Research Article



Association between genetic polymorphisms and osteonecrosis in steroid treatment populations: a detailed stratified and dose-response meta-analysis

Jun Yang, Ming Jing and Xiaoge Yang

Department of Orthopedics & Traumatology, Yuxi Municipal Hospital of TCM, Yunnan Province 653100, P.R. China

Correspondence: Jun Yang (yangjun1180@126.com)



Steroid treatment has become recognized as an important risk factor for avascular osteonecrosis of the femoral head. However, not all patients who receive long-term, high-dose steroids develop osteonecrosis, indicating that there are individual differences in occurrence.

We explored the relationship between polymorphisms and steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head (SONFH) incidence with variables. We used a multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model, which is an expansion of logistic regression, for each type of steroid, primary disease, drug dose, applied duration, and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). We also conducted a dose-response meta-analysis to analyze the cumulative dosage and SONFH risk in mutation carriers. There were significant correlations between the ABCB1 rs1045642 mutant and SONFH in the prednisone-use and methylprednisolone/prednisone-use populations. The ABCB1 rs2032582 mutant homozygote had a protective effect in the methylprednisolone/prednisolone renal transplant population. For ApoB rs693, mutation increased the incidence of SONFH in prednisone-use and methylprednisolone/prednisolone-use populations and renal transplant patients. For ApoB rs1042031, mutation increased the risk of SONFH in the prednisone-use population. The PAI-1 rs1799768 mutation had a protective effect on the SONFH risk prednisone-use and renal transplant populations. ABCB1 rs1045642 mutations have a protective effect against SONFH, and ApoB rs693 and rs1042031 increase the SONFH risk. Cumulative dosage and treatment duration had little effect on the results. In addition, there was a dose-effect correlation in ABCB1 rs1045642 and rs2032582 mutation carriers.

Introduction

Steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head (SONFH), which leads to collapse of the femoral head and articular dysfunction, has an incidence of 9–40% amongst patients receiving steroid treatment [1]. The exact pathology of SONFH is still unclear and might be related to lipid metabolism disorders, abnormal microcirculation, insufficient blood supply, inflammation, and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell osteogenesis differentiation dysfunction. The abnormal blood supply leads to the apoptosis of osteocytes and osteoblasts, followed by bone loss and reduced bone mineral density [2]. Lipid metabolism dysfunction is also an important pathology, and steroid application may lead to an increase in blood lipid levels and then to the development of intravascular lipid embolism in the microvasculature [3]. Embolism accumulation might affect microcirculation and increase the pressure of the intramedullary cavity, eventually leading to the death of bone cells. Long-term or mass steroid application is the critical pathogenesis of SONFH [4].

Received: 03 January 2019 Revised: 15 March 2019 Accepted: 16 March 2019

Accepted Manuscript Online: 17 April 2019 Version of Record published: 14 May 2019



However, not all patients who receive long-term, high-dose steroids will develop SONFH, indicating that there are individual differences in the occurrence of SONFH.

To date, several meta-analyses have been published and shown that PAI-1 4G/5G (rs1799768) [5], ABCB1 C3435T (rs1045642) [5–7] and CYP3A activity [8] are associated with SONFH incidence. However, the results of the ABCB1 G2677T/A polymorphism are still disputed [5–7]. Our previous research reported only the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that appeared in more than three studies and indicated that ABCB1 rs1045642 has a protective effect on SONFH in an allelic model and that the ApoB rs693 and rs1042031 mutations promote the pathogenesis of SONFH. ABCB1 rs2032582, MTHFR rs1801133, and PAI-1 rs1799768 were not correlated with SONFH incidence. However, heterogeneity still exists in the previous results, and further analysis of the characteristics of the included studies is needed. Therefore, this research further analyzes the effects of primary disease, type of steroids, cumulative steroid dosage, and treatment duration on the results.

Methods

We used the meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology guidelines in the present study [9].

Data source and search strategy

Two authors independently performed a literature search of the PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Chinese public databases, including the China National Knowledge Infrastructure, the China Biology Medicine Database, the China Science Periodical Database (Wanfang Database), and the VIP Journal Integration Platform. The search included studies published through 29 July 2018. The following terms were used in the search strategy: hormone, glucocorticoid, steroid, corticosteroid, osteonecrosis, femoral, femur, femoris, whirlbone, polymorphism, SNP, genetic, mutation, genotype, allele, allelic, and variation. The search strategy is shown in Supplementary Table S1. Divergence in the search results was resolved by discussion.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The studies were included in our meta-analysis if they met the following criteria: (1) case-control or cohort studies comparing a population that suffered SONFH with a population that did not suffer after steroid treatment, (2) studies assessing the associations between genetic polymorphisms and SONFH, and (3) studies reporting the frequencies of specific alleles or the effect sizes of individual genotypes between cases and controls. Studies were excluded from the analysis for the following reasons: (1) noncase-control or noncohort studies, (2) the case group included SONFH patients with other etiologies or SONFH patients who were not reported separately, (3) the control group included an ONFH population without steroid application or a healthy population, (4) non-SNP-related studies, (5) studies about family heredity, and (6) studies that did not report data pertaining to allelic frequencies or effect size. In addition, conference reports, editor comments, reviews, case reports, and academic dissertations were excluded from the analysis.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors independently extracted the following data from each eligible study: first author's name, publication year, research location, sample size, average subject age, primary disease, steroid type, cumulative steroid dose, treatment duration, and genes of interest. If the average or median value of the cumulative steroid dosage in each group was not reported, it was estimated. The cumulative dose was generally calculated by multiplying the daily average dose and the treatment duration. For studies with a fixed treatment strategy, the cumulative dosage was calculated by multiplying the dosage of each treatment cycle by the total number of cycles. In the present study, we defined the average body surface area as 1.6 m^2 and the average body weight as 60 kg. For closed-interval categories, the value assigned to each dosage or duration, such as the average or median, was the midpoint. For open-interval categories, the value studies, it was necessary to convert the doses of different types of steroids to the equivalent dose of prednisone. The conversion standard is 5 mg prednisone = 5 mg prednisolone = 4 mg methylprednisolone = 0.75 mg dexamethasone [11]. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), a validated tool for evaluating the quality of observation studies, was used to evaluate the methodological quality of the included studies; the scale includes the following three subscales: selection, comparability, and exposure [12].





Figure 1. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis flow chart illustrating the process by which the studies included in our analysis were selected

Statistical analysis

Association analysis was performed using five genetic models: allelic (W vs M), dominant (WW+WM vs MM), recessive (WW vs WM+MM), heterozygous (WM vs MM), and homozygous (WW vs MM) models [13]. W is the wild allele and M is the mutation allele. The odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% CIs were used to assess the strength of the associations between polymorphisms and SONFH incidence. The I² statistic was used to estimate the degree of heterogeneity amongst the studies. Cochran's Q statistics were also taken as measures of between-study heterogeneity. Generally, a significance level of P < 0.1 suggests the existence of significant heterogeneity to expand a test's sensitivity [13]. If the I² \geq 50% (Q test, P < 0.1), the random-effect model was used; otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. Then, we attempted to use a multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model, which is an expansion of logistic regression, for each type of steroid, primary disease, drug dose, applied duration, and SNP. The factors of different genotypes and other variables were included as fixed effects, and different studies were considered random effects.

Finally, we conducted a dose-response meta-analysis across the cumulative steroid dosage [14]. To derive the dose-response curve, we modeled the dose using restricted cubic splines with three knots at fixed percentiles of 10, 50, and 90% of the distribution [15]. All tests were two-tailed, and a p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant except in Cochran's Q test.

Results

Our research returned 287 English articles and 305 Chinese articles after removing duplicates. After screening the titles and abstracts, 509 of these articles were excluded. The full texts of 83 articles were assessed, amongst which studies were excluded for the following reasons: the control group did not receive steroid therapy (26); reviews (8);



Genes	Location	SNPs	Models	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p value	12
ABCB1	Multinational	rs1045642	Allelic model	0.74 (0.55, 1.00)	0.046	50.20
			Heterozygous model	0.80 (0.61, 1.05)	0.117	0%
			Homozygous model	0.48 (0.20, 1.15)	0.101	67.1
			Dominant model	0.78 (0.61, 1.00)	0.051	3.80
			Recessive model	0.57 (0.24, 1.36)	0.210	71.8
ABCB1	China	rs1045642	Allelic model	0.84 (0.54, 1.31)	0.434	67.0
			Heterozygous model	0.83 (0.60, 1.15)	0.263	0.00
			Homozygous model	0.64 (0.18, 2.27)	0.493	79.0
			Dominant model	0.78 (0.57, 1.06)	0.111	11.9
			Recessive model	0.72 (0.21, 2.47)	0.601	80.4
ABCB1	Multinational	rs2032582	Allelic model	0.85 (0.58, 1.24)	0.382	63.4
			Heterozygous model	0.78 (0.56, 1.08)	0.138	11.7
			Homozygous model	0.68 (0.32, 1.43)	0.300	60.10
			Dominant model	0.81 (0.59, 1.11)	0.167	39.3
			Recessive model	0.85 (0.45, 1.62)	0.633	62.2
ARCR1	China	m2032582	Allelic model	097(060.158)	0.014	70.6
NDCDT	Crima	132032302	Hateromanur model	0.90 (0.55, 1.16)	0.221	41.0
			Hereituzygous model	0.05 (0.33, 1.10)	0.743	60.7
			Pomozygous model	0.83 (0.52, 2.23)	0.742	63.7
			Dominant model	0.82 (0.45, 1.50)	0.528	57.7
			Recessive model	1.10 (0.49, 2.45)	0.819	68.3
кров	Multihational	12032	Allelic model	2.63 (0.92, 7.33)	0.072	58.0
			Heterozygous model	2/46 (1.2/, 4.7/)	0.008	54.5
			Homozygous model	7.70 (1.23, 48.18)	0.029	24.4
			Dominant model	2.99 (1.71, 5.22)	<0.001	31.4
			Recessive model	7.16 (1.19, 43.05)	0.031	32.1
Apo8	China	r\$693	Allelic model	2.82 (0.51, 15.58)	0.235	72.2
			Heterozygous model	1.59 (0.32, 7.88)	0.570	70.2
			Homozygous model	16.17 (0.89, 293.38)	0.060	
			Dominant model	2.41 (0.54, 10.79)	0.252	62.4
			Recessive model	16.17 (0.89, 293.38)	0.060	
Apo8	Multinational	rs1042031	Dominant model	2.90 (1.49, 5.65)	0.002	50.3
Apo8	China	rs1042031	Dominant model	4.81 (2.05, 11.30)	< 0.001	0.00
MTHFR	Multinational	rs1801133	Allelic model	0.92 (0.59, 1.44)	0.710	12.9
			Heterozygous model	0.62 (0.33, 1.17)	0.144	22.1
			Homozygous model	1.24 (0.48, 3.21)	0.653	0%
			Dominant model	0.94 (0.61, 1.45)	0.775	23.4
			Recessive model	1.54 (0.63, 3.76)	0.339	0%
PAI-1	Multinational	rs1799768	Allelic model	0.55 (0.23, 1.33)	0.193	83.9
			Heterozygous model	0.47 (0.19, 1.16)	0.099	68.1
			Homozygous model	0.38 (0.07, 2.05)	0.258	77.5
			Dominant model	0.44 (0.14, 1.36)	0.146	82.8
			Recessive model	0.60 (0.17, 2.12)	0.429	63.5
				•		
			.00341	Mutation decrease SONEH risk Mutation increase SONEH risk		
				mutation decrease somethisk mutation inclease somethisk		

Figure 2. Forest plot of SONFH risk of SNP carriers that are included in more than three studies by traditional meta-analysis

non-SNP-related studies (5); basic studies (3); studies without frequencies or effect size results (2); non-steroid-related ONFH studies (2); case reports (2); microRNA-related studies (2); duplicated reports (2); and heredity SONFH study (1). Ultimately, we included 30 studies that assessed a total of 7553 patients in our meta-analysis [16–45] (Figure 1, Table 1).

All studies were case-control designs, except one study, which was a cohort design [18]. The primary diseases included organ transplantation, hematological disease, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), nephrotic syndrome, and ophthalmic disease. In addition, two studies by Wei He [30,31], two studies by Weibao Fang [27,28], and studies by Masaaki and Kyoko [32,35] included the same patient groups but did not assess the same SNPs. The NOS scores ranged from six to nine points, and the overall quality of the observational studies was ideal (Table 1). The details of NOS scores for each study are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

Amongst the preliminary results of SNPs that were included in more than three studies, an allelic model showed that the ABCB1 rs1045642 mutation had a protective effect against SONFH (OR: 0.74; 95% CI: 0.55–1.00; P=0.046). ApoB rs693 (heterozygous model: OR: 2.46; 95% CI: 1.27–4.77; P=0.008; homozygous model: OR: 7.70; 95% CI: 1.23–48.16; P=0.029; dominant model: OR: 2.99; 95% CI: 1.71–5.21; P<0.001; recessive model: OR: 7.16; 95% CI: 1.19–43.02; P=0.031) and rs1042031 (dominant model: OR: 2.90; 95% CI: 1.49–5.66; P=0.002) mutations increased the risk of SONFH. ABCB1 rs2032582, MTHFR rs1801133, and PAI-1 rs1799768 had no significant relationships with SONFH (Figure 2). Other SNPs were not included in the analysis because they did not appear in more than three studies. A further analysis was performed in the present study using a multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model. The details of the included studies for each group analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S3.

In the results of the ABCB1 rs1045642 multilevel mixed-effects regression model, the mutant homozygote and the mutant carrier could significantly protect against SONFH after steroid application (TT vs CC genotype: OR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.34–0.76; P=0.001; TC/TT vs CC: OR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.60–0.98; P=0.036). The results showed no significant changes in the cumulative dosage and treatment duration. According to the analyses of categorical variables of steroid type, in the prednisone-use population, mutant homozygotes had a significant protective effect compared with the wild-type population (TT vs CC: OR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.31–0.94; P=0.029), and the results were similar in the methylprednisolone/prednisone-use population (TT vs CC: OR: 0.24; 95% CI: 0.08–0.71; P=0.010). The analysis of

				Average			Cumulative	Cumulative dose in Control	Treatment		NOS
Author	Year	Local	Sample size	age#	Type of steroids	Primary disease	group	group	duration	Genes	score
Zhao et al. [16]	2017	China	193 (78/115)	40 (18–48)	Prednisone	Various	1400 mg	2400 mg	1Y	GRG	9
Plesa et al. [17]	2017	Caucasian	304 (32/272)	NA	Prednisone Dexamethasone	ALL	50,400 mg	54,149 mg	120W	BCL2L11	9
Karol et al.[18]	2015	Multinational	2955 (400/2555)	NA	Prednisone Dexamethasone	ALL	24,275 mg	24,275 mg	1500D	GWAS	7
X Wei [19]	2015	China	75 (45/30)	39 <u>+</u> 10	Prednisone	Various	4800 mg	4800 mg	6M	АроА1;АроВ; АроЕ	8
Zhang et al. [20]	2014	China	200 (94/106)	44.5 (18–82)	Prednisolone	Various	7300 mg	7300 mg	1Y	ABCB1	7
Y Xue [21]	2014	China	322 (105/217)	39 (18–48)	Prednisone	Various	1388 mg	2400 mg	1Y	ABCB1	9
Y Cui [22]	2014	China	424 (223/201)	42.27 ± 15.71	Prednisolone	Various	7300 mg	7300 mg	1Y	ApoA5	7
P Zeng [23]	2014	China	206 (108/98)	40 <u>+</u> 10	Prednisone	Various	2400 mg	2400 mg	ЗM	АроВ	8
Zhang et al. [24]	2013	China	200 (94/106)	44.5 (18–82)	Prednisolone	Various	7300 mg	7300 mg	1Y	PAI-1	7
Wang et al.[25]	2013	China	200 (94/106)	44.5 (18–82)	Prednisolone	Various	7300 mg	7300 mg	1Y	PON-1	6
Y Li [26]	2012	China	123 (70/53)	29 (18–73)	Prednisone	Various	480 mg	480 mg	6M	ABCB1	6
W Fang [27]	2011	China	134 (63/71)	35.17 <u>+</u> 11.73	Prednisone	Various	6991 mg	5014 mg	6M	ApoB; CYP1A2	8
W Fang [28]	2011	China	134 (63/71)	35.17 <u>+</u> 11.73	Prednisone	Various	6991 mg	5014 mg	6M	Factor V;GR;CBP; ApoB;CYP1A2	8
J Bond [29]	2011	U.K.	110 (43/67)	NA	Dexamethasone	ALL	68,333 mg	68,333 mg	143W	PAI-1	8
W He [30]	2009	China	48 (31/17)	32 (12–59)	Prednisone	Hemoglobinopathies	480 mg	480 mg	2M	CYP3A4/ ABCB1	7
W He [31]	2009	China	48 (31/17)	18–60	Prednisone	Various	480 mg	480 mg	6M	CYP3A4	7
Kuribayashi et al. [32]	2008	Japan	157 (34/123)	35 (9–64)	Methylprednisolone Prednisolone	RT	3227 mg	3207 mg	6M	ABCB1; ApoB; CBP	9
D French [33]	2008	U.S.A.	361 (51/310)	NA (10–20)	Prednisone Dexamethasone	ALL	15,139 mg	15,139 mg	NA	ABCB1;PAI–1 et al. 11 Genes ^{##}	7
Wang et al.[34]	2008	China	53 (16/37)	35 (16–78)	Methylprednisolone	SARS	5672 mg	4187 mg	NA	TNF-a	8
Tamura et al. [35]	2007	Japan	157 (34/123)	35 (9–64)	Methylprednisolone Prednisolone	RT	3251 mg	3215 mg	6M	GR;CYP3A4; CBP;NCoA2	9
XY Yang [36]	2007	China	127 (21/106)	34 (11–67)	Methylprednisolone Prednisone	SLE	89,173 mg	89,173 mg	5Y	ABCB1	9

PRESS

© 2019 The Author(s). This is an open access article published by Portland Press Limited on behalf of the Biochemical Society and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

Table 1 Characters of included studies (Continued)

Author	Year	Local	Sample size	Average age [#]	Type of steroids	Primary disease	Cumulative dose in Exp group	Cumulative dose in Control group	Treatment duration	Genes	NOS score
Hirata et al.[37]	2007	Japan	112 (20/92)	NA	Methylprednisolone Prednisone	RT	3223 mg	3223 mg	6M	АроА	7
Hirata et al.[38]	2007	Japan	158 (34/124)	36.1 (9–64)	Methylprednisolone Prednisolone	RT	3223 mg	3223 mg	6M	АроВ	7
Ekmekci et al. [39]	2006	Turkey	57 (19/38)	34.2 <u>+</u> 9.3	NA	RT	NA	NA	20.6M	Factor V, Prothrombin	7
Celik et al.[40]	2006	Turkey	50 (11/39)	41 <u>+</u> 11.79	Prednisolone	RT	8835 mg	6322 mg	18M	Factor V, Prothrombin, MTHFR	8
Relling et al. [41]	2004	U.S.A.	64 (25/39)	8.6 (2.7–18.8)	Prednisone	ALL	17,028 mg	17,867 mg	1.23Y	MDR1(ABCB1) et al. 13 Genes ^{###}	8
Asano et al. [42]	2004	Japan	137 (31/106)	36 (9–63)	Methylprednisolone Prednisolone	RT	3228 mg	3174 mg	NA	PAI-1; MTHFR	8
Asano et al. [43]	2003	Japan	80 (26/54)	NA	NA	RT	NA	NA	2Y	CYP3A4; CYP2D6; CYP2C19	7
Asano et al. [44]	2003	Japan	136 (30/106)	35.5 (9–63)	Methylprednisolone Prednisolone	RT	3227 mg	3207 mg	6M	ABCB1	8
Ferrari et al. [45]	2002	Switzerland	228 (26/202)	50 <u>+</u> 12	Prednisone	RT	9600 mg	9600 mg	8Y	PAI-1	8

ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; GWAS, genome-wide association study; NA, not available; RT, renal transplant; SARS, severe acute respiratory syndrome. [#]Mean <u>+</u> standardization; mean/median (minimum–maximum). ^{##}TYMS; VDR; BGLAP; ESR1; LRP5; MTHFR; PAI-1; ABCB1(MDR1); PTH; PTHR; ACP5. ^{###}CYP3A4; CYP3A5; TPMT; UGT1A1; TYMS; GSTT1; GSTM1; RFC; MTHFR; GRG(NR3C1); MDR1(ABCB1); VDR; GSTP1.



Variables	Comparisons	Odds ratio(95% CI)	p value
Continuous variable			
SNP	TC vs CC	0.80 (0.60, 1.06)	.108
	TT vs CC	0.51 (0.34, 0.76)	.001
	TC/TT vs CC		Odds ratio(95% Cl) p value 0.80 (0.60, 1.06) .108 0.51 (0.34, 0.76) .001 0.77 (0.60, 0.98) .036 0.79 (0.60, 1.05) .105 0.51 (0.34, 0.76) .001 0.77 (0.60, 0.98) .036 0.80 (0.60, 1.06) .108 0.51 (0.34, 0.76) .001 0.77 (0.60, 0.98) .037 0.79 (0.60, 1.05) .105 0.51 (0.34, 0.77) .001 0.77 (0.60, 0.98) .036 0.51 (0.34, 0.76) .001 0.77 (0.60, 0.98) .036 0.51 (0.34, 0.76) .001 0.77 (0.60, 0.98) .036 0.57 (0.54, 1.25) .216 1.20 (0.50, 2.80) .683 0.78 (0.43, 1.40) .402 0.82 (0.55, 1.21) .306 0.54 (0.31, 0.94) .029 0.74 (0.51, 1.06) .101 0.67 (0.32, 1.32) .250 0.24 (0.08, 0.71) .010 0.63 (0.33, 1.04) .070 1.35 (0.55, 2.82) .422
SNP+dose	TC vs CC	0.79 (0.60, 1.05)	.105
	TT vs CC	0.51 (0.34, 0.76)	.001
	TC/TT vs CC		.036
SNP+duration	TC vs CC	0.80 (0.60, 1.06)	.108
	TT vs CC	0.51 (0.34, 0.77)	.001
	TC/TT vs CC	0.77 (0.60, 0.98)	.037
SNP+dose+duration	TC vs CC	0.79 (0.60, 1.05)	.105
	TT vs CC	0.51 (0.34, 0.76)	.001
	TC/TT vs CC	••• 0.77 (0.60, 0.98)	.036
Categorical variable: Type of stere	oids		
Prednisolone	TC vs CC	0.67 (0.36, 1.25)	.216
	TT vs CC	1.20 (0.50, 2.86)	.683
	TC/TT vs CC	0.78 (0.43, 1.40)	.402
Prednisone	TC vs CC	0.82 (0.56, 1.21)	.306
	TT vs CC	0.54 (0.31, 0.94)	.029
	TC/TT vs CC	0.74 (0.51, 1.06)	.101
Methylprednisolone/Prednisone	TC vs CC	0.67 (0.34, 1.32)	.250
	TT vs CC	0.24 (0.08, 0.71)	.010
	TC/TT vs CC	0.63 (0.39, 1.04)	.070
Prednisone/Dexamethasone	TC/TT vs CC	1.35 (0.65, 2.82)	.422
Categorical variable: Primary dise	ase		
Hemoglobinopathies	TC vs CC	Mutation decrease SONFH risk	.689
	TC/TT vs CC	1.36 (0.30, 6.13)	.688
Renal transplant	TC vs CC	0.71 (0.27, 1.88)	.492
	TT vs CC	0.16 (0.02, 1.30)	.090
	TC/TT vs CC	0.66 (0.37, 1.19)	.169
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	TC vs CC	0.32 (0.10, 1.04)	.061
	TT vs CC	0.69 (0.18, 2.63)	.583
	TC/TT vs CC	0.93 (0.52, 1.65)	.798
Systemic lupus erythematosus	TC/TT vs CC	0.53 (0.21, 1.36)	.186
	.019	6 1 51	

Figure 3. Forest plot of ABCB1 rs1045642 mutation on SONFH risk by the multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model

primary disease showed no significant results. It should be noted that the studies that did not report primary disease type or that included several types of primary disease were not included in the categorical analysis of primary disease (Figure 3).

For ABCB1 rs2032582, the negative results did not change after considering cumulative dose and treatment duration. In the categorical analysis, the protective effect of the mutant was only found in the methylprednisolone/prednisolone-use renal transplant population (OR: 0.27; 95% CI: 0.07–0.97; P=0.046). However, this result was based on a single study and had a large standard error (SE = 0.66); thus, this result needs to be confirmed (Figure 4).

For ApoB rs693, mutation increased the incidence of SONFH (CT vs TT: OR: 2.62; 95% CI: 1.34–5.16; P=0.005; TT vs CC: OR: 9.29; 95% CI: 1.06–81.49; P=0.044; CT/TT vs CC: OR: 3.16; 95% CI: 1.81–5.55; P<0.001) (Figure 5). Due to a large number of zero events, the regression results did not converge after considering the cumulative dose. The results were not changed after considering treatment duration. In addition, in prednisone-use and methylprednisolone/prednisolone-use populations and renal transplant patients, mutation increased the risk of SONFH.

For ApoB rs1042031, mutation increased the risk of SONFH (GA vs GG: OR: 2.68; 95% CI: 1.43–5.01; P=0.002) (Figure 6). The results were not obviously changed after considering cumulative dose and treatment duration. In the categorical analysis, mutation increased the risk of SONFH in the prednisone-use population (OR: 5.12; 95% CI: 2.22–11.85; P<0.001), but not in the methylprednisolone/prednisolone-use renal transplant population (OR: 0.31; 95% CI: 0.04–2.48; P=0.269).

For MTHFR rs1801133, there was no significant correlation between mutation and SONFH risk (CT vs CC: OR: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.34–1.17; P=0.143; TT vs CC: OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 0.48–2.97; P=0.705; CT/TT vs CC: OR: 0.94; 95% CI: 0.61–1.45; P=0.776). The results did not change after considering the continuous and categorical variables (Figure 7).

For PAI-1 rs1799768, 5G mutation had a protective effect against SONFH (4G5G vs 5G5G: OR: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.29–0.80; *P*=0.005; 5G5G vs 4G4G: OR: 0.42; 95% CI: 0.22–0.80; *P*=0.009; 4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G: OR: 0.46; 95%



CI: 0.29–0.72; P=0.001) (Figure 8). These results differed from previous results because in previous research a random-effect model was used due to large heterogeneity, and a mixed-effect model was adopted in this research. The results did not change after considering cumulative dose and treatment duration. In the categorical analysis, the protective effect existed in the prednisone-use and renal transplant population. However, there was no significant correlation in the methylprednisolone/prednisolone-use population (4G5G vs 5G5G: OR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.18–1.06; P=0.067; 5G5G vs 4G4G: OR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.18–2.26; P=0.491; 4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G: OR: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.21–1.08; P=0.078).

Furthermore, we sought to analyze the cumulative dosage and SONFH risk in mutation carriers by a dose-response meta-analysis. SONFN/ONFH incidence in mutation carriers cannot be obtained when steroids are not used. Therefore, the minimum dose must be used as a reference, and whether increasing the dose affects SONFH incidence must be assessed. Two dose assessment methods were used: an absolute dose and multiple doses. For ABCB1 rs1045642, there was a correlation between the cumulative dose and the occurrence of SONFH in the mutation carriers. As the dose increased, the risk of SONFH decreased (absolute dose: P=0.008; multiple dose: P=0.0064) (Figure 9A,B). This negative correction also persists in homozygous mutation (TT genotype, absolute dose: P=0.0368; multiple dose: P=0.0435) and heterozygous mutation patients (CT genotype, absolute dose: P<0.001; multiple dose: P<0.001) (Supplementary Figure S1A–D). In wild-type populations, there was no correlation between the dose and the risk of SONFH (absolute dose: P=0.098; multiple dose: P=0.098). Although there was no significant correlation between the ABCB1 rs2032582 mutation and the risk of SONFH, the cumulative dose in the mutant population was correlated with the occurrence of SONFH. As the dose increased, the risk of SONFH decreased (absolute dose: P=0.0231; multiple dose: P=0.0258) (Figure 9C,D). This negative correction mainly persists in heterozygous mutation patients (GA/GT genotype, absolute dose: P=0.0286; multiple dose: P=0.0293), but not in other mutation patients (AA/AT/TT genotype, absolute dose: P=0.7103; multiple dose: P=0.7716) (Supplementary Figure S1E-H). In wild-type populations, there was no correlation between the dose and the risk of SONFH (absolute dose: P=0.2821; multiple dose: P=0.285). These findings may suggest that mutation carriers still have a risk of SONFH when using steroids, similar to the wild-type population. If SONFH does not occur after small-dose steroid application, increasing the steroid dose in mutation carriers will not increase but rather decrease the risk of SONFH.

For ApoB rs693, although SONFH was correlated with the dose in the mutation carriers (absolute dose: P=0.0064; multiple dose: P=0.0064), a correlation was also found in the wild-type population (absolute dose: P=0.0177; multiple dose: P=0.0013). For ApoB rs1042031, there was no correlation between the cumulative dose and SONFH risk in the mutation carriers (absolute dose: P=0.662; multiple dose: P=0.7078) or in the wild-type population (absolute dose: P=0.0719). ApoB rs693 and rs1042031 have too few homozygous mutation patients to analyze separately. We did not perform a dose-response analysis for MTHFR rs1801133 and PAI-1 rs1799768 due to the small number of included studies.

Discussion

ABCB1 rs1045642 mutations have a protective effect against SONFH, and mutations in ApoB rs693 and rs1042031 increase the SONFH risk. The present study further analyzed the effects of each specific characteristic, such as the primary disease of the included patients, the types of steroids, the cumulative dosage, and the treatment duration, on outcomes. However, with the increase in variable factors, the results will be more dependent on the results of single studies, which will reduce the stability of the results. However, the analyses performed here can provide additional clues and research directions for further basic and clinical research studies. In previous research, we analyzed the associations between SNPs and SONFH by traditional meta-analysis, and more than three SNPs were included. Ultimately, ABCB1 rs1045642, rs2032582, ApoB rs693, rs1042031, MTHFR rs1801133, and PAI-1 rs1799768 were included.

In previous meta-analyses, one study analyzed the association between ABCB1 gene polymorphism and SONFH and the 3435T (rs1045642) and 2677T/A (rs2032582) alleles of ABCB1 were identified, which may reduce the risk of GC-induced ONFH [6]. However, our study included more reports and found that rs2032582 had no correlation with SONFH. Our result is the same as that from another meta-analysis that analyzed the correlation between gene polymorphism and SONFH occurrence with the GRADE method to assess the level of evidence. The results showed that ABCB1 rs1045642 could reduce the occurrence of SONFH but had a very low level of evidence. ApoB rs693 and rs1042031 mutations increased the risk of SONFH and had a moderate level of evidence [46]. The results of our study are essentially the same as those of the above two studies, except for rs2032582. However, our work further analyzed the associations between SNP and SONFH based on steroid type, primary disease, drug dose, and applied duration using a mixed-effects logistic regression model. We also conducted a dose-response meta-analysis to analyze



Variables	Comparisons	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p value
Continuous variable			
SNP	GA/GT vs GG	0.79 (0.57, 1.11)	.171
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.75 (0.51, 1.10)	.146
	Other vs GG	0.78 (0.57, 1.06)	.114
SNP+dose	GA/GT vs GG	0.79 (0.56, 1.10)	.157
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.74 (0.50, 1.09)	.122
	Other vs GG	0.77 (0.56, 1.05)	.099
SNP+duration	GA/GT vs GG	0.78 (0.56, 1.09)	.151
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.74 (0.50, 1.09)	.123
	Other vs GG	0.77 (0.56, 1.05)	.096
SNP+dose+duration	GA/GT vs GG	0.78 (0.56, 1.09)	.151
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.73 (0.50, 1.08)	.117
	Other vs GG	0.77 (0.56, 1.05)	.096
Categorical variable: Type of st	eroids		
Prednisolone	GA/GT vs GG	0.66 (0.29, 1.51)	.327
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.69 (0.30, 1.63)	.402
	Other vs GG	0.68 (0.32, 1.45)	.313
Prednisone	GA/GT vs GG	0.89 (0.58, 1.37)	.597
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	1.04 (0.63, 1.72)	.884
	Other vs GG	0.94 (0.63, 1.40)	.751
Methylprednisolone/Prednisone	GA/GT vs GG	0.53 (0.20, 1.40)	.200
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.16 (0.02, 1.33)	.090
	Other vs GG	0.43 (0.17, 1.13)	.087
Methylprednisolone/Prednisolone	e GA/GT vs GG	0.79 (0.31, 2.06)	.635
,,	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.27 (0.07, 0.97)	.046
	Other vs GG	0.58 (0.23, 1.44)	.238
Categorical variable: Primary d	isease	Mutations degrasss SONEL sick Mutations ingrosss SONEL sick	
Systemic lupus erythematosus	GA/GT vs GG		.200
, , ,	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.16 (0.02, 1.33)	.090
	Other vs GG	0.43 (0.17, 1.13)	.087
Renal transplant	GA/GT vs GG	0.79 (0.31, 2.06)	.635
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.27 (0.07, 0.97)	.046
	Other vs GG	0.58 (0.23, 1.44)	.239
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	GA/GT vs GG	0.62 (0.20, 1.89)	.399
	AA/TT/AT vs GG	0.58 (0.14, 2.43)	.460
	Other vs GG	0.61 (0.22, 1.69)	.338
		.0187 1 53.5	

Figure 4. Forest plot of ABCB1 rs2032582 mutation on SONFH risk by the multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model

Variables	Compraison	S				Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p value
Continuous variable							
SNP	CT vs CC			→	_	2.62 (1.34, 5.16)	.005
	TT vs CC					9.29 (1.06, 81.49)	.044
	CT/TT vs CC				_	3.17 (1.81, 5.55)	<0.001
SNP+duration	CT vs CC			→	-	2.50 (1.27, 4.93)	.008
	TT vs CC			<u> </u>		10.08 (1.16, 87.52)	.036
	CT/TT vs CC			→	_	3.14 (1.79, 5.50)	<0.001
Categorical variable: Type of ste	roids						
Prednisone	CT vs CC				_	2.32 (1.08, 5.02)	.032
	CT/TT vs CC				_	2.93 (1.39, 6.18)	.005
Methylprednisolone/Prednisolone	CT vs CC				•	4.26 (1.27, 14.24)	.019
	CT/TT vs CC			→		3.65 (1.60, 8.34)	.002
Categorical variable: Primary dis	sease						
Renal transplant	CT vs CC				•	4.26 (1.27, 14.24)	.019
	CT/TT vs CC			—		3.65 (1.60, 8.34)	.002
		.0114	Mutation decrease SONFH risk	¹ Mutatio	n increase S	DNFH risk	

Figure 5. Forest plot of ApoB rs693 mutation on SONFH risk by the multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model





Figure 6. Forest plot of ApoB rs1042031 mutation on SONFH risk by the multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model



Figure 7. Forest plot of MTHFR rs1801133 mutation on SONFH risk by the multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model

the cumulative dosage and SONFH risk in mutation carriers. Our work expanded the research results and found that cumulative steroid dosage and treatment duration had little effect on the results. There was a dose-effect correlation in ABCB1 rs1045642 and rs2032582 mutation carriers. Another study analyzed the associations between ONFH and genetic polymorphisms of vascular endothelial growth factor rs2010963, endothelial nitric oxide synthase rs2070744, and ABCB1 rs1045642. However, the included studies contained SONFH- and ONFH-related studies. The results



Variables	Comparisons	Odds ratio (95% Cl)	p value
Continuous variable			
SNP	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.48 (0.29, 0.80)	.005
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.42 (0.22, 0.80)	.009
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	0.46 (0.29, 0.72)	.001
SNP+dose	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.47 (0.28, 0.79)	.004
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.43 (0.22, 0.82)	.011
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	0.46 (0.29, 0.73)	.001
SNP+duration	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.48 (0.29, 0.80)	.005
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.41 (0.21, 0.78)	.007
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	0.45 (0.29, 0.72)	.001
SNP+dose+duration	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.49 (0.29, 0.82)	.006
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.42 (0.22, 0.81)	.010
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	0.47 (0.29, 0.74)	.001
Categorical variable: Type of ster	pids		
Prednisone	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.51 (0.27, 0.96)	.036
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.37 (0.17, 0.79)	.010
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	0.45 (0.26, 0.79)	.005
Methylprednisolone/Prednisolone	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.44 (0.18, 1.06)	.067
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.64 (0.18, 2.26)	.491
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	• 0.48 (0.21, 1.08)	.078
Categorical variable: Primary dise	ase		
Renal transplant	4G5G vs 4G4G	0.30 (0.16, 0.57)	< 0.001
	5G5G vs 4G4G	0.17 (0.06, 0.47)	.001
	4G5G/5G5G vs 4G4G	• 0.26 (0.15, 0.48)	<0.001
		0623	

Figure 8. Forest plot of PAI-1 rs1799768 mutation on SONFH risk by the multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression model



Figure 9. Dose-response analyses of cumulative steroid dose and SONFH risk in mutation carriers

(A) Analysis of absolute dose in ABCB1 rs1045642 mutation carriers; (B) analysis of multiple dose in ABCB1 rs1045642 mutation carriers; (C) analysis of absolute dose in ABCB1 rs2032582 mutation carriers; (D) analysis of multiple dose in ABCB1 rs2032582 mutation carriers.



showed that the mutations of rs2010963 and rs1045642 increased the risk of ONFH, and the mutation of rs2070744 increased the risk of ONFH in an allelic model. There were errors in the present study, as the authors proposed that the mutation of ABCB1 rs1045642 increased the risk of ONFH, but the data showed that it reduced the risk [47]. Our study analyzed SONFH risk, but not ONFH, which is different from the above study.

In the present study, there were significant correlations between the ABCB1 rs1045642 mutant and SONFH in the prednisone-use and methylprednisolone/prednisone-use populations. The ABCB1 rs2032582 mutant homozygote had a protective effect in the methylprednisolone/prednisolone renal transplant population. For ApoB rs693, mutation increased the incidence of SONFH in the prednisone-use and methylprednisolone/prednisolone-use populations and renal transplant patients. For ApoB rs1042031, mutation increased the risk of SONFH in the prednisone-use population. The PAI-1 rs1799768 mutation had a protective effect in the SONFH risk prednisone-use and renal transplant population.

There were different outcomes for the different types of steroid-use populations, possibly because the results were based on even fewer studies. These differences may also indicate that different types of steroids have subtle differences in affecting the risk of SONFH. It is generally believed that prednisone must be transformed to an active form through the liver, while prednisolone does not require this change, which may be the reason for the different results of the two drugs in ABCB1-related mutation states. In addition, more evidence is needed to determine whether the different results for the types of steroids in ApoB and PAI-1 gene polymorphisms were due to different effects on adipocytes.

Currently, a registered study is analyzing the pharmacokinetics of different steroids in children, but the results have not yet been reported (NCT02252237). An earlier study also reported that the cumulative dosage of methyl-prednisolone, but not prednisone, is correlated with avascular necrosis incidence [48]. Therefore, defined steroid types should be evaluated in further genetic polymorphism- and SONFH-related studies.

Although there are reports about the effect of primary disease on SONFH risk, most have focussed on the influence of steroids used in disease treatment. It was also reported that in renal transplant patients, cyclosporine versus tacrolimus as well as gender factors may be independent risk factors for avascular necrosis [49]. A meta-analysis of the avascular necrosis risk in SLE patients also showed, that in addition to hormonotherapy, arthritic, cushingoid, hypertension, cytotoxic drugs, etc., also affect the occurrence of SONFH [50]. Therefore, the primary disease and the treatment strategy are also possible risk factors for SONFH incidence.

It is generally believed that the occurrence of SONFH is due to long-term and/or high-dosage steroid application. A dose-response meta-analysis showed that the incidence of osteonecrosis is correlated with both the cumulative dose and treatment duration [51]. However, there is still no related research on specific mutant carriers. First, the present study found that the ABCB1 rs1045642 mutation has a protective effect on SONFH, and the risk will be further reduced with increasing cumulative steroid dosage. It can also be concluded that some mutant carriers will have SONFH when the cumulative dosage is low; however, if SONFH does not occur, the risk of SONFH will not increase when the cumulative dose of steroids is increased. Therefore, for mutant carriers, the critical time period for prevention and diagnosis of SONFH is during the early stage of steroid application, not the late stage. A negative correlation was also found in ABCB1 rs2032582 mutation carriers, even though the mutations were not significantly associated with SONFH in general.

Limitation

The present study still has several limitations. First, our study was performed at the study level instead of the individual level. Second, in stratified analyses, with the increase in variable factors, the results become more dependent on the results of single studies, which will reduce the stability of the results. Third, in dose-response analyses, SONFN/ONFH incidence in mutation carriers without steroid application cannot be calculated; therefore, the minimum dose must be used as a reference. Fourth, our study only analyzed SNPs that were examined in more than three studies, but with emerging research and evidence, more SNPs may be studied in the future. Therefore, the present study was limited by the research available at the time.

Author Contribution

Y.J. designed the study; performed statistical analysis; edited and reviewed the manuscript; guarantor of integrity of the entire study. Y.X.G. performed literature research and data acquisition and analysis. J.M. performed literature research and data acquisition and analysis; prepared and reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with the manuscript.



Funding

The authors declare that there are no sources of funding to be acknowledged.

Abbreviations

NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; OR, odds ratio; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; SONFH, steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head.

References

- 1 Luo, P., Gao, F., Han, J., Sun, W. and Li, Z. (2018) The role of autophagy in steroid necrosis of the femoral head: a comprehensive research review. Int. Orthop. 42, 1747–1753, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-018-3994-8
- 2 Johnson, E.O., Soultanis, K. and Soucacos, P.N. (2004) Vascular anatomy and microcirculation of skeletal zones vulnerable to osteonecrosis: vascularization of the femoral head. *Orthop. Clin. North Am.* **35**, 285–291, viii, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocl.2004.03.002
- 3 He, W. and Li, K. (2009) Incidence of genetic polymorphisms involved in lipid metabolism amongst Chinese patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Acta Orthop.* **80**, 325–329, https://doi.org/10.3109/17453670903025378
- 4 Wang, A., Ren, M. and Wang, J. (2018) The pathogenesis of steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a systematic review of the literature. *Gene* **671**, 103–109, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.091
- 5 Gong, L.L., Fang, L.H., Wang, H.Y., Peng, J.H., Si, K., Zhu, J. et al. (2013) Genetic risk factors for glucocorticoid-induced osteonecrosis: a meta-analysis. *Steroids* **78**, 401–408, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2013.01.004
- 6 Zhou, Z., Hua, Y., Liu, J., Zuo, D., Wang, H., Chen, Q. et al. (2015) Association of ABCB1/MDR1 polymorphisms in patients with glucocorticoid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head: evidence for a meta-analysis. *Gene* 569, 34–40, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2015.03.023
- 7 Li, Z., Zhao, D. and Wang, B. (2014) ABCB1 gene polymorphisms and glucocorticoid-induced avascular necrosis of the femoral head susceptibility: a meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 20, 2811–2816, https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.891286
- 8 Guo, F.Q. and Deng, M. (2017) Correlation between steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head and hepatic CYP3A activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Invest. Surg. 2017, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1080/08941939.2017.1385663
- 9 Stroup, D.F., Berlin, J.A., Morton, S.C., Olkin, I., Williamson, G.D., Rennie, D. et al. (2000) Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA 283, 2008–2012, https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.283.15.2008
- 10 Berlin, J.A., Longnecker, M.P. and Greenland, S. (1993) Meta-analysis of epidemiologic dose-response data. *Epidemiology* **4**, 218–228, https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-199305000-00005
- 11 Yu, M., Yang, Z., Zhu, Y. and Lu, N. (2014) Efficacy of glucocorticoids in rodents of severe acute pancreatitis: a meta-analysis. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 7, 3647–3661
- 12 Stang, A. (2010) Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **25**, 603–605, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z
- 13 Wang, Q., Ke, J., Song, Q., Hu, W., Lu, X., Wang, Z. et al. (2015) The SNP rs931794 in 15q25.1 is associated with lung cancer risk: a hospital-based case-control study and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0128201, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128201
- 14 Wang, D., Ruan, W., Peng, Y. and Li, W. (2018) Sleep duration and the risk of osteoporosis amongst middle-aged and elderly adults: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Osteoporos. Int.* **29**, 1689–1695, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4487-8
- 15 Orsini, N., Bellocco, R. and Greenland, S. (2006) Generalized least squares for trend estimation of summarized dose–response data. *Stata J.* 6, 40–57, https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X0600600103
- 16 Zhao, Z., Xue, Y., Hong, D., Zhang, H., Hu, Z., Fan, S. et al. (2017) Polymorphisms in the glucocorticoid receptor gene and associations with glucocorticoid-induced avascular osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Genet. Test Mol. Biomarkers* 21, 322–327, https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2016.0260
- 17 Plesa, M., Gagne, V., Glisovic, S., Younan, M., Sharif-Askari, B., Laverdiere, C. et al. (2017) Influence of BCL2L11 polymorphism on osteonecrosis during treatment of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 14, A94
- 18 Karol, S.E., Yang, W., Van Driest, S.L., Chang, T.Y., Kaste, S., Bowton, E. et al. (2015) Genetics of glucocorticoid-associated osteonecrosis in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **126**, 1770–1776, https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-05-643601
- 19 Wei, X.D., Liang, J.C., Zeng, P., Qin, G. and Lv, L.Q. (2015) The correlation between apolipoprotein gene polymorphisms and steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Guangdong Med. J.* **36**, 1364–1368, [In Chinese]
- 20 Zhang, Y., Kong, X., Wang, R., Li, S., Niu, Y., Zhu, L. et al. (2014) Genetic association of the P-glycoprotein gene ABCB1 polymorphisms with the risk for steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head in Chinese population. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 41, 3135–3146, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-014-3173-y
- 21 Xue, Y., Zhao, Z.Q., Hong, D., Zhang, H.J., Chen, H.X. and Fan, S.W. (2014) MDR1 gene polymorphisms are associated with glucocorticoid-induced avascular necrosis of the femoral head in a Chinese population. *Genet. Test Mol. Biomarkers* 18, 196–201, https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2013.0374
- 22 Cui, Y., Kaisaierjiang, A., Cao, P., Wu, Z.Y. and Lv, Q. (2014) Association of apolipoprotein A5 genetic polymorphisms with steroid-induced osteonecrosis of femoral head in a Chinese Han population. *Diagn. Pathol.* **9**, 229, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13000-014-0229-1
- 23 Zeng, P., Liang, J.C., Wei, X.D. and Wei, B.F. (2014) Relationship between apolipoprotein B gene polymorphism and tendon-vessel stagnation syndrome of steroid induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Shandong Med. J.* 54, 1–3, [In Chinese]
- 24 Zhang, Y., Wang, R., Li, S., Kong, X., Wang, Z., Chen, W. et al. (2013) Genetic polymorphisms in plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 predict susceptibility to steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head in Chinese population. *Diagn Pathol.* 8, 169, https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-1596-8-169



- 25 Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Kong, X., Li, S., Hu, Y., Wang, R. et al. (2013) Association of a polymorphism in PON-1 gene with steroid-induced osteonecrosis of femoral head in Chinese Han population. *Diagn. Pathol.* 8, 186, https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-1596-8-186
- 26 Li, Y., He, W., Zhang, Q.W., Luo, Y.Z., Chen, Q.L. and Zhou, C. (2012) Association between polymorphisms of steroid induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head and traditional Chinese medicine syndrome types. J. New Chin. Med. 44, 87–89, [In Chinese]
- 27 Wei, B.F. (2011) Relationship between gene polymorphisms and syndrome types in steroid- induced femoral head osteonecrosis. J. Shandong Med. College 33, 321–325, [In Chinses]
- 28 Wei, B.F. (2011) Relationship between gene polymorphisms and hereditary susceptibility of steroid-induced femoral head osteonecrosis in Linyi City of Shandong Province. J. Clin. Rehabilitative Tissue Eng. Res. **15**, 7403–7406
- 29 Bond, J., Adams, S., Richards, S., Vora, A., Mitchell, C. and Goulden, N. (2011) Polymorphism in the PAI-1 (SERPINE1) gene and the risk of osteonecrosis in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **118**, 2632–2633, https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-05-355206
- 30 He, W. and Li, K. (2009) Incidence of genetic polymorphisms involved in lipid metabolism amongst Chinese patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Acta Orthop. 80, 325–329, https://doi.org/10.3109/17453670903025378
- 31 He, W. and Li, K. (2009) Genetic susceptibility of corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis of femoral head. Chin. Bone Joint Surg. 2, 284–289, [In Chinese]
- 32 Kuribayashi, M., Fujioka, M., Takahashi, K.A., Arai, Y., Hirata, T., Nakajima, S. et al. (2008) Combination analysis of three polymorphisms for predicting the risk for steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head. J. Orthop. Sci. 13, 297–303, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00776-008-1244-4
- 33 French, D., Hamilton, L.H., Mattano, Jr., L.A., Sather, H.N., Devidas, M., Nachman, J.B. et al. (2008) A PAI-1 (SERPINE1) polymorphism predicts osteonecrosis in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood* **111**, 4496–4499, https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-11-123885
- 34 Wang, S., Wei, M., Han, Y., Zhang, K., He, L., Yang, Z. et al. (2008) Roles of TNF-alpha gene polymorphisms in the occurrence and progress of SARS-Cov infection: a case-control study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 8, 27, https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-8-27
- 35 Tamura, K., Nakajima, S., Hirota, Y., Takahashi, K.A., Fujioka, M., Kubo, T. et al. (2007) Genetic association of a polymorphism of the cAMP-responsive element binding protein-binding protein with steroid-induced osteonecrosis after kidney transplantation. *J. Bone Miner. Metab.* **25**, 320–325, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-007-0770-z
- 36 Yang, X.Y. and Xu, D.H. (2007) MDR1(ABCB1) gene polymorphisms associated with steroid-induced osteonecrosis of femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Pharmazie* **62**, 930–932
- 37 Hirata, T., Fujioka, M., Takahashi, K.A., Asano, T., Ishida, M., Akioka, K. et al. (2007) Low molecular weight phenotype of Apo(a) is a risk factor of corticosteroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head after renal transplant. J. Rheumatol. 34, 516–522
- 38 Hirata, T., Fujioka, M., Takahashi, K.A., Arai, Y., Asano, T., Ishida, M. et al. (2007) ApoB C7623T polymorphism predicts risk for steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head after renal transplantation. J. Orthop. Sci. **12**, 199–206, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00776-007-1110-9
- 39 Ekmekci, Y., Keven, K., Akar, N., Egin, Y., Sengul, S., Kutlay, S. et al. (2006) Thrombophilia and avascular necrosis of femoral head in kidney allograft recipients. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 21, 3555–3558, https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfl400
- 40 Celik, A., Tekis, D., Saglam, F., Tunali, S., Kabakci, N., Ozaksoy, D. et al. (2006) Association of corticosteroids and factor V, prothrombin, and MTHFR gene mutations with avascular osteonecrosis in renal allograft recipients. *Transplant. Proc.* **38**, 512–516, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2005.12.062
- 41 Relling, M.V., Yang, W., Das, S., Cook, E.H., Rosner, G.L., Neel, M. et al. (2004) Pharmacogenetic risk factors for osteonecrosis of the hip amongst children with leukemia. J. Clin. Oncol. 22, 3930–3936, https://doi.org/10.1200/JC0.2004.11.020
- 42 Asano, T., Takahashi, K.A., Fujioka, M., Inoue, S., Ueshima, K., Hirata, T. et al. (2004) Relationship between postrenal transplant osteonecrosis of the femoral head and gene polymorphisms related to the coagulation and fibrinolytic systems in Japanese subjects. *Transplantation* 77, 220–225, https://doi.org/10.1097/01.TP.0000101433.99651.96
- 43 Asano, T., Takahashi, K.A., Fujioka, M., Inoue, S., Satomi, Y., Nishino, H. et al. (2003) Genetic analysis of steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head. J. Orthop. Sci. 8, 329–333, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10776-003-0646-7
- 44 Asano, T., Takahashi, K.A., Fujioka, M., Inoue, S., Okamoto, M., Sugioka, N. et al. (2003) ABCB1 C3435T and G2677T/A polymorphism decreased the risk for steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head after kidney transplantation. *Pharmacogenetics* **13**, 675–682, https://doi.org/10.1097/00008571-200311000-00003
- 45 Ferrari, P., Schroeder, V., Anderson, S., Kocovic, L., Vogt, B., Schiesser, D. et al. (2002) Association of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 genotype with avascular osteonecrosis in steroid-treated renal allograft recipients. *Transplantation* **74**, 1147–1152, https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200210270-00016
- 46 Chen, X., Zhang, L., Liang, D., Li, J., Liu, F. and Ma, H. (2018) Lipid transporter activity-related genetic polymorphisms are associated with steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head: an updated meta-analysis based on the GRADE guidelines. *Front. Physiol.* 9, 1684, https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01684
- 47 Zhou, Z.C., Gu, S.Z., Wu, J. and Liang, Q.W. (2015) VEGF, eNOS, and ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms may increase the risk of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 14, 13688–13698, https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.0ctober.28.31
- 48 Bradbury, G., Benjamin, J., Thompson, J., Klees, E. and Copeland, J. (1994) Avascular necrosis of bone after cardiac transplantation. Prevalence and relationship to administration and dosage of steroids. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* **76**, 1385–1388, https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-199409000-00014
- 49 Schachtner, T., Otto, N.M. and Reinke, P. (2018) Cyclosporine use and male gender are independent determinants of avascular necrosis after kidney transplantation: a cohort study. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* **33**, 2060–2066, https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfy148
- 50 Zhu, K.K., Xu, W.D., Pan, H.F., Zhang, M., Ni, J., Ge, F.Y. et al. (2014) The risk factors of avascular necrosis in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a meta-analysis. *Inflammation* **37**, 1852–1864, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-014-9917-y



51 Zhao, R., Wang, H., Wang, X. and Feng, F. (2017) Steroid therapy and the risk of osteonecrosis in SARS patients: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Osteoporos. Int.* **28**, 1027–1034, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-016-3824-z