#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

# Generation and characterization of *fruitless* P1 promoter mutant in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Megan C. Neville (), Alexander Eastwood, Aaron M. Allen, Ammerins de Haan, Tetsuya Nojima and Stephen F. Goodwin ()

University of Oxford, Centre for Neural Circuits & Behaviour, Oxford, UK

#### ABSTRACT

The identification of mutations in the gene *fruitless* (*fru*) paved the way for understanding the genetic basis of male sexual behavior in the vinegar fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. *D. melanogaster* males perform an elaborate courtship display to the female, ultimately leading to copulation. Mutations in *fru* have been shown to disrupt most aspects of the male's behavioral display, rendering males behaviorally sterile. The *fru* genomic locus encodes for multiple transcription factor isoforms from several promoters; only those under the regulation of the most distal P1 promoter are under the control of the sex determination hierarchy and play a role in male-specific behaviors. In this study, we used CRISPR/ Cas9-based targeted genome editing of the *fru* gene, to remove the P1 promoter region. We have shown that removal of the P1 promoter leads to a dramatic decrease in male courtship displays towards females and male-specific sterility. We have expanded the analysis of *fru* P1-dependent behaviors, examining male's response to courtship song and general activity levels during12-hour light: dark cycles. Our novel allele expands the mutant repertoire available for future studies of *fru* P1-derived function in *D. melanogaster*. Our *fru*<sup>ΔP1</sup> mutant will be useful for future studies of *fru* P1-derived function, as it can be homozygosed without disrupting additional downstream promoter function and can be utilized in heterozygous combinations with other extant *fru* alleles.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 22 December 2020 Accepted 13 May 2021

#### **KEYWORDS**

Drosophila; fruitless; mutant; courtship behavior; CRISPR/Cas9-based targeted genome editing

#### Introduction

In 1963, Kulbir Gill published a small research note in Drosophila Information Service entitled 'A mutation causing abnormal courtship and mating behavior in males of Drosophila melanogaster'. While looking for male-sterile mutations in D. melanogaster by a forward genetic screen, he isolated an X-ray-induced recessive mutation located on the third chromosome (Gill, 1963). The effects of the mutation were male-specific, in that homozygous mutant females showed no noticeable phenotypic or behavioral differences from wild-type (Hall, 1978). Homozygous mutant males, however, exhibited several overt differences from normal male courtship behavior: they did not curl their abdomens at females to attempt copulation and were considered behaviorally sterile, in addition, they courted other mutant males and wild-type males (Hall, 1978). The mutation was given the moniker *fruitless* (*fru*), which seems fitting as mutant males will father no offspring. The identification of this first mutant allele  $(fru^1)$  paved the way for understanding the genetic basis of male sexual behavior in the vinegar fly D. melanogaster.

Fast forward 20 years, the Wasserman lab, looking for single *P*-element insertion mutants which cause male

sterility, again identified mutations which caused males to court other males, and mapped to the fru locus (Castrillon et al., 1993). These new alleles of fru ( $fru^3$  and  $fru^4$ ) made it possible to clone the gene through complementation analysis of mapped deficiencies with these alleles, followed by a chromosomal walk (Ryner et al., 1996). A contemporary Pelement insertion screen, in the Yamamoto lab, focused on identifying behaviorally sterile males, uncovered a different allele of fru (fru<sup>sat</sup>) which enabled concurrent cloning of the fru gene (Ito et al., 1996). Collectively these studies unearthed the molecular secrets of the gene, characterizing fru DNA and mRNA sequences and determined that it encoded a member of the BTB-Zn-finger family of transcriptional regulators (Siggs & Beutler, 2012). This new collection of fru alleles contributed to our initial understanding of how fru regulated courtship from the behavioral analysis of males and females carrying mutations at the locus (Villella et al., 1997).

Sex in *Drosophila* is governed by the sex determination hierarchy (SDH), where the presence of two X chromosomes sets into motion a sex-specific alternative splicing cascade, leading to male and female sexual differentiation (**reviewed in** Cline & Meyer, 1996). The molecular identification and characterization of fru revealed a complex locus with

CONTACT Megan C. Neville 🖾 megan.goodwin@cncb.ox.ac.uk 💽 University of Oxford, Centre for Neural Circuits & Behaviour, Oxford, UK 🕒 Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

multiple promoters, some of which were essential for viability in both sexes (**reviewed in** Billeter, Rideout, Dornan, & Goodwin, 2006a). The most distal promoter, defined as P1, sits approximately 140 Kb upstream of the general coding region. Transcripts from the P1 promoter, undergo sex-specific alternative splicing and encode the male-specific  $Fru^{M}$ proteins (Billeter *et al.*, 2006b). These putative transcription factors, containing one of three alternative  $C_2H_2$  zinc-finger DNA binding domains, determine many of the neuronal substrates for sexual behaviour in the male central nervous system (CNS) (Neville *et al.*, 2014; Von Philipsborn *et al.*, 2014).

While forward genetic screens remain one of the most powerful tools to study biological pathways in Drosophila, the development of reverse genetic approaches, like homologous recombination, permitted the generation or rescue of mutations in genes for which a DNA clone or sequence was available (Rong & Golic, 2000). In 2005, the Dickson lab used homologous recombination to introduce mutations in the fru locus which altered the ability of fru P1 transcripts to be sex-specifically spliced, forcing Fru<sup>M</sup> expression in females; these females had been masculinized by Fru<sup>M</sup> and were able to display many male pre-copulatory courtship behaviors (Demir & Dickson, 2005). Such reverse genetic approaches became more accessible with the advent of CRISPR/Cas-9 technologies, enabling genomic engineering of precise mutations in D. melanogaster with relative ease (Bassett, Tibbit, Ponting, & Liu, 2013; Gratz et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2013).

In this study, we add to the range of fru alleles by generating a deletion of a region spanning the fru P1 core promoter via CRISPR/Cas-9 technology, producing a novel allele,  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ . We show that  $\operatorname{Fru}^{M}$  expression is undetectable in our allele. Homozygous mutant males exhibit drastically reduced levels of courtship behavior towards females and are behaviourally sterile. We extended our analysis to examine for the first time the effects of fru P1 promoter loss on male activity and their ability to respond to courtship song stimuli. Our  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  allele will be useful to the broader scientific community studying the role fru plays in defining the male nervous system.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### Targeted removal of fruitless P1 promoter sequences

CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing was used to remove P1 promoter (first exon) sequences in the *fru* locus generating line *fru*<sup> $\Delta P1$ </sup>. Two fragments with homology to the *fru* genomic regions on either side of the P1 promoter were cloned using Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs) with primers for 5' Fragment: G1\_5f and G1\_5r and 3' Fragment: G1\_3f and G1\_3r (Table S1), into the targeting vector pDsRed-attP (a gift from Melissa Harrison & Kate O'Connor-Giles & Jill Wildonger; Addgene plasmid # 51019) digested with *XhoI* and *NotI*. gRNA expressing constructs pCFD3-Fru5\_1 and pCFD3-Fru3\_3 were generated in the vector pCFD3-dU6:3gRNA (a gift from Simon Bullock; Addgene plasmid #49410) against target regions Fru5\_1 and Fru3\_3. Constructs were co-injected into the strain vas-Cas9.RFP- (Bloomington stock #55821), progeny were screened for *DsRed*<sup>+</sup> expression in the eye. Seven lines were identified, all of which mapped to the 3rd chromosome. PCR and sequencing were used to confirm the deletion of P1 sequences. *DsRed* was removed from two independently isolated lines ( $fru^{\Delta P1.1}$  and  $fru^{\Delta P1.2}$ ) by crossing to a Cre recombinase constitutively expressing line (Bloomington stock #851).

#### **RT-PCR** analysis

RNA was extracted from four biological replicates of Canton S and  $fru^{\Delta P_I}$  whole adult flies (n = 10 flies per extract) using TRIzol<sup>TM</sup> (ThermoFisher, cat# 15596026) following the manufacturer's directions. cDNA was generated with the SuperScript<sup>TM</sup> III First-Strand Synthesis System (ThermoFisher, cat# 18080051) following the manufacturer's directions, with 1 µg input RNA and primed with oligo(dT). Primer sequences were designed with Primer3 in Geneious 8.1.7 software (Kearse et al., 2012). Potential for off-target priming was evaluated with Primer-Blast (Ye et al., 2012). Amplicon secondary structure was evaluated with MFold web tool (Zuker, 2003). Quantitative PCR was carried out using the Roche<sup>TM</sup> LightCycler® 480 with the LightCycler® 480 SYBR Green I Master kit (cat# 04707516001). Cycling conditions were performed following manufacturer's recommendations with 60 °C annealing temperature for 15 s, and a 15 s extension time. Data analysis was performed with gbase + software, version 3.2 (Biogazelle, Zwijnaarde, Belgium www.qbaseplus.com). Primer efficiencies were calculated from amplification of serial dilutions of a pooled cDNA template. Four technical replicates were run for each biological replicate across each primer set. Technical replicates with a difference in Cq value of greater than 0.5 were discarded. A panel of potential reference genes was selected based on previous studies (Ling & Salvaterra, 2011; Ponton, Chapuis, Pernice, Sword, & Simpson, 2011). Reference gene stability was evaluated with geNorm (Vandesompele, De Paepe, & Speleman, 2002). Reference genes with an M-value of greater than 0.2 or a coefficient of variation (CV) of greater than 0.2 were discarded. This yielded 4 reference genes (14–3-3 $\varepsilon$ , *RpL32*, *Su*(*Tpl*), and *eIF1A*) with a combined M-value of 0.181 and a CV of 0.083. Expression of  $fru^{M}$  was then normalized using multi-gene normalization (Hellemans, Mortier, De Paepe, Speleman, & Vandesompele, 2007). Sample were collected and processed following abbreviated MIQE recommendations (Taylor, Wakem, Dijkman, Alsarraj, & Nguyen, 2010).

#### **Courtship behavior**

Flies were raised at  $25 \,^{\circ}$ C in a 12h:12h light: dark cycle. Individual virgin males were collected and aged for 5–7 days post-eclosion while virgin females were aged for 3–5 days post-eclosion at  $25 \,^{\circ}$ C and assays were carried out at  $25 \,^{\circ}$ C within 1–4h of the commencement of the light cycle. To score courtship, individual naïve males were introduced into a round chamber (19 mm diameter  $\times$  4 mm height) with an individual wild-type *Canton S* female. The following parameters were measured during a 60 min observation period: courtship initiation (first bout lasting over 3 s that included two or more behavioral displays including following, tapping, wing extension, licking, and attempted copulation), time to copulation (in minutes), copulation success (% copulating in 1 h), and courtship index.

% Fertility is the proportion of females that produce viable progeny. Males and females tested for fertility were collected at eclosion, stored in groups of 3–5 and aged for 5 days. They were then introduced individually into food vials containing three wild-type virgin females or males aged 3–5 days. All vials were scored for presence of larval progeny after 10 days. Vials containing a dead experimental male or female were discounted.

#### Male song analysis

For recording song, experimental male flies were paired with a wild-type female in cylindrical courtship chambers with a diameter of 10 mm and a height of 4 mm. Sound was recorded with a CMP5247TF-K microphone in an Insectavox (Gorczyca & Hall, 1987). Recordings were analyzed using the MATLAB toolbox FlySongSegmenter (Arthur, Sunayama-Morita, Coen, Murthy, & Stern, 2013). Sine song was excluded from our analysis sine song detection in  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  mutant males using FlySongSegmenter was inconclusive. Each assay was performed within 3 h of the commencement of the light cycle.

#### Song playback male chaining assay

Our chaining protocol was carried out as described in Inagaki, Kamikouchi, and Ito (2010). Virgin males were collected within six hours post-eclosion and muted via cutting of both wings rostral to the anterior crossvein. Subjects were then housed in groups of six to eight animals of the same genotype in Perspex vials containing food for 3-7 days. For each assay, six age-matched subjects of the same genotype were transferred into a single rectangular-shaped behavioural chamber (Plexiglass: 50 mm x 10 mm x 6 mm). Four chambers were loaded with different genotypes and recorded simultaneously. Each assay was performed within 3 h of the commencement of the light cycle in an acoustically-attenuated experimental room at 25 °C, between 40-50% relative humidity. Playback of auditory stimuli was delivered from a loudspeaker (Mach sub bass speaker) positioned 10 cm away from the chamber set. As we use naturalistic playback stimuli the amplitude within playback varies between 85 and 100 dB, which was controlled using a CEL-246 sound-level meter. We used an EP-800 amplifier, which was connected to a creative sound blaster X-Fi Xtreme audio PCI sound card in an OptiPlex 3020 mini tower PC. Windows Media Player (version 12.0.7601.19148; default settings) was used to control playback. The chamber set was backlit from underneath using an LED light box (ComicMaster Tracer LED-A4, Too Marker Products, Japan) in order to maximise

contrast for visualisation of behavioural interactions. Flies were observed for 5-min in the absence of any sound, and then for a subsequent 5-min in the presence of an acoustic stimulus. Behaviour was recorded by video using a monochrome digital camera (Stingray F-033C camera) NI PCIe-8253, IEEE 1394b Board with Vision Acquisition using its zoom lens (Lametar 2.8/25 mm, Jenoptik GmbH, Jena, Germany). Each video file was sampled at 1 frame per second. Chaining indices were generated by analysis with 'ChaIN' automated tracking software as previously described by Yoon *et al.* (2013).

#### Male locomotor analysis

Virgin male flies were collected within six hours post-eclosion and housed in vials of six to eight flies of the same genotype for 3 days prior to being loaded into glass tubes (6 mm x 0.5 mm) which contained 1 cm of agar food. Flies were placed in incubators at  $25 \,^{\circ}$ C in a 12-h light: dark cycle. Flies were first allowed to habituate to the single-housing condition and apparatus for two days. On day 3–5 locomotor activity was assessed using *Drosophila* Activity Monitors (Trikinetics) that recorded interception of an infrared beam halfway along the tube. This registration of a beam break was used as a proxy for activity. Subjects that did not register beam breaks throughout this period were deemed to have died and were excluded from analysis.

#### **Statistics**

All statistical analyses were carried out using Prims 8.4.3.

#### Immunohistochemistry and image analysis

Adult brain dissection and staining were carried out as described previously (Lee *et al.*, 2000). Using a primary antibody solution of rabbit anti-Fru<sup>M</sup> (1:500) for 24 h at  $4^{\circ}$ C. After washes, tissues were stained in a secondary antibody solution containing 1:500 of an anti-Rabbit secondary Alexa 488, (1:500 Invitrogen).

#### Results

## Generation of P1 promoter deletion in the fruitless locus

Our intension was to disrupt the core P1 promoter region in *fruitless* since the SDH regulates only transcripts from this promoter. We first examined the transcriptional start site (TSS) of the P1 promoter between closely related *Drosophila* species (Figure S1). We found the TSS sequence annotated in Flybase matches the Initiator (Inr) motif consensus associated with 'sharp' initiation (Bhardwaj, Semplicio, Erdogdu, Manke, & Akhtar, 2019), common in adult tissue-specific genes and terminally differentiated cellspecific genes (Haberle & Stark, 2018). Examination of male and female head Cap Analysis Gene Expression (CAGE) data, available through ModEncode (modENCODE CAGE),



**Figure 1.** Generation of novel  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  mutant. (A) Schematic depicting the generation of *fruitless* P1 core promoter deletion. The P1 promoter was replaced by *DsRed* sequences flanked by *loxP* recombination sites using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing, resulting in the P1 deletion line  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ . (B) Immunohistochemistry analysis of Fru<sup>M</sup> protein expression in  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  homozygous males and wild-type control males. Adult Brain (anterior view, dorsal up) Scale bars represent 50 µm. (C) RT-PCR of  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ . Schematic of the *fru* locus (above), exons are grey with CDS in black, the P1 exon shown in orange and primers (fruM160-F and fruM160-R) used for qPCR in black. Magnified region of exon 1 (below, left), with primer binding sites annotated above the exon, and the deletion within the exon annotated. End-point RT-PCR of  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  using the four primers shown on the left, with reverse primer fruM160-R shown above. The forward primers are marked above the gel image and the genotypes are marked below the gel image. Note the fruM7-1F primer binds to the region of *fru* P1 exon remaining in  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ . The genotypes are separated by loaders with size markings on the right. (Bottom, right) RT-qPCR of *fru* P1 mRNA (using primers fruM160-F, and fruM160-R), and normalized using delta-delta-Ct to 4 separate reference genes. The bars represent the mean of the four biological replicates which are overlaid (\*\*\*p < 0.001).

reinforced sharp initiation near this TSS in both sexes. Species comparisons identified a downstream promoter element (DPE; Figure S1) and a lack of an exact TATA-binding sequence upstream of the TSS. The *fru* P1 core promoter appears to be what is classified as a type-2 promoter by modENCODE, containing an Inr and DPE, with no recognisable TATA-box region (Chen *et al.*, 2014).

To generate a *fru* P1 promoter deletion we adopted the CRISPR-homology directed gene targeting approach (Gratz *et al.*, 2014). We targeted a 1.4 Kb region of the *fru* locus for removal, which extended from approximately 1 Kb upstream of the TSS, removing sequences beyond the core promoter, to 400 bp downstream of the TSS, just before the end of the first P1 exon (Figure 1(A)). Initially, we used the red fluorescent eye marker *DsRed* to screen for successful recombination events, generating  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  (*Ds*+) alleles. Using Cre recombinase, we removed the Lox-flanked DsRed marker to generate  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  alleles (Siegal & Hartl, 1996). We back-crossed these alleles for eight generations into a wild-type *Canton S* genetic background before further analysis.

We initially molecularly characterized putative  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ alleles to verify recovery of the intended genome

modification (see methods). Bona fide  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  alleles were tested with immunohistochemistry analyses to examine the expression of the male-specific Fru<sup>M</sup> protein generated from mRNA transcripts derived from the P1 promoter in the brain (Lee & Hall, 2001). We presumed that  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  homozygous adult males would lack detectable Fru<sup>M</sup>, and indeed males homozygous for the  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  allele, in comparison to Canton S (+/+) controls, were null for Fru<sup>M</sup> immunostaining (Figure 1(B)). We next examined the expression of RNA transcripts associated with the P1 promoter region in  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ homozygous adult males and control Canton S males.  $fru^{\Delta PI}$ males did not show any amplification of fru products from the deleted region, while control Canton S (CS) males showed amplification of expected RNA products. As a small portion of the first P1 exon was not deleted in the  $fru^{\Delta P1}$ allele, we surprisingly found amplification of RNA transcripts containing this portion of the exon that successfully spliced into the next downstream fru exon (M71-F in Figure 1(C)). We next quantified the transcription levels of these detected RNA transcripts using RT-qPCR, and found an 83% reduction of RNA transcript levels in  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  homozygous whole fly RNA extracts (P1 mRNA in Figure 1(C)).

These data show that although an extended region including the TSS and core promoter region of P1 had been deleted, transcription was not abolished, however as whole flies were used for these analyses the cell/tissue specificity of this transcription is unclear. Our lack of anti-Fru<sup>M</sup> antibody expression in the brain suggests that protein levels in this tissue are either below the level of detection or are found in other tissues outside the brain.

### Courtship behavioural defects of $fru^{\Delta P1}$ mutant males

To examine the effect of losing core promoter-driven expression of fru P1 derived mRNA transcripts, the interactions of males carrying the  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutation with control females were studied. When placed with a female,  $fru^{\Delta PI}$ mutant males displayed severe behavioral deficiencies: mutant males take over six times as long to initiate courtship (Figure 2(A)), and once initiated, the levels of courtship displayed (Courtship Index) were approximately 80 times lower than control males (Figure 2(B)). During the 1-h observation period,  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  homozygous mutant males never copulated (Figure 2(C)), rendering them behaviorally sterile, the defining phenotype of initial studies of mutants at the fru locus (Hall, 1978). Over a week, mutant male sterility was indisputable, whereas females homozygous for the  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  allele were fertile (Figure 2(D)). We note that  $fru^{\Delta PI}$ / + heterozygous males behaved as Canton S (+/+) controls; therefore, no dominant phenotypes were observed (Figure 1(A-C)). We confirmed that males heterozygous for two independently isolated  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  mutant alleles  $(fru^{\Delta P1.1}/$  $fru^{\Delta \tilde{P}1.2}$ ) displayed the same mutant phenotype as the homozygous allele  $(fru^{\Delta P1.1}/fru^{\Delta P1.1})$  (Figure 1(A–C)).

Previous studies have observed robust fru mutant malemale courtship which emerges by grouping mutant males over several days, where males court other males, while also being courted themselves, thereby forming courtship chains (Hall, 1978; Villella et al., 1997). We grouped 3-day old control, heterozygous or homozygous  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males on food and examined chaining behavior after four days (Figure 2(E)). We quantified a grouped chaining index, based on the percent of time three of more males formed chains over a 10min observation period. We found that males homozygous for  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant alleles showed robust levels of male-male chaining, with at least one chain of 3 or more males observed over 80% of the 10 min (Figure 2(E)). We demonstrated that the removal of the fru P1 promoter region is sufficient to induce robust levels of male-male courtship when grouphoused, while single male-female pairings showed significantly reduced levels of male courtship behaviour.

## ${\rm Fru}^{\Delta {\rm P1}}$ mutant males produce low levels of defective song

We examined the ability of  $fru^{\Delta P_I}$  mutant males to produce courtship song when paired with a female. Song recordings were analysed using FlySongSegmenter (Arthur *et al.*, 2013). We found that the  $fru^{\Delta P_I}$  + heterozygous control male song appeared normal, while  $fru^{\Delta P_I}$  mutant males produced low levels of pulse song with approximately a quarter the number of pulse bouts per minute, with the duration of pulse bouts significantly shorter (Figure 3(A,B)).  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males generated a significantly higher number of wave cycles per pulse (Figure 3(C)); the unusual form of the pulse shape is apparent when looking at the traces of the pulses themselves (Figure 3(F)). Interestingly, one of the two key species-specific parameters associated with the song, time between pulses or interpulse intervals (IPI) was not significantly different from the  $fru^{\Delta P1}/+$  heterozygous control (Figure 3(D)); however, the broad range of IPIs observed shows this parameter is highly variable in the  $fru^{\Delta P_1}$  mutant males. In contrast, the other species-specific parameter, pulse frequency, was significantly longer and highly variable (Figure 3(E)). We did not examine Sine song as although it was clearly detected in control male song, we could not reliably distinguish it from background signals in  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant male song using FlySongSegmenter. Overall our data confirm the long-established role of fru P1 derived transcripts in the production of robust male courtship song.

## $Fru^{\Delta P1}$ mutant response to auditory stimuli song perception

Early studies investigating the role of male courtship song found that playing simulated courtship song to groups of males evoked male-male courtship behaviours (Von Schilcher, 1976). When courtship song was played to groups of muted males (amputated wings), they increased their locomotor activity and started courting each other, forming courtship chains. The role of fru in the perception of this courtship-relevant stimuli had not been investigated before.

To investigate the response of  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males to courtship song playback, muted males were introduced into a chamber where they were played courtship song (see materials and methods). Analysis of male-male chaining was automated using the software 'ChaIN' (Yoon et al., 2013), which generates a chain index based on the number of animals forming individual male-male chains per video frame (1 frame/second). During the initial 5-min of silence, both grouped  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  homozygous mutant males and *Canton S* (+/+) males exhibited low-levels of chaining, however,  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males displayed small but significantly higher levels (p < 0.01) (Figure 4(A), left). We next examined the response of  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  homozygous mutant males and wild-type males to song playback. We found song playback evoked robust levels of chaining, with no significant differences in the levels between the genotypes (p = 0.61948) (Figure 4(A), right). These findings suggest that  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males can perceive courtship song and process this sensory information to produce the same motor output response as wild-type males in this assay.

### Locomotor activity of $fru^{\Delta P1}$ mutant males

To establish if any of the  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant male phenotypes are due to general defects in their locomotor activity, we set out to establish the general activity of these males over 3-days in a 12:12 h light: dark cycle. Individual  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males,



**Figure 2.** Courtship behaviour of  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  mutants. (A) Time to initiate. \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001 (Kruskal-Wallis and post-hoc Dunn's test). (B) Courtship indices. \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001 (Kruskal-Wallis and post-hoc Dunn's test). (C) Percentage of males mating within 1 hr. \*\*\*p < 0.0001 (Fisher's exact test). (D) Male and female fertility. Percent fertile  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  homozygous mutant and control males and females. \*\*\*p < 0.0001 (Fisher's exact test). (E) Grouped chaining indices is a measure of the percent of time three of more males formed chains over a 10-minute observation period. \*\*\*p < 0.0001 (Fisher's exact test). (A–C) All genotypes indicated are males; target females are *Canton S*. N = 16-18. Error bars indicate SEM. N = 20 in (D).

 $fru^{\Delta PI}/+$  heterozygous and Canton S (+/+) control males were observed (Figure 4(B)). We found that  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males over this observation period showed lower levels of activity, especially apparent was the differences in the magnitude of the peak of activity before lights-off (Figure 4(C)) left and right, respectively). We specifically examined the time of day during which our behavioural assays were carried out (ZT2-ZT4) to determine if any of our observed phenotypes were due to changes in locomotor activity. We found locomotion of  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant males was higher during this period compared to Canton S and  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  heterozygous mutant males (Figure 4(C) middle); therefore, lack of courtship displays during this period are not associated with a lack of locomotor activity; however, the increase in chaining observed during song playback assays may have been influenced by this difference in activity levels. Our results suggest that fru P1 derived products play a role in the gating of baseline locomotor activity, showing less variation in the peaks and troughs of activity over a 24-h period in light: dark conditions when compared to controls.

#### Discussion

Our *fru* P1 promoter deletion is the first allele specifically targeting transcription initiation of sex-specifically spliced

transcripts from the fru locus. Historically various fru mutant alleles and heterozygous deficiency combinations were utilized to disrupt fru P1 function specifically, without also affecting other *fru* promoters, some of which are essential for viability (Anand et al., 2001; Ito et al., 1996; Ryner et al., 1996; Villella et al., 1997). Genetically engineered alleles have primarily focused on sex-specific splicing of the second exon of P1 derived transcripts, targeting the malespecific translation initiation codon (Manoli et al., 2005; Mellert, Knapp, Manoli, Meissner, & Baker, 2010; Stockinger, Kvitsiani, Rotkopf, Tirián, & Dickson, 2005). We and others, however, have found that targeting this region can cause exon-skipping, which leads to expression of P1 derived protein in both males and females that lack the male-specific N-terminus encoded in the skipped exon (M. Neville unpublished observation; Manoli et al., 2005; Ferri, Bohm, Lincicome, Hall, & Villella, 2008). Our novel  $fru^{\Delta PI}$  mutant, targeted to the promoter region, will be useful for future studies of fru P1-derived function, as it can be homozygosed without disrupting downstream promoters, and can be used in heterozygous combinations with other extant fru alleles.

A core promoter is a complex DNA element that facilitates the recruitment of the basal transcriptional machinery, including RNA polymerase II (PolII), to the TSS (Vo Ngoc,



**Figure 3.**  $fru^{\Delta P_1}$  mutant analysis of male courtship song. (A) Pulse Bouts Per Minute. (Mann-Whitney test). (B) Average Duration of bouts (sec). (Mann-Whitney test). (C) Number of cycles per pulse. (Nested *t*-test based on genotype). (D) Interpulse interval in ms. (Nested *t*-test based on genotype). (E) Pulse frequency in Hz. (Nested *t*-test based on genotype). (F) Examples of pulse song trains in  $fru^{\Delta P_1}$  mutants. (A–C) and (E) Boxplots of individual flies, median with interquartile range indicated by box, whiskers represent 5 and 95 percentiles. (D) Scatter dot plot with median and SEM. (A–E) \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001, ns = not significant. All genotypes indicated are males; target females are wild-type *Canton S*. N = 9 flies recorded.

Kassavetis, & Kadonaga, 2019). Regulatory factors which bind enhancers can distinguish between different promoters, at least in part, through interactions with general transcription factors recruited by core promoter elements, such as the DPE found downstream of the fru P1 TSS (Vo Ngoc et al., 2019). Enhancer-promoter specific DPE interactions are crucial to ensuring precise spatial and temporal gene regulation of key developmental genes, including the homeotic (Hox) genes in Drosophila (Juven-Gershon, Hsu, & Kadonaga, 2008). The traditional view of enhancer-core promoter control on gene expression has been challenged, as many transcripts initiate outside of the classic promoter region, including from within enhancers themselves (Haberle & Stark, 2018). The initiation of transcripts in the absence of 1.4 Kb fru P1 promoter region shows that transcription can initiate in the absence of these sequences,

perhaps through initiation events at upstream enhancers (Rennie *et al.*, 2018). Our finding makes it difficult to precisely determine which sequences need to be deleted from the *fru* locus to abolish all P1 derived transcripts. Extending our current deletion to include the entire first exon would unlikely decrease transcription initiation; any transcripts made would be missing the splice donor site and thus presumably be unable to splice into downstream exons, how this would affect the further processing of these transcripts would need to be determined. Future investigations will be necessary to determine how all *fru* P1-driven expression can be fully removed; these findings should be taken into consideration when engineering mutations designed to disrupt *fru* P1-driven transcription in related *Drosophila* species.

The most overt and essential phenotype associated with *fru* sex-specific expression is the inability to copulate, as it is



**Figure 4.**  $fru^{\Delta P1}$  males' general activity and response to courtship song. (A) Distributions of chaining behaviour exhibited by males during 5-minute silence and 5-minute playback of male courtship song. Violin plots show distribution of data about the mean (plus sign) and median (centre line of box and whisker plot overlay). \*p < 0.01 Wilcoxon signed-rank test; p < 0.0001 within genotypes when comparing song and song playback conditions. N(+/+)=29,  $N(fru^{\Delta P1.1}/fru^{\Delta P1.1})=21$ . (B) Male locomotor activity over 3 consecutive days in 12-hr light: dark (LD) cycle measured as the number of beam crosses in 1-hr intervals. A 2-way ANOVA found no significance based on genotype (p = 0.0929). (C) Male locomotor activity over 3 consecutive days in 12-hr light: dark cycle in total, during behavioural testing period (ZT2-ZT4) and the hour prior to lights-off (ZT12). A ordinary one-way ANOVA was performed, followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test. \*p < 0.01, \*\*\*\*p < 0.0001, ns = not significant. (B, C) Error bars indicate SEM. N(+/+)=40,  $N(+/fru^{\Delta P1.1})=38$ ,  $N(fru^{\Delta P1.1}/fru^{\Delta P1.1})=28$ .

this deficit that makes them behaviourally sterile and is the characteristic phenotype that led to its initial discovery (Hall, 1978). All fru alleles which significantly disrupt fru P1 function, including ours, are male sterile. A dichotomy has always existed when defining the role fru plays in male precopulatory courtship behaviors, in that many mutations which disrupt fru P1-derived expression result in a severe decrease in male courtship behavior towards females, while at the same time cause an increase in male courtship towards other males. The proposed role of *fru* as the master regulator of male courtship is less about the ability of males to court and more about the regulation of the stimulation to court along with the fine-tuning the motor outputs of the male's display, such as song production. The expression of Fru<sup>M</sup> in the nervous system confirms this role, as it is expressed in sensory and central processing neurons, some of which change their neurite morphologies and/or cell numbers depending on its expression (reviewed by Billeter et al., 2006a). Fru<sup>M</sup> expression does not act as a switch, which turns a female into a male nervous system; instead, it acts on a mostly unisex nervous system to enhance and finetune male-specific behavioral needs. Indeed, we have previously shown that the activation of only a small number of neurons in the female brain enables them to perform much of the male pre-copulatory courtship display (Rezával *et al.*, 2016).

We have shown for the first time in this study *fru* P1 mutant male responses to courtship song stimuli. It has been previously shown that the *fru*-expressing posterior neuronal cluster P1, a male-specific subset of the male pC1 cluster, can respond to male courtship song, as does the female pC1 cluster (Zhou *et al.*, 2015). The *fru*-P1neuronal cluster itself gates the male's response to song along with various courtship-relevant stimuli (Clowney, Iguchi, Bussell, Scheer, & Ruta, 2015; Kallman, Kim, & Scott, 2015; Kohatsu, Koganezawa, & Yamamoto, 2011). We have shown that in the absence of most *fru* P1-transcripts, males still show a robust response to song stimuli which translates into high levels of male-male courtship; therefore, the feminized *fru*<sup>ΔP1</sup> nervous system is not only capable of song perception

but also transducing this signal into motor output. This finding confirms that males are poised to display courtship behaviors in the absence of  $Fru^{M}$ , and can indeed do so with sufficient levels of stimulation.

In this study, we uncovered a novel male fru mutant phenotype when examining activity levels over a 12-h light: dark cycle. A previous study linked male mating drive to activity and sleep, both of which appear to decrease in the absence of fru P1 expression (Chen et al., 2017). Our study confirms a small cumulative decrease in activity over 24 h, however, our detailed analysis of activity over this period uncovered a dramatic decrease in rhythmic changes in activity in response to the light: dark cycle compared to controls, which show robust peaks and troughs of activity. Our novel allele will aid in follow-up experiments aimed at uncovering the basis of this phenotype, as it can be exploited to directly target fru P1-transcripts without necessitating complex allelic combinations which complicate the analysis of activity levels. We note that *fru* is known to be highly expressed in the visual system (Davis et al., 2020). Follow-up experiments should focus on examining any visual contributions to this phenotype as well as examine if sleep deprivation might also play a role. It will be interesting to directly link the observed changes in activity to male sexual behavior, including identifying the underlying neuronal circuity and the role fru plays in defining its function.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Birgit Brüggemeier and Seoho (Michael) Song for support with behavioural assays. We thank Andrew Bassett and Genome Engineering Oxford for analysis of CRISPR reagents. The authors thank current and former members of the Goodwin lab for many helpful discussions over the years.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

#### Funding

This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award to S.F.G [106189/Z/14/Z]. A.E was supported by a Msc Wellcome Trust grant.

#### ORCID

Megan C. Neville D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8506-9944 Stephen F. Goodwin D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0552-4140

#### References

- Anand, A., Villella, A., Ryner, L.C., Carlo, T., Goodwin, S.F., Song, H.J., ... Taylor, B.J. (2001). Molecular genetic dissection of the sexspecific and vital functions of the Drosophila melanogaster sex determination gene fruitless. *Genetics*, 158(4), 1569–1595. doi:10. 1093/genetics/158.4.1569
- Arthur, B.J., Sunayama-Morita, T., Coen, P., Murthy, M., & Stern, D.L. (2013). Multi-channel acoustic recording and automated analysis of

Drosophila courtship songs. BMC Biology, 11(1), 11 doi:10.1186/ 1741-7007-11-11

- Bassett, A. r., Tibbit, C., Ponting, C. P., & Liu, J. (2013). Highly efficient targeted mutagenesis of drosophila with the CRISPR/Cas9 System. *Cell Reports*, 4(1), 220–228. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.06.020
- Bhardwaj, V., Semplicio, G., Erdogdu, N.U., Manke, T., & Akhtar, A. (2019). MAPCap allows high-resolution detection and differential expression analysis of transcription start sites. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 3219. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11115-x
- Billeter, J.C., Rideout, E., Dornan, A., & Goodwin, S. (2006a). Control of male sexual behavior in Drosophila by the sex determination pathway. *Current Biology*, 16(17), R766–R776. doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2006.08.025
- Billeter, J.C., Villella, A., Allendorfer, J.B., Dornan, A.J., Richardson, M., Gailey, D.A., & Goodwin, S. (2006b). Isoform-specific control of male neuronal differentiation and behavior in Drosophila by the fruitless gene. *Current Biology*, 16(11), 1063–1076. doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2006.04.039
- Castrillon, D.H., Gönczy, P., Alexander, S., Rawson, R., Eberhart, C.G., Viswanathan, S., ... Wasserman, S.A. (1993). Toward a molecular genetic analysis of spermatogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster: characterization of male-sterile mutants generated by single P element mutagenesis. *Genetics*, 135(2), 489–505. doi:10.1093/genetics/135. 2.489
- Chen, D., Sitaraman, D., Chen, N., Jin, X., Han, C., Chen, J., ... Pan, Y. (2017). Genetic and neuronal mechanisms governing the sex-specific interaction between sleep and sexual behaviors in Drosophila. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), 154 doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00087-5
- Chen, Z.-X., Sturgill, D., Qu, J., Jiang, H., Park, S., Boley, N., ... Richards, S. (2014). Comparative validation of the D. melanogaster modENCODE transcriptome annotation. *Genome Research*, 24(7), 1209–1223. doi:10.1101/gr.159384.113
- Cline, T.W., & Meyer, B.J. (1996). Vive la différence: males vs females in flies vs worms. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 30, 637–702. doi:10. 1146/annurev.genet.30.1.637
- Clowney, E., Iguchi, S., Bussell, J. j., Scheer, E., & Ruta, V. (2015). Multimodal chemosensory circuits controlling male courtship in Drosophila. *Neuron*, 87(5), 1036–1049. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.07. 025
- Davis, F.P., Nern, A., Picard, S., Reiser, M.B., Rubin, G.M., Eddy, S.R., & Henry, G.L. (2020). A genetic, genomic, and computational resource for exploring neural circuit function. *eLife*, 9, e50901. doi: 10.7554/eLife.50901
- Demir, E., & Dickson, B.J. (2005). fruitless splicing specifies male courtship behavior in Drosophila. *Cell ( Cell)*, 121(5), 785–794. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.027
- Ferri, S.L., Bohm, R.A., Lincicome, H.E., Hall, J.C., & Villella, A. (2008). fruitless gene products truncated of their male-like qualities promote neural and behavioral maleness in Drosophila if these proteins are produced in the right places at the right times. *Journal of Neurogenetics*, 22(1), 17–55. doi:10.1080/01677060701671947
- Gill, K.A. (1963). A mutation causing abnormal courtship and mating behavior in males of Drosophila melanogaster. Drosophila Infor. Serv, 38(33)
- Gorczyca, M., & Hall, J.C. (1987). The INSECTAVOX, an integrated device for recording and amplifying courtship songs. *Drosophila Infor. Serv*, 66, 157–160.
- Gratz, S.J., Cummings, A.M., Nguyen, J.N., Hamm, D.C., Donohue, L.K., Harrison, M.M., ... O'Connor-Giles, K.M. (2013). Genome engineering of Drosophila with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Genetics*, 194(4), 1029–1035. doi:10.1534/genetics.113. 152710
- Gratz, S.J., Ukken, F.P., Rubinstein, C.D., Thiede, G., Donohue, L.K., Cummings, A.M., & O'Connor-Giles, K.M. (2014). Highly specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in Drosophila. *Genetics*, 196(4), 961–971. doi:10.1534/genetics.113. 160713
- Haberle, V., & Stark, A. (2018). Eukaryotic core promoters and the functional basis of transcription initiation. *Nature Reviews*.

Molecular Cell Biology, 19(10), 621-637. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0028-8

- Hall, J. (1978). Courtship among males due to a male-sterile mutation in Drosophila melanogaster. *Behavior Genetics*, 8(2), 125–141. doi: 10.1007/BF01066870
- Hellemans, J., Mortier, G., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., & Vandesompele, J. (2007). qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. *Genome Biology*, 8(2), R19 doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r19
- Inagaki, H.K., Kamikouchi, A., & Ito, K. (2010). Protocol for quantifying sound-sensing ability of Drosophila melanogaster. *Nat Protoc*, 5(1), 26–30. doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.206
- Ito, H., Fujitani, K., Usui, K., K, S., Tanaka, S., & Yamamoto, D. (1996). Sexual orientation in Drosophila is altered by the satori mutation in the sex-determination gene fruitless that encodes a zinc finger protein with a BTB domain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 93(18), 9687–9692. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.18.9687
- Juven-Gershon, T., Hsu, J., & Kadonaga, J.T. (2008). Caudal, a key developmental regulator, is a DPE-specific transcriptional factor. *Genes & Development*, 22(20), 2823–2830. doi:10.1101/gad.1698108
- Kallman, B.R., Kim, H., & Scott, K. (2015). Excitation and inhibition onto central courtship neurons biases Drosophila mate choice. *eLife*, 4(2015), e11188. doi:10.7554/eLife.11188
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., ... Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(12), 1647–1649. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Kohatsu, S., Koganezawa, M., & Yamamoto, D. (2011). Female contact activates male-specific interneurons that trigger stereotypic courtship behavior in Drosophila. *Neuron*, 69(3), 498–508. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.12.017
- Lee, G., Foss, M., Goodwin, S., Carlo, T., Taylor, B.J., & Hall, J.C. (2000). Spatial, temporal, and sexually dimorphic expression patterns of the fruitless gene in the Drosophila central nervous system. *Journal of Neurobiology*, 43(4), 404–426. doi:10.1002/1097-4695(20000615)43:4 < 404::aid-neu8 > 3.0.co; 2-d
- Lee, G., & Hall, J. (2001). Abnormalities of male-specific FRU protein and serotonin expression in the CNS of fruitless mutants in Drosophila. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 21(2), 513–526. doi:10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.21-02-00513.2001
- Ling, D., & Salvaterra, P.M. (2011). Robust RT-qPCR data normalization: validation and selection of internal reference genes during post-experimental data analysis (RT-qPCR Data Normalization). *PLoS One*, 6(3), e17762. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017762
- Manoli, D.S., Foss, M., Villella, A., Taylor, B.J., Hall, J.C., & Baker, B.S. (2005). Male-specific fruitless specifies the neural substrates of Drosophila courtship behaviour. *Nature*, 436(7049), 395–400. doi:10. 1038/nature03859
- Mellert, D.J., Knapp, J., Manoli, D.S., Meissner, G.W., & Baker, B.S. (2010). Midline crossing by gustatory receptor neuron axons is regulated by fruitless, doublesex and the Roundabout receptors. *Development*, 137(2), 323–332. doi:10.1242/dev.045047
- Neville, M.C., Nojima, T., Ashley, E., Parker, D.J., Walker, J., Southall, T., ... Goodwin, S.F. (2014). Male-specific fruitless isoforms target neurodevelopmental genes to specify a sexually dimorphic nervous system. *Current Biology*, 24(3), 229–241. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.11. 035
- Ponton, F., Chapuis, M., Pernice, M., Sword, G.A., & Simpson, S.J. (2011). Evaluation of potential reference genes for reverse transcription-qPCR studies of physiological responses in Drosophila melanogaster. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 57(6), 840–850. doi:10.1016/j. jinsphys.2011.03.014
- Rennie, S., Dalby, M., Lloret-Llinares, M., Bakoulis, S., Dalager Vaagensø, C., Heick Jensen, T., & Andersson, R. (2018).

Transcription start site analysis reveals widespread divergent transcription in D. melanogaster and core promoter-encoded enhancer activities. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(11), 5455–5469. doi:10.1093/ nar/gky244

- Rezával, C., Pattnaik, S., Pavlou, H.J., Nojima, T., Brüggemeier, B., D'Souza, L.A.D., ... Goodwin, S.F. (2016). Activation of Latent Courtship Circuitry in the Brain of Drosophila Females Induces Male-like Behaviors. *Current Biology*, 26(18), 2508–2515. doi:10. 1016/j.cub.2016.07.021
- Rong, Y.S., & Golic, K.G. (2000). Gene targeting by homologous recombination in Drosophila. *Science*, 288(5473), 2013–2018. doi:10. 1126/science.288.5473.2013
- Ryner, L.C., Goodwin, S.F., Castrillon, D.H., Anand, A., Villella, A., Baker, B.S., ... Wasserman, S.A. (1996). Control of male sexual behavior and sexual orientation in drosophila by the fruitless gene. *Cell (Cell)*, 87(6), 1079–1089. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81802-4
- Siegal, M.L., & Hartl, D.L. (1996). Transgene Coplacement and high efficiency site-specific recombination with the Cre/loxP system in Drosophila. *Genetics*, 144(2), 715–726. doi:10.1093/genetics/144.2.715
- Siggs, O., & Beutler, B. (2012). The BTB-ZF transcription factors. Cell Cycle (Cycle.), 11(18), 3358–3369. doi:10.4161/cc.21277
- Stockinger, P., Kvitsiani, D., Rotkopf, S., Tirián, L., & Dickson, B.J. (2005). Neural circuitry that governs drosophila male courtship behavior. *Cell*, 121(5), 795–807. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.04.026
- Taylor, S., Wakem, M., Dijkman, G., Alsarraj, M., & Nguyen, M. (2010). A practical approach to RT-qPCR-Publishing data that conform to the MIQE guidelines. *Methods*, 50(4), S1–S5. doi:10.1016/j. ymeth.2010.01.005
- Vandesompele, J., De Paepe, A., & Speleman, F. (2002). Elimination of primer-dimer artifacts and genomic coamplification using a two-step SYBR green I real time RT-PCR. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 303(1), 95–98. doi:10.1006/abio.2001.5564
- Villella, A., Gailey, D.A., Berwald, B., Ohshima, S., Barnes, P.T., & Hall, J.C. (1997). Extended reproductive roles of the fruitless gene in Drosophila melanogaster revealed by behavioral analysis of new fru mutants. *Genetics*, 147(3), 1107–1130. doi:10.1093/genetics/147.3. 1107
- Vo Ngoc, L., Kassavetis, G.A., & Kadonaga, J.T. (2019). The RNA polymerase II core promoter in Drosophila. *Genetics*, 212(1), 13–24. doi: 10.1534/genetics.119.302021
- Von Philipsborn, A. c., Jörchel, S., Tirian, L., Demir, E., Morita, T., Stern, D. l., & Dickson, B. j. (2014). Cellular and behavioral functions of fruitless isoforms in Drosophila courtship. *Current Biology*, 24(3), 242–251. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.12.015
- Von Schilcher, F. (1976). The role of auditory stimuli in the courtship of Drosophila melanogaster. *Animal Behaviour*, 24(1), 18–26. doi:10. 1016/S0003-3472(76)80095-4
- Ye, J., Coulouris, G., Zaretskaya, I., Cutcutache, I., Rozen, S., & Madden, T.L. (2012). Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 13, 134 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-134
- Yoon, J., Matsuo, E., Yamada, D., Mizuno, H., Morimoto, T., Miyakawa, H., ... Kamikouchi, A. (2013). Selectivity and Plasticity in a Sound-Evoked Male-Male Interaction in Drosophila.(Research Article). *PLoS One*, 8(9), e74289 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074289
- Yu, Z., Ren, M., Wang, Z., Zhang, B., Rong, Y.S., Jiao, R., & Gao, G. (2013). Highly efficient genome modifications mediated by CRISPR/ Cas9 in Drosophila. *Genetics*, 195(1), 289–291. doi:10.1534/genetics. 113.153825
- Zhou, C., Franconville, R., Vaughan, A.G., Robinett, C.C., Jayaraman, V., & Baker, B.S. (2015). Central neural circuitry mediating courtship song perception in male Drosophila. *eLife*, 4(2015) doi:10.7554/ eLife.08477
- Zuker, M. (2003). Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 31(13), 3406–3415. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg595