Journal section: Oral Medicine and Pathology Publication Types: Research doi:10.4317/jced.52282 http://dx.doi.org/10.4317/jced.52282

# Intra-oral low level laser therapy in chronic maxillary sinusitis: A new and effective recommended technique

Hamed Mortazavi <sup>1</sup>, Hamidreza Khalighi <sup>2</sup>, Ali Goljanian <sup>3</sup>, Robab Noormohammadi <sup>4</sup>, Saeed Mojahedi <sup>5</sup>, Siamak Sabour <sup>6</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Department of Oral Medicine, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor of Department of Oral Medicine, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>3</sup> Assistant Professor of Department of Otolaryngology, Medical School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor of Department of Oral Medicine, Dental School, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran
- <sup>5</sup> Associate Professor of Department of Laser, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti, University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- <sup>6</sup> Associate Professor of Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Correspondence:
Department of Oral Medicine
Dental School, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences
09191439764
robab.noormohammadi@yahoo.com

Received: 29/12/2014 Accepted: 16/08/2015 Mortazavi H, Khalighi H, Goljanian A, Noormohammadi R, Mojahedi S, Sabour S. Intra-oral low level laser therapy in chronic maxillary sinusitis: A new and effective recommended technique. J Clin Exp Dent. 2015;7(5):e557-62.

http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/volumenes/v7i5/jcedv7i5p557.pdf

Article Number: 52282 http://www.medicinaoral.com/odo/indice.htm

© Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - eISSN: 1989-5488
eMail: jced@jced.es
Indexed in:
Pubmed
Pubmed Central® (PMC)
Scopus
DOI® System

# **Abstract**

Background: Chronic sinusitis is one of the most common chronic diseases involving different age groups. Because the nature and etiology of chronic sinusitis are not completely known, there is not any standard treatment for this disease. It has been suggested that low-level laser can be used in treating chronic sinusitis but there are limited studies about its usage. In this research, intra-oral radiation of low-level laser has been described and implemented for the first time. Suggested hypotheses about the efficacy of this type of radiation (intra-oral) in treating chronic maxillary sinusitis includes this fact that the depth of maxilla's vestibule is also the floor of maxillary sinus and sinus discharges collect in this area because of gravity effect. Therefore, with considering suitable radiation angle, this area gets the most benefits of laser's anti-inflammatory effects.

Material and Methods: In this study, 20 patients with chronic maxillary sinusitis were included. They were assessed before and after treatment. Treatment plan was performed in 8 sessions every other days using low-level diode laser with 810 nm. Snot-22 questionnaire and rhinomanometry were used for evaluating patients. Changes of signs and symptoms were recorded in questionnaire every session and 6 months after treatment. Friedman and Wilcoxon tests were used for data analyses. In this study, *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: All variables and all symptoms of patients were improved using intra-oral low-level laser and this improvement was statistically significant (P value<0.05). There was also significant decrease in nasal airway resistance and significant increase in air flow (P value<0.05). Six month after treatment completion, there was no significant difference between the results of completion and the results of 8th treatment session (P value<0.05).

Conclusions: Using intra-oral low-level laser is a suitable way to treat patients with chronic maxillary sinusitis.

Key words: Chronic sinusitis, maxillary sinusitis, low-level laser.

## Introduction

Chronic sinusitis is one of the most common chronic diseases involving different age groups. People affected have lower life quality compared to the people affected by congestive heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic low back pain (1,2). Because the nature and etiology of chronic sinusitis are not completely known, there is not any standard treatment for this disease. Although, there are different drug therapy for draining sinus discharges, decreasing mucosal edema and increasing sinus ventilation and normalizing ciliary function (1-3). There are evidence supporting this hypothesis that inflammation is the major etiologic factor in chronic sinusitis and although using antibiotics and corticosteroids is routine today but the focus is on local therapies, increasing available drug in nasal cavity (nasal delivery) and new anti-inflammatory drugs (3). It must be noted that chronic nature of this disease has influences the treatment because chronic nature needs long term treatment. Researchers have suggested local treatment because of different adverse effects of long term using drugs (3). Nowadays, it is proved that bacterial biofilm has a great role in pathogenesis of chronic sinusitis and in other hand; systemic antibiotics are not mostly effective in treatment infections due to bacterial biofilms. In the cases that there is not anatomical obstruction, functional endoscopic surgeries are not superior to drug therapies. Therefore, because of these problems in treating chronic sinusitis, researchers and clinicians suggested new modalities such as ultrasound therapy and laser therapy (4,5). In laser therapy, extreme radiation with distinct dose irradiates to the surface and exerts its therapeutic effects (anti-inflammatory and anti bacterial effects) with specific mechanisms. Review studies have shown that anti inflammatory effects of low-level lasers are the same as anti inflammatory effects of NSAIDs (5). There are limited studies about therapeutic effects of low-level laser in treating chronic sinusitis (5,6-8). Thus, this is the first research with the aim of evaluating therapeutic effects of intra-oral low-level laser in chronic maxillary sinusitis. In evaluating therapeutic outcomes, it is better considering subjective and objective factors simultaneously (1). According to high cost of some imaging modalities as CT scan in one hand, and non accordance of patients' symptoms with results of imaging modalities in other hand (9) and also according to the fact that the most common (81-95%) and one of the most important clinical symptoms is nasal obstruction (10,11); researchers of this study begun using rhinomanometry which help them evaluating clinical symptoms objectively and with lower expenditure. Considering all these points, we with the aim of treating patients with chronic maxillary sinusitis and improving their quality of life, tried to answer this question that whether treating with intra-oral low-level laser would treat the patients or not?

#### **Material and Methods**

This study is experimental (interventional) study and performed in before/after manner on 20 patients with chronic sinusitis in Dental school, Shaheed Beheshti University of medical sciences, Tehran, Iran, between 2012-2014. Objectives of the study were explained to patients and written consent was obtained from each of them before performing it. This study was registered in ClinicalTrials.gov with NCT02124538. Physical examination of all patients were performed by otolaryngologist in Talegani hospital. Patients' information was recorded based upon information forms. Symptoms improvement evaluated in two sections: 1- subjective; which was based on patients' answers in questionnaires. SNOT-22 questionnaire was used in this study, which was validated in 2009, and is recommended in clinical evaluations (12,13). There are 22 questions in this questionnaire including: Need to blow nose, Sneezing, Runny nose, Cough, Post nasal discharge, Thick nasal discharge, Ear fullness, Dizziness, Ear pain, Facial pain/pressure, Difficulty falling asleep, Waking up at night, Lack of a good night's sleep, Waking up tired, Fatigue, Low performance, Reduced concentration, Frustrated/restless, Sad, Embarrassed, Sense of taste/smell, Blockage/congestion of nose. All these symptoms were assessed in six grades ranging no problem to having severe problems. 2- Objective; which was performed by otolaryngologist using rhinomanoetry test (Rhinomanometry: Ecleris, Rhinosoft, Argentina). In this test, resistance and air flow were measured simultaneously in nasal cavity. Patients should have inclusion criteria: 1- affected by chronic sinusitis: a chronic inflammatory process affecting paranasal sinuses and nasal mucosa, lasting at least 12 weeks and the patient must have two major clinical symptoms or one major and two minor clinical symptoms. 2- Being healthy 3- Not being pregnant or in breast feeding state. 4- Did not have sinus surgery, nasal septum deviation, and nasal polyp. 5- Being cooperative in research. If the patient did not have any of these inclusion criteria, he/ she excluded from study. After confirming the disease and recording rhinomanometry curve in the forms and also filling SNOT22 questionnaire for the first time, the patient had been irradiated with low-level laser (Dr. Smile, low level, LAMBDA SpA (Company), France). Intraoral laser radiation in vestibule depth from canine apical zone to first molar apical zone (floor of the maxillary sinus was performed with 45 degree and without pressure in 10 point with 3 mm distances. This was performed by one person under supervision of laser specialist; then bias probability was decreased. In this method, laser radiation with 810nm and 0.1, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2 W by single probe is irradiated from buccal side. Irradiation was begun every other day with the highest power -0.4W- and ended in lowest power -0.1W- and this cycle repeated two times. (The first day, 0.4 W; second day, rest; third

day, 0.3 W; forth day, rest; fifth day, 0.2 W; sixth day, rest; and finally seventh day, 0.1 W). Every session last 40 minutes including resting time (activity time of machine was 20 minutes). Depending on different powers in different days, total dose of energy was 4-7 Joules in every session. There were 8 sessions of irradiation (6). In the end of every session, questionnaires were filled again by patients. In the last session, otolaryngologist again assessed the patients with physical examination and rhinomanometry. Then, the data collected by these questionnaires and also the results of rhinomanometry had been statistically analyzed. Six months after the last treatment, we call patients and the questionnaires were filled again based on their opinion. The results of these calls were also analyzed.

-Data analysis:

Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 18.0. Quantitative and qualitative variables were describes by means and standard deviation and number and percentage. We used Friedman and Wilcoxon tests for data analysis. P value < 0.05 was determined as significance level.

### Results

This study included 20 patients (12 females and 8 males) with chronic maxillary sinusitis. In study process, one patient was excluded because of non cooperation. Average age of the 19 patients was 42 (42±16).

The results of SNOT22 questionnaire:

The results obtained about 22 clinical symptoms in this questionnaire are summarized in table 1<sup>1</sup>, 1 continue.

(¹ Due to length of eight sessions of radiation results, Only the results of sessions before of radiation, the fourth and the eighth session are shown in table 1).

As seen, percentage distribution of these variables is shown separately for session 1 to session 8.

-In analysis level, we used Friedman test for evaluating whether there is significant decrease of Snot-22 variables or not. The results show that intra-oral radiation decreased these variables significantly (*P*. value =0.001)

-In quantitative evaluation of 22 clinical symptoms in SNOT22 questionnaires, the average score was 44 for the first session and 9 for the 8th session.

-For evaluating the efficacy of intra-oral laser, we used Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test to assess improving total air flow in 150 Pascal pressure and in decreasing mean total air flow in 150 Pascal pressure. The results obtained in table 2 show that intra-oral method increases significantly inspiration and expiration air flow (*P* value= 0.001) and decreases total air resistance in inspiration and expiration.

- Patients' follow up six months after the last session of intra-oral low-level laser therapy:

Evaluating the data obtained six months after treatment by SNOT22 questionnaires and comprising (by Wilcoxon test) these data with the results obtained in 8th session showed that the patients reported a small reduction in clinical symptoms and there was no significant difference in comparison to 8th session of treatment by Wilcoxon test (P value< 0.05).

#### Discussion

Intra-oral laser irradiation in treatment of chronic maxillary sinusitis was used in this study for the first time. Then, because of the fact that there is not any similar study, the results of intra-oral radiation cannot be compared with any other study.

It must be noted that in this study low-level diode laser with 810 nm wavelength, output power of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 W was used to treat chronic maxillary sinusitis. This treatment repeated for 40 minutes in 8 sessions (60 seconds irradiation in 20 points on both sides plus rest time). So, depending on different output powers, received dose for every patient was between 4-7 J/cm<sup>2</sup>. The cause of using this dose is that doses lower than 4 J/cm<sup>2</sup> are not effective on inflamed tissues (7).

Results show that this new treatment method decreased significantly all 22 clinical symptoms included in SNOT22 questionnaires.

It has been shown in adjustment evaluation that there was significant decrease in second treatment session in some variables including: Need to blow nose, sneezing, runny nose, post nasal discharge and thick nasal discharge.

In intra-oral laser irradiation group, prevalence of severe cough and very severe cough decreased to zero in second and third sessions, respectively but significant decrease of its mean was seen in second session.

Intra-oral laser irradiation omitted severe and very severe ear fullness and pain in third and fifth sessions, respectively. But significant decrease of their mean was seen in third session.

Facial pressure/pain was another important variable in case group of study and the most of patients had severe or very severe facial pressure/pain. Severe and very severe cases were omitted in fifth session of treatment. But, significant decrease of its mean was seen in second session.

Sleep related variables (Lack of a good night's sleep, Difficulty falling asleep, Waking up at night, Waking up tired) decreased significantly in third, third, fifth and second, respectively.

In patients with intra-oral irradiation, fatigue, low performance, Frustrated/restless and sad decreased significantly in third session and the variable, confusion decreased significantly in forth session.

Therefore, we can say that in addition to decreased disease related symptoms means in patients with chronic maxillary sinusitis, psychological symptoms also improved significantly. Sense of taste/smell, blockage / congestion of nose were two major symptoms which

**Table 1.** Results from the analysis of variables in snot-22 questionnaire (In Sessions before/middle/end of irradiation).

				1						ı		
		to blow no			neezing	P1 1 1		inny nose			cough	T 1.1
	Session Before	Fourth session	Eighth Session	Session Before	Fourth session	Eighth Session	Session Before	Fourth session	Eighth Session	Session Before	Fourth session	Eighth Session
	irradiation	Session	Session	irradiation	Session	Session	irradiation	Session	Session	irradiation	Session	Session
no problem	18.2	33.3	39.4	24.2	27.3	45.5	12.1	21.2	36.4	21.2	30.3	42.4
very mild	6.1	6.1	6.1	3.0	6.1	3.0	6.1	12.1	6.1	3.0	9.1	6.1
problem	0.1	0.1	0.1	3.0	0.1	3.0	0.1	12.1	0.1	3.0	7.1	0.1
mild	9.1	9.1	6.1	3.0	15.2	3.0	12.1	15.2	9.1	6.1	9.1	3.0
problem												
moderate	12.1	3.0	0	6.1	3.0	0	9.1	0	0	9.1	3.0	0
problem												
severe	6.1	0	0	9.1	0	0	6.1	3.0	0	9.1	0	0
problem												
problem as	0	0	0	6.1	0	0	6.1	0	0	3.0	0	0
bad as it												
can be		000	002		0.1.0	0.00		0.02	002		005	0.05
P.value	-	.003	.003	-	.010	.029	-	.003	.003	-	.007	.007
(Wilcoxon)		0.001			0.001			0.001			0.001	
P.value (Friedman)	0.001		0.001			0.001			0.001			
(Friedman)	post nasal discharge			Thick nasal discharge			ear fullness			dizziness		
	Session	Fourth	Eighth	Session	Fourth	Eighth	Session	Fourth	Eighth	Session	Fourth	Eighth
	Before	session	Session	Before	session	Session	Before	session	Session	Before	session	Session
	irradiation	30331011	50331011	irradiation	30331011	50331011	irradiation	30331011	50331011	irradiation	30331011	50331011
no problem	0	3.0	27.3	6.1	9.1	39.4	24.2	27.3	39.4	12.1	27.3	39.4
very mild	0	6.1	24.2	0	9.1	12.1	9.1	12.1	6.1	6.1	9.1	12.1
problem												
mild	0	12.1	0	3.0	15.2	0	3.0	6.1	6.1	9.1	12.1	0
problem												
moderate	9.1	21.2	0	6.1	12.1	0	6.1	3.0	0	15.2	3.0	0
problem												
severe problem	27.3	6.0	0	24.2	6.1	0	6.1	3.0	0	6.1	0	0
problem as	15.2	3.0	0	12.1	0	0	3.0	0	0	3.0	0	0
bad as it	15.2	3.0		12.1	V	V	5.0	V	V	3.0	v	V
can be												
P.value	-	.001	001	-	.001	.001	-	.039	.026	-	.001	.001
(Wilcoxon)		,										
P.value	0.001			0.001			0.001		0.001			
(Friedman)												
		ear pain	•		pain/press	ure		y falling a			ig up at ni	
	Session	Fourth	Eighth	Session	Fourth	Eighth	Session	Fourth	Eighth	Session	Fourth	Eighth
	Before	session	Session	Before	session	Session		session	Session	Before		Session
1.1	irradiation						Before		50551011		session	50551011
no problem	21.2	20.2	20.4	irradiation	0.1	26.4	irradiation			irradiation		
	21.2	30.3	39.4	3.0	9.1	36.4	irradiation 3.0	9.1	33.3	irradiation 12.1	12.1	36.4
very mild	9.1	30.3 12.1	39.4 9.1		9.1 15.2	36.4 15.2	irradiation			irradiation		
very mild problem	9.1	12.1	9.1	3.0	15.2	15.2	3.0 12.1	9.1 24.2	33.3 15.2	irradiation 12.1 12.1	12.1 30.3	36.4 12.1
very mild problem mild				3.0			irradiation 3.0	9.1	33.3	irradiation 12.1	12.1	36.4
very mild problem mild problem	9.1	3.0	9.1	3.0	15.2 12.1	15.2	3.0 12.1 15.2	9.1 24.2 18.2	33.3 15.2 3.0	12.1 12.1 15.2	12.1 30.3 9.1	36.4 12.1 3.0
very mild problem mild	9.1	12.1	9.1	3.0	15.2	15.2	3.0 12.1	9.1 24.2	33.3 15.2	irradiation 12.1 12.1	12.1 30.3	36.4 12.1
very mild problem mild problem moderate	9.1	3.0	9.1	3.0 0 3.0 15.2	15.2 12.1	15.2	3.0 12.1 15.2	9.1 24.2 18.2	33.3 15.2 3.0	12.1 12.1 15.2	12.1 30.3 9.1	36.4 12.1 3.0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem	9.1 9.1 3.0	3.0 6.1	9.1 3.0 0	3.0	15.2 12.1 9.1	0 0	3.0 12.1 15.2 12.1	9.1 24.2 18.2	33.3 15.2 3.0	12.1 12.1 15.2 9.1	12.1 30.3 9.1	36.4 12.1 3.0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe	9.1 9.1 3.0	3.0 6.1	9.1 3.0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2	15.2 12.1 9.1	0 0	3.0 12.1 15.2 12.1	9.1 24.2 18.2	33.3 15.2 3.0	12.1 12.1 15.2 9.1	12.1 30.3 9.1	36.4 12.1 3.0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem problem as bad as it	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1	3.0 6.1 0	9.1 3.0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1	0 0 0	12.1 15.2 12.1 9.1	9.1 24.2 18.2 0	33.3 15.2 3.0 0	12.1 12.1 15.2 9.1 3.0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0	36.4 12.1 3.0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem problem as bad as it can be	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1 3.0	3.0 6.1 0	9.1 3.0 0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1	0 0 0 0	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1   0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem problem as bad as it can be P.value	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1	3.0 6.1 0	9.1 3.0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1	0 0 0	12.1 15.2 12.1 9.1	9.1 24.2 18.2 0	33.3 15.2 3.0 0	12.1 12.1 15.2 9.1 3.0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0	36.4 12.1 3.0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon)	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1 3.0	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0	9.1 3.0 0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1 0	0 0 0 0	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1   0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon)	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1 3.0	3.0 6.1 0	9.1 3.0 0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1	0 0 0 0	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1   0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon)	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1 3.0	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 .016	9.1 3.0 0 0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1 0 .001	0 0 0 0 0	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0   -	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2   9.1   3.0   0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon)	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1 3.0	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 .016 0.001 good night	9.1 3.0 0 0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1 0 .001 .001	0 0 0 0 .001	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1     0     -	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001 fatigue	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon)	9.1 9.1 3.0 6.1 3.0 - lack of g Session	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 .016 0.001 good night Fourth	9.1 3.0 0 0 0 .016	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1 -  wak Session	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  ing up tire Fourth	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1     0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001 fatigue Fourth	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0     -	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 .058 0.001 <b>performa</b>	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g  Session  Before	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 .016 0.001 good night	9.1 3.0 0 0 0	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1 -  wak Session Before	15.2 12.1 9.1 6.1 0 .001 .001	0 0 0 0 .001	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0     -	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001 fatigue	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  - lack of g Session Before irradiation	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0 .016 0.001 cood night Fourth session	9.1 3.0 0 0 .016  sleep Eighth Session	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1 -  wak Session Before irradiation	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  ing up tire Fourth session	0 0 0 0 .001  Eighth Session	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0     Session   Before irradiation	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001  fatigue Fourth session	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058 0.001 performa Fourth session	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g Session Before irradiation 27.3	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 .016 0.001 good night Fourth session 9.1	9.1 3.0 0 0 .016  sleep Eighth Session 27.3	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1 -  wak  Session Before irradiation 12.1	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  ing up tirc Fourth session  12.1	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0     -	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 .003 0.001  fatigue Fourth session 12.1	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2   9.1   3.0   0   -	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058 0.001 <b>performa</b> Fourth session	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem sa bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  - lack of g Session Before irradiation	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0 .016 0.001 cood night Fourth session	9.1 3.0 0 0 .016  sleep Eighth Session	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1 -  wak Session Before irradiation	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  ing up tire Fourth session	0 0 0 0 .001  Eighth Session	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0     Session   Before irradiation	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001  fatigue Fourth session	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058 0.001 performa Fourth session	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem sa bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g Session Before irradiation 27.3 3.0	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0 .016 0.001 good night Fourth session 9.1 21.2	9.1 3.0 0 0 0 .016 Sleep Eighth Session 27.3 15.2	3.0  3.0  15.2  18.2  12.1	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  fourth session  12.1  15.2	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 15.2	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1     0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001 fatigue Fourth session 12.1 15.2	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 12.1	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 .058 0.001  performa Fourth session 15.2 21.2	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004 Eighth Session 33.3 9.1
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem sa bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g Session Before irradiation 27.3	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 .016 0.001 good night Fourth session 9.1	9.1 3.0 0 0 .016  sleep Eighth Session 27.3	3.0 0 3.0 15.2 18.2 12.1 -  wak  Session Before irradiation 12.1	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  ing up tirc Fourth session  12.1	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0     -	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 .003 0.001  fatigue Fourth session 12.1	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2   9.1   3.0   0   -	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058 0.001 <b>performa</b> Fourth session	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman)	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g Session Before irradiation 27.3 3.0	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0 .016 0.001 good night Fourth session 9.1 21.2	9.1 3.0 0 0 0 .016 Sleep Eighth Session 27.3 15.2	3.0  3.0  15.2  18.2  12.1	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  fourth session  12.1  15.2	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 15.2	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2     12.1     9.1     0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001 fatigue Fourth session 12.1 15.2	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 12.1	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2     9.1     3.0     0	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 .058 0.001  performa Fourth session 15.2 21.2	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004 Eighth Session 33.3 9.1
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman) no problem very mild problem mild problem	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g Session Before irradiation 27.3 3.0  0  9.1	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0 .016 0.001 Fourth session 9.1 21.2 12.1 6.1	9.1 3.0 0 0 .016 Sleep Eighth Session 27.3 15.2 6.1 3.0	3.0  3.0  15.2  18.2  12.1  -  wak  Session Before irradiation 12.1  3.0  9.1	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  ing up tire Fourth session  12.1  15.2  9.1	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 15.2 6.1 3.0	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001  fatigue Fourth session 12.1 15.2 12.1 9.1	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 12.1 9.1	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2   9.1   3.0   0     -	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058 0.001 performa Fourth session 15.2 21.2 9.1	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004 .004 Eighth Session 33.3 9.1 6.1
very mild problem mild problem moderate problem severe problem as bad as it can be P.value (Wilcoxon) P.value (Friedman) no problem very mild problem mild problem moderate	9.1  9.1  3.0  6.1  3.0  -  lack of g Session Before irradiation  27.3  3.0  0	12.1 3.0 6.1 0 0 .016 0.001 Good night Fourth session 9.1 21.2	9.1 3.0 0 0 0 .016  Sleep Eighth Session 27.3 15.2 6.1	3.0  3.0  15.2  18.2  12.1	15.2  12.1  9.1  6.1  0  .001  .001  fourth session  12.1  15.2  9.1	15.2 0 0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 15.2 6.1	irradiation   3.0   12.1   15.2   12.1   9.1   0	9.1 24.2 18.2 0 0 0 .003 0.001 fatigue Fourth session 12.1 15.2	33.3 15.2 3.0 0 0 .001 Eighth Session 27.3 12.1	irradiation   12.1   12.1   15.2   9.1   3.0   0     -	12.1 30.3 9.1 0 0 0 .058 0.001  performa Fourth session 15.2 21.2	36.4 12.1 3.0 0 0 0 .004

problem as 6 1 0 had as it can be .010 .002 .024 .002 .008 .015 .010 .002 P.value (Wilcoxon) P value .001 001 0.001 0.001 (Friedman) reduced concentration frustrated /restless irritable sad **Embarrassed** Session Eighth Fourth Eighth Session Fourth Eighth Session Eighth Fourth Session Fourth Before Before Session Before Before session Session session session Session session Session irradiation irradiation irradiation irradiation 6.1 no problem 24.2 273 36.4 18.2 27.3 333 15.2 18.2 333 15.2 27.3 very mild 6.1 21.2 18.2 24.2 15.2 problem 9.1 9.1 18.2 15.2 9 1 21.2 9.1 mild 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 problem 12.1 0 0 9.1 9.1 3.0 3.0 3.0 0 moderate 6.1 0 0 problem 3.0 0 3.0 3.0 0 0 3.0 0 0 severe 0 0 0 problem 0 0 0 6.1 0 0 3.0 3.0 0 3.0 3.0 3.0 problem as bad as it can be .039 .027 .009 .003 .008 .004 .024 .004 P.value (Wilcoxon) P value 001 (Friedman) Blockage /congestion of nose sense of taste/smell Eighth Session Fourth Eighth Fourth Eighth Session Fourth Session Fourth Session Before session Before session Session Before session Session Before session Session irradiation irradiation irradiation irradiation 21.2 45.5 6.1 33.3 no problem 18.2 6.1 very mild 3.0 18.2 6.1 3.0 15.2 18.2 problem 12.1 3.0 0 9.1 18.2 0 mild problem moderate 9.1 6.1 0 24.2 12.1 0 problem 3.0 3.0 0 9.1 0 0 severe problem 0 0 0 0 problem as 6.1 0 \_ bad as it can be P.value .006 .005 .002 .001 (Wilcoxon)

.001

Table 1 continue. Results from the analysis of variables in snot-22 questionnaire (In Sessions before/middle/end of irradiation).

were the last items in SNOT22 questionnaire. Their significant decrease was also seen in second session in laser radiation group.

.001

P.value

(Friedman)

Mean score of SNOT22 questionnaire for all patients were 44. This score was accordant with the score range of patients with chronic sinusitis in other studies. According to the previous studies, mean score of healthy people with the age range of 19-75 was 9.3 and age range of 18-24 was 8.06. After 8 treatment sessions in this study, mean score of intra-oral irradiation group was 9 which was accordant with Gillete study in 2009 (14,15).

In this study, rhinomanometry was used to evaluate laser effects on chronic maxillary sinusitis for the first time. Based on rhinomanometry results, intra-oral irradiation significantly decrease total resistance of nasal cavity and increase total air flow. According to the different studies such as Broms and Suzina, the mean and range of the resistance changes in healthy people were 0.24 (-0.52-0.12) (16,17) which was not accordant to the results of our study. Although, the results of intra-oral irradiation was mostly the same specifically in expiration. In the end of 8th treatment session, airway resistance was 0.7 and 0.5 in inspiration and expiration, respectively.

In resting state, there is at least 200 cm<sup>3</sup>/sec tidal volume in a standard respiration (12 respirations every minutes), which is accordant to the results of our study (18).

According to the following points, clinical efficacy of intra-oral low-level laser irradiation, which was the most important hypothesis of this study, suggested and proved. Maxilla's vestibule depth is in fact the floor of maxillary sinus and sinus discharges collected in this zone because of gravity. Thus, using suitable irradiation degree, this zone benefited much from anti inflammatory effects of laser. On the other hand, thickness, skin color, the thickness of underlying muscles and interactions of zygomatic arch which are important in extra-oral irradiation in other studies (5,7,8,19) do not affect the intraoral laser therapy.

exl	hale	inha	le	Rhinomanometry .result		
Eight Session	first Session	Eight Session	first Session			
458.68	141.53	285.21	86.52	Average total air flow at a 150 Pa		
.0.	01	.001		P.value (Wilcoxon)		
.5024	3.0600	.7862	3.3059	Average total resistance at a 150 Pa		
0.	01	.001		P.value (Wilcoxon)		

Table 2. Results of Wilcoxon test on inhale and exhale at 150 Pa.

Among 12 studies in this regard, all studies except Moustsen (20) reported positive therapeutically effects of intra-oral low-level laser in the treatment of acute and chronic sinusitis. The results of this study are also in accordance with previous studies.

# Conclusion

Treatment with intra-oral low-level laser significantly improved the clinical signs of SNOT22 and also increased significantly air flow rate and decreased significantly nasal resistance in patients with chronic maxillary sinusitis. Because of different drugs' side effects, using low-level laser in treatment of chronic maxillary sinusitis is a suitable and conservative choice. Stability of treatment outcomes was desirable according to the results of six month period follow up.

#### References

- 1. Benninger MS, Ferguson BJ, Hadley JA, Hamilos DL, Jacobs M, Kennedy DW, et al. Adult chronic rhinosinusitis: definitions, diagnosis, epidemiology, and pathophysiology. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003:129:S1-32.
- 2. Caminha GP, Melo Junior JT, Hopkins C, Pizzichini E, Pizzichini M. SNOT- 22: psychometric properties and cross-cultural adaptation into the protugues language spoken in Brazil. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2012;78:34-9.
- 3. Cain RB, Lal D. Update on the management of chronic rhinosinusitis. Infect Drug Resist. 2013;6:1-14.
- 4. Larson DA, Han JK. Microbiology of sinusitis: does allergy or endoscopic sinus surgery affect the microbiologic flora? Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2011;19:199-203.
- 5. Naghdi S, Ansari NN, Fathali M, Bartley J, Varedi M, Honarpishe R. Pilot study into the effect of low-level laser therapy in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Physiother theory Pract. 2013; 29:596-603.
- 6. Bjordal JM, Lopes-Martins RA, Joensen J, Couppe C, Ljunggren AE, Stergioulas A, et al.A systematic review with procedural assessments and meta-analysis of low level laser therapy in lateral elbow tendinopathy (tennis elbow). BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2008;9:75.
- 7. Pekli FF, Kruchinina IL. Physiological functions of the nose before and after laser treatment of acute and chronic maxillary sinusitis in children. Vestn Otorinolaringol. 1988;3:53-5.
- 8. Isser DK, Sett S, Saha BP. The role of laser radiation therapy in maxillary sinusitis: Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2002;54:208-15.
- 9. Krespi Y, Kizhner V. Phototherapy for chronic rhinosinusitis. Lasers Surg Med. 2011;43:187-91.
- 10. Zheng Y, Zhao Y, Lv D, Liu Y, Qiao X, An P, et al. Correlation

between computed tomography staging and quality of life instruments in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. Am J Rhinol Allergy. 2010;24:41-5.

- 11. Suh JD, Kennedy DW.Treatment Options for Chronic Rhinosinusitis. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2011;8:132-40.
- 12. Rosenfeld RM, Andes D, Bhattacharyya N, Cheung D, Eisenberg S, Ganiats TG, et al. Clinical practice guideline: adult sinusitis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007;137(3 Suppl):S1-31.
- 13. Hopkins C, Browne JP, Slack R, Lund V, Topham J, Reeves B, et al. The national comparative audit of surgery for nasal polyposis and chronic rhinosinusitis. Clin Otolaryngol. 2006;31:390-8.
- 14. Hopkins C, Gillett S, Slack R, Lund VJ, Browne JP. Psychometric validity of 22-item sinonasal outcome test. Clin Otolaryngol. 2009;34:447-54.
- 15. Proimos E, Papadakis CE, Chimona TS, Kiagiadaki D, Ferekidis E, Yiotakis J. The effect of functional endoscopic sinus surgery on patients with asthma and CRS with nasal polyps. Rhinology. 2010;48:331-8.
- 16. Gillett S, Hopkins C, Slack R, Browne JP. A pilot study of the SNOT 22 score in adults with no sinonasal disease. Clin Otolaryngol. 2009;34:467-9.
- 17. Suzina AH, Hamzah M, Samsudin AR. Objective assessment of nasal resistance in patients with nasal disease. J Laryngol Otol. 2003;117:609-13.
- 18. Broms P, Jonson B, Malm L. Rhinomanometry. IV. A pre- and postoperative evaluation in functional septoplasty. Acta Otolaryngol. 1982;94:523-9.
- 19. Eccles R. Nasal airflow in health and disease. Acta Otolaryngol. 2000;120:580-95.
- 20. Moustsen PA, Vinter N, Aas-Andersen L, Kragstrup J. Laser Treatment of Sinusitis in General Practice Assessed by a Double-blind Controlled Study. Ugeskr Laeger. 1991;153:2232-4.