were conceived naturally, and no prior history of pregnancy losses. The 385 women in the patient group were diagnosed with RPL between March 1999 and December 2012 at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University (Seongnam, Republic of Korea)⁴⁵. RPL was defined as a minimum of two consecutive losses of pregnancy, and pregnancy loss was diagnosed by various clinical tests, namely, ultrasound, human chorionic gonadotropin testing, or physical examination prior to 20 weeks gestation². RPL subgroups were assigned according to the number of pregnancy losses. The study excluded patients with pregnancy losses caused by infectious, hormonal, anatomical, autoimmune, chromosomal, or thrombotic factors. Anatomical abnormalities were determined using sonography, hysterosalpingogram, hysteroscopy, magnetic resonance imaging, or computed tomography. Hormonal causes of RPL, such as thyroid disease, luteal insufficiency, and hyperprolactinemia, were identified by measuring peripheral blood levels of follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, prolactin, thyroid-stimulating hormone, progesterone, and free T4. The autoimmune factors lupus and antiphospholipid syndrome were assessed using lupus anticoagulant and anti-cardiolipin antibodies, respectively. Thrombophilia, a thrombotic factor for RPL, was evaluated from levels of anti- β 2 glycoprotein antibody and deficiencies in proteins C and S. A total of the 481 patients were initially evaluated for the study, but 96 patients exhibited trisomy, hypothyroidism, intrauterine adhesion, antiphospholipid syndrome, or chromosomal translocation (patients or spouses), and were therefore excluded from the study, leaving 385 subjects in the patient group⁵. This study was approved by the CHA Bundang Medical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the Hospital Ethics Committee (IRB No. BD2010-123D). All study protocols abided by the recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki and written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Evaluation of clinical factors in control and patient samples. Blood samples of RPL patients were obtained for a period of pregnancy and were used to measure blood coagulation factors, urate concentration, total cholesterol, and levels of folate, and homocysteine after fasting for 12 hours. Homocysteine levels were measured on an Abbott IMx analyzer (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA) using a fluorescence polarization immunoassay⁴⁶. Folate, creatinine, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels were measured by competitive immunoassay using ACS:180 (Bayer Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA)⁴⁶. Urate and total cholesterol levels were measured with enzymatic colorimetric tests (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). An automated photo-optical coagulometer (ACL TOP; Mitsubishi Chemical Medicine, Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure prothrombin time (PT), and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), and a Sysmex XE2100 automated hematology analyzer (Sysmex, Kobe, Japan) was used to determine platelet counts⁴⁶. Hormone levels were measured in one of two ways, depending on the hormone; radioimmunoassays were used to measure estradiol, thyroid-stimulating hormone and prolactin (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Brea, CA, USA), and enzyme immunoassays were used to measure follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany)⁴⁷. The proportion of the CD56+ natural killer cells proportion in peripheral blood was determined by flow cytometry (FACS Calibur; BD Biosciences, USA)⁴⁸.

Genotyping. A Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (iNtRON Biotechnology, Seongnam, Republic of Korea) was used to extract genomic DNA from the peripheral blood collected from patients and controls. We examined the following four polymorphisms in this study: *AGO1* rs595961G>A, *AGO1* rs636832A>G, *AGO2* rs2292779C>G, and *AGO2* rs4961280C>A. The classification of alleles of the genetic polymorphism was confirmed to the East Asian population on the 1000Genomes study. The polymorphisms were assessed using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) with the following primers: *AGO1* rs595961G>A, forward 5'-CCC TAC ATC CAG GAA TTT GGG-3' and reverse 5'-TCG ACA CTG TTT TTG GGG TG-3'; *AGO1* rs636832G>A, forward 5'-CTG ATT CCA GAA CAT ATC ACT CAT-3' and reverse 5'-GGT ATA CCC AGA GAC TGA AAG TAA A-3'; *AGO2* rs2292779C>G, forward 5'-CGG AAC AAG CAG TTC CAC

Control				Patients							
	Hct (%)	PLT(10 ³ /ul)	BMI (kg/m2)	Hcy (µmol/L)		Hct (%)	PLT (10 ³ /ul)	BMI (kg/m2)	Hcy (µmol/L)	TG (mg/dl)	FBS (mg/dl)
Genotypes	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Genotypes	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD
AGO1 rs595961G>A			AGO1 rs595961G>A								
GG	35.62 ± 4.21	233.03 ± 64.52	21.47 ± 2.96	8.38 ± 1.31	GG	37.40 ± 3.12	252.52 ± 51.74	21.33 ± 3.30	6.94 ± 2.00	191.00 ± 167.11	94.30 ± 14.12
GA	35.71 ± 4.57	250.77 ± 69.72	22.14 ± 4.44	7.18 ± 1.55	GA	37.41 ± 3.67	266.31 ± 76.47	22.25 ± 5.23	7.11 ± 2.36	168.20 ± 145.56	94.63 ± 16.80
AA	38.83 ± 1.72	210.67 ± 65.42	_	_	AA	35.09 ± 5.04	237.63 ± 55.74	19.60 ± 2.00	6.94 ± 2.13	132.50 ± 55.60	119.00 ± 43.32
P^a	0.436	0.238	0.379	0.281	P^a	0.164	0.491 ^b	0.028	0.842	0.706	0.089 ^b
<i>AGO1</i> rs636832A>G				AGO1 rs636832A>G							
AA	35.09 ± 4.42	233.36 ± 69.62	21.81 ± 3.47	8.10 ± 1.79	AA	37.28 ± 3.13	252.97 ± 53.04	21.21 ± 2.93	6.96 ± 1.97	196.44 ± 179.46	94.91 ± 15.03
AG	36.79 ± 3.71	241.24 ± 61.27	21.38 ± 3.12	7.23 ± 0.68	AG	37.71 ± 3.52	250.89 ± 61.04	22.08 ± 5.15	6.83 ± 2.14	147.07 ± 103.47	93.15 ± 14.72
GG	34.63 ± 5.20	243.38 ± 52.04	19.35 ± 1.25	_	GG	35.69 ± 4.00	294.06 ± 80.67	20.75 ± 2.53	7.96 ± 2.61	224.33 ± 187.00	107.06 ± 31.04
P^a	0.026 ^b	0.704	0.417	0.655 ^b	P^a	0.093	0.023	0.084	0.079	0.670 ^b	0.044 ^b
AGO2 rs2292779C>G					AGO2 rs2292779C>G						
CC	35.68 ± 4.64	232.55 ± 62.67	21.48 ± 3.03	7.36 ± 1.27	CC	37.19 ± 3.74	254.61 ± 64.40	21.13 ± 2.97	7.00 ± 2.04	209.00 ± 174.22	96.07 ± 18.74
CG	36.24 ± 3.79	244.22 ± 64.62	21.86 ± 3.92	8.47 ± 1.79	CG	37.27 ± 3.20	248.93 ± 50.39	21.94 ± 4.39	7.04 ± 2.28	152.59 ± 135.21	93.96 ± 16.32
GG	33.29 ± 4.49	215.53 ± 77.13	21.39 ± 2.55	_	GG	37.75 ± 2.73	275.34 ± 64.30	20.97 ± 4.15	6.75 ± 1.60	203.33 ± 172.12	96.70±13.51
P^a	0.011	0.138	0.819	0.342	P^a	0.726	0.096	0.117	0.769	0.339	0.629
AGO2 rs4961280C>A				AGO2 rs4961280C>A							
CC	35.75 ± 4.39	235.79 ± 66.96	21.57 ± 3.09	7.98 ± 1.44	CC	37.19 ± 3.38	255.96 ± 59.07	21.53 ± 3.86	7.13 ± 2.14	169.60 ± 149.42	95.76 ± 17.67
CA	35.23 ± 3.28	243.27 ± 57.69	22.01 ± 4.82	7.43 ± 1.79	CA	37.70 ± 3.27	250.82 ± 61.82	20.97 ± 3.68	6.17 ± 1.70	207.55 ± 151.97	93.11±13.76
AA	—	_	_	_	AA	40.95 ± 1.48	289.50 ± 2.12	24.26 ± 5.01	6.18 ± 0.74	603.00 ± 0.00	90.00 ± 7.07
P^a	0.591	0.618	0.636	0.649	P^a	0.222	0.646	0.162	0.027	0.017	0.635

Table 4. Clinical parameters of individuals in the study with different genotypes with respect to *AGO1* and *AGO2* polymorphisms. ANOVA, analysis of variance; SD, standard deviation; Hct, Hematocrit; PLT, platelet; Hcy, Homocysteine; BMI, body mass index; TG, Triglyceride; FBS, fasting blood sugar. ^aCalculated using ANOVA. ^bWe were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous data when F-test *P*-value for equal variances was lower than 0.05.



Figure 1. Association between platelet counts, fasting blood sugar levels, and *AGO1* rs636832A>G polymorphisms in patients with RPL. (**A**) Patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG genotype had significantly higher platelet levels than patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 AA genotype or AG genotype. (**B**) Patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 AG genotype had significantly flower fasting blood sugar levels than patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG genotype. (**B**) Patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG genotype. (**B**) Patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG genotype. (**B**) Patients with the *AGO1* rs636832 GG genotype. Abbreviation: RPL, recurrent pregnancy loss.

AC-3' and reverse 5'-TGA CAG GGA AAG GCT GAT GA-3'; and *AGO2* rs4961280C>A, forward 5'-TGC CCC TGT CTC CTT CAC ATG TCC-3' and reverse 5'-GTT CCC CAA CAC AGC GCT CAA AGG-3'. The four polymorphisms were confirmed by digestion with restriction enzymes *Nla*III *Aci*I, *Bfa*I, and *Hpy*166II (New England Bio Laboratories, MA, USA) for 16 hours at 37 °C. For the *AGO1* rs595961G>A polymorphism, the wild-type genotype GG was confirmed by the presence of one 349 bp band after enzymatic digestion, the heterozygous genotype GA was confirmed by the detection of three bands at 349, 203, and 146 bp after enzymatic digestion. For the *AGO2* rs2292779C>G polymorphism, the wild-type genotype CC was confirmed by the presence of two bands at 142 and 99 bp, the heterozygous genotype CG was confirmed by the zero.

and 99 bp, and the mutant genotype GG was confirmed by the presence of a single band at 241 bp. For the *AGO1* rs636832G>A polymorphism, the presence of two bands at 96 bp and 25 bp were indicative of the wild-type genotype GG, three bands at 121 bp, 96 bp, and 25 bp were indicative of the heterozygous genotype GA, and a single band at 121 bp were indicative of the mutant genotype AA. The digestion fragments for the *AGO2* rs4961280C>A polymorphism differed only slightly between genotypes; the wild-type genotype CC produced only one band at 169 bp, and the heterozygous genotype CA produced three band at 169 bp, 146 bp, and 23 bp, and the mutant genotype AA produced two band at 146 bp and 23 bp. Information regarding the PCR primers, restriction enzymes, and genotype-specific fragment sizes for each polymorphism is provided in Supplementary Table S1. Genotypes were determined by resolving the digestion fragments on 4% agarose gels using electrophoretic separation. A random 30% of the PCR product for each AGO polymorphism was used in a duplicate PCR assay, the product of which was subjected to DNA sequencing on an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) to verify the RFLP results. There was 100% concurrence between the RFLP and sequencing results for each sample.

Statistical analysis. The frequencies of the *AGO1* and *AGO2* polymorphisms were compared between the patient and control groups using logistic regression analysis and Fisher's exact test. Adjusted odds ratio (AOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined from age-adjusted logistic regression and were used to evaluate the association between each polymorphism and RPL incidence. Additionally, the 95% CI and AOR were used to evaluate the association of each polymorphism and allele combination. A *P*-value < 0.05 was deemed to indicate statistical significance. None of the polymorphisms examined in this study deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P > 0.05). Statistical analyses were conducted with following software: GraphPad Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA); HAPSTAT 3.0 (University of N. Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA)⁵; and StatsDirect statistics software version 2.4.4 (StatsDirect Ltd., Altrincham, UK).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, N. K. K., upon reasonable request.

Received: 7 May 2019; Accepted: 8 October 2019; Published online: 30 October 2019

References

- Stephenson, M. & Kutteh, W. Evaluation and management of recurrent early pregnancy loss. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 50, 132–145, https://doi.org/10.1097/GRF.0b013e31802f1c28 (2007).
- Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive, M. Evaluation and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril* 98, 1103–1111, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.06.048 (2012).
- 3. Rull, K., Nagirnaja, L. & Laan, M. Genetics of recurrent miscarriage: challenges, current knowledge, future directions. *Front Genet* 3, 34, https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2012.00034 (2012).
- 4. Ryu, C. S. *et al.* Association study of the three functional polymorphisms (TAS2R46G > A, OR4C16G > A, and OR4X1A > T) with recurrent pregnancy loss. *Genes Genomics* **41**, 61–70, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13258-018-0738-5 (2019).
- Jung, Y. W. et al. Association of genetic polymorphisms in VEGF 460, –7 and –583 and hematocrit level with the development of idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss and a meta-analysis. J Gene Med 20, e3048, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.3048 (2018).
- Lee, H. A. et al. Association study of frameshift and splice variant polymorphisms with risk of idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss. Mol Med Rep 18, 2417–2426, https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2018.9202 (2018).
- Burney, R. O. et al. MicroRNA expression profiling of eutopic secretory endometrium in women with versus without endometriosis. Mol Hum Reprod 15, 625–631, https://doi.org/10.1093/molehr/gap068 (2009).
- Cuman, C. et al. Human blastocyst secreted microRNA regulate endometrial epithelial cell adhesion. EBioMedicine 2, 1528–1535, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.09.003 (2015).
- Saeki, M. et al. DICER and DROSHA gene expression and polymorphisms in autoimmune thyroid diseases. Autoimmunity 49, 514–522, https://doi.org/10.1080/08916934.2016.1230846 (2016).
- Krawczynski, K., Bauersachs, S., Reliszko, Z. P., Graf, A. & Kaczmarek, M. M. Expression of microRNAs and isomiRs in the porcine endometrium: implications for gene regulation at the maternal-conceptus interface. *BMC Genomics* 16, 906, https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12864-015-2172-2 (2015).
- Bueno, M. J., Perez de Castro, I. & Malumbres, M. Control of cell proliferation pathways by microRNAs. Cell Cycle 7, 3143–3148, https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.7.20.6833 (2008).
- Hayder, H., Ö'Brien, J., Nadeem, U. & Peng, C. MicroRNAs: crucial regulators of placental development. *Reproduction* 155, R259–R271, https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0603 (2018).
- Baltimore, D., Boldin, M. P., O'Connell, R. M., Rao, D. S. & Taganov, K. D. MicroRNAs: new regulators of immune cell development and function. *Nat Immunol* 9, 839–845, https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.f.209 (2008).
- Hutvagner, G. *et al.* A cellular function for the RNA-interference enzyme Dicer in the maturation of the let-7 small temporal RNA. *Science* 293, 834–838, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1062961 (2001).
- Han, J. et al. Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. Cell 125, 887–901, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.043 (2006).
- 16. Tang, G. siRNA and miRNA: an insight into RISCs. *Trends Biochem Sci* **30**, 106–114, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2004.12.007 (2005).
- 17. Kawamata, T. & Tomari, Y. Making RISC. Trends Biochem Sci 35, 368-376, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2010.03.009 (2010).
- 18. Hock, J. & Meister, G. The Argonaute protein family. Genome Biol 9, 210, https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-2-210 (2008).
- Turchinovich, A. & Burwinkel, B. Distinct AGO1 and AGO2 associated miRNA profiles in human cells and blood plasma. *RNA Biol* 9, 1066–1075, https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.21083 (2012).
- Parisi, C. et al. Ago1 and Ago2 differentially affect cell proliferation, motility and apoptosis when overexpressed in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells. FEBS Lett 585, 2965–2971, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2011.08.003 (2011).
- Huang, V. et al. Ago1 interacts with RNA polymerase II and binds to the promoters of actively transcribed genes in human cancer cells. PLoS Genet 9, e1003821, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003821 (2013).
- 22. Ye, Z., Jin, H. & Qian, Q. Argonaute 2: A novel rising star in cancer research. J Cancer 6, 877–882, https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.11735 (2015).

- Shen, X. H., Han, Y. J., Cui, X. S. & Kim, N. H. Ago2 and GW182 expression in mouse preimplantation embryos: a link between microRNA biogenesis and GW182 protein synthesis. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 22, 634–643, https://doi.org/10.1071/RD09188 (2010).
- 24. Wang, D. *et al.* Quantitative functions of Argonaute proteins in mammalian development. *Genes Dev* 26, 693–704, https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.182758.111 (2012).
- Kutteh, W. H. Recurrent pregnancy loss. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 41, xi-xiii, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ogc.2013.10.009 (2014).
 Su, M. T., Lin, S. H., Chen, Y. C. & Kuo, P. L. Gene-gene interactions and gene polymorphisms of VEGFA and EG-VEGF gene
- systems in recurrent pregnancy loss. J Assist Reprod Genet 31, 699–705, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-014-0223-2 (2014). 27. Shang, M. et al. Association between SNPs in miRNA-machinery genes and chronic hepatitis B in the Chinese Han population.
- Infect Genet Evol **28**, 113–117, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2014.09.015 (2014). 28. Lim, L. P. *et al.* Microarray analysis shows that some microRNAs downregulate large numbers of target mRNAs. *Nature* **433**,
- Chin, L. P. et al. Microardy analysis shows that some microRNAs downreginate targe numbers of target mRNAs. *Nature* 435, 769–773, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03315 (2005).
 Coulling T. L. & McMarget M. T. MicroBNAs and and end end end end the target high space of the space of
- 29. Cuellar, T. L. & McManus, M. T. MicroRNAs and endocrine biology. J Endocrinol 187, 327–332, https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.1.06426 (2005).
- Tan, L. et al. Recent advances of exosomes in immune modulation and autoimmune diseases. Autoimmunity 49, 357–365, https:// doi.org/10.1080/08916934.2016.1191477 (2016).
- Yang, M. et al. miR-15b-AGO2 play a critical role in HTR8/SVneo invasion and in a model of angiogenesis defects related to inflammation. Placenta 41, 62–73, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2016.03.007 (2016).
- Chen, Z. et al. Hypoxia-responsive miRNAs target argonaute 1 to promote angiogenesis. J Clin Invest 123, 1057–1067, https://doi. org/10.1172/JCI65344 (2013).
- Leonov, G. et al. Suppression of AGO2 by miR-132 as a determinant of miRNA-mediated silencing in human primary endothelial cells. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 69, 75–84, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2015.10.006 (2015).
- Cho, S. H. et al. Association of miR-146aC>G, miR-149C>T, miR-196a2T>C, and miR-499A>G polymorphisms with risk of recurrent implantation failure in Korean women. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 202, 14–19, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.04.009 (2016).
- 35. Liu, J. et al. Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. Science **305**, 1437–1441, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102513 (2004).
- Alisch, R. S., Jin, P., Epstein, M., Caspary, T. & Warren, S. T. Argonaute2 is essential for mammalian gastrulation and proper mesoderm formation. *PLoS Genet* 3, e227, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030227 (2007).
- Goff, L. A. et al. Ago2 immunoprecipitation identifies predicted microRNAs in human embryonic stem cells and neural precursors. PLoS One 4, e7192, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007192 (2009).
- Cifuentes, D. et al. A novel miRNA processing pathway independent of Dicer requires Argonaute2 catalytic activity. Science 328, 1694–1698, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1190809 (2010).
- Wu, R. et al. A functional variant at miR-132-3p, miR-212-3p, and miR-361-5p binding site in CD80 gene alters susceptibility to gastric cancer in a Chinese Han population. Med Oncol 31, 60, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-014-0060-2 (2014).
- 40. Ye, Z. L. et al. Argonaute 2 promotes angiogenesis via the PTEN/VEGF signaling pathway in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Pharmacol Sin 36, 1237–1245, https://doi.org/10.1038/aps.2015.18 (2015).
- Zeng, L., Cui, J., Wu, H. & Lu, Q. The emerging role of circulating microRNAs as biomarkers in autoimmune diseases. *Autoimmunity* 47, 419–429, https://doi.org/10.3109/08916934.2014.929667 (2014).
- Jaslow, C. R., Carney, J. L. & Kutteh, W. H. Diagnostic factors identified in 1020 women with two versus three or more recurrent pregnancy losses. *Fertil Steril* 93, 1234–1243, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.01.166 (2010).
- Lu, K., Nakagawa, M. M., Thummar, K. & Rathinam, C. V. Slicer endonuclease Argonaute 2 is a negative regulator of hematopoietic stem cell quiescence. Stem Cells 34, 1343–1353, https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2302 (2016).
- 44. Martinez, J. & Busslinger, M. Life beyond cleavage: the case of Ago2 and hematopoiesis. *Genes Dev* 21, 1983–1988, https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1591407 (2007).
- Jung, Y. W. et al. Genetic variants in microRNA machinery genes are associated [corrected] with idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss risk. PLoS One 9, e95803, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095803 (2014).
- Park, H. S. et al. The microRNA polymorphisms in miR-150 and miR-1179 are associated with risk of idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss. Reprod Biomed Online 39, 187–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2019.03.207 (2019).
- 47. Shim, S. H. *et al.* Association between vascular endothelial growth factor promoter polymorphisms and the risk of recurrent implantation failure. *Exp Ther Med* **15**, 2109–2119, https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2017.5641 (2018).
- Kim, E. S. et al. MTHFR 3'-untranslated region polymorphisms contribute to recurrent pregnancy loss risk and alterations in peripheral natural killer cell proportions. Clin Exp Reprod Med 44, 152–158, https://doi.org/10.5653/cerm.2017.44.3.152 (2017).

Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea Grants funded by the Korean Government (2017R1D1A1B03031542, 2018R1D1A1B07044096), and by the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (H118C19990200).

Author contributions

Y.R.K., C.S.R. and N.K.K. designed and directed the whole project. Y.R.K., E.H.A., J.H.K. and W.S.L. collected the blood samples from recurrent pregnancy loss patients and control subjects. C.S.R., Y.R.K., S.H.C. and H.J.A. performed the experiments, collected the results, and analyzed the data. N.K.K., Y.R.K. and E.H.A. discussed and interpreted the data and results. Y.R.K. and C.S.R. wrote the draft of the manuscript. N.K.K., J.O.K. and C.S.R. revised the paper. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52073-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.K.K.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019