

Growth in extremely preterm children born in England in 1995 and 2006: the EPICure studies

Yanyan Ni (1), ^{1,2} Rebecca Lancaster, ³ Emmi Suonpera, ¹ Marialivia Bernardi, ¹ Amanda Fahy, ¹ Jennifer Larsen, ³ Jayne Trickett, ³ John R Hurst, ⁴ Dieter Wolke (1), ^{2,5} Samantha Johnson, ³ Neil Marlow (1)

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/archdischild-2020-321107).

¹EGA Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK ²Department of Psychology, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

³Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁴UCL Respiratory, University College London, London, UK ⁵Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Yanyan Ni, Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London WC1E 6AU, UK; yanyan.ni.13@ucl.ac.uk

Received 5 November 2020 Accepted 28 June 2021 Published Online First 13 July 2021

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Ni Y, Lancaster R, Suonpera E, *et al. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2022;**107**:F193–F200.

ABSTRACT

Objectives To determine growth outcomes at 11 years of age in children born <27 weeks of gestation in England in 2006 (EPICure2) and to compare growth from birth to 11 years of age for births<26 weeks with those in England in 1995 (EPICure).

Methods 200 EPICure2 children assessed at 11 years alongside 143 term-born controls. Growth measures from birth to 11 years were compared for births<26 weeks between EPICure2 (n=112) and EPICure (n=176). Growth parameter z-scores were derived from 1990 UK standards. **Results** Among EPICure2 children, mean z-scores for height and weight were close to the population standards (0.08 and 0.18 SD, respectively) but significantly below those of controls: difference in mean (Δ) z-scores for weight -0.42 SD (95% CI -0.68 to -0.17), for height -0.45 SD (-0.70 to -0.20) and for head circumference (HC) -1.05 SD (-1.35 to -0.75); mean body mass index (BMI) z-score in EPICure2 children was 0.18 SD, not significantly different from controls (0.43 SD, p=0.065). Compared with EPICure, EPICure2 children born <26 weeks at 11 years had higher z-scores for weight (Δ 0.72 (0.47, 0.96)), height $(\Delta 0.55 (0.29, 0.81))$ and BMI $(\Delta 0.56 (0.24, 0.87))$, which were not fully explained by perinatal/demographic differences between eras. Weight catch-up was greater from term-age to 2.5/3 years in EPICure2 than in EPICure (1.25 SD vs 0.53 SD; p<0.001). Poor HC growth was observed in EPICure2, unchanged from EPICure. **Conclusions** Since 1995, childhood growth in weight, height and BMI have improved for births <26 weeks of gestation, but there was no improvement in head growth.

INTRODUCTION

Poor growth attainment from infancy to early adulthood has been reported for children born extremely preterm (EP) in the 1990s in comparison with term-born peers.¹⁻⁴ However, information on growth outcomes is lacking for EP children born in the 2000s. Survival for EP babies has improved since 1995 in England⁵⁶ and in other countries.⁷⁻ Despite reports of trends towards improved neurodevelopmental outcomes during infancy and early childhood for EP babies born after the 1990s,^{10 11} as yet there is no evidence that early improvements are sustained in middle childhood. There are few reports of physical growth over time. We previously compared weight and head circumference (HC) for births <26 weeks' gestation in England and found no improvement in somatic or head growth

What is already known on this topic?

- Survival for extremely preterm babies has improved since 1995 in the UK.
- There was no improvement in physical growth from birth to full-term age between babies born <26 weeks of gestation in 1995 (EPICure) and 2006 (EPICure2).
- It is unclear whether long-term growth outcomes have changed.

What this study adds?

- Among EPICure2 children, mean z-scores for height and weight at 11 years were close to the UK 1990 population standards but significantly below those of controls.
- Despite advancing perinatal care since 1995, head growth has not improved for children born <26 weeks' gestation in 2006.
- Improvements were observed in weight, height and body mass index during infancy and middle childhood for births <26 weeks of gestation in 2006.

from birth to the expected date of delivery (EDD) between babies born in 1995 and 2006.⁵ Less is known about whether later growth parameters have changed since 1995.

The aims of this paper were: (1) to investigate growth measures at 11 years of age for children born <27 weeks' gestation in England in 2006 in comparison with term-born controls, as part of a longitudinal cohort study (EPICure2 study) and (2) to compare growth measures from birth to 11 years of age for children born <26 weeks of gestation with those of babies born in England in 1995 who were followed up as part of the original EPICure study.⁴ We expected to see improved growth for EP children in EPICure2, but it was unclear whether this extended to improvement in head growth, as we have previously reported no change in neurodevelopmental outcomes between the two cohorts.¹²

METHODS Participants

The EPICure2 study comprises all EP births<27 weeks of gestation in England during 2006.⁵ A total of 1041 babies survived to discharge, and children

Table 1 Comparison of perinatal and childhood variables between children formally evaluated at 11 years and non-responders in 2006 birth cohort							
Variables		Children assessed at 11 years (n=200)	Children not assessed at 11 years (n=831)	P value			
Gestational age							
22 weeks	% (n/N)	0.0% (0/200)	0.4% (3/831)	0.536			
23 weeks	% (n/N)	7.5% (15/200)	5.8% (48/831)				
24 weeks	% (n/N)	14.0% (28/200)	17.9% (149/831)				
25 weeks	% (n/N)	34.5% (69/200)	32.7% (272/831)				
26 weeks	% (n/N)	44.0% (88/200)	43.2% (359/831)				
Birth weight (g)	Mean (SD)	810.2 (147.5) (n=200)	794.5 (149.0) (n=831)	0.181			
Birth weight z scores	Mean (SD)	-0.2 (0.8) (n=200)	-0.3 (0.8) (n=828)	0.163			
Male sex	% (n/N)	50.0% (100/200)	48.0% (399/831)	0.614			
Multiple birth	% (n/N)	24.5% (49/200)	24.0% (202/841)	0.886			
Ethnicity							
White	% (n/N)	59.6% (118/198)	67.0% (549/819)	0.009			
Asian	% (n/N)	16.2% (32/198)	8.7% (71/819)				
Black	% (n/N)	18.7% (37/198)	20.5% (168/819)				
Other	% (n/N)	5.6% (11/198)	3.8% (31/819)				
Maternal age at delivery	Mean (SD)	30.7 (6.0) (n=200)	28.7 (6.6) (n=830)	<0.001			
IMD at birth	Mean (SD)	4.5 (2.7) (n=198)	4.3 (2.9) (n=824)	0.287			
Maternal height	Mean (SD)	163.0 (6.8) (n=179)	162.7 (7.0) (n=652)	0.570			
Maternal weight	Mean (SD)	69.1 (16.3) (n=172)	68.2 (16.5) (n=640)	0.572			
Breast milk at any time	% (n/N)	98.5% (197/200)	95.7% (794/830)	0.059			
Breast milk at discharge	% (n/N)	56.0% (112/200)	39.3% (325/828)	< 0.001			
No previous birth ≥24 weeks	% (n/N)	54.8% (109/199)	52.5% (434/827)	0.560			
Worst cerebral ultrasound scan	% (n/N)	18.6% (37/199)	21.6% (179/827)	0.343			
Antenatal systemic steroids	% (n/N)	86.0% (172/200)	87.7% (718/819)	0.525			
Postnatal systemic steroids	% (n/N)	16.5% (33/200)	15.0% (125/831)	0.607			
Cervical suture	% (n/N)	8.0% (16/199)	5.8% (48/830)	0.236			
Parenteral nutrition	% (n/N)	100.0% (200/200)	99.9% (830/831)	0.624			
Days to parenteral nutrition	Median (range)	1 (0–6) (n=198)	2 (0–14) (n=830)	0.116			
Enteral feeding begun before day 7	% (n/N)	87.5% (175/200)	82.2% (682/830)	0.070			
Days to enteral feeding	Median (range)	3 (0–24) (n=200)	3 (0–34) (n=830)	0.006			
Feeding difficulties at 3 years	% (n/N)	22.2% (34/153)	16.1% (68/423)	0.088			
Severe neurodevelopment disability at 3 years	% (n/N)	10.5% (16/153)	14.4% (61/423)	0.217			

*1031 children survived to 3 years, among which 200 children were assessed at 11 years.

IMD, Index of Multiple Deprivation.

were followed up at age 3 and 11 years. Ten deaths occurred between discharge and the 3-year assessment. Recruitment and assessment at 3 years have been described previously: 576 children were assessed.⁶ At 11 years, invitations to participate in the study were sent to a sample of parents of 482 children comprising births in 17 clinical neonatal networks in England. As part of the study design, a contemporary comparison group was recruited. For each EP child in mainstream school, up to three term-born controls were recruited from classmates of the same age $(\pm 3 \text{ months})$ and sex. Where it proved impossible to gain access to the school or at a parent's request, children were assessed at home. In these cases, a term-born child was identified by the parent of the EP child where possible and was invited to participate in the study. For children attending special educational needs schools (n=22), controls were not recruited. Assessors were not informed of the child's birth status. Further information on study procedure is provided in the online supplemental appendix. The EPICure study comprised all births <26weeks of gestation in the UK and Ireland during 1995. Recruitment of the cohort has been described previously;¹³ 315 children survived to discharge and were invited for follow-up assessments at age 2.5, 6, 11 and 19 years.

Measures

In EPICure2, growth data were collected at birth and EDD (weight and HC), and at age 3 and 11 years (weight, height, HC and body mass index (BMI)). In EPICure, growth data were available at birth and EDD (weight and HC), and at age 2.5, 6 and 11 years (weight, height, HC and BMI).^{1 3 4} For both cohorts, SD or 'z' scores for growth measures were calculated based on the British 1990 growth reference adjusting for sex and age.¹⁴ Classification for child overweight and thinness was defined according to international standards using age and sex specific BMI cut-off points.¹⁴ Birth weight z-scores were derived from the original EPICure cohort data which were complete population samples from which the study children are drawn.¹⁵ The Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015 (IMD), the version closest to assessment dates, was used as a measure of socioeconomic status at 11 years in EPICure2 and was obtained using postcode of parent's residence at the time of the assessment.¹⁶ IMD ranks were used to derive deciles based on the English population with Decile 1 (most deprived) to Decile 10 (least deprived). The IMD 2007 version was used at 11-year assessment in EPICure.

Table 2 Growth param	eters for EP children and	d controls at 11 years o	of age in the 2006 cohe	ort					
	EP: Mean (95% CI)							EP vs controls	
	≤23 weeks	24 weeks	25 weeks	26 weeks	All	P value*	Controls: Mean (95% Cl)	difference in means (95% CI)	P value
Height (cm)	149.9 (145.1 to 154.7) (n=14)	147.0 (143.4 to 150.5) (n=28)	149.8 (147.6 to 152.0) (n=69)	148.4 (146.1 to 150.6) (n=87)	148.8 (147.4 to 150.1) (n=198)	0.825	151.6 (150.3 to 152.8) (n=143)	-2.77 (-4.70 to -0.85)	0.005
Weight (kg)	46.3 (37.1 to 55.5) (n=15)	42.0 (38.3 to 45.8) (n=28)	42.1 (39.4 to 44.7) (n=69)	41.3 (38.9 to 43.6) (n=87)	42.0 (40.5 to 43.6) (n=199)	0.193	44.8 (43.0 to 46.6) (n=142)	-2.76 (-5.17 to -0.36)	0.024
BMI (kg/m²)	20.8 (17.9 to 23.6) (n=14)	19.3 (17.9 to 20.7) (n=28)	18.6 (17.7 to 19.5) (n=69)	18.5 (17.8 to 19.2) (n=87)	18.8 (18.3 to 19.3) (n=198)	0.056	19.4 (18.7 to 20.0) (n=142)	-0.57 (-1.37 to 0.23)	0.161
Head circumference (cm)	52.4 (51.0 to 53.8) (n=15)	52.4 (51.5 to 53.2) (n=28)	52.6 (52.0 to 53.2) (n=64)	52.8 (52.3 to 53.3) (n=87)	52.7 (52.3 to 53.0) (n=194)	0.190	54.1 (53.8 to 54.4) (n=142)	-1.47 (-1.93 to -1.01)	<0.001
Height z-score	0.26 (-0.37 to 0.89) (n=14)	-0.15 (-0.64 to 0.35) (n=28)	0.17 (-0.12 to 0.46) (n=69)	0.04 (-0.24 to 0.33) (n=87)	0.08 (-0.10 to 0.25) (n=198)	0.882	0.53 (0.37 to 0.68) (n=143)	-0.45 (-0.70 to -0.20)	<0.001
Weight z-score	0.60 (-0.22 to 1.42) (n=15)	0.24 (-0.25 to 0.73) (n=28)	0.16 (-0.15 to 0.46) (n=69)	0.11 (-0.16 to 0.38) (n=87)	0.18 (0.00 to 0.36) (n=199)	0.334	0.61 (0.43 to 0.78) (n=142)	-0.42 (-0.68 to -0.17)	0.001
BMI z-score	0.91 (0.23 to 1.59) (n=14)	0.40 (-0.12 to 0.92) (n=28)	0.03 (-0.30 to 0.36) (n=69)	0.11 (-0.16 to 0.38) (n=87)	0.18 (-0.00 to 0.36) (n=198)	0.108	0.43 (0.24 to 0.63) (n=142)	-0.26 (-0.53 0.02)	0.065
Head circumference z-score	-1.57 (-2.44 to -0.70) (n=15)	-1.60 (-2.17 to -1.03) (n=28)	-1.56 (-1.87 to -1.25) (n=63)	-1.15 (-1.46 to -0.84) (n=85)	-1.38 (-1.58 to -1.18) (n=191)	0.040	-0.34 (-0.55 to -0.12) (n=142)	-1.05 (-1.35 to -0.75)	<0.001
*This refers to P values for the EP, extremely preterm.	associations of gestational	age with growth parameter	rs using linear regression w	ith gestational age in wee	ks and days.				

Analysis

Analyses were performed in STATA V.15.1. For EPICure2, summary data on the neonatal variables were presented for those formally assessed at 11 years and those not assessed. Linear regression was used to estimate difference in means (Δ) and 95% CI for growth measure z-scores between EP children and controls at 11 years, as well as to investigate impacts of gestational age in weeks and days on growth. For the comparison between EPICure and EPICure2, we only included births <26 weeks' gestation to women resident in England at birth. We compared growth measures at comparable ages between the two cohorts and examined the trend over time using repeated measures mixed models. We estimated relative risk ratios of child overweight/obesity and thinness for EPICure2 compared with EPICure using multinomial logistic regression models. We further adjusted for perinatal and demographic differences between eras in the above models. We performed multiple imputation¹⁷ as a sensitivity analysis to account for missing data (online supplemental table S1). Missing data were imputed by chained equations using the STATA 'MI' procedure. Imputation models were based on the missing at random assumption and 20 imputed datasets were created. Original and imputed results were similar (online supplemental table S2), so we only report the original results.

RESULTS

Outcomes for births <27 weeks of gestation in EPICure2 Participants and attrition

Of the 482 EPICure2 invitees at 11 years, 220 gave consent to participate. Due to difficulties in scheduling, we evaluated 200 (19%) of the 1031 EPICure2 EP children known to be alive at 3 years. Baseline information for the assessed children was compared with the non-evaluated sample (table 1). Assessed EP children were more likely to be born to mothers of older age and to have received breast milk at discharge; there was a higher proportion of South Asian children and lower proportions of White and Black children among those assessed. The assessed sample is representative of the original cohort in terms of gestational age, birth weight, sex and other birth characteristics. In the EP group, mean (SD) age at assessment was 11.8 (0.5) years; mean IMD (SD) was 5.2 (2.8); 50% were boys. These characteristics did not significantly differ from 143 controls.

Growth at 11 years

Growth data were available for 199 EP children (<27 weeks) and 143 controls. Mean z-scores for all growth measures apart from BMI in EP children were significantly below controls (table 2): Δ was -0.42 SD for weight, -0.45 SD for height and -1.05 SD for HC. These differences remained significant after adjusting for IMD at 11 years. For EP children, rates of overweight and obesity were 16.7% (33/198) and 4.0%, respectively, not significantly different from for controls (15.5% and 5.6%, respectively). In EP children, mean HC z-score decreased by 0.21 SD for each gestational week (95% CI 0.01 to 0.41; table 2).

Comparison of outcomes for births <26 weeks of gestation in EPICure and EPICure2

Comparative characteristics

In EPICure, 309 children survived to 2.5 years among which 260 were born to mothers resident in England and 176 were assessed at 11 years. In EPICure2, 584 children born <26 weeks' gestation survived to 3 years and 112 were assessed at 11 years. EPICure2 children were more likely to have received breast

 Table 3
 Representative status of children born <26 weeks of gestation in England from the EPICure cohorts at 11 years and comparative characteristics for the two cohorts</th>

		EDICuro 1005				Children assessed
		EPICure 1995				at TT years
		Whole sample survivors by 2.5 years	Children assessed at 11 years	Whole sample survivors by 3 years	Children assessed at 11 years	2006 vs 1995
		n=260	n=176	n=584	n=112	P value
Gestational age						
<24 weeks	% (n/N)	9.2% (24/260)	10.8% (19/176)	11.3% (66/584)	13.4% (15/112)	0.337
24 weeks	% (n/N)	31.9% (83/260)	33.0% (58/176)	30.3% (177/584)	25.0% (28/112)	
25 weeks	% (n/N)	58.8% (153/260)	56.3% (99/176)	58.4% (341/584)	61.6% (69/112)	
Birth weight (g)	Mean (SD)	748.1 (109.9) (n=260)	745.8 (109.1) (n=176)	735.2 (120.3) (n=584)	739.6 (119.0) (n=112)	0.647
Birth weight z scores	Mean (SD)	-0.2 (0.8) (n=259)	-0.1 (0.8) (n=175)	-0.2 (0.7) (n=581)	-0.2 (0.7) (n=112)	0.391
Male sex	% (n/N)	48.8% (127/260)	45.5% (80/176)	47.9% (280/584)	50.0% (56/112)	0.451
Multiple birth	% (n/N)	25.8% (67/260)	29.0% (51/176)	22.1% (129/584)	24.1% (27/112)	0.365
Ethnicity						
White	% (n/N)	73.4% (190/259)	78.9% (138/175)	62.9% (363/577)	55.5% (61/110)	<0.001
Asian	% (n/N)	7.3% (19/259)	5.7% (10/175)	10.6% (61/577)	19.1% (21/110)	
Black	% (n/N)	17.4% (45/259)	14.9% (26/175)	22.0% (127/577)	20.9% (23/110)	
Other	% (n/N)	1.9% (5/259)	0.6% (1/175)	4.5% (26/577)	4.5% (5/110)	
Maternal age at delivery	Mean (SD)	28.5 (5.9) (n=259)	28.8 (5.7) (n=175)	29.4 (6.4) (n=584)	30.8 (5.7) (n=112)	0.006
Breast milk at any time	% (n/N)	85.0% (221/260)	86.4% (152/176)	96.1% (560/583)	100.0% (112/112)	<0.001
Parenteral nutrition- amino acids begun before day 7	% (n/N)	95.6% (238/249)	95.2% (160/168)	99.7% (581/583)	100.0% (111/111)	0.024
Days to amino acids after birth	Median (range)	3 (0–32) (n=249)	3 (0–32) (n=168)	2 (0–14) (n=583)	2 (0–14) (n=111)	<0.001
Parenteral nutrition- lipids begun before day 7	% (n/N)	85.1% (200/235)	86.3% (138/160)	98.3% (568/578)	99.1% (110/111)	<0.001
Days to lipids after birth	Median (range)	3 (0–33) (n=235)	3 (0–33) (n=160)	2 (0–14) (n=578)	2 (0–8) (n=111)	<0.001
Enteral feeding begun before day 7	% (n/N)	47.6% (121/254)	49.1% (84/171)	82.2% (480/584)	86.6% (97/112)	<0.001
Days to enteral feeding after birth	Median (range)	8 (2–43) (n=254)	8 (2–41) (n=171)	3 (0–31) (n=584)	3 (0–24) (n=112)	<0.001
Antenatal systemic steroids	% (n/N)	79.9% (207/259)	82.3% (144/175)	87.9% (509/579)	88.4% (99/112)	0.161
Postnatal systemic steroids	% (n/N)	71.4% (185/259)	71.4% (125/175)	20.4% (119/584)	24.1% (27/112)	<0.001
IMD at birth	Mean (SD)	-	-	4.2 (2.9) (n=581)	4.3 (2.7) (n=111)	-
IMD at 11 years	Mean (SD)	-	5.1 (2.8) (n=174)	-	4.9 (2.8) (n=111)	0.604
Age assessed at infancy	Mean (SD)	2.5 (0.1) (n=235)	2.5 (0.1) (n=171)	2.9 (0.4) (n=325)	2.9 (0.3) (n=88)	<0.001
Age assessed at 11 years	Mean (SD)	-	10.9 (0.4) (n=176)	-	11.9 (0.5) (n=112)	<0.001

IMD, Index of Multiple Deprivation.

milk, to have parenteral and enteral feeding begun earlier and to be born to older mothers (table 3); they were less likely to have received postnatal systemic steroids; there were more South Asian and Black children and fewer White children in EPICure2. At the 11-year assessment, children's chronological ages were significantly higher in EPICure2. The two cohorts were evenly matched in birth weight, gestational age, sex, multiple birth and IMD at 11 years. Differences in perinatal and demographic characteristics were accounted for when comparing growth between the two cohorts.

Comparing growth between two cohorts

Growth measure z-scores were available for 111 EPICure2 children and 175 EPICure children. At 11 years, using repeated measures mixed models Δ between cohorts for weight was 0.72 SD (0.47 to 0.96), for height 0.55 SD (0.29 to 0.81) and for BMI 0.56 SD (0.24 to 0.87). These differences remained significant but reduced after adjustment for perinatal and demographic differences between eras (table 4). EPICure2 children had significantly lower rates of thinness compared with EPICure children

(14% vs 27%; table 4). In contrast, Δ for HC z-scores was not significantly different in both cohorts and remained similar after adjusting for differences in maternal age, ethnicity, days to start parenteral nutrition and receipt of breast milk, but it became significant after further adjusting for differences in days to start enteral feeding and postnatal treatment with systemic steroids (Δ -0.46 SD (-0.83 to -0.10); table 4). At 11 years, 69% of EPICure2 children had HC z-scores of <-1 SD compared with 64% in EPICure (p=0.407).

Using repeated measures mixed models the estimated postnatal fall in mean weight z-scores from birth to EDD was similar in both cohorts (figure 1). Both cohorts demonstrated catch-up in weight after EDD up to 3 years, but this was estimated to be greater in EPICure2 than in EPICure (EPICure2: 1.25 SD (1.12, 1.38) vs EPICure: 0.53 SD (0.37, 0.70); p < 0.001). From 2.5–3 years to 11 years, improved growth was observed in EPICure2 compared with EPICure (figure 1). In contrast, HC from birth to 11 years of age was similar in both cohorts: significantly below population norms at all ages, a relative decline from birth to 2.5–3 years, followed by minimal catch-up (figure 1).

Original research

Table 4 A comparison of growth measures between 1995 and 2006 for children born <26 weeks of gestation in England								
			EPICure 1995	EPICure2 2006	2006 vs 1995	2006 vs 1995		
			Mean (95% CI)	Mean (95% CI)	Unadjusted difference in means (95% CI)*	Adjusted difference in means (95% CI)*		
Birth								
Weight z-score			-0.16 (-0.25 to -0.07) (n=265)	-0.25 (-0.31 to -0.19) (n=590)	-0.09 (-0.24 to 0.07)	-0.26 (-0.45 to -0.07)		
Head circumference z-score			-0.23 (-0.42 to -0.05) (n=171)	-0.40 (-0.51 to -0.28) (n=291)	-0.17 (-0.43 to 0.04)	-0.44 (-0.74 to -0.13)		
EDD								
Weight z-score			-1.73 (-1.86 to -1.60) (n=251)	-1.95 (-2.05 to -1.85) (n=581)	-0.23 (-0.39 to -0.07)	-0.40 (-0.58 to -0.21)		
Head circumference z-score			-0.98 (-1.17 to -0.78) (n=244)	-1.29 (-1.43 to -1.16) (n=552)	-0.33 (-0.54 to -0.12)	-0.60 (-0.86 to -0.34)		
2.5–3 years†								
Height z-score			-0.65 (-0.81 to -0.49) (n=216)	-0.44 (-0.60 to -0.27) (n=301)	0.21 (-0.00 to 0.43)	0.14 (-0.13 to 0.42)		
Weight z-score			-1.20 (-1.37 to -1.03) (n=225)	-0.69 (-0.84 to -0.55) (n=316)	0.49 (0.31 to 0.67)	0.30 (0.09 to 0.51)		
BMI z-score			-1.07 (-1.26 to -0.88) (n=211)	-0.48 (-0.63 to -0.33) (n=294)	0.59 (0.36 to 0.83)	0.58 (0.28 to 0.88)		
Head circumference z-score			-1.65 (-1.84 to -1.46) (n=231)	-1.73 (-1.89 to -1.58) (n=316)	-0.13 (-0.37 to 0.11)	-0.38 (-0.66 to -0.10)		
11 years								
Height z-score			-0.51 (-0.66 to -0.36) (n=175)	0.10 (-0.13 to 0.33) (n=111)	0.55 (0.29 to 0.81)	0.43 (0.11 to 0.74)		
Weight z-score			-0.48 (-0.66 to -0.30) (n=174)	0.24 (-0.01 to 0.48) (n=112)	0.72 (0.47 to 0.96)	0.51 (0.24 to 0.78)		
BMI z-score			-0.34 (-0.53 to -0.14) (n=174)	0.23 (-0.02 to 0.49) (n=111)	0.56 (0.24 to 0.87)	0.50 (0.13 to 0.87)		
Head circumference z-score			-1.35 (-1.54 to -1.16) (n=174)	-1.57 (-1.83 to -1.31) (n=106)	-0.23 (-0.56 to 0.09)	-0.46 (-0.83 to -0.10)		
	% (n/N)	% (n/N)	Overweight/obesity vs normal weight Unadjusted RRR (95% CI)‡	Thinness vs normal weight Unadjusted RRR (95% CI)‡	Overweight/obesity vs normal weight Adjusted RRR (95% CI)*	Thinness vs normal weight Adjusted RRR (95% CI)*		
Overweight/obesity a	t 2.5–3 years							
Thinness	42.7% (90/211)	27.9% (84/301)	1.48 (0.71 to 3.09)	0.54 (0.37 to 0.79)	2.85 (1.14 to 7.12)	0.65 (0.39 to 1.10)		
Normal weight	52.1% (110/211)	62.8% (189/301)						
Overweight	4.3% (9/211)	7.0% (21/301)						
Obesity	0.9% (2/211)	2.3% (7/301)						
Overweight/obesity a	t 11 years							
Thinness	27.0% (47/174)	14.4% (16/111)	1.31 (0.85 to 2.01)	0.48 (0.29 to 0.79)	2.10 (0.86 to 5.12)	0.59 (0.25 to 1.35)		
Normal weight	61.5% (107/174)	62.2% (69/111)						
Overweight	10.9% (19/174)	18.0% (20/111)						
Obesity	0.6% (1/174)	5.4% (6/111)						

Bold fonts indicate significant differences between the two cohorts.

*Differences in mean z-scores for growth measures between the two cohorts at comparable ages were estimated using repeated measures mixed models. We adjusted for differences in perinatal and demographic characteristics, including maternal age at delivery, ethnicity (white, black, South Asian or other), days to start amino acids, days to start lipids, days to start enteral feeding, breast milk at any time (yes vs no), any postnatal systemic steroids (yes vs no).

+Growth measures corrected for prematurity at 2.5-3 years.

*RRRs of child overweight/obesity and thinness for EPICure2 2006 compared with EPICure 1995 were estimated using multinomial logistic regression models.

EDD, expected date of delivery; RRR, relative risk ratio.

DISCUSSION

We have reported growth outcomes at 11 years of age for EP children and term-born controls in EPICure2 and compared growth outcomes from birth to age 11 years for children born <26 weeks' gestation with EPICure. In EPICure2 at 11 years, mean growth in weight and length were comparable to the UK 1990 growth standards, but EP children were significantly shorter and lighter than controls at 11 years. Rates of overweight and obesity were similar in two groups. Compared with EPICure, improvements were observed in weight, height and BMI during infancy and middle childhood in EPICure2 and reassuringly the rate of thinness was lower in EPICure2 than in EPICure. Greater catch-up in weight from EDD to 2.5–3 years was observed in EPICure2 compared with EPICure. In contrast, head growth was poor during childhood in both studies.

Our data show that weight, height and BMI during infancy and middle childhood have improved for babies born <26 weeks of gestation in 2006 compared with births in 1995, contrasting



Figure 1 Observed means and 95% CIs in z-scores for growth parameters at different ages in 1995 (EPICure) and 2006 (EPICure2).

with the Victorian Cohort which showed no improvement in growth across three eras: 1991–1992, 1997 and 2005.¹⁸ In our study, improvements were partly explained by known perinatal and demographic differences between eras. This might also partly reflect secular trends in human physical growth,^{19 20} as this was also shown in controls (online supplemental table S3). Secular changes are directly linked to improved access to health and nutrition and also correlated with education, income and social status and infection.²⁰ Moreover, secular gains in weight and BMI may reflect reductions in physical activity associated with an increasingly sedentary lifestyle.²¹

Greater catch-up in weight from EDD to 2.5–3 years occurred in EPICure2 than in EPICure. This may be of concern, because evidence from both term and preterm populations shows an association of rapid catch-up growth in infancy and early childhood with increased risk for cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes in adulthood.^{22–27} In particular, we have also shown that EP young adults with metabolic syndrome at 19 years tended to have greater catch-up in weight from EDD to 2.5 years compared with those without metabolic syndrome.²⁸

Our comparison of the two cohorts shows that, despite improvements in perinatal care, there was no improvement in head growth: after full adjustment, EPICure2 children had heads 0.46 SD smaller than the earlier cohort. Brain development begins in the second trimester of pregnancy and continues into adult life, and multiple complex events critical for brain development occur between 24 and 40 weeks' gestation.^{29 30} Thus, lower gestation at birth is associated with smaller brain volume at birth and in the early postnatal period^{31 32} and positive associations of postnatal head growth with neurocognitive outcomes have been demonstrated at ages ranging from infancy to adulthood in preterm populations.^{33 34} The lack of improvement in head growth may reflect the lack of change in neurodevelopmental outcomes between the two cohorts.¹² Interestingly, we

also observed an unexplained decrease in head size in controls (-0.32 SD; online supplemental table S3).

The strengths of this paper include comparing identical growth measures across two cohorts using common standards, applying multiple imputation to account for missing data and recruiting a contemporary comparison group for each. The major limitation in EPICure2 is the small number of participants seen at 11 years representing 41.5% (200/482) of the chosen sample; thus, the proportion lost to follow-up increased over time, as shown in EPICure.⁴ However, drop-out analysis showed that children assessed at 11 years were representative of the original cohort in baseline characteristics, and our findings were strengthened by using multiple imputation. Further, controls were recruited from mainstream schools and might be relatively more healthy than the general population. Data from the National Child Measurement Programme for England show that the prevalence of obesity for children aged 10-11 was 20% in 2017/2018 in England. Thus, group differences in BMI and rates of overweight/obesity may have been underestimated in this study. It is also possible that group difference in height may have been overestimated. Additionally, although the two cohorts were comparable in birth characteristics and IMD at 11 years, EPICure2 EP children were on average 1 year older than those in EPICure. However, we used z-scores based on UK norms which were adjusted for age and sex. Last, significant differences were found in other perinatal and demographic characteristics between cohorts, but they were adjusted for in the models and did not fully explain differences in somatic growth over time.

Despite advancing perinatal care and survival since 1995, head growth has not improved for children born <26 weeks' gestation in 2006. No improvement in brain volume development may indicate similar neurocognitive development in the two cohorts. Early head growth failure could be improved by increasing breast milk feeding³⁵ or parenteral nutrition protein and calorie intake in early life.^{36 37} In contrast, we have shown improvements in somatic growth during infancy and middle childhood among EPICure2 children, who have greater weight catch-up in infancy, that is maintained. By age 11, EPICure2 EP children do remain shorter and lighter than term-born controls, but rates of overweight and obesity were similar and growth attainment in weight and height was not different from the population norms used. Growth in childhood remains an important issue in preterm populations and new strategies to improve head growth in particular across childhood are urgently needed. It is important to alert parents/carers and primary healthcare professionals of the increasing evidence of metabolic risk from being overweight/obese for EP children.

Twitter Yanyan Ni @Yanyan_Ni

Acknowledgements We thank all the EPICure participants and families, our Participant Advisory Group and members of the EPICure research team not otherwise named.

Contributors YN conducted the statistical analysis, drafted the first version of the manuscript and revised it for important intellectual content. RL, ES, MB, AF, JL and JT assisted in the design of study, collected the data and reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. JRH, DW and SJ contributed to the conceptualisation and design of the study, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. NM conceptualised and designed the study, obtained funding and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding This study was funded by the Medical Research Council (MR/N024869/1) and was registered on the ISRCTN website (reference: ISRCTN86323684). NM receives part funding from the Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centre's funding scheme at UCLH/UCL.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Written informed consent was obtained from both children and parents prior to participation. Ethical approval was obtained from both the UCL Research Ethics Committee (reference: 10175/001) and University of Leicester Research Ethics Committee (reference: 10225).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request. Data are available subject to the EPICure Data Sharing Policy (www.epicure.ac.uk) and will be available as part of the RECAP preterm Cohort Platform (https://recap-preterm.eu)

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Yanyan Ni http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8745-3453 Dieter Wolke http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0304-268X Neil Marlow http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5890-2953

REFERENCES

- Bracewell MA, Hennessy EM, Wolke D, *et al*. The EPICure study: growth and blood pressure at 6 years of age following extremely preterm birth. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2008;93:F108–14.
- 2 Farooqi A, Hägglöf B, Sedin G, *et al*. Growth in 10- to 12-year-old children born at 23 to 25 weeks' gestation in the 1990s: a Swedish national prospective follow-up study. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:e1452–65.

- 3 Wood NS, Costeloe K, Gibson AT, et al. The EPICure study: growth and associated problems in children born at 25 weeks of gestational age or less. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2003;88:492F–500.
- 4 Ni Y, Beckmann J, Gandhi R, *et al*. Growth to early adulthood following extremely preterm birth: the EPICure study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2020;105:496–503.
- 5 Costeloe KL, Hennessy EM, Haider S, et al. Short term outcomes after extreme preterm birth in England: comparison of two birth cohorts in 1995 and 2006 (the EPICure studies). BMJ 2012;345:e7976.
- 6 Moore T, Hennessy EM, Myles J, et al. Neurological and developmental outcome in extremely preterm children born in England in 1995 and 2006: the EPICure studies. BMJ 2012;345:e7961.
- 7 EXPRESS Group, Fellman V, Hellström-Westas L, et al. One-year survival of extremely preterm infants after active perinatal care in Sweden. JAMA 2009;301:2225–33.
- 8 Norman M, Hallberg B, Abrahamsson T, *et al*. Association between year of birth and 1-year survival among extremely preterm infants in Sweden during 2004-2007 and 2014-2016. *JAMA* 2019;321:1188–99.
- 9 Ancel P-Y, Goffinet F, EPIPAGE-2 Writing Group. Survival and morbidity of preterm children born at 22 through 34 weeks' gestation in France in 2011: results of the EPIPAGE-2 cohort study. *JAMA Pediatr* 2015;169:230–8.
- 10 Cheong JLY, Anderson PJ, Burnett AC, et al. Changing neurodevelopment at 8 years in children born extremely preterm since the 1990s. *Pediatrics* 2017;139:e20164086.
- 11 Pierrat V, Marchand-Martin L, Arnaud C, *et al*. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years for preterm children born at 22 to 34 weeks' gestation in France in 2011: EPIPAGE-2 cohort study. *BMJ* 2017;358:j3448.
- 12 Marlow N, Ni Y, Lancaster R, et al. No change in neurodevelopment at 11 years after extremely preterm birth. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2021;106:418–24.
- 13 Linsell L, Johnson S, Wolke D, et al. Cognitive trajectories from infancy to early adulthood following birth before 26 weeks of gestation: a prospective, populationbased cohort study. Arch Dis Child 2018;103:363–70.
- 14 Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, et al. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BMJ 2000;320:1240–3.
- 15 Costeloe K, Hennessy E, Gibson AT, et al. The EPICure study: outcomes to discharge from hospital for infants born at the threshold of viability. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:659–71.
- 16 Smith T, Noble M, Noble S. The English indices of deprivation 2015. London: Department for Communities Local Government, 2015.
- 17 Sterne JAC, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ 2009;338:b2393.
- 18 Hickey L, Burnett A, Spittle AJ, et al. Extreme prematurity, growth and neurodevelopment at 8 years: a cohort study. Arch Dis Child 2021;106:160–6.
- 19 Cole TJ. Secular trends in growth. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2000;59:317–24.
- 20 Fudvoye J, Parent A-S. Secular trends in growth. Paper presented at: Annales d'endocrinologie, 2017.
- 21 Malina RM. Secular trends in growth, maturation and physical performance: a review. Anthropol Rev 2004;67:3–31.
- 22 Ekelund U, Ong KK, Linné Y, et al. Association of weight gain in infancy and early childhood with metabolic risk in young adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007;92:98–103.
- 23 Eriksson JG, Forsén T, Tuomilehto J, et al. Catch-up growth in childhood and death from coronary heart disease: longitudinal study. BMJ 1999;318:427–31.
- 24 Forsén T, Eriksson J, Tuomilehto J, *et al.* The fetal and childhood growth of persons who develop type 2 diabetes. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;133:176–82.
- 25 Euser AM, Finken MJJ, Keijzer-Veen MG, et al. Associations between prenatal and infancy weight gain and BMI, fat mass, and fat distribution in young adulthood: a prospective cohort study in males and females born very preterm. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;81:480–7.
- 26 Kerkhof GF, Willemsen RH, Leunissen RWJ, et al. Health profile of young adults born preterm: negative effects of rapid weight gain in early life. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97:4498–506.
- 27 Finken MJJ, Keijzer-Veen MG, Dekker FW, et al. Preterm birth and later insulin resistance: effects of birth weight and postnatal growth in a population based longitudinal study from birth into adult life. *Diabetologia* 2006;49:478–85.
- 28 Ni Y, Beckmann J, Hurst JR, et al. Size at birth, growth trajectory in early life, and cardiovascular and metabolic risks in early adulthood: EPICure study. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2021;106:149–55.
- 29 Twilhaar ES, Wade RM, de Kieviet JF, et al. Cognitive outcomes of children born extremely or very preterm since the 1990s and associated risk factors: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. JAMA Pediatr 2018;172:361–7.
- 30 Volpe JJ. Brain injury in premature infants: a complex amalgam of destructive and developmental disturbances. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8:110–24.
- 31 Holland D, Chang L, Ernst TM, et al. Structural growth trajectories and rates of change in the first 3 months of infant brain development. JAMA Neurol 2014;71:1266–74.
- 32 Hüppi PS, Warfield S, Kikinis R, et al. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging of brain development in premature and mature newborns. Ann Neurol 1998;43:224–35.
- 33 Ong KK, Kennedy K, Castañeda-Gutiérrez E, et al. Postnatal growth in preterm infants and later health outcomes: a systematic review. Acta Paediatr 2015;104:974–86.

Original research

- Jaekel J, Sorg C, Baeuml J, *et al*. Head growth and intelligence from birth to adulthood in very preterm and term born individuals. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2019;25:48–56.
 Belfort MB, Anderson PJ, Nowak VA, *et al*. Breast milk feeding, brain development,
- 35 Belfort MB, Anderson PJ, Nowak VA, et al. Breast milk feeding, brain development, and neurocognitive outcomes: a 7-year longitudinal study in infants born at less than 30 weeks' gestation. J Pediatr 2016;177:133–9.
- 36 Morgan C, McGowan P, Herwitker S, *et al*. Postnatal head growth in preterm infants: a randomized controlled parenteral nutrition study. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:e120–8.
- 37 Tan M, Abernethy L, Cooke R. Improving head growth in preterm infants--a randomised controlled trial II: MRI and developmental outcomes in the first year. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2008;93:F342–6.