Review

The Role of Biomarkers in Surgery for Ulcerative Colitis: A Review

Jared Matson [ID], Sonia Ramamoorthy and Nicole E. Lopez *

Department of Surgery, UC San Diego, San Diego, CA 92037, USA; jsmatson@health.ucsd.edu (J.M.); sramamoorthy@health.ucsd.edu (S.R.)
* Correspondence: nelopez@health.ucsd.edu; Tel.: +1-(858)-822-6277

Abstract: Ulcerative colitis (UC) is an inflammatory condition that generally affects the rectum and extends proximally into the colon in a continuous, distal-to-proximal pattern. Surgical resection (total proctocolectomy) is the only cure for UC and is often necessary in managing complicated or refractory disease. However, recent advances in biologically targeted therapies have resulted in improved disease control, and surgery is required in only a fraction of cases. This ever-increasing array of options for medical management has added complexity to surgical decision-making. In some circumstances, the added time required to ensure failure of medical therapy can delay colectomy in patients who will ultimately need it. Indeed, many patients with severe disease undergo trials of multiple medical therapies prior to considering surgery. In severe cases of UC, continued medical management has been associated with a delay to surgical intervention and higher rates of morbidity and mortality. Biomarkers represent a burgeoning field of research, particularly in inflammatory bowel disease and cancer. This review seeks to highlight the different possible settings for surgery in UC and the role various biomarkers might play in each.

Keywords: biomarkers; ulcerative colitis; surgery; inflammatory bowel disease; colorectal surgery

1. Introduction

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is an idiopathic, chronic, relapsing-remitting inflammatory condition that typically affects the rectum and colon in contiguous fashion [1]. The latter helps to distinguish it from Crohn's Disease (CD), another type of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which most often afflicts the terminal ileum, but may appear anywhere from mouth to anus. The incidence of UC varies between 6 and 24 per 100,000 persons per year, with higher incidence found in western countries and prevalence as high as 0.6% in Canada [2]. Moreover, the incidence appears to be rising worldwide and be associated with increasing industrialization [2]. This represents a significant burden on the healthcare system, as patients with UC tend to utilize healthcare resources at notably higher rates than other patients [3].

Major advances in medical therapy, particularly the advent of biologics in the last 20 years, have reduced the rates of ED visits, hospitalization, and short-term surgery [4–6]. Patients may initially be treated with aminosalicylates, corticosteroids, and immune modulators. Nonresponders and patients presenting with severe disease often receive biologic agents (and may even receive second- or third-line biologic agents) before surgery is considered. Still, 10–30% of patients admitted with acute severe colitis (ASC, generally defined by Truelove and Witts criteria as ≥6 bloody bowel movements per day as well as any of the following: tachycardia ≥90 bpm, fever >37.8 °C, anemia with hemoglobin <10.5 g/dL, and/or an ESR >30 mm/h) will require short-term colectomy (variably defined in studies as surgery during the index admission to up to 90 days from initial hospitalization) [7–11].

A proposed benefit of extended trials of medical therapy is to reduce the need for urgent or emergent colectomy, which has worse outcomes than elective operations [12]. However, these trials require time to determine effectiveness of therapy and patients who

experience a delay in surgery also have worse outcomes (including increased mortality rates and intra- and post-operative complications such as hemorrhage, wound infection, enterocutaneous fistula, myocardial infarction, and need for reoperation) [12–14]. Accordingly, the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgery (ASCRS) guidelines recommend 48–96 h to determine response to steroids and another five to seven days to assess for efficacy of biologics or cyclosporine [15], while the Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery (SICCR) recommends beginning "rescue" therapy after three days of steroid nonresponsiveness, and allowing seven days for improvement on a second-line agent [16]. Unfortunately, several studies show that delays as short as three days can increase morbidity and mortality if medical therapy fails and patients require an operation [13,14]. Therefore, early identification of patients who will need surgery is essential.

While patients with perforation, life-threatening bleeding, severe systemic illness, and multisystem organ dysfunction clearly require emergent surgical intervention, in other cases, it is often less obvious who will need an operation and when. Various biomarkers have been used to assist in distinguishing IBD from other gastrointestinal disorders and predicting relapse for IBD patients in remission, among other applications. Some biomarkers have been linked to surgical decision making with the potential to predict failure of medical treatments. However, the precise role of biomarkers in determining which patients will need surgery and when they should receive an operation is still evolving. Here the authors perform the first review in the published literature specifically examining the role of biomarkers in determining the need for surgery in UC.

2. Principles of Surgery for UC

Though there have been significant strides made in medical treatments, surgery remains the only cure for UC, solidifying the surgeon's role as essential in the multidisciplinary care of patients with UC [17–19]. Indications for surgical management include stabilizing patients with life-threatening pathology, managing symptoms in refractory disease, preventing severe complications, and providing an acceptable quality of life. It is helpful to conceptualize surgery for UC in terms of three phases: emergent, urgent, and elective operations. Currently, there are no biomarkers to assist in decision-making in emergency circumstances. However, the decision to proceed to surgery in emergencies should be a purely clinical decision and waiting for a biomarker to confirm that decision would be both senseless and would, by definition, contradict the nature of categorizing the intervention as emergent. In contrast, patients who present with ASC and no indication for immediate surgery pose a greater dilemma. This group of patients includes those with medically refractory acute or chronic colitis. There are multiple biomarkers that have the potential to help inform the decision to pursue surgery in these settings (Table 1).

Table 1. Indications for surgery in ulcerative colitis and associated biomarkers.

Indication for Surgery	Biomarkers
Emergent condition (severe bleeding, toxic megacolon, etc.)	None currently (clinically determined)
Refractory disease (urgent or elective surgery)	C-Reactive Protein (CRP)
	Fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin
	S100A12
	Serologies
	Drug-related biomarkers
	Peripheral eosinophilia
	Procalcitonin
	Hypoalbuminemia
	CRP/Albumin ratio
	Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR)
	Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio (PLR)

3. The Role of Biomarkers in Predicting Course of Disease and Need for Surgery

3.1. Determining the Course of the Disease; When Should We Operate?

Multiple biomarkers have been shown to predict disease course. Their capacity in this function has piqued interest as to whether they might also be associated with a need for surgical intervention and has occasionally resulted in their incorporation into predictive algorithms [20]. The ability to accurately determine which patients will ultimately require colectomy would be very helpful in counseling patients. This predictive capacity could also reduce the economic burden of the disease, as some studies have shown improved value with early surgery [21]. Although it is unlikely that any single test will become the standard for determining who will need surgery, there are several that may be helpful—especially in combination—in reducing prolonged unsuccessful medical treatment (Table 2).

Table 2. Biomarkers associated with UC and their utility in various areas.

Biomarker	Distinguish UC from CD	Predict IVCS Failure	Predict IFX Failure	Predict Long-Term Colectomy
CRP	-	+	+	+
Fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin	-	+	+	~
S100A12	-	~	~	~
Fecal myeloperoxidase	-	~	~	~
Serologies	+	~	+	~
Drug-related biomarkers	-	~	+	~
Peripheral eosinophilia	~	+	~	+
Procalcitonin	~	+	~	~
Hypoalbuminemia	-	+	+	+
CRP/Albumin ratio	-	+	~	+
NLR and PLR	-	~	+	~

+ indicates evidence demonstrating an association between the outcome and biomarker. - indicates evidence that the biomarker is not associated with the outcome. ~ indicates no evidence regarding association between the biomarker and the outcome. UC = Ulcerative colitis; CD = Crohn's disease; IVCS = intravenous corticosteroids; IFX = infliximab; CRP = C-reactive protein; NLR = Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PLR = Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.

3.2. Biomarkers

3.2.1. C-Reactive Protein (CRP)

CRP is an acute-phase protein produced by hepatocytes and secreted as part of the systemic inflammatory response. It is rapidly and easily measured and has a relatively short half-life of 19 h. In healthy individuals, circulating levels are very low at <1 mg/L, but may increase several hundred-fold as part of an acute inflammatory reaction and can remain elevated over tenfold in chronic inflammatory conditions [22]. CRP has been recognized as a valuable component of the evaluation for UC for many decades [23]. An elevated CRP strongly suggests against a functional intestinal disorder, but has limited sensitivity and is not specific for UC [23]. Still, CRP has been recognized as a valuable component of the evaluation for UC for decades. Unfortunately, the CRP response in UC can be extremely heterogenous [22–25] (Table 3).

Table 3. Applications and limitations of biomarkers studied in IBD.

Biomarker	Applications	Limitations
CRP	• Distinguish UC from noninflammatory intestinal disorders • Follow serial levels to assess treatment response • May predict need for colectomy during admission for ASC • May predict long-term need for colectomy	• Not sensitive in UC • Not specific for UC • No discrimination between UC and CD

Table 3. *Cont.*

Biomarker	Applications	Limitations
Fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin	• Distinguish UC from noninflammatory intestinal disorders (more sensitive than CRP) • Follow levels to assess treatment response/disease activity • Correlates with mucosal healing May predict relapse • May predict relapse • May predict need for rescue therapy or colectomy	• Not specific for UC • Optimal cutoff points not defined • Low sensitivity for predicting colectomy
S100A12	• Distinguish UC from noninflammatory intestinal disorders (some studies show higher sensitivity than FC) • Follow levels to assess treatment response/disease activity • Correlates with mucosal healing	• Not specific for UC • Optimal cutoff points not defined • Ability to predict treatment failure/colectomy not established
Fecal myeloperoxidase	• Distinguish UC from noninflammatory intestinal disorders • Follow levels to assess treatment response/disease activity	• Not specific for UC • Optimal cutoff points not defined • Ability to predict treatment failure/colectomy not established
Serologies	• May differentiate UC from CD • Some ability to predict which patients with indeterminate colitis will develop UC vs. CD • May predict early response to infliximab • Some titers correlate with disease activity	• Generally low sensitivity • Ability to predict treatment failure/colectomy not established
Drug-related biomarkers	• Ensure adequate dosing • May prompt escalation in dosing or therapy or transition to alternative regimen • May predict nonresponders to Anti-TNF drugs	• Limited ability to predict response to treatment, particularly in ASC • Ability to predict colectomy not established
Peripheral eosinophilia	• Establishes more severe disease phenotype • Associated with need for hospitalization and surgery • Associated with reduced time to surgery	• Ability to predict treatment failure not established • Ability to predict short-term colectomy in ASC not established
Procalcitonin	• Admission levels can predict IVCS failure in ASC • May predict short-term need for colectomy in ASC, particularly when combined with FC	• Ability to predict long-term colectomy in patients who initially respond not established
Hypoalbuminemia	• May predict IVCS failure and colectomy in ASC • Associated with need for multiple courses of steroids and second-line agents	• Nonspecific • Also a marker for malnutrition and increased surgical risk; patients may benefit from additional medical therapy
CRP/Albumin ratio	• Day 3 value can predict IVCS failure and short-term colectomy in ASC • Discharge levels after infliximab treatment can predict 12-month colectomy	• Unclear when to measure • Best clinical use not established

Table 3. *Cont.*

Biomarker	Applications	Limitations
Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio	• Distinguish active from inactive UC • Distinguish UC from healthy controls • May predict mucosal healing with anti-TNF agents • May predict loss of response to infliximab • May predict relapse after tacrolimus-induced remission	• Limited sensitivity and specificity • Optimal cutoff points not defined • Ability to predict need for colectomy not established

CRP in Predicting Short-Term Colectomy

The short half-life of CRP makes serial levels useful in assessing treatment response. In the acutely ill, hospitalized patient, persistently and significantly elevated CRP has been associated with steroid refractory disease and the need for surgery. In a study out of Sweden, among patients with UC, CRP elevation was significantly and independently associated with the need for colectomy within 30 days [26]. In that study, a CRP ≥25 mg/L and >4 stools daily on day 3 of IV corticosteroid therapy was predictive of the need for colectomy. The authors developed a fulminant colitis index calculated on day 3 of steroids (stools/day + 0.14 × CRP) which was later validated in a clinical trial [27]. The positive predictive value for colectomy within 90 days of a score ≥8 was 69%. Similarly, a study out of Oxford showed that 85% of patients with >8 bowel movements per day or 3–8 bowel movements per day and CRP >45 mg/L on day 3 required colectomy during that admission [28]. These studies predate the widespread use of variable biologic therapies. However, they do indicate that CRP may be a useful indicator of both disease severity and need for short term colectomy among patients with UC.

CRP in Predicting Long-Term Colectomy

CRP can also be useful at predicting the eventual need for colectomy in patients with UC. Henriksen, et al. showed that the odds of needing a colectomy is five times higher in UC patients with a CRP >23 mg/L at diagnosis, and three times higher in those with a CRP >10 mg/L one year after diagnosis [29]. Some suggest that CRP measurements above these thresholds should prompt a discussion with the patient about their risk for surgery and careful weighing of the possible consequences of delaying surgery against the potential benefits of additional medical treatment [20,29].

While CRP may be most helpful in the inpatient setting, it can also be utilized in long-term monitoring. For example, patients with ongoing elevation in CRP are likely to have persistent active disease and may need more aggressive treatment [30]. Unfortunately, CRP has not been shown to be predictive of relapse [31].

3.2.2. Fecal Calprotectin and Lactoferrin

Fecal calprotectin (FC) is perhaps the most studied biomarker in UC/IBD [22,25,32–47]. It is a 36-kilodalton protein found in granulocytes and is stable in feces for several days [22,25,43,44]. It represents over 50% of cytosolic proteins in neutrophils, so its detection in stool is thought to correlate directly with neutrophil activation in a mucosal inflammatory response [48]. Testing is noninvasive, easy, and inexpensive and results return rapidly, making FC attractive as a potential biomarker. Several studies have shown that it is useful in determining which patients with symptoms of colitis warrant endoscopic analysis [22,25,34,42,43,46,47]. It is also more sensitive than CRP and allows for the evaluation of mild UC [43]. Limitations include a lack of specificity as it is elevated in other conditions that result in intestinal inflammation, including malignancy, infection, and polyps, as well as inconsistently defined cutoff values [24] (Table 3).

Fecal lactoferrin is very similar to calprotectin as it is a lysosomal protein found in neutrophils that is stable in stool for multiple days [25]. Levels have been shown to correlate with intestinal inflammation, though many studies indicate lower sensitivity and specificity

than measuring FC [49]. As with FC, the fact that it is a component of neutrophils allows this marker to differentiate between inflammatory and functional intestinal disease.

Normalization of FC and lactoferrin levels has been shown to correlate with endoscopic response to treatment and mucosal healing [22,25,33,49]. It has also been shown to predict which patients will experience relapse and which might benefit from intensifying treatment [35,37,49]. Additional studies are required to determine how FC and lactoferrin levels in endoscopic response and relapse correlate with increased colectomy.

Fecal Calprotectin in Patients Requiring a Colectomy

Although much of the research regarding FC has been in the diagnosis and monitoring of UC, Ho et al. did study its ability to predict treatment failure in acute severe UC [50]. They found that FC levels were significantly higher in patients who required colectomy (1200 vs. 887 µg/g) and trended toward significance in distinguishing both steroid and infliximab nonresponders. Their analysis determined that a cutoff of 1922.5 µg/g was 97% specific for predicting the need for colectomy. Sensitivity was low at just 24%, though 87% of patients above that threshold ultimately had colectomy within the median follow-up of 1.1 years. Another study showed that FC levels >1500 µg/g on admission and >1000 µg/g on day 3 of IV corticosteroids was significantly associated with treatment failure and the need for rescue therapy or colectomy [51]. The association of admission levels >1500 µg/g and the requirement for colectomy in acute severe UC was confirmed by Wu et al. in 2019 [52]. These studies demonstrate the potential for FC to assist clinicians and patients considering colectomy. Still, additional study is warranted to determine the levels that optimally predict the need for colectomy.

3.2.3. S100A12

S100A12 is a calcium-binding protein involved in various proinflammatory pathways and elevated levels have been demonstrated in inflammatory conditions [53,54]. When measured in stool, some studies have shown that S100A12 has higher sensitivity and specificity for distinguishing IBD from irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) than fecal calprotectin or lactoferrin [55] (Table 3).

Other studies have shown that serum levels are predictive of mucosal healing and correlate with disease activity [56,57]. It may therefore prove to be a more effective predictor of the need for colectomy than FC, but specific study of its utility for this purpose will be necessary.

3.2.4. Fecal Myeloperoxidase

Another marker that reflects the activity of activated neutrophils in colonic mucosa is fecal myeloperoxidase (MPO). As with FC and fecal lactoferrin, it is stable in feces for several days [58]. MPO has been shown to be elevated in patients with UC compared to healthy controls, and MPO levels correlate with endoscopic grading of disease [59]. Likewise, MPO levels decrease in patients in remission, indicating an association with disease activity (Table 3).

MPO levels had a sensitivity of 89% but only 51% specificity in differentiating patients with UC from healthy controls. Another study showed superiority of fecal MPO measurement over FC in reflecting disease activity [60]. Like other markers, additional study will be required to determine its association with the need for colectomy.

3.2.5. Serologies
Distinguishing Ulcerative Colitis from Crohn's Disease

From a surgical standpoint, when considering a patient with IBD in nonemergent circumstances, the earliest and perhaps most critical objective is to determine whether a patient has UC or CD. From a medical standpoint, this distinction is less precarious, since many of the treatments are similar and switching from one treatment to another is standard. However, the surgical treatments of UC and CD are drastically different, and—in many

cases—irreversible, highlighting the importance of diagnostic accuracy. Unfortunately, there is no gold-standard diagnostic test for UC [61]. It is largely a diagnosis of exclusion: If a patient clearly has IBD but lacks intestinal manifestations outside the colon/rectum and has no clear pathologic features of CD, we label it UC. Regrettably, many of the laboratory markers associated with UC—such as thrombocytosis, anemia, and elevated ESR—are nonspecific and minimally helpful in differentiating the diseases. Several serological biomarkers have shown promise and may support the process of differentiating patients with UC [25] (Table 2).

In general, Anti-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* antibodies (ASCA) are associated with CD while perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (pANCA) are associated with UC, and the combination of these tests can distinguish between the two with 40–50% sensitivity and over 90% specificity [62]. Some newer serological tests have also been identified that might have a role in diagnosing IBD. In addition to ASCA, there are several other anti-glycan antibodies that have been investigated, including anti-laminaribioside carbohydrate IgG antibodies (ALCA), anti-chitobioside carbohydrate IgA antibodies (ACCA), anti-mannobioside carbohydrate IgG antibodies (AMCA), anti-laminarin (anti-L), and anti-chitin (anti-C). These have been found to have good specificity (75–99%) in distinguishing UC and CD from other gastrointestinal disorders but very limited sensitivity (11–40%) [63–67] (Table 3).

There are also antibodies against bacterial components that have been found to be common in patients with CD (about 50%), including those against *Eschericia coli* outer membrane porin C (anti-OmpC) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*-associated sequence I2 (anti-I2) [68]. Anti-I2 was found to be positive in 54% of individuals with CD compared to 10% with UC, 19% with other inflammatory intestinal disease, and 4% of controls [69]. Some of these tests may be added to ASCA and pANCA to combine the sensitivity of distinguishing between UC and CD. Specifically, adding ALCA and ACCA to ASCA increases specificity to 85–99%, though there is a concomitant decrease in sensitivity from 66% to 27% [70]. Adding anti-OmpC, in contrast, does not help in distinguishing UC from CD [64].

Antibodies to flagellins (Anti-A4-Fla2 and Anti-Fla-X) have also been shown to have the capacity to distinguish between the two conditions. They were found to be positive in almost 60% of patients with CD and just 6% with UC [71] (Table 4).

Table 4. Antibodies associated with Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn's Disease.

Antibody	Prevalence in CD	Prevalence in UC
ASCA	+++	++
pANCA	+	+++
ALCA	++	+
ACCA	++	+
AMCA	++	+
Anti-L	++	+
Anti-C	++	+
Anti-OmpC	+++	+
Anti-I2	+++	+
Anti-A4-Fla2	+++	+
Anti-Fla-X	+++	+
Anti-integrin αvβ6	+	+++

+ indicates relatively low prevalence with studies showing peak prevalence under 25%; ++ indicates moderate prevalence with studies showing peak prevalence 25–50%; +++ indicates high prevalence with studies showing peak prevalence >50%. ASCA = anti-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* antibodies; pANCA = perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies; ALCA = antilaminaribioside carbohydrate antibodies; ACCA = antichitobioside carbohydrate antibodies; AMCA = antimannobioside carbohydrate antibodies; Anti-L = anti-laminarin antibodies; Anti-C = anti-chitin antibodies; Anti-OmpC = antibody to outermembrane porin C; Anti-I2 = antibody to *Pseudomonas fluorescens*-associated sequence I2; Anti-A4-Fla2 = antibody to flagellin A4-Fla2; Anti-Fla-X = antibody to flagellin Fla-X. Anti-integrin αvβ6 = antibody to integrin αvβ6.

Finally, a recent paper describes the use of a novel autoantibody against integrin αvβ6 in diagnosing UC [72]. Kuwada et al. found that this antibody was present in 92% of patients with UC and only 5% of patients with other pathologies (CD, diverticulitis, infections colitis, ischemic enteritis, Behçet's disease, etc.). This translated to a sensitivity of 92.0% and specificity of 94.8%. Additionally, antibody titers correlated with disease activity, suggesting that this antibody may have potential for guiding treatment and escalation of therapy in addition to diagnosing UC. Additional study will be required to confirm its utility and to specifically determine its ability to distinguish between UC and other IBD.

Serological tests may be particularly valuable when considering the surgical care of the 10% of patients with diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease unclassified (IBDU) or indeterminate colitis (IC), where a diagnosis is uncertain and patients may later develop pathognomonic signs or symptoms that allow for a confirmed diagnosis of either CD or UC. A prospective study of 97 patients diagnosed with IC found that 32% went on to receive a diagnosis of either CD or UC and that ASCA+/pANCA− was associated with the development of CD in 80% of patients [73]. In this study, ASCA−/pANCA+ serology was less specific, as 65% went on to develop UC while the remainder developed UC-like CD. In a similar study, Zhou, et al. demonstrated limited sensitivity for both tests (<50%), though they did show specificity >96% and high positive predictive values for their associated conditions [74]. These results suggest that in patients being evaluated for surgical treatment but with an uncertain diagnosis, testing serologies could assist in preventing inappropriate pouch creation by providing a more tailored approach.

Serologies to Predict Failure of Medical Therapy

Another potential application for serologies is in predicting failure of medical therapy. As previously discussed, ASCA and pANCA may help to guide appropriate surgical therapy in cases with an unclear diagnosis, but they have also been shown to have some ability to predict which patients will respond to infliximab therapy. One study has shown that pANCA seronegativity is significantly and positively associated with response to infliximab [75]. Another study showed that patients with UC and ASCA−/pANCA+ serology are less likely to have an early response to infliximab than those who are pANCA seronegative (OR 0.40) [76]. As this study included only five patients with ASC refractory to steroids, only one of whom required colectomy within 2 months, the true ability of serology to predict failure of rescue therapy will require further study.

3.2.6. Drug-Related Biomarkers: Metabolite Levels, Drug Levels, and Antibodies

One method in which biomarkers might assist in determining the need for surgery is in predicting or monitoring the effect of treatment. Measuring drug or metabolite levels in the serum can ensure adequate dosing, which may prompt more rapid transition to alternative therapies or may suggest a need for surgery in nonresponders [25,77,78] (Table 3).

Additionally, use of biologic therapies can result in production of antidrug antibodies, which may be associated with relapse or the need to change treatments [79]. While these are helpful in guiding adjustments to therapy and counseling regarding surgery, a more efficient test would predict response prior to initiating therapy. Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and gut microbiota may perform in this manner for patients undergoing anti-TNF therapy. Proteomic analysis of pre-treatment biopsy specimens showed distinct patterns in patients for whom anti-TNF therapy was effective and those for whom it was not [80]. Additional study is needed to determine whether AMPs might also correlate with the need for colectomy and timing of surgical treatment.

3.2.7. Peripheral Eosinophilia

Wright and Truelove described an association between eosinophils and UC in the 1960s, and the earliest case series date to the 1950s [81,82]. At that time, eosinophilia was thought to correlate with active disease [82]. More recent studies have discovered a role for

peripheral blood eosinophilia (PBE) as a biomarker for disease activity [83,84]. This led Click, et al. to perform a registry analysis of 2066 IBD patients that correlated peripheral eosinophilia with a severe disease phenotype [85]. Among patients with UC, PBE was associated with extensive disease, active disease, PSC, aggressive medical treatment, higher healthcare utilization, hospitalization, and the need for surgery. Indeed, multivariate analysis showed that PBE was associated with hospitalization and surgery in UC, with adjusted ORs of 2.35 and 1.76, respectively. Further, time-to-event analysis showed that UC patients with PBE also had a significantly reduced time to colectomy. Additional studies confirming the predictive capacity of PBE with respect to surgical intervention will be integral to implementing this tool in decision making (Table 3).

3.2.8. Serum Procalcitonin

Procalcitonin is a prohormone that is principally produced by non-neuroendocrine cells in response to systemic inflammation [86,87]. It has long been recognized as an important marker in sepsis; an association with UC was more recently identified [88]. Wu, et al. studied its correlation with outcomes in patients hospitalized with acute severe UC and found that admission procalcitonin levels were predictive of IV corticosteroid failure and the short-term need for surgery [52] (Table 3).

They also demonstrated a correlation with FC levels and that elevation of procalcitonin > 0.10 µg/L and FC > 1500 µg/g, predicted a need for colectomy in 86% of cases while 89% of patients with values <0.10 µg/L and <1500 µg/g responded to medical therapy [52]. This study suggests that serum procalcitonin, potentially in combination with FC levels, is promising as a biomarker predicting the need for colectomy.

3.2.9. Hypoalbuminemia

While CRP levels increase as part of the inflammatory response, albumin functions as a negative acute phase reactant; levels drop during inflammation (due to both decreased synthesis and increased catabolism) [24,89]. Levels also decrease in response to conditions such as malnutrition and malabsorption, so that in UC it might reflect these downstream effects of inadequately controlled disease. Several studies have demonstrated that low levels of albumin, especially in patients presenting with ASC, are associated with refractoriness to corticosteroid therapy and with the need for surgery [90–92] (Table 3).

Ho, et al. proposed the use of a risk score incorporating hypoalbuminemia to identify patients who should have more aggressive approaches including earlier addition of second-line medical therapy or surgery [92]. More recently, a nationwide Veterans Affairs Hospitals study showed greater risk of needing any steroids, multiple courses of steroids, or second-line medical therapies in patients with hypoalbuminemia at diagnosis, as well as a trend toward increased need for colectomy [93]. While albumin may be effective as a component of a more comprehensive risk score, it is unlikely to be useful as an independent measure indicating need for colectomy.

3.2.10. CRP/Albumin Ratio

Knowing that both CRP and albumin levels are associated with need for colectomy in patients with UC, Gibson, et al. proposed that the combination might have additional predictive capacity [94,95]. They found that an elevated CRP/albumin ratio on day 3 of IV corticosteroids was a more accurate marker of risk for colectomy within 30 days than was day 3 CRP or albumin alone. They also concluded that a CRP/albumin ratio greater than 0.85 optimally predicted need for colectomy within three years (50% of patients with day 3 CRP/albumin ratio above 0.85 required colectomy vs. 20% with a lower day 3 CRP/albumin ratio). Similarly, Choy, et al. sought to identify factors that might predict treatment failure and need for colectomy in a recent study [96]. While they were unable to confirm the early predictive value of CRP/albumin ratio, their analysis did show that a CRP/albumin ratio >0.37 at the time of discharge after treatment with infliximab (regardless

of whether accelerated or standard induction was used) was significantly predictive of 12-month colectomy rates (Table 3).

3.2.11. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) have been linked to outcomes in various disease processes [97–100]. Several studies in the last decade have shown some promise for NLR and PLR in differentiating patients with active or more severe UC from quiescent UC and healthy controls, though sensitivity and specificity have been limited [101–104]. They have also been shown to be able to predict disease response and relapse after medical therapies [105–107]. The optimal cutoff for these different applications has not been determined, but high NLR generally correlates with active disease, loss of response, and overall poor outcomes. These studies imply that NLR and PLR may be useful in predicting which patients will require surgical intervention, whether due to loss of response to medical therapy or more severe disease at presentation. However, optimal ranges and a direct correlation still need to be established before this can be used to predict colectomy in patients with UC (Table 3).

4. Conclusions

The prevalence of UC is increasing worldwide and treatment of UC is a significant burden on healthcare systems. The development of effective medical therapies has decreased the rates of surgery over time, but many patients still require surgical treatment, which also represents the only means of cure. Although patients who successfully undergo surgery experience good quality of life, delays in surgical treatment in the acute setting often result in increased morbidity and mortality. Delays for patients considering elective colectomy can result in increased costs of care and prolonged patient suffering. The ability to predict which patients ultimately require surgery could partially alleviate these encumbrances by informing discussions and decisions regarding timing of surgery.

Here we reviewed several biomarkers that show promise in this regard. Combining multiple biomarkers (such as CRP/albumin ratio and procalcitonin with fecal calprotectin) has resulted in improved accuracy in predicting which patients ultimately require surgery directly related to their disease. Further studies on the optimal use and combination of biomarkers and on the outcomes of earlier surgical intervention as dictated by such a predictive model are warranted to establish best practices.

References

1. Ordás, I.; Eckmann, L.; Talamini, M.; Baumgart, D.C.; Sandborn, W.J. Ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 1606–1619. [CrossRef]
2. Molodecky, N.A.; Soon, I.S.; Rabi, D.M.; Ghali, W.A.; Ferris, M.; Chernoff, G.; Benchimol, E.; Panaccione, R.; Ghosh, S.; Barkema, H.; et al. Increasing Incidence and Prevalence of the Inflammatory Bowel Diseases With Time, Based on Systematic Review. *Gastroenterology* **2012**, *142*, 46–54.e42. [CrossRef]
3. Kappelman, M.D.; Porter, C.Q.; Galanko, J.A.; Rifas-Shiman, S.L.; Ollendorf, D.A.; Sandler, R.S.; Finkelstein, J.A. Utilization of healthcare resources by U.S. children and adults with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2011**, *17*, 62–68. [CrossRef]
4. Huh, G.; Yoon, H.; Choi, Y.J.; Shin, C.M.; Park, Y.S.; Kim, N.; Lee, D.H.; Kim, J.S. Trends in emergency department visits and hospitalization rates for inflammatory bowel disease in the era of biologics. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0210703.
5. Mao, E.J.; Hazlewood, G.; Kaplan, G.G.; Peyrin-Biroulet, L.; Ananthakrishnan, A.N. Systematic review with meta-analysis: Comparative efficacy of immunosuppressants and biologics for reducing hospitalisation and surgery in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2016**, *45*, 3–13. [CrossRef]
6. Frolkis, A.D.; Dykeman, J.; Negrón, M.E.; Debruyn, J.; Jette, N.; Fiest, K.M.; Frolkis, T.; Barkema, H.; Rioux, K.P.; Panaccione, R.; et al. Risk of Surgery for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases Has Decreased Over Time: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Population-Based Studies. *Gastroenterology* **2013**, *145*, 996–1006. [CrossRef]

7. Aratari, A.; Papi, C.; Clemente, V.; Moretti, A.; Luchetti, R.; Koch, M.; Capurso, L.; Caprilli, R. Colectomy rate in acute severe ulcerative colitis in the infliximab era. *Dig. Liver Dis.* **2008**, *40*, 821–826. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Clemente, V.; Aratari, A.; Papi, C.; Vernia, P. Short term colectomy rate and mortality for severe ulcerative colitis in the last 40 years. Has something changed? *Dig. Liver Dis.* **2016**, *48*, 371–375. [CrossRef]

9. Bernstein, C.N.; Ng, S.C.; Lakatos, P.L.; Moum, B.; Loftus, E.V., Jr. A review of mortality and surgery in ulcerative colitis: Milestones of the seriousness of the disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2013**, *19*, 2001–2010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Turner, D.; Walsh, C.M.; Steinhart, A.H.; Griffiths, A.M. Response to Corticosteroids in Severe Ulcerative Colitis: A Systematic Review of the Literature and a Meta-Regression. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2007**, *5*, 103–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Narula, N.; Marshall, J.; Colombel, J.-F.; Leontiadis, G.I.; Williams, J.G.; Muqtadir, Z.; Reinisch, W. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Infliximab or Cyclosporine as Rescue Therapy in Patients With Severe Ulcerative Colitis Refractory to Steroids. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2016**, *111*, 477–491. [CrossRef]

12. Roberts, S.E.; Williams, J.G.; Yeates, D.; Goldacre, M.J. Mortality in patients with and without colectomy admitted to hospital for ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease: Record linkage studies. *BMJ* **2007**, *335*, 1033. [CrossRef]

13. Kaplan, G.G.; McCarthy, E.P.; Ayanian, J.Z.; Korzenik, J.; Hodin, R.; Sands, B.E. Impact of Hospital Volume on Postoperative Morbidity and Mortality Following a Colectomy for Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* **2008**, *134*, 680–687.e1. [CrossRef]

14. Kroesen, A.J. Early Surgery in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases Is a Better Option than Prolonged Conservative Treatment. *Visc. Med.* **2019**, *35*, 355–358. [CrossRef]

15. Ross, H.; Steele, S.R.; Varma, M.; Dykes, S.; Cima, R.; Buie, W.D.; Rafferty, J. Practice parameters for the surgical treatment of ulcerative colitis. *Dis. Colon. Rectum.* **2014**, *57*, 5–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Pellino, G.; Siccr, T.I.S.O.C.S.; Keller, D.S.; Sampietro, G.M.; Carvello, M.; Celentano, V.; Coco, C.; Colombo, F.; Geccherle, A.; Luglio, G.; et al. Inflammatory bowel disease position statement of the Italian Society of Colorectal Surgery (SICCR): Ulcerative colitis. *Tech. Coloproctol.* **2020**, *24*, 397–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Carvello, M.; Watfah, J.; Włodarczyk, M.; Spinelli, A. The Management of the Hospitalized Ulcerative Colitis Patient: The Medical–Surgical Conundrum. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* **2020**, *22*, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

18. Barnes, E.L.; Lightner, A.L.; Regueiro, M. Perioperative and Postoperative Management of Patients with Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2020**, *18*, 1356–1366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Kühn, F.; Klar, E. Surgical Principles in the Treatment of Ulcerative Colitis. *Visc. Med.* **2015**, *31*, 246–250. [CrossRef]

20. Solberg, I.C.; Høivik, M.L.; Cvancarova, M.; Moum, B. Risk matrix model for prediction of colectomy in a population-based study of ulcerative colitis patients (the IBSEN study). *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **2015**, *50*, 1456–1462. [CrossRef]

21. Jean, L.; Audrey, M.; Beauchemin, C. on behalf of the iGenoMed Consortium Economic Evaluations of Treatments for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Literature Review. *Can. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2018**, *2018*, 7439730. [CrossRef]

22. Fengming, Y.; Jianbing, W. Biomarkers of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Dis. Mark.* **2014**, *2014*, 710915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Shine, B.; Berghouse, L.; Jones, J.; Landon, J. C-Reactive protein as an aid in the differentiation of functional and inflammatory bowel disorders. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **1985**, *148*, 105–109. [CrossRef]

24. Vermeire, S.; Van Assche, G.; Rutgeerts, P. Laboratory markers in IBD: Useful, magic, or unnecessary toys? *Gut* **2006**, *55*, 426–431. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Lewis, J.D. The Utility of Biomarkers in the Diagnosis and Therapy of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Gastroenterology* **2011**, *140*, 1817–1826.e2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Lindgren, S.C.; Flood, L.M.; Kilander, A.F.; Löfberg, R.; Persson, T.B.; Sjödahl, R.I. Early predictors of glucocorticosteroid treatment failure in severe and moderately severe attacks of ulcerative colitis. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **1998**, *10*, 831–836. [CrossRef]

27. Järnerot, G.; Hertervig, E.; Friis-Liby, I.; Blomquist, L.; Karlén, P.; Grännö, C.; Vilien, M.; Ström, M.; Danielsson, Å.; Verbaan, H.; et al. Infliximab as Rescue Therapy in Severe to Moderately Severe Ulcerative Colitis: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study. *Gastroenterology* **2005**, *128*, 1805–1811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Travis, S.P.; Farrant, J.M.; Ricketts, C.; Nolan, D.J.; Mortensen, N.M.; Kettlewell, M.G.; Jewell, D.P. Predicting outcome in severe ulcerative colitis. *Gut* **1996**, *38*, 905–910. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Henriksen, M.; Jahnsen, J.; Lygren, I.; Stray, N.; Sauar, J.; Vatn, M.H.; Moum, B.; IBSEN Study Group. C-reactive protein: A predictive factor and marker of inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease: Results from a prospective population-based study. *Gut* **2008**, *57*, 1518–1523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Solem, C.A.; Loftus, E.V.; Tremaine, W.J.; Harmsen, W.S.; Zinsmeister, A.R.; Sandborn, W.J. Correlation of C-Reactive Protein With Clinical, Endoscopic, Histologic, and Radiographic Activity in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2005**, *11*, 707–712. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Bitton, A.; Peppercorn, M.A.; Antonioli, D.A.; Niles, J.L.; Shah, S.; Bousvaros, A.; Ransil, B.; Wild, G.; Cohen, A.; Edwardes, M.D.D.; et al. Clinical, biological, and histologic parameters as predictors of relapse in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* **2001**, *120*, 13–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Chang, S.; Malter, L.; Hudesman, D. Disease monitoring in inflammatory bowel disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2015**, *21*, 11246–11259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Scaioli, E.; Scagliarini, M.; Cardamone, C.; Liverani, E.; Ugolini, G.; Festi, D.; Bazzoli, F.; Belluzzi, A. Clinical application of faecal calprotectin in ulcerative colitis patients. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2015**, *27*, 1418–1424. [CrossRef]

34. Sipponen, T.; Kolho, K.-L. Fecal calprotectin in diagnosis and clinical assessment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **2014**, *50*, 74–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Theede, K.; Holck, S.; Ibsen, P.; Kallemose, T.; Nordgaard-Lassen, I.; Nielsen, A.M. Fecal Calprotectin Predicts Relapse and Histological Mucosal Healing in Ulcerative Colitis. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2016**, *22*, 1042–1048. [CrossRef]
36. Walsh, A.J.; Bryant, R.V.; Travis, S.P. Current best practice for disease activity assessment in IBD. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2016**, *13*, 567–579. [CrossRef]
37. Wright, E.K. Calprotectin or Lactoferrin: Do They Help. *Dig. Dis.* **2016**, *34*, 98–104. [CrossRef]
38. Amiriani, T.; Besharat, S.; Dadjou, M.; Roshandel, G.; Mirkarimi, H.; Salamat, F.; Joshaghani, H. Assessing the Correlation of Fecal Calprotectin and the Clinical Disease Activity Index in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterol. Nurs.* **2018**, *41*, 201–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Carlsen, K.; Riis, L.B.; Elsberg, H.; Maagaard, L.; Thorkilgaard, T.; Sørbye, S.W.; Jakobsen, C.; Wewer, V.; Florholmen, J.; Goll, R.; et al. The sensitivity of fecal calprotectin in predicting deep remission in ulcerative colitis. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *53*, 825–830. [CrossRef]
40. Fukunaga, S.; Kuwaki, K.; Mitsuyama, K.; Takedatsu, H.; Yoshioka, S.; Yamasaki, H.; Yamauchi, R.; Mori, A.; Kakuma, T.; Tsuruta, O.; et al. Detection of calprotectin in inflammatory bowel disease: Fecal and serum levels and immunohistochemical localization. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **2017**, *41*, 107–118. [CrossRef]
41. Hiraoka, S.; Inokuchi, T.; Nakarai, A.; Takashima, S.; Takei, D.; Sugihara, Y.; Takahara, M.; Harada, K.; Okada, H.; Kato, J. Fecal Immunochemical Test and Fecal Calprotectin Results Show Different Profiles in Disease Monitoring for Ulcerative Colitis. *Gut Liver* **2018**, *12*, 142–148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
42. Kedia, S.; Jain, S.; Goyal, S.; Bopanna, S.; Yadav, D.P.; Sachdev, V.; Sahni, P.; Pal, S.; Dash, N.R.; Makharia, G.; et al. Potential of Fecal Calprotectin as an Objective Marker to Discriminate Hospitalized Patients with Acute Severe Colitis from Outpatients with Less Severe Disease. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **2018**, *63*, 2747–2753. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Mumolo, M.G.; Bertani, L.; Ceccarelli, L.; Laino, G.; Di Fluri, G.; Albano, E.; Tapete, G.; Costa, F. From bench to bedside: Fecal calprotectin in inflammatory bowel diseases clinical setting. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *24*, 3681–3694. [CrossRef]
44. Rokkas, T.; Portincasa, P.; Koutroubakis, I.E. Fecal Calprotectin in Assessing Inflammatory Bowel Disease Endoscopic Activity: A Diagnostic Accuracy Meta-analysis. *J. Gastrointest. Liver Dis.* **2018**, *27*, 299–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Battat, R.; Dulai, P.S.; Casteele, N.V.; Evans, E.; Hester, K.D.; Webster, E.; Jain, A.; Proudfoot, J.A.; Mairalles, A.; Neill, J.; et al. Biomarkers Are Associated With Clinical and Endoscopic Outcomes With Vedolizumab Treatment in Ulcerative Colitis. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2018**, *25*, 410–420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Walsh, A.; Kormilitzin, A.; Hinds, C.; Sexton, V.; Brain, O.; Keshav, S.; Uhlig, H.; Geddes, J.; Goodwin, G.; Peters, M.; et al. Defining Faecal Calprotectin Thresholds as a Surrogate for Endoscopic and Histological Disease Activity in Ulcerative Colitis—a Prospective Analysis. *J. Crohn's Colitis* **2018**, *13*, 424–430. [CrossRef]
47. Waugh, N.; Cummins, E.; Royle, P.; Kandala, N.-B.; Shyangdan, D.; Arasaradnam, R.; Clar, C.; Johnston, R. Faecal calprotectin testing for differentiating amongst inflammatory and non-inflammatory bowel diseases: Systematic review and economic evaluation. *Heal. Technol. Assess.* **2013**, *17*, 1–211. [CrossRef]
48. Røseth, A.G.; Schmidt, P.N.; Fagerhol, M.K. Correlation between faecal excretion of indium-111-labelled granulocytes and calprotectin, a granulocyte marker protein, in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **1999**, *34*, 50–54.
49. Gisbert, J.P.; McNicholl, A.G.; Gomollon, F. Questions and answers on the role of fecal lactoferrin as a biological marker in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 1746–1754. [CrossRef]
50. Ho, G.T.; Lee, H.M.; Brydon, G.; Ting, T.; Hare, N.; Drummond, H.; Shand, A.G.; Bartolo, D.C.; Wilson, R.G.; Dunlop, M.G.; et al. Fecal calprotectin predicts the clinical course of acute severe ulcerative colitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2009**, *104*, 673–678.
51. Jain, S.; Kedia, S.; Bopanna, S.; Sachdev, V.; Sahni, P.; Dash, N.R.; Pal, S.; Vishnubhatla, S.; Makharia, G.; Travis, S.P.L.; et al. Faecal Calprotectin and UCEIS Predict Short-term Outcomes in Acute Severe Colitis: Prospective Cohort Study. *J. Crohn's Colitis* **2017**, *11*, 1309–1316. [CrossRef]
52. Wu, H.-M.; Wei, J.; Li, J.; Wang, K.; Ye, L.; Qi, Y.; Yuan, B.-S.; Yang, Y.-L.; Zhao, L.; Yang, Z.; et al. Serum Procalcitonin as a Potential Early Predictor of Short-Term Outcomes in Acute Severe Ulcerative Colitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **2019**, *64*, 3263–3273. [CrossRef]
53. Donato, R. Intracellular and extracellular roles of S100 proteins. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* **2003**, *60*, 540–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
54. Ye, F.; Foell, D.; Hirono, K.-I.; Vogl, T.; Rui, C.; Yu, X.; Watanabe, S.; Watanabe, K.; Uese, K.-I.; Hashimoto, I.; et al. Neutrophil-derived S100A12 is profoundly upregulated in the early stage of acute Kawasaki disease. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2004**, *94*, 840–844. [CrossRef]
55. Kaiser, T.; Langhorst, J.; Wittkowski, H.; Becker, K.; Friedrich, A.W.; Rueffer, A.; Dobos, G.J.; Roth, J.; Foell, D. Faecal S100A12 as a non-invasive marker distinguishing inflammatory bowel disease from irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* **2007**, *56*, 1706–1713. [CrossRef]
56. Foell, D.; Kucharzik, T.; Kraft, M.; Vogl, T.; Sorg, C.; Domschke, W.; Roth, J. Neutrophil derived human S100A12 (EN-RAGE) is strongly expressed during chronic active inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* **2003**, *52*, 847–853. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
57. Van de Logt, F.; Day, A.S. S100A12: A noninvasive marker of inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Dig. Dis.* **2013**, *14*, 62–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Peterson, C.G.; Eklund, E.; Taha, Y.; Raab, Y.; Carlson, M. A new method for the quantification of neutrophil and eosinophil cationic proteins in feces: Establishment of normal levels and clinical application in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2002**, *97*, 1755–1762. [CrossRef]

59. Masoodi, I.; Kochhar, R.; Dutta, U.; Vaishnavi, C.; Prasad, K.K.; Vaiphei, K.; Hussain, S.; Singh, K. Evaluation of Fecal Myeloperoxidase as a Biomarker of Disease Activity and Severity in Ulcerative Colitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **2012**, *57*, 1336–1340. [CrossRef]

60. Peterson, C.G.B.; Lampinen, M.; Hansson, T.; Lidén, M.; Hällgren, R.; Carlson, M. Evaluation of biomarkers for ulcerative colitis comparing two sampling methods: Fecal markers reflect colorectal inflammation both macroscopically and on a cellular level. *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Investig.* **2016**, *76*, 393–401. [CrossRef]

61. Magro, F.; Gionchetti, P.; Eliakim, R.; Ardizzone, S.; Armuzzi, A.; Barreiro-de Acosta, M.; Burisch, J.; Gecse, K.B.; Hart, A.L.; Hindryckx, P.; et al. Third European Evidence-based Consensus on Diagnosis and Management of Ulcerative Colitis. Part 1: Definitions, Diagnosis, Extra-intestinal Manifestations, Pregnancy, Cancer Surveillance, Surgery, and Ileo-anal Pouch Disorders. *J. Crohn's Colitis* **2017**, *11*, 649–670. [CrossRef]

62. Reese, G.E.; Constantinides, V.A.; Simillis, C.; Darzi, A.W.; Orchard, T.R.; Fazio, V.W.; Tekkis, P.P. Diagnostic Precision of Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae Antibodies and Perinuclear Antineutrophil Cytoplasmic Antibodies in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2006**, *101*, 2410–2422. [CrossRef]

63. Prideaux, L.; De Cruz, P.; Ng, S.C.; Kamm, M.A. Serological Antibodies in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2012**, *18*, 1340–1355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Ferrante, M.; Henckaerts, L.; Joossens, M.; Pierik, M.; Dotan, N.; Norman, G.L.; Altstock, R.T.; Van Steen, K.; Rutgeerts, P.; Van Assche, G.; et al. New serological markers in inflammatory bowel disease are associated with complicated disease behaviour. *Gut* **2007**, *56*, 1394–1403. [CrossRef]

65. Papp, M.; Altorjay, I.; Dotan, N.; Palatka, K.; Foldi, I.; Tumpek, J.; Sipka, S.; Udvardy, M.; Dinya, T.; Lakatos, L.; et al. New Serological Markers for Inflammatory Bowel Disease Are Associated With Earlier Age at Onset, Complicated Disease Behavior, Risk for Surgery, and NOD2/CARD15 Genotype in a Hungarian IBD Cohort. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2008**, *103*, 665–681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Rieder, F.; Schleder, S.; Wolf, A.; Dirmeier, A.; Strauch, U.; Obermeier, F.; Lopez, R.; Spector, L.; Fire, E.; Yarden, J.; et al. Association of the novel serologic anti-glycan antibodies anti-laminarin and anti-chitin with complicated Crohn's disease behavior. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2010**, *16*, 263–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Simondi, D.; Mengozzi, G.; Betteto, S.; Bonardi, R.; Ghignone, R.P.; Fagoonee, S.; Pellicano, R.; Sguazzini, C.; Pagni, R.; Rizzetto, M.; et al. Antiglycan antibodies as serological markers in the differential diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2008**, *14*, 645–651. [CrossRef]

68. Joossens, S.; Colombel, J.-F.; Landers, C.; Poulain, D.; Geboes, K.; Bossuyt, X.; Targan, S.; Rutgeerts, P.; Reinisch, W. Anti-outer membrane of porin C and anti-I2 antibodies in indeterminate colitis. *Gut* **2006**, *55*, 1667–1669. [CrossRef]

69. Sutton, C.L.; Kim, J.; Yamane, A.; Dalwadi, H.; Wei, B.; Landers, C.; Targan, S.R.; Braun, J. Identification of a novel bacterial sequence associated with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* **2000**, *119*, 23–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Dotan, I.; Fishman, S.; Dgani, Y.; Schwartz, M.; Karban, A.; Lerner, A.; Weishauss, O.; Spector, L.; Shtevi, A.; Altstock, R.T.; et al. Antibodies Against Laminaribioside and Chitobioside Are Novel Serologic Markers in Crohn's Disease. *Gastroenterology* **2006**, *131*, 366–378. [CrossRef]

71. Schoepfer, A.M.; Schaffer, T.; Mueller, S.; Flogerzi, B.; Vassella, E.; Seibold-Schmid, B.; Seibold, F. Phenotypic associations of Crohn's disease with antibodies to flagellins A4-Fla2 and Fla-X, ASCA, p-ANCA, PAB, and NOD2 mutations in a Swiss Cohort. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 1358–1367. [CrossRef]

72. Kuwada, T.; Shiokawa, M.; Kodama, Y.; Ota, S.; Kakiuchi, N.; Nannya, Y.; Yamazaki, H.; Yoshida, H.; Nakamura, T.; Matsumoto, S.; et al. Identification of an Anti-Integrin αvβ6 Autoantibody in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* **2021**, *160*, 2383–2394. [CrossRef]

73. Joossens, S.; Reinisch, W.; Vermeire, S.; Sendid, B.; Poulain, D.; Peeters, M.; Geboes, K.; Bossuyt, X.; Vandewalle, P.; Oberhuber, G.; et al. The value of serologic markers in indeterminate colitis: A prospective follow-up study. *Gastroenterology* **2002**, *122*, 1242–1247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Zhou, F.; Xia, B.; Wang, F.; Shrestha, U.; Chen, M.; Wang, H.; Shi, X.; Chen, Z.; Li, J. The prevalence and diagnostic value of perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies and anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in mainland China. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2010**, *411*, 1461–1465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Jürgens, M.; Laubender, R.P.; Hartl, F.; Weidinger, M.; Seiderer, J.; Wagner, J.; Wetzke, M.; Beigel, F.; Pfennig, S.; Stallhofer, J.; et al. Disease Activity, ANCA, and IL23R Genotype Status Determine Early Response to Infliximab in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2010**, *105*, 1811–1819. [CrossRef]

76. Ferrante, M.; Vermeire, S.; Katsanos, K.H.; Noman, M.; Van Assche, G.; Schnitzler, F.; Arijs, I.; De Hertogh, G.; Hoffman, I.; Geboes, K.; et al. Predictors of early response to infliximab in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2007**, *13*, 123–128. [CrossRef]

77. Osterman, M.T.; Kundu, R.; Lichtenstein, G.R.; Lewis, J.D. Association of 6-Thioguanine Nucleotide Levels and Inflammatory Bowel Disease Activity: A Meta-Analysis. *Gastroenterology* **2006**, *130*, 1047–1053. [CrossRef]

78. Seow, C.H.; Newman, A.; Irwin, S.P.; Steinhart, A.H.; Silverberg, M.S.; Greenberg, G.R. Trough serum infliximab: A predictive factor of clinical outcome for infliximab treatment in acute ulcerative colitis. *Gut* **2010**, *59*, 49–54. [CrossRef]

79. Afif, W.; Loftus, E.; Faubion, W.A.; Kane, S.V.; Bruining, D.H.; Hanson, K.A.; Sandborn, W.J. Clinical Utility of Measuring Infliximab and Human Anti-Chimeric Antibody Concentrations in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2010**, *105*, 1133–1139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

80. Magnusson, M.; Strid, H.; Sapnara, M.; Lasson, A.; Bajor, A.; Ung, K.-A.; Öhman, L. Anti-TNF Therapy Response in Patients with Ulcerative Colitis Is Associated with Colonic Antimicrobial Peptide Expression and Microbiota Composition. *J. Crohn's Colitis* **2016**, *10*, 943–952. [CrossRef]

81. Wright, R.; Truelove, S.C. Circulating and tissue eosinophils in ulcerative colitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **1966**, *11*, 831–846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Benfield, G.F.; Asquith, P. Blood eosinophilia and ulcerative colitis–influence of ethnic origin. *Postgrad. Med. J.* **1986**, *62*, 1101–1105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Barrie, A.; El Mourabet, M.; Weyant, K.; Clarke, K.; Gajendran, M.; Rivers, C.; Park, S.Y.; Hartman, D.; Saul, M.; Regueiro, M.; et al. Recurrent Blood Eosinophilia in Ulcerative Colitis Is Associated with Severe Disease and Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* **2012**, *58*, 222–228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Sadi, G.; Yang, Q.; Dufault, B.; Stefanovici, C.; Stoffman, J.; El-Matary, W. Prevalence of Peripheral Eosinophilia at Diagnosis in Children With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **2016**, *62*, 573–576. [CrossRef]

85. Click, B.; Anderson, A.; Koutroubakis, I.E.; Rivers, C.R.; Babichenko, D.; Machicado, J.D.; Hartman, D.J.; Hashash, J.G.; Dunn, M.A.; Schwartz, M.; et al. Peripheral Eosinophilia in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease Defines an Aggressive Disease Phenotype. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **2017**, *112*, 1849–1858. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Snider, R.H.; Nylen, E.S.; Becker, K.L. Procalcitonin and its component peptides in systemic inflammation: Immunochemical characterization. *J. Investig. Med.* **1997**, *45*, 552–560.

87. Müller, B.; White, J.C.; Nylen, E.S.; Snider, R.H.; Becker, K.L.; Habener, J.F. Ubiquitous Expression of the Calcitonin-I Gene in Multiple Tissues in Response to Sepsis 1. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2001**, *86*, 396–404. [CrossRef]

88. Koido, S.; Ohkusa, T.; Takakura, K.; Odahara, S.; Tsukinaga, S.; Yukawa, T.; Mitobe, J.; Kajihara, M.; Uchiyama, K.; Arakawa, H.; et al. Clinical significance of serum procalcitonin in patients with ulcerative colitis. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2013**, *19*, 8335–8341. [CrossRef]

89. Don, B.R.; Kaysen, G. POOR NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND INFLAMMATION: Serum Albumin: Relationship to Inflammation and Nutrition. *Semin. Dial.* **2004**, *17*, 432–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

90. Gelbmann, C.M. Prediction of treatment refractoriness in ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease—Do we have reliable markers? *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2000**, *6*, 123–131. [CrossRef]

91. Kumar, S.; Ghoshal, U.C.; Aggarwal, R.; Saraswat, V.A.; Choudhuri, G. Severe ulcerative colitis: Prospective study of parameters determining outcome. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2004**, *19*, 1247–1252. [CrossRef]

92. Ho, G.T.; Mowat, C.; Goddard, C.J.R.; Fennell, J.M.; Shah, N.B.; Prescott, R.J.; Satsangi, J. Predicting the outcome of severe ulcerative colitis: Development of a novel risk score to aid early selection of patients for second-line medical therapy or surgery. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2004**, *19*, 1079–1087. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Khan, N.; Patel, D.; Shah, Y.; Trivedi, C.; Yang, Y.-X. Albumin as a prognostic marker for ulcerative colitis. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2017**, *23*, 8008–8016. [CrossRef]

94. Gibson, D.; Hartery, K.; Doherty, J.; Nolan, J.; Horgan, G.; Buckley, M.; Byrne, K.; Keegan, D.; Sheridan, J.; Mulcahy, H.E.; et al. Su1813 CRP/Albumin Ratio: A Novel Predictor of Early Colectomy in Acute Severe Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* **2016**, *150*, S560. [CrossRef]

95. Gibson, D.J.; Hartery, K.; Doherty, J.; Nolan, J.; Keegan, D.; Byrne, K.; Martin, S.T.; Buckley, M.; Sheridan, J.; Horgan, G.; et al. CRP/Albumin Ratio: An Early Predictor of Steroid Responsiveness in Acute Severe Ulcerative Colitis. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **2018**, *52*, e48–e52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Choy, M.C.; Seah, D.; Gorelik, A.; An, Y.-K.; Chen, C.-Y.; Macrae, F.A.; Sparrow, M.P.; Connell, W.R.; Moore, G.T.; Radford-Smith, G.; et al. Predicting response after infliximab salvage in acute severe ulcerative colitis. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2017**, *33*, 1347–1352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

97. Cho, K.H.; Jeong, M.H.; Ahmed, K.; Hachinohe, D.; Choi, H.S.; Chang, S.Y.; Kim, M.C.; Hwang, S.H.; Park, K.-H.; Lee, M.G.; et al. Value of Early Risk Stratification Using Hemoglobin Level and Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio in Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2011**, *107*, 849–856. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

98. Walsh, S.R.; Cook, E.J.; Goulder, F.; Justin, T.A.; Keeling, N.J. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic factor in colorectal cancer. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **2005**, *91*, 181–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Bowen, R.C.; Little, N.A.B.; Harmer, J.R.; Ma, J.; Mirabelli, L.G.; Roller, K.D.; Breivik, A.M.; Signor, E.; Miller, A.B.; Khong, H.T. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as prognostic indicator in gastrointestinal cancers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 32171–32189. [CrossRef]

100. Li, H.; Zhao, Y.; Zheng, F. Prognostic significance of elevated preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for patients with colorectal cancer undergoing curative surgery: A meta-analysis. *Medicine* **2019**, *98*, e14126. [CrossRef]

101. Gao, S.-Q.; Huang, L.-D.; Dai, R.-J.; Chen, N.-D.; Hu, W.-J.; Shan, Y.-F. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio: A controversial marker in predicting Crohn's disease severity. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **2015**, *8*, 14779–14785. [PubMed]

102. Torun, S.; Tunc, B.D.; Suvak, B.; Yildiz, H.; Tas, A.; Sayilir, A.; Ozderin, Y.O.; Beyazit, Y.; Kayacetin, E. Assessment of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in ulcerative colitis: A promising marker in predicting disease severity. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* **2012**, *36*, 491–497. [CrossRef]

103. Celikbilek, M.; Dogan, S.; Ozbakır, O.; Zararsız, G.; Kücük, H.; Gürsoy, S.; Yurci, A.; Güven, K.; Yücesoy, M. Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio as a Predictor of Disease Severity in Ulcerative Colitis. *J. Clin. Lab. Anal.* **2013**, *27*, 72–76. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Posul, E.; Yilmaz, B.; Aktas, G.; Kurt, M. Does neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predict active ulcerative colitis? *Wien. Klin. Wochenschr.* **2015**, *127*, 262–265. [CrossRef]

105. Nishida, Y.; Hosomi, S.; Yamagami, H.; Yukawa, T.; Otani, K.; Nagami, Y.; Tanaka, F.; Taira, K.; Kamata, N.; Tanigawa, T.; et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio for Predicting Loss of Response to Infliximab in Ulcerative Colitis. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0169845. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Nishida, Y.; Hosomi, S.; Yamagami, H.; Sugita, N.; Itani, S.; Yukawa, T.; Otani, K.; Nagami, Y.; Tanaka, F.; Taira, K.; et al. Pretreatment neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts clinical relapse of ulcerative colitis after tacrolimus induction. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0213505. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

107. Bertani, L.; Rossari, F.; Barberio, B.; Demarzo, M.G.; Tapete, G.; Albano, E.; Svizzero, G.B.; Ceccarelli, L.; Mumolo, M.G.; Brombin, C.; et al. Novel Prognostic Biomarkers of Mucosal Healing in Ulcerative Colitis Patients Treated With Anti-TNF: Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-to-Lymphocyte Ratio. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2020**, *26*, 1579–1587. [CrossRef]