

### **HHS Public Access**

Int J Obes (Lond). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Int J Obes (Lond). 2015 July ; 39(7): 1086–1093. doi:10.1038/ijo.2015.67.

### Interrelationships between obesity, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and cardiovascular risk in obese adolescents

Dorit Koren<sup>1</sup>, Julio A. Chirinos<sup>2</sup>, Lorraine E. Levitt Katz<sup>3</sup>, Emile R. Mohler III<sup>4</sup>, Paul R. Gallagher<sup>5</sup>, Gary F. Mitchell, MD<sup>6</sup>, and Carole L. Marcus<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pediatrics – Section of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60614

<sup>2</sup>University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine and Philadelphia VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 19104

<sup>3</sup>Pediatrics - Division of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 19104

<sup>4</sup>Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Department of Medicine, Cardiovascular Division, Vascular Medicine Section, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>5</sup>Biostatistics Core, Clinical and Translational Research Center, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>6</sup>Cardiovascular Engineering Inc, Norwood, MA

<sup>7</sup>Pediatrics - Sleep Center, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 19104

#### Abstract

**Background/Objectives**—Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) may be a cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factor independently of obesity in adults. Pediatric studies have associated OSAS with endothelial dysfunction, but few studies have examined relationships between OSAS and macrovascular sequelae. Our objective was to examine OSAS's independent contribution to macrovascular CVD risk measures in obese adolescents.

**Subjects/Methods**—This cross-sectional observational study was conducted at Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Clinical Research and Academic Sleep Centers, and University of Pennsylvania Vascular Research Unit. 31 obese non-diabetic adolescents underwent

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\_policies/license.html#terms

Corresponding author: Dorit Koren, MD, University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 1027, Chicago, IL 60637, Tel: 773-702-9645, Fax: 773-834-7205, dkoren@peds.bsd.uchicago.edu.

Conflict of Interest:

L.E. Levitt Katz provides consultation services to Janssen pharmaceuticals and to Takeda Pharmaceuticals. C.L. Marcus has research support from Ventus and Philips Respironics in the form of loaned equipment only for investigator-initiated studies, not relevant to the current manuscript. Dr. Mitchell is owner of Cardiovascular Engineering, Inc., a company that develops and manufactures devices to measure vascular stiffness, serves as a consultant to and receives honoraria from Novartis, Merck and Enopace, and is funded by research grants HL094898, DK082447, HL107385 and HL104184 from the National Institutes of Health. The remaining authors reported no relevant conflicts of interest.

anthropometric measurements, overnight polysomnography, fasting laboratory draw, and cardiovascular imaging. Cardiovascular outcome measures included maximal carotid intimamedia thickness (cIMTmax), a measure of carotid structural changes, and carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (CFPWV), an aortic stiffness measure whose relationship vis-à-vis OSAS in children has not been previously examined. Carotid diameter and augmentation index (AIx, measuring central pressure augmentation from wave reflections) were assessed. Potential confounding variables examined included blood pressure, lipoproteins, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, insulin and glucose.

**Results**—The apnea hypopnea index, a primary OSAS measure, was not associated with cIMTmax, carotid diameter, CFPWV or AIx. BMI associated positively with cIMTmax (r=0.52, p=0.006) and CFPWV (r=0.45, p=0.01). Mean asleep end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> was negatively associated with carotid diameter (r=-0.63, p<0.0005). Insulin levels were negatively associated with AIx (r=-0.53, p=0.02).

**Conclusions**—OSAS did not predict carotid structural changes or arterial stiffness independently of BMI in obese adolescents. Higher insulin levels associated with lower central pressure wave augmentation. Finally, long-term hypercapnia may predispose to carotid narrowing.

#### Key terms

Arterial stiffness; atherosclerosis; sleep apnea; obesity; cardiovascular risk; adolescents

#### Introduction

The prevalence of pediatric obesity has increased in recent decades; 16.9% of U.S. children and adolescents are now obese(1). This trend has been accompanied by an increased prevalence of associated co-morbidities, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)(2), the metabolic syndrome (a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors consisting of central obesity, insulin resistance, hypertension, and dyslipidemia)(3) and other measures of cardiovascular risk(4). The increased prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in children and adolescents is a worrisome trend, suggesting the possibility of widespread onset of overt cardiovascular disease (CVD) decades younger than in the past(5). While weight loss reduces the risk of developing obesity-related co-morbidities(6), many find long-term weight loss maintenance difficult(7). Thus, it is imperative to identify other potentially modifiable CVD risk factors in the pediatric population.

Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS), a common co-morbidity of obesity(8), is a disorder of breathing during sleep characterized by prolonged partial upper airway obstruction or intermittent complete obstruction that disrupts normal ventilation during sleep and normal sleep patterns(9), leading to sleep fragmentation and decreased oxyhemoglobin saturation(10). In adults, OSAS may increase risk for T2DM(11), atherosclerosis(12), vascular stiffening(13) (another risk factor for CVD), and incident CVD(14) independently of obesity. Pediatric data about the metabolic effects of OSAS are limited; however, OSAS has been associated with increased risk of the metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in children(15). Few studies have specifically examined the link between CVD risk factors and OSAS in children: one such study showed increased sympathetic vascular reactivity in

children with OSAS(16). Another study found that OSAS in normal-weight children was associated with blunted vascular endothelial response, which resolved (in a subset) following adenotonsillectomy(17). We hypothesized that, in obese adolescents, OSAS posed an independent risk factor for macrovascular CVD risk markers, namely carotid structural changes and large artery stiffness, independently of 1) degree of obesity and 2) other potential predictors of CVD risk such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, inflammation, insulin resistance, and anthropometric surrogate measures of visceral adiposity.

#### Methods

This was an observational, cross-sectional study of obese adolescents, examining the association between OSAS (primary measure: the apnea hypopnea index, or AHI) and several macrovascular measures of structure and function associated with CVD risk: (1) carotid structural change: primary outcome measure: carotid intima-media thickness and (2) arterial stiffness - primary measure: carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity. Other imaging measures of macrovascular structure and function assessed included common carotid artery (CCA) diameter and the augmentation index (AIx, calculated as the ratio of the augmentation pressure to pulse pressure, or AP/PP) an index of central pressure augmentation from wave reflections. The impact of other established CVD risk measures – blood pressure, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), lipoprotein profiles including apolipoprotein B (ApoB), and inflammation as measured by high-sensitivity CRP (hsCRP) – and the impact of central adiposity (assessed by the surrogate anthropometric measurements of waist circumference and sagittal abdominal diameter) upon the macrovascular CVD risk outcomes of interest were also examined. Investigators interpreting the various studies were blinded to metabolic status of the participants.

This study was approved by the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP) Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent and age-appropriate assent were obtained from all parents or guardians and participants before enrollment.

#### **Study Participants**

Participants were recruited from the CHOP endocrinology clinic and from the general population via advertisements. Inclusion criteria included obesity (BMI 95th percentile for age and sex), pubertal status (Tanner stages 2–5), and ages 12–17 years. Exclusion criteria included known type 1 or type 2 diabetes, known OSAS requiring treatment, CVD history, pregnancy, presence of major organ system illness or genetic syndrome, treatment with medications known to affect sleep, insulin sensitivity or lipid profiles, and significant smoking history (>1/2 pack per day).

#### **Study Protocol**

Tanner staging for puberty was based on breast development in girls and testicular size in boys. Weight was measured using a calibrated digital scale (Scaletronix, White Plains, NY). Height was measured using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Holtain Inc., Crymych, UK). Body Mass Index (BMI) percentiles were assessed using age- and gender-specific reference data(18). Urine pregnancy tests were performed for all females. Brachial blood pressure

(BP) was recorded in triplicate using an automatic oscillometric device (Dinamap, Critikon Inc, Tampa, FL) using appropriately sized cuffs; results were averaged. Anthropometric measures were obtained in triplicate. Waist circumference (to 0.1 cm) was measured in triplicate at the level of the umbilicus. Sagittal abdominal diameter was measured with participant supine and knees flexed, as the vertical distance at end-expiration between abdominal caliper blades (Seritex Inc., East Rutherford NJ) placed below and above the trunk slightly proximal to the iliac crest. Neck circumference was measured at the level of the thyroid cartilage. Percentiles and Z-scores were calculated for all systolic and diastolic BP (SBP and DBP) readings(19). Study procedures included fasting blood drawn for biochemical analyses, hemodynamic testing, vascular imaging studies, and overnight polysomnography, as described below.

#### **Biochemical measurements**

Fasting lipid profile was performed using an enzymatic colorimetric assay (Roche Diagnostics), and ApoB, the core particle of low- and very low-density lipoproteins, was isolated via an immunoturbidimetric assay (Roche Diagnostics; 20–400 mg/dL); both were run on a Roche-Hitachi 912 analyzer (Uster, Switzerland). Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was calculated using the Freidewald equation(20). HsCRP was measured via particle-enhanced immunonephelometry via the BN<sup>™</sup> II System (Siemens CardioPhase®, Tarrytown, NY; 0.15–9.50 mg/L). Whole blood glucose was measured by the glucose oxidase method (Sensolite Nova glucose meter). Fasting plasma insulin was measured by radioimmunoassay (LINCO, St. Charles Mo). Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), a validated insulin sensitivity measure(21), was calculated as [Fasting insulin (microIU/mL) \* Fasting glucose (mmol/L)]/22.5.

#### Imaging Studies and hemodynamic measurements

A. Carotid structural changes - carotid intima-media thickness (IMT)—Carotid IMT measures remodeling of the intimal and medial layers of the carotid artery wall and may represent a risk factor for atherosclerosis. Bilateral B-mode carotid artery images (Siemens Acuson Sequoia ultrasound system, Mountain View, CA) were acquired using a linear array 9L4 transducer. Distal common carotid artery (CCA) posterior wall was measured one centimeter below the bifurcation. Ten sequential still frames coinciding with the electrocardiogram (ECG) R wave were recorded. IMT was measured (Carotid Analyzer edge-detection program, Medical Imaging Applications, Coralville, Iowa) as the distance between echoes arising from the blood-intima and media-adventitia interfaces(22); maximal CCA IMT (cIMTmax) was analyzed. CCA diameter was measured as the distance between the two blood-intima interfaces. The far wall was used for this study because measurement of near-wall thickness is more subject to artifacts of ultrasound imaging(23). Right and left cIMTmax and CCA diameter values were averaged, and the resultant means were used for analyses. CIMTmax rather than mean cIMTmax was used because cIMTmax is a better predictor of end-organ damage and CVD risk(24).

B. Arterial stiffness - Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (CFPWV)—Large

artery stiffening as assessed by CFPWV is a manifestation of vascular aging that is exacerbated by conditions such as diabetes mellitus(25) and independently predicts

Page 5

cardiovascular events and mortality, especially in younger adults(26). CFPWV is defined as the distance the pulse wave must travel divided by the time required for pulse wave propagation from the carotid (a surrogate for the proximal aorta) to the femoral arteries. Higher values indicate greater arterial stiffness. CFPWV was assessed in triplicate (results averaged) using the applanation tonometry principle (Millar tonometer, Millar Instruments; Houston, TX), using a commercially available system (SphygmoCor Vx System, AtCor Medical; Sydney, Australia) which includes acquisition software for non-invasive arterial pulse recording. The tonometer was used to record carotid and femoral artery waveforms in the supine position. Time delay between the carotid and femoral sites was estimated using the ECG R wave as a fiducial point. CFPWV was calculated as distance/ time(27).

Augmentation Index AP/PP (AIx): The AIx measures the relative amount of central pressure augmentation from wave reflection. The augmentation pressure (AP) is the difference between the central pressure waveform's second and first systolic peaks (P2-P1). The AIx is defined as the ratio of AP to pulse pressure (PP) (AIx = AP/PP). Higher values indicate greater pressure augmentation. AIx was calculated from the BP waveform assessed by applanation tonometry as described above.

CFPWV and AIx measurements were performed by a single investigator (DK).

#### Overnight polysomnography

Polysomnographic data were recorded using a Rembrandt polysomnography system (Embla, Broomfield, CO). Recorded parameters included the following: electroencephalography (F4/M1, C4/M1, O2/M1, F3/M2, C3/M2, O3/M2); left and right electrooculogram; submental electromyogram; bilateral tibial electromyograms; oronasal airflow with 3pronged thermistor (Pro-Tech Services, Inc., Mukilteo, WA); nasal pressure (Pro-Tech Services, Inc., Mukilteo, WA); rib cage and abdominal wall motion via respiratory impedance plethysmography (Viasys Healthcare, Yorba Linda, CA); arterial oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) with pulse waveform; end-tidal PCO<sub>2</sub> ( $E_TCO_2$ ), measured at the nose via infrared capnometry (Novametrix Medical Systems, Inc., Wallingford, CT); and electrocardiogram. Sleep architecture and respiratory parameters (including the AHI, arousal index, lowest and mean SpO<sub>2</sub>, and peak and mean E<sub>T</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>) were scored using standard pediatric criteria; apneas were defined as >90% decrement in airflow lasting for a minimum of 2 breaths, and hypopneas were defined as >50% decrement in airflow lasting a minimum of 2 breaths and accompanied by 3% oxyhemoglobin desaturation and/or EEG arousal(28). The AHI cutoff utilized to define OSAS was 1.5 events/hour(29, 30). Hypoventilation was defined as  $E_TCO_2 > 50$  torr for >25% of total sleep time(28). Secondary OSAS measures were also examined in relationship to cardiovascular imaging measures, including mean SpO2 in REM and NREM sleep, obstructive apneas, obstructive hypopneas, mixed apneas, mixed hypopneas, central apneas, central hypopneas, and minimal and maximal heart rate while asleep. Polysomnograms were scored by sleep technologists and read by a board certified sleep physician (CLM), all of whom were blinded to the subjects' metabolic and cardiovascular results.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics v18 analysis software (Chicago, IL). A p-value 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Non-normally distributed data was transformed (e.g. natural logarithmic transformation) prior to statistical analyses to normalize the distribution. Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficients were used to examine associations between OSAS measures (AHI, arousal index, lowest and mean SpO<sub>2</sub>, and peak and mean  $E_TCO_2$ ) and CVD risk markers (primarily imaging measures cIMTmax, carotid artery diameter, cfPWV, AIx, and other secondary measures, such as heart rate, blood pressure, and biochemical parameters such as hsCRP, apolipoprotein B, fasting lipid profile, and HOMA-IR). A sample size of 30 participants provided 80% power to detect a correlation of 0.49 or greater between AHI (the primary OSAS measure examined) and carotid IMT, the cardiovascular risk measure on which the study was powered; sample size calculations were based upon the study by Saletu et al. that reported a correlation between carotid IMT and AHI of 0.6 in adults(31). Student's T-test or Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare values of continuous variables between sexes. As few participants were in Tanner 2 or 3 pubertal stages, those participants were combined into a larger group (Tanner 2/3) for analysis purposes. ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests were utilized to compare mean values of continuous variables between pubertal stages. Linear regression models evaluated the relationship between obesity and CVD risk factors while controlling for OSAS primarily (after inclusion of BMI in the model) and secondarily for other potential confounding variables, e.g. age, sex, Tanner stage, and/or mean arterial pressure (MAP) or heart rate (HR). For a multiple linear regression model which included 4 covariates with an R<sup>2</sup> of 0.20, a sample size of 30 provided 80% power to detect, at the 0.05 significance level, an  $R^2$  increase of 0.18 or greater due to inclusion of AHI in the regression model. Given the primary hypothesis that OSAS contributes to CVD risk independently of obesity, BMI was entered at the first step of the regression model, and AHI (natural-log transformed due to non-normal distribution) was entered at the second step. In a third step, covariates which had potential physiologic significance or confounding (e.g. HR and MAP for CFPWV and for AIx), showed significant mean differences between groups (sex, Tanner staging), or which associated significantly with the outcome variable in question on bivariate correlation analyses were included in a stepwise model serially so as to avoid over-fitting by not exceeding 4 variables in any given regression model. Variables included serially in all models at the stepwise regression stage included age (which can impact all above variables), waist circumference and sagittal abdominal diameter (to evaluate whether central obesity was a predominant contributor over generalized obesity), and HOMA-IR. Any significant predictor of the outcome variable (e.g. age, sex) was kept in the final model while other variables were serially tested.

#### Results

#### **Study Participants**

Thirty-one adolescents were studied. Descriptive characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1. All but four subjects were African-American and only three subjects were Hispanic; thus, data could not be meaningfully analyzed by race and ethnicity. Polysomnography results and cardiovascular risk measure results in table 2. As only four

subjects did not meet pediatric criteria for OSAS (AHI<1.5), differences in cardiovascular parameters between subjects with and without OSAS could not be assessed. No participant was pregnant. Only 16% had HDL<35 and only 3% had triglycerides>150 mg/dL; only two participants had SBPs above the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. One participant's CFPWV, of 7.5 m/sec, was over 5 standard deviations higher than the mean CFPWV value of all other participants (ranging from 4.1–5.9 m/sec); he was excluded from PWV analyses as an outlier. Males had significantly greater mean CCA diameters than females  $(6.7\pm0.4 \text{ vs}, 6.3\pm0.5 \text{ mm}, p=0.02)$ . CIMTmax, CFPWV and AIx values did not differ significantly between males and females (data not shown). Sleep and biochemical parameters did not differ significantly between male and female participants (data not shown). No primary CVD or OSAS risk measure differed significantly among pubertal stages. Of the secondary cardiovascular risk measures examined, only fasting insulin and HOMA-IR differed significantly among pubertal stages, being considerably higher in the mid-pubertal groups than in the end-pubertal group: insulin values were 31.9, 32.5, and 19.1 microIU/mL for Tanner stages 2/3, 4, and 5 respectively, pvalue=0.04, and HOMA-IR values were 7.20, 7.27 and 4.27 for Tanner stages 2/3, 4, and 5 respectively, p-value=0.03.

**A. PREDICTORS OF CAROTID IMT AND DIAMETER**—No significant associations were seen between any measure of OSAS and cIMTmax (table 3). However, a strong negative association was uncovered between CCA diameter and mean  $E_TCO_2$  (table 3 and figure 1). Participants displayed a range of  $E_TCO_2$  values (table 2), with 26% having  $E_TCO_2>50$  torr for >10% of total sleep time, but only two participants met clinical criteria for hypoventilation(28), so the potential contribution of hypoventilation could not be assessed further.

We also examined the relationships between carotid IMT and diameter with other potential predictors, including age, sex, pubertal stage lipoproteins, BMI and other anthropometric adiposity measures. CIMTmax was significantly associated with BMI (r=0.52, p=0.006), but not with waist or neck circumferences. CCA diameter was significantly higher in males than females as shown above. CCA diameter was associated with neck circumference (r=0.39, p=0.033) but not with any other anthropometric variables. Age, blood pressure, lipoproteins (including ApoB), fasting glucose and insulin, HOMA-IR, and hsCRP did not associate significantly with either cIMTmax or carotid diameter (all p-values>0.05, data not shown). Trends were uncovered towards associations between cIMTmax and sagittal abdominal diameter (r=0.40, p=0.050), SBP (r=0.38, p=0.065), HDL (r=0.35, p=0.073) and triglycerides (r=-0.37, p=0.057), but these did not achieve statistical significance.

Hierarchical linear regression analysis further explored the contribution of OSAS vis-à-vis obesity to cIMTmax and carotid diameter (see table 4 for listing of all variables included in regression models). The strongest correlates of cIMTmax were BMI and HDL (table 4); AHI was not a significant statistical predictor of cIMTmax on regression analysis. Neither AHI nor degree of obesity were significant correlates with CCA diameter (table 4) on regression analysis; instead, the primary (negative) correlate was mean  $E_TCO_2$ , with a secondary positive contribution to the model from male sex. BMI, age, SBP Z-score, neck circumference, waist circumference, HOMA-IR and triglycerides were not significant contributors to the CCA diameter regression model. Alternative regression models were

constructed examining the association between CCA diameter and mean  $E_TCO_2$  to elucidate whether potential confounders (e.g. sex) could explain this negative association. Models forced entry of age and sex and (in one model) neck circumference at the first stage, and included mean  $E_TCO_2$  and (in one model) BMI entered stepwise at the second stage. No model contained more than 4 variables. Mean  $E_TCO_2$  remained the strongest correlate of CCA diameter; only sex contributed to any regression model (data not shown).

**B. PREDICTORS OF CFPWV AND Alx**—Significant associations were seen between CFPWV and BMI (r=0.45, p=0.01), age (r=0.42, p=0.02), and pulse pressure (r=0.39, p=0.04). The relationship between BMI and CFPWV is depicted in figure 2. No relationship was seen between CFPWV and any primary OSAS measure (table 3); of the secondary OSAS measures examined, only lowest sleeping heart rate correlated with CFPWV (correlation coefficient = -0.425, p=0.019). No relationship was seen between CFPWV and fasting insulin or glucose, HOMA-IR, MAP, HR, SBP, DBP or their Z-scores, lipoproteins, ApoB, or hsCRP (all p-values>0.05, data not shown).

BMI trended towards associating negatively with AIx (r=-0.35, p=0.055). Other variables associated with AIx included SBP (r=-0.66, p<0.0005), SBP Z-score (r=-0.43, p=0.015), pulse pressure (r=-0.49, p=0.006), MAP (r=-0.40, p=0.026), fasting insulin (r=-0.56, p=0.001) and HOMA-IR (r=-0.53, p=0.002). No primary or secondary OSAS measure was significantly associated with the AIx. No significant associations were seen between AIx and age, HR, DBP and DBP Z-score, lipids, ApoB, hsCRP or fasting glucose (data not shown).

Hierarchical linear regression analysis further explored the contribution of OSAS vs. obesity to CFPWV and central pressure augmentation. The most significant (positive) correlate of CFPWV was BMI, followed by age. AHI was not a significant correlate of CFPWV (table 4) on regression analysis. Only HOMA-IR was a significant correlate of AIx; neither BMI nor AHI were significant statistical predictors of AIx on regression analyses (table 4).

#### Discussion

In this study, we examined the relative contributions of obesity vs. OSAS to indices of macrovascular structure and function related to CVD risk among obese adolescents. We found that BMI was the primary predictor of carotid IMT (a measure of carotid structural changes and a surrogate measure of subclinical atherosclerosis in adults) and CFPWV (a measure of large artery stiffness); OSAS was not an independent predictor. The strongest predictor of the AIx, adjusting for heart rate, was insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), whereas the primary determinants of CCA diameter were mean  $E_TCO_2$  and sex. HDL was a minor predictor of cIMTmax, but no other relationships were found between lipoproteins (including ApoB), fasting glucose and hsCRP and most CVD risk measures examined. SBP was negatively associated with AIx, but the association was attenuated after considering the contributions of insulin resistance and obesity.

While a number of adult studies have linked OSAS with CVD burden, showing an association with atherosclerosis(12), arterial stiffness(32), and cardiovascular events(14)

and/or showing risk reduction with continuous positive airway pressure therapy(33), others have reported no independent association between OSAS and CVD risk independent of obesity(34) or no reduction of CVD risk with OSAS treatment(35). Thus, while OSAS may well increase CVD risk in adults, its impact may be difficult to disentangle from contributions of obesity. Also, studies of adults, who could have suffered from OSAS for decades, may not be directly applicable to adolescents.

Several pediatric studies have shown that carotid IMT is elevated in patients with obesity(36), hypertension and dyslipidemia(37). However, the only study that (to our knowledge) has examined carotid IMT vis-à-vis OSAS in children found no association between OSAS and IMT(38). Our results are in line with those reported by Dubern *et al*(38), despite incorporating additional predictive factors such as pubertal stage and measures of inflammation and dyslipidemia. In contrast, OSAS in children has been independently associated with endothelial dysfunction which was reversible upon OSAS treatment (adenotonsillectomy)(17). Endothelial injury and consequent dysfunction play an important role in atherogenesis(39); endothelial dysfunction is an early vascular disease marker, detectable well before structural changes can be observed(39). This lag between the development of endothelial dysfunction and macrovascular changes may explain the lack of association between AHI and cIMTmax in our study population.

The relationship between OSAS and arterial stiffness has only been examined in one pediatric study of which we are aware. Dubern and colleagues found no association between OSAS and flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) (measuring post-ischemia vasodilatation), but did report that glyceryl trinitrate (GTN)-mediated brachial artery dilatation (endotheliumindependent vasodilatation) was greater in children with more significant nocturnal oxyhemoglobin desaturation(38), which the authors attributed to baseline brachial vasoconstriction in response to chronic hypoxemia. To our knowledge, no previous pediatric study has examined the independent contribution of OSAS to pulse wave velocity or the augmentation index. CFPWV reflects a different aspect of vascular damage than either FMD or IMT(40), since it is directly related to arterial wall stiffness, rather than muscular artery endothelial function. In our study, obesity was the primary correlate of PWV, rather than AHI or gas exchange abnormalities during sleep. Our study suggests that large artery stiffening either is not independently associated with OSAS in children, or that the large artery stiffness in response to chronic oxidative stress reported in adults(41) may take many years to develop. Our study participants with OSAS may not have had the condition for long enough to accrue sufficient damage so as to cause clinically detectable results on PWV or AIx analyses.

In our study, the contribution of insulin resistance to the AIx outweighed contribution of obesity. The association between insulin resistance and AIx has been reported in adolescents previously by Urbina and colleagues(42), but our study is the first to our knowledge to examine the relative contribution of insulin resistance vs. OSAS to AIx. Insulin is a known vasodilator; vasodilatation due to chronic hyperinsulinemia is the likeliest explanation for the lower AIx in individuals with higher values of HOMA-IR. The relationships between insulin resistance and vascular dysfunction should be further explored in children, with examination of the potential role of the SNS and RAA axis.

Our most intriguing finding was the negative relationship between mean asleep  $E_TCO_2$  and common carotid artery diameter. As CO<sub>2</sub> is a known vasodilator, especially of cerebral arteries(43), this negative relationship was unanticipated. The association between  $E_{T}CO_{2}$ and CCA diameter appeared to be independent of both OSAS and obesity, as neither the OSAS measures examined (AHI, sleep fragmentation, oxyhemoglobin desaturation) nor BMI predicted CCA diameter on regression analysis. Colinearity from sex and neck circumference was excluded as a possible explanation, since secondary regression analyses showed no contribution from neck circumference and showed that E<sub>T</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>'s contribution outweighed that of sex. Contribution from obesity-related hypoventilation cannot be excluded, but as only 2 participants met criteria for hypoventilation, this possibility could not be explored further in our cohort. This negative association may relate to hypercapniamediated increased SNS activity(41), which in turn activates the RAA axis(44). Angiotensin II activates NADPH oxidase and enhances superoxide production at the expense of nitric oxide (NO) synthesis(45). Decreased NO production can impair vasodilatation and lead to endothelial dysfunction(45). We speculate that chronic nocturnal hypercapnia may lead to some degree of NO depletion and, over time, decreased carotid dilatation. Alternatively, chronic hypercapnia could (initially) lead to cerebral vasodilatation. In the context of stiffened central arteries, this vasodilatation could facilitate transmission of pulsatile energy into the cerebral microcirculation, which if sustained can lead to microvascular damage, as has been seen in older adults(46). We speculate that compensatory carotid artery narrowing could occur as a cerebroprotective adaptation. This relationship should be further explored in future studies with a larger sample size, and expanding the age range to include young adults.

Our study should be interpreted in the context of its strengths and limitations. We examined a large number of well-validated indices of CVD risk (cIMTmax, carotid diameter, PWV), and examination of contribution of all sex, pubertal status, metabolic syndrome components (waist circumference, BP, triglycerides, HDL, fasting insulin/glucose), other lipoproteins, and inflammation upon the primary relationships of interest. Although our sample size was modest, we were powered to identify correlation coefficients between AHI and outcomes of interest of 0.49 or greater; this is in the range of the correlation coefficients reported in adults between AHI and both cIMTmax and PWV(47). Our study also had some limitations. Our cohort was primarily normotensive and non-dyslipidemic, which may have limited our ability to assess the impact elevated BP or dyslipidemia upon CVD risk. Some studies have found that not every child with OSAS is equally susceptible to its metabolic sequelae(48). Thus, although our participants exhibited a range of OSAS severity, our population could possibly have contained a larger subset of such resistant children. A larger sample size might have allowed more subtle relationships to emerge. Finally, it should be noted that total rather than central adiposity was the primary determinant of macrovascular measurements. Of note, BMI was used in preference to BMI Z-score as the measure of overall obesity because of BMI Z-score's known limitation in obese populations such as this - BMI percentiles are skewed at the higher end, with a wide BMI range becoming compressed into a narrow range of BMI Z-scores(49).

In conclusion, we found that in a cohort of obese adolescents, the primary predictor of measures of carotid structure changes and arterial stiffness was the degree of obesity rather

than OSAS. We speculate that the vascular damage wrought by OSAS takes years to manifest, and thus is infrequently seen in pediatric populations. Future studies should involve a larger cohort of adolescents, and should also examine measures of endothelial function (NO-mediated and not) to assess whether endothelial dysfunction may be the link between OSAS in children and the vascular changes reported in adults. Future studies should also assess the impact of SNS activity and possibly the RAA axis upon the outcomes of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our research participants and their families for their time and efforts in participating in our study, the sleep technicians who oversaw and scored the polysomnography studies, and the research coordinators who helped with study recruitment.

Funding information: The study was supported by NIH KL2 RR024132 and NIH RO1 HL58585.

#### References

- 1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. PRevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the united states, 2011–2012. JAMA. 2014; 311(8):806–14. [PubMed: 24570244]
- Fagot-Campagna A, Pettitt DJ, Engelgau MM, Burrows NR, Geiss LS, Valdez R, et al. Type 2 diabetes among North American children and adolescents: an epidemiologic review and a public health perspective. Journal of Pediatrics. 2000; 136(5):664–72. [PubMed: 10802501]
- Weiss R, Dziura J, Burgert TS, Tamborlane WV, Taksali SE, Yeckel CW, et al. Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350(23):2362–74. [PubMed: 15175438]
- Berenson GS, Srinivasan SR, Bao W, Newman WP 3rd, Tracy RE, Wattigney WA. Association between multiple cardiovascular risk factors and atherosclerosis in children and young adults. The Bogalusa Heart Study. The New England journal of medicine. 1998; 338(23):1650–6. [PubMed: 9614255]
- Olshansky SJ, Passaro DJ, Hershow RC, Layden J, Carnes BA, Brody J, et al. A potential decline in life expectancy in the United States in the 21st century. The New England journal of medicine. 2005; 352(11):1138–45. [PubMed: 15784668]
- Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. The New England journal of medicine. 2002; 346(6):393–403. [PubMed: 11832527]
- Wing RR, Phelan S. Long-term weight loss maintenance. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005; 82(1 Suppl):222S– 5S. [PubMed: 16002825]
- Young T, Skatrud J, Peppard PE. Risk factors for obstructive sleep apnea in adults. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2004; 291(16):2013–6. [PubMed: 15113821]
- 9. American Thoracic Society. Standards and indications for cardiopulmonary sleep studies in children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996; 153(2):866–78. [PubMed: 8564147]
- Prisant LM, Dillard TA, Blanchard AR. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2006; 8(10):746–50. [PubMed: 17028491]
- 11. Tasali E, Mokhlesi B, Van Cauter E. Obstructive sleep apnea and type 2 diabetes: interacting epidemics. Chest. 2008; 133(2):496–506. [PubMed: 18252916]
- Minoguchi K, Yokoe T, Tazaki T, Minoguchi H, Tanaka A, Oda N, et al. Increased carotid intimamedia thickness and serum inflammatory markers in obstructive sleep apnea. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2005; 172(5):625–30. [PubMed: 16120716]
- Drager LF, Silva HB, Bortolotto LA. Increased arterial distensibility and renovascular hypertension in Goldenhar syndrome. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2005; 60(2):173–6. [PubMed: 15880254]

- Shahar E, Whitney CW, Redline S, Lee ET, Newman AB, Javier Nieto F, et al. Sleep-disordered breathing and cardiovascular disease: cross-sectional results of the Sleep Heart Health Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001; 163(1):19–25. [PubMed: 11208620]
- Redline S, Storfer-Isser A, Rosen CL, Johnson NL, Kirchner HL, Emancipator J, et al. Association between metabolic syndrome and sleep-disordered breathing in adolescents. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007; 176(4):401–8. [PubMed: 17541017]
- O'Brien LM, Gozal D. Autonomic dysfunction in children with sleep-disordered breathing. Sleep. 2005; 28(6):747–52. [PubMed: 16477962]
- Gozal D, Kheirandish-Gozal L, Serpero LD, Sans Capdevila O, Dayyat E. Obstructive sleep apnea and endothelial function in school-aged nonobese children: effect of adenotonsillectomy. Circulation. 2007; 116(20):2307–14. [PubMed: 17967978]
- Ogden CL, Kuczmarski RJ, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Guo S, Wei R, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000 growth charts for the United States: improvements to the 1977 National Center for Health Statistics version. Pediatrics. 2002; 109(1):45–60. [PubMed: 11773541]
- Kaditis AG, Finder J, Alexopoulos EI, Starantzis K, Tanou K, Gampeta S, et al. Sleep-disordered breathing in 3,680 Greek children. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2004; 37(6):499–509. [PubMed: 15114550]
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem. 1972; 18(6):499–502. [PubMed: 4337382]
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985; 28(7):412–9. [PubMed: 3899825]
- Grobbee DE, Bots ML. Carotid artery intima-media thickness as an indicator of generalized atherosclerosis. J Intern Med. 1994; 236(5):567–73. [PubMed: 7964435]
- Wendelhag I, Gustavsson T, Suurkula M, Berglund G, Wikstrand J. Ultrasound measurement of wall thickness in the carotid artery: fundamental principles and description of a computerized analysing system. Clin Physiol. 1991; 11(6):565–77. [PubMed: 1769190]
- Takiuchi S, Kamide K, Miwa Y, Tomiyama M, Yoshii M, Matayoshi T, et al. Diagnostic value of carotid intima-media thickness and plaque score for predicting target organ damage in patients with essential hypertension. J Hum Hypertens. 2004; 18(1):17–23. [PubMed: 14688806]
- Cruickshank K, Riste L, Anderson SG, Wright JS, Dunn G, Gosling RG. Aortic pulse-wave velocity and its relationship to mortality in diabetes and glucose intolerance: an integrated index of vascular function? Circulation. 2002; 106(16):2085–90. [PubMed: 12379578]
- 26. Ben-Shlomo Y, Spears M, Boustred C, May M, Anderson SG, Benjamin EJ, et al. Aortic pulse wave velocity improves cardiovascular event prediction: an individual participant meta-analysis of prospective observational data from 17,635 subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 63(7):636–46. [PubMed: 24239664]
- Mitchell GF, Izzo JL Jr, Lacourciere Y, Ouellet JP, Neutel J, Qian C, et al. Omapatrilat reduces pulse pressure and proximal aortic stiffness in patients with systolic hypertension: results of the conduit hemodynamics of omapatrilat international research study. Circulation. 2002; 105(25): 2955–61. [PubMed: 12081987]
- 28. Iber, C. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events : rules, terminology and technical specifications. Vol. 59. Westchester, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2007. American Academy of Sleep Medicine. str. p
- Witmans MB, Keens TG, Davidson Ward SL, Marcus CL. Obstructive hypopneas in children and adolescents: normal values. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003; 168(12):1540. [PubMed: 14668259]
- Traeger N, Schultz B, Pollock AN, Mason T, Marcus CL, Arens R. Polysomnographic values in children 2–9 years old: additional data and review of the literature. Pediatric pulmonology. 2005; 40(1):22–30. [PubMed: 15858805]
- 31. Saletu M, Nosiska D, Kapfhammer G, Lalouschek W, Saletu B, Benesch T, et al. Structural and serum surrogate markers of cerebrovascular disease in obstructive sleep apnea (OSA): association of mild OSA with early atherosclerosis. J Neurol. 2006; 253(6):746–52. [PubMed: 16511651]

- Jelic S, Bartels MN, Mateika JH, Ngai P, DeMeersman RE, Basner RC. Arterial stiffness increases during obstructive sleep apneas. Sleep. 2002; 25(8):850–5. [PubMed: 12489890]
- 33. Campos-Rodriguez F, Martinez-Garcia MA, Reyes-Nuñez N, Caballero-Martinez I, Catalan-Serra P, Almeida-Gonzalez CV. Role of Sleep Apnea and CPAP therapy in the incidence of stroke or coronary heart disease in women. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 2014
- Hagenah GC, Gueven E, Andreas S. Influence of obstructive sleep apnoea in coronary artery disease: A 10-year follow-up. Respir Med. 2006; 100(1):180–2. [PubMed: 16118049]
- 35. Craig SE, Kohler M, Nicoll D, Bratton DJ, Nunn A, Davies R, et al. Continuous positive airway pressure improves sleepiness but not calculated vascular risk in patients with minimally symptomatic obstructive sleep apnoea: the MOSAIC randomised controlled trial. Thorax. 2012; 67(12):1090–6. [PubMed: 23111478]
- Stabouli S, Kotsis V, Papamichael C, Constantopoulos A, Zakopoulos N. Adolescent obesity is associated with high ambulatory blood pressure and increased carotid intimal-medial thickness. J Pediatr. 2005; 147(5):651–6. [PubMed: 16291358]
- Dawson JD, Sonka M, Blecha MB, Lin W, Davis PH. Risk factors associated with aortic and carotid intima-media thickness in adolescents and young adults: the Muscatine Offspring Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 53(24):2273–9. [PubMed: 19520251]
- Dubern B, Aggoun Y, Boule M, Fauroux B, Bonnet D, Tounian P. Arterial alterations in severely obese children with obstructive sleep apnoea. Int J Pediatr Obes. 2010; 5(3):230–6. [PubMed: 20210676]
- Davignon J, Ganz P. Role of endothelial dysfunction in atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2004; 109(23 Suppl 1):III27–32. [PubMed: 15198963]
- 40. Koivistoinen T, Virtanen M, Hutri-Kahonen N, Lehtimaki T, Jula A, Juonala M, et al. Arterial pulse wave velocity in relation to carotid intima-media thickness, brachial flow-mediated dilation and carotid artery distensibility: the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study and the Health 2000 Survey. Atherosclerosis. 2012; 220(2):387–93. [PubMed: 21871623]
- 41. Bradley TD, Floras JS. Sleep apnea and heart failure: Part I: obstructive sleep apnea. Circulation. 2003; 107(12):1671–8. [PubMed: 12668504]
- 42. Urbina EM, Gao Z, Khoury PR, Martin LJ, Dolan LM. Insulin resistance and arterial stiffness in healthy adolescents and young adults. Diabetologia. 2012; 55(3):625–31. [PubMed: 22193511]
- Patterson JL Jr, Heyman A, Battey LL, Ferguson RW. Threshold of response of the cerebral vessels of man to increase in blood carbon dioxide. J Clin Invest. 1955; 34(12):1857–64. [PubMed: 13271571]
- Gordon RD, Kuchel O, Liddle GW, Island DP. Role of the sympathetic nervous system in regulating renin and aldosterone production in man. J Clin Invest. 1967; 46(4):599–605. [PubMed: 6021207]
- 45. Durgan DJ, Bryan RM. Cerebrovascular Consequences of Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2012; 1(4)
- 46. Mitchell GF, van Buchem MA, Sigurdsson S, Gotal JD, Jonsdottir MK, Kjartansson O, et al. Arterial stiffness, pressure and flow pulsatility and brain structure and function: the Age, Gene/ Environment Susceptibility--Reykjavik study. Brain. 2011; 134(Pt 11):3398–407. [PubMed: 22075523]
- Drager LF, Bortolotto LA, Lorenzi MC, Figueiredo AC, Krieger EM, Lorenzi-Filho G. Early signs of atherosclerosis in obstructive sleep apnea. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2005; 172(5):613–8. [PubMed: 15901608]
- Gozal D, Crabtree VM, Sans Capdevila O, Witcher LA, Kheirandish-Gozal L. C-reactive protein, obstructive sleep apnea, and cognitive dysfunction in school-aged children. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2007; 176(2):188–93. [PubMed: 17400731]
- 49. Woo JG. Using body mass index Z-score among severely obese adolescents: a cautionary note. Int J Pediatr Obes. 2009; 4(4):405–10. [PubMed: 19922058]









#### Table 1

Baseline subject characteristics and anthropometric measurements

Characteristic	Mean ± S.D. or N (%)
Ν	31
Age (years)	$14.7 \pm 1.8$
Male Sex	19 (61%)
Tanner Stage:	
Tanner 2	3 (10%)
Tanner 3	2 (7%)
Tanner 4	4 (13%)
Tanner 5	22 (71%)
Height (cm)	$168.8\pm8.6$
Weight (kg)	$102.3\pm22.5$
BMI (kg/m2)	$35.8\pm 6.6$
BMI Z-score	$2.32\pm0.40$
Waist circumference (cm)	$108.7 \pm 16.1$
Sagittal abodminal diameter (cm)	$24.2 \pm 2.25$
Neck circumference (cm)	$40.4 \pm 2.7$

BMI=body mass index; cm=centimeter; m=meter; kg=kilogram; S.D.= standard deviation.

Author Manuscript

#### Table 2

Polysomnography and cardiovascular risk measure results

Characteristic	Mean ± S.D. or N (%)	Median (interquartile range)*
Sleep measures		
TST (minutes) <sup><math>\dot{\tau}</math></sup>		439 (420, 472)
Arousal index (events/hour sleep) $^{\dagger}$	$11.5 \pm 9.4$	9 (5, 12)
SpO <sub>2</sub> nadir (%) $^{\dagger}$	87.2 ± 8.7	91 (83, 93)
Mean SpO <sub>2</sub> (%)	97.0 ± 1.1	
AHI (events/hour sleep) $^{\dagger}$	11.0 ± 17.3	
Distribution:		
AHI <1.5	N = 4 (13%)	2.8 (1.7, 12.2)
AHI 1.5-<5	N = 16 (51%)	
AHI 5-<10	N = 3 (10%)	
AHI 10	N = 8 (26%)	
Peak E <sub>T</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg)	54.3 ± 4.5	
Mean E <sub>T</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg)	$45.5\pm2.8$	
Time $E_T CO_2 > 50$ torr (% TST) <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>		1 (0, 13)
Primary (imaging) cardiovascular ris	k outcome measures	
Maximal carotid IMT (cIMTmax; mm)	$0.51\pm0.06$	
CCA diameter (mm)	$6.56\pm0.48$	
CFPWV (m/sec)	5.0 ± 0.5	
Augmentation index (AP/PP)	$-32.87 \pm 12.76$	
Other cardiovascular risk outcome m	easures	
i. Biochemical:		
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.78 ± 0.67	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	$1.17\pm0.28$	
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	$1.89 \pm 0.78$	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	$2.20\pm0.54$	
Non-HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) $^{\dagger}$		2.56 (2.12, 2.87)
Apolipoprotein B (g/L)	$0.69 \pm 0.15$	

Characteristic	Mean ± S.D. or N (%)	Median (interquartile range)*
High-sensitivity CRP (nmol/L) $^{\dagger}$		15.91 (7.43, 69.33)
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	$5.05 \pm 0.28$	
Fasting insulin (pmol/L) $^{\dagger}$		139.2 (110.5, 215.3)
HOMA-IR $^{\dagger}$		4.5 (3.2, 6.5)
ii. Blood pressure:		
SBP (mm Hg)	$112 \pm 10$	
DBP (mm Hg)	$60 \pm 5$	
Pulse pressure (mm Hg)	53 ± 10	
Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)	$77 \pm 4.9$	
Systolic Blood Pressure Z-score	$-0.87\pm0.94$	
Diastolic Blood Pressure Z-score		-0.6 (-0.8, -0.3)

 $^{\dagger}$ Non-normally distributed variable. Median and percentiles given for non-normally-distributed variables only

Legend: TST=total sleep time; AHI=apnea-hypopnea index; ETCO2=end-tidal CO2; IMT=intima-media thickness; CCA=common carotid artery; CFPWV=carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity; AP=augmentation pressure; PP=pulse pressure; CRP=C-reactive protein; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR=homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance

	AHI <sup>†</sup>	Arousal Index $\dot{\tau}$	SpO₂ nadir <sup>†</sup>	Mean SpO <sub>2</sub>	Peak sleep $E_{T}CO_{2}$	Mean sleep E <sub>T</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>
cIMTmax	0.02 (0.91)	0.03 (0.89)	-0.27 (0.17)	0.24 (0.23)	0.14(0.50)	0.12 (0.55)
CCA diameter	0.07 (0.70)	-0.01(0.95)	0.04 (0.83)	-0.18 (0.32)	0.28 (0.13)	-0.625 (<0.0005)
CFPWV $^{\dagger}$	0.16(0.40)	0.29 (0.12)	-0.02 (0.93)	-0.17 (0.38)	-0.13 (0.48)	-0.19 (0.31)
AIx (AP/PP)	0.01 (0.96)	-0.03 (0.88)	0.24 (0.20)	0.24 (0.10)	0.11 (0.55)	0.01 (0.95)

Numbers represent Pearson correlation coefficients unless otherwise noted.

 ${}^{\dagger}\mathrm{Spearman}$  correlation analysis. Numbers in bold indicate significant association.

Legend: CFPWV= carotid-femoral pulse-wave velocity; CCA=common carotid artery; cIMTmax = mean maximal carotid intima-media thickness; AIx=augmentation index (AP/PP); SpO2=oxyhemoglobin saturation.

## Table 4

Regression Analyses - correlates of cardiovascular disease risk measures

R: change         C: change <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>, , ,</th><th></th><th></th><th><b>Overall model adjusted</b></th><th></th></t<>			, , ,			<b>Overall model adjusted</b>	
Marinal carrotid MT         0.553         0.004         0.157           BML enterst         0.191         0.026         0.593         0.004         0.157           Mer BML, LA, HH, HDL (step-wise) adds         0.166         0.203         -0.171         0.365         0.182           Arer BML, LA, HH, HDL (step-wise) adds         0.166         0.021         0.424         0.031         0.182           Arer BML, LA, HH, LDL (step-wise) adds         0.166         0.021         0.424         0.031         0.333           Arer BML, LA, HH, LDL (step-wise) adds         0.021         0.457         0.023         0.334         0.333           BML anterst diameter         0.021         0.457         0.023         0.368         -0.016         0.334           Arer BML, LA, HH, forecel entry): NO VARIABLES         0.234         0.021         0.352         0.001         0.353         0.031           Arer BML, LA, AHH (steed entry): NO VARIABLES         0.364         0.012         0.365         0.016         0.354           Arer BML, LA, AHH (steed entry): NO VARIABLES         0.212         0.212         0.212         0.021         0.234           Arer BML, LA, AHH, steen E, CO <sub>2</sub> , stee wise) adds:         0.127         0.018         0.385         0.454      <		R <sup>2</sup> change	<u>R<sup>2</sup> change p-value</u>	<u>Variable Std B-coeff.</u>	<u>B-coeff. p-value</u>	<u>R<sup>2</sup></u>	Overall model p-valu
BMI entered         0.191         0.026         0.593         0.04         0.157           Arer BMI, LN, AHI (forced entry) adds:         0.056         0.203         -0.171         0.365         0.182           Arer BMI, LN, AHI (forced entry) adds:         0.056         0.203         -0.171         0.365         0.182           Arer BMI, LN, AHI (forced entry) adds:         0.166         0.021         0.424         0.021         0.335           Earobid artery diameter         0.02         0.463         0.023         0.898         -0.033           Mireneed         0.021         0.47         0.023         0.898         -0.033           Arer BMi, LN, AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.021         0.457         0.023         0.898         -0.033           Arer BMi, LN, AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.264         0.001         -0.562         0.001         0.354           Arer BMi, LN, AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.364         0.012         0.358         0.001         0.353           Arer BMi, LN, AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.021         0.367         0.021         0.366         0.031           Arer BMi, LN, AHI (for entred) adds:         0.127         0.018         0.368         0.361         0.361	<u>Maximal carotid IMT</u>						
After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry) adds:         0.056         0.203         -0.171         0.365         0.182           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry) adds         0.166         0.021         0.424         0.021         0.333           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.02         0.463         -0.069         0.666         -0.016           BMI entered         0.02         0.463         0.021         0.453         0.023         0.334           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.021         0.463         0.023         0.8898         -0.016         -0.033           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.021         0.463         0.021         0.354         -0.033           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.324         0.031         -0.355         0.018         0.334           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.324         0.013         0.335         0.018         0.334           After BM1. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.324         0.013         0.335         0.454         0.021         0.334           After BM1. LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.127         0.013         0.345         0.454         0.26           After BM1. LN_AHI (entered) adds:<	<u>BMI</u> entered	0.191	0.026	0.593	0.004	0.157	0.02
After BMRLN_AHI, HDL (step-wise) adds       0.166       0.021       0.424       0.021       0.333         Carotid arter/dimeter       0.02       0.463       -0.069       0.666       -0016         BMI entered       0.021       0.457       0.023       0.8898       -0033         After BMI, LN_AHI (forced entry); NO VARIABLES       0.021       0.457       0.023       0.8898       -0033         After BMI, LN_AHI (forced entry); NO VARIABLES       0.21       0.457       0.023       0.8898       -0033         After BMI, LN_AHI (forced entry); NO VARIABLES       0.21       0.344       0.01       -0.562       0.001       0.334         After BMI, LN_AHI (forced entry); NO VARIABLES       0.217       0.018       0.3898       0.454       0.454         After BMI, LN_AHI (memed) adds:       0.127       0.018       0.385       0.454       0.454         After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:       0.29       0.018       0.42       0.01       0.26         After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:       0.17       0.01       0.42       0.01       0.34         After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:       0.17       0.42       0.01       0.26       0.41       0.24         After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:       0.17	After BMI, LN_AHI (forced entry) adds:	0.056	0.203	-0.171	0.365	0.182	0.03
Carotid artery diameter         0.02         0.463         -0.069         0.666         -0.015         -0.013         0.023         0.8898         -0.033         -0.034         -0.033         -0.034         -0.034         -0.034         -0.034         -0.26         -0.014         -0.26	After BMI&LN_AHI, HDL (step-wise) adds	0.166	0.021	0.424	0.021	0.333	0.00
BMIentered $0.02$ $0.453$ $-0.069$ $0.666$ $-0.016$ After BMI, LN, AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES $0.021$ $0.457$ $0.023$ $0.8898$ $-0.033$ After BMI, LN, AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES $0.021$ $0.457$ $0.023$ $0.8898$ $-0.033$ After BMI, LN, AHI, mean ErCO <sub>2</sub> (stepwise) adds: $0.364$ $0.001$ $-0.562$ $0.001$ $0.334$ After BMI, LN, AHI, mean ErCO <sub>2</sub> , male sex adds: $0.127$ $0.018$ $0.385$ $0.018$ $0.454$ After BMI, LN, AHI (entered) adds: $0.127$ $0.018$ $0.385$ $0.018$ $0.345$ After BMI, LN, AHI (entered) adds: $0.023$ $0.766$ $-0.14$ $0.01$ $0.26$ After BMI, LN, AHI (entered) adds: $0.017$ $0.01$ $0.76$ $0.011$ $0.24$ $0.24$ After BMI, LN, AHI (entered) adds: $0.127$ $0.012$ $0.011$ $0.41$ $0.24$ After BMI, LN, AHI (entered) adds: $0.126$ $0.011$ $0.42$ $0.01$ $0.23$ After BMI,	Carotid artery diameter						
After BMI. LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES         0.021         0.457         0.023         0.8898 $-0.033$ ENTERED MODEL *         0.754         0.001 $-0.562$ 0.001 $0.334$ After BMI. LN_AHI, mean $E_{7}CO_{2}$ , male sex adds:         0.127         0.018 $0.385$ 0.018 $0.334$ After BMI. LN_AHI & mean $E_{7}CO_{2}$ , male sex adds:         0.127         0.018 $0.385$ $0.018$ $0.354$ After BMI. LN_AHI & mean $E_{7}CO_{2}$ , male sex adds: $0.127$ $0.018$ $0.385$ $0.018$ $0.354$ After BMI. LN_AHI & mean $E_{7}CO_{2}$ , male sex adds: $0.127$ $0.018$ $0.385$ $0.018$ $0.345$ After BMI. LN_AHI (entered) adds: $0.029$ $0.003$ $0.76$ $-0.14$ $0.29$ $0.36$ After BMI. LN_AHI (entered) adds: $0.175$ $0.01$ $0.42$ $0.01$ $0.39$ After BMI. LN_AHI (entered) adds: $0.125$ $0.01$ $0.42$ $0.01$ $0.39$ After BMI. LN_AHI (entered) adds: $0.125$ $0.01$ $0.42$ $0.01$ $0.39$ After	<u>BMI</u> entered	0.02	0.463	-0.069	0.666	-0.016	0.46
After BMI&LN_AHI, mean $F_rCO_2$ (stepwise) adds:         0.364         0.001         -0.562         0.001         0.334           After BMI, LN_AHI & mean $F_rCO_2$ , male sex adds:         0.127         0.018         0.385         0.018         0.454           After BMI, LN_AHI & mean $F_rCO_2$ , male sex adds:         0.127         0.018         0.385         0.018         0.454           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.29         0.003         0.64         0.001         0.26           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.003         0.76         -0.14         0.41         0.24           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.01         0.35           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.01         0.36           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.01         0.35           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.125         0.056         -0.117         0.602         0.037         0.037         0.037	After BMI, LN_AHI (forced entry): NO VARIABLES ENTERED MODEL *	0.021	0.457	0.023	0.8898	-0.033	0.57
After BMI, LN_AHI& mean $F_rCO_2$ , male sex adds:0.1270.0180.3850.0180.454CFPWVCFPWV0.120.0130.1240.26BMI entered0.290.0030.76-0.140.210.26BMI entered0.030.76-0.140.410.26After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:0.170.010.760.010.26After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:0.170.010.760.010.26After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:0.1250.056-0.1170.6020.093Autometation index AP/PP (AIx)0.1250.056-0.1170.6020.093After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:0.1350.056-0.1170.6020.093After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:0.1360.037-0.4420.0370.181	After BMI&LN_AHI, mean $E_TCO_2$ (stepwise) adds:	0.364	0.001	-0.562	0.001	0.334	0.00
CFPWV         0.29         0.003         0.64         0.01         0.26           BMI entered         0.17         0.17         0.14         0.41         0.24           After BMI, LN_AHI (enterd) adds:         0.003         0.76         -0.14         0.41         0.24           After BMI, LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.39           After BMI&LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.39           After BMI&LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:         0.125         0.01         0.42         0.39           Augmentation index AP/TP (AIX)         After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.125         0.056         -0.117         0.39           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.037         -0.038         0.844         0.065           After BMI&LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds:         0.136         0.037         -0.442         0.037         0.181	After BMI, LN_AHI& mean E <sub>T</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> , male sex adds:	0.127	0.018	0.385	0.018	0.454	0.00
BMI entered         0.29         0.03         0.64         0.01         0.26           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.03         0.76         -0.14         0.41         0.24           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.03         0.76         -0.14         0.41         0.24           After BMI, LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.01         0.39           Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)         0.17         0.01         0.42         0.01         0.39           Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)         0.125         0.015         0.017         0.602         0.093           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.056         -0.117         0.602         0.093           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.136         0.638         -0.038         0.844         0.065	CFPWV						
After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:       0.003       0.76       -0.14       0.41       0.24         After BMI&LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:       0.17       0.01       0.42       0.01       0.39         After BMI&LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:       0.17       0.01       0.42       0.01       0.39         Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)       1       0.125       0.056       -0.117       0.602       0.093         After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:       0.005       0.698       -0.038       0.844       0.055         After BMI&LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds:       0.136       0.037       -0.442       0.037       0.181	<u>BMI</u> entered	0.29	0.003	0.64	0.001	0.26	0.0
After BMI&LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:         0.17         0.01         0.39         0.39           Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)         0.17         0.01         0.39         0.39           Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)         0.125         0.056         -0.117         0.602         0.093           BMI entered         0.125         0.698         -0.038         0.844         0.065           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.136         0.037         -0.442         0.037         0.181	After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:	0.003	0.76	-0.14	0.41	0.24	0.01
Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)         0.602         0.093           BMI entered         0.125         0.056         -0.117         0.602         0.093           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.005         0.698         -0.038         0.844         0.065           After BMI, LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds:         0.136         0.037         -0.442         0.037         0.181	After BMI&LN_AHI, Age (step-wise) adds:	0.17	0.01	0.42	0.01	0.39	0.00
BMI entered         0.125         0.056         -0.117         0.602         0.093           After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.005         0.698         -0.038         0.844         0.065           After BMI&LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds:         0.136         0.037         -0.442         0.037         0.181	<u>Augmentation index AP/PP (AIx)</u>						
After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:         0.005         0.698         -0.038         0.844         0.065           After BMI&LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds:         0.136         0.037         -0.42         0.037         0.181	<u>BMI entered</u>	0.125	0.056	-0.117	0.602	0.093	0.05
After BMI&LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds: 0.136 0.037 -0.442 0.037 0.181	After BMI, LN_AHI (entered) adds:	0.005	0.698	-0.038	0.844	0.065	0.15
	After BMI&LN_AHI, LN_HOMA-IR (stepwise) adds:	0.136	0.037	-0.442	0.037	0.181	0.04

Int J Obes (Lond). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 January 01.

• CIMTmax: After BMI, LN\_AHI and HDL, serial secondary models included the following non-significantly-contributing variables in turn: SBP Z-score, age, TGs, waist circumference, LN\_HOMA-IR, sagittal abdominal diameter.

• CCA diameter: After BMI, LN\_AHI, mean ETCO2: age, TGS, SBP-z-score, neck circumference, waist circumference, LN\_HOMA-IR.

• CFPWV: After BMI, LN\_AHI, and age: HR (awake), lowest asleep HR, SBP Z-score, MAP, sex, waist circumference, LN\_HOMA-IR.

• <u>AIX</u>: After BMI, LN\_AHI, and LN\_HOMA-IR: age, HR, MAP, SBP Z-score, pulse pressure, waist circumference.

\* No variables entered – neither BMI nor LN\_AHI were significant predictors of CCA diameter

.....

# Author Manuscript

# Author Manuscript

Legend: CFPWV= carotid-femoral pulse-wave velocity; CCA=common carotid artery cIMTmax = maximal carotid intima-media thickness; AIx=augmentation index (AP/PP); BMI=body mass index; AHI=apnea hypopnea index; LN=natural log; ETCO2=end-tidal CO2; HDL=high-density lipoprotein; SBP=systolic blood pressure; HOMA-IR=homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HR=heart rate; MAP=mean arterial pressure; TGs=triglycerides