Journal of Crohn's and Colitis, 2021, 774–786 doi:10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjaa236 Advance Access publication November 24, 2020 Original Article

Original Article

Ileal Transcriptomic Analysis in Paediatric Crohn's Disease Reveals *IL17-* and *NOD-*signalling Expression Signatures in Treatment-naïve Patients and Identifies Epithelial Cells Driving Differentially Expressed Genes



James J. Ashton,^{a,b} Konstantinos Boukas,^c James Davies,^d Imogen S. Stafford,^{a,e} Andres F. Vallejo,^d Rachel Haggarty,^f Tracy AF Coelho,^b Akshay Batra,^b Nadeem A. Afzal,^b Bhumita Vadgama,^g Anthony P. Williams,^{c,e} R. Mark Beattie,^b Marta E. Polak,^{d,h} Sarah Ennis^a

^aDepartment of Human Genetics and Genomic Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ^bDepartment of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Southampton Children's Hospital, Southampton, UK ^cWessex Investigational Sciences Hub, University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK ^dClinical and Experimental Sciences, Sir Henry Wellcome Laboratories, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ^eInstitute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK ^fNIHR Southampton Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospital Southampton, Southampton, UK ^gDepartment of Paediatric Histopathology, Southampton Children's Hospital, Southampton, UK ^hInstitute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

Corresponding author: Professor Sarah Ennis, PhD, Human Genetics and Genomic Medicine, University of Southampton, Duthie Building [Mailpoint 808], Southampton General Hospital, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK. Tel.: +44 [0]23 8079 8614; email: s.ennis@southampton.ac.uk

Abstract

Background and Aims: Crohn's disease [CD] arises through host-environment interaction. Abnormal gene expression results from disturbed pathway activation or response to bacteria. We aimed to determine activated pathways and driving cell types in paediatric CD.

Methods: We employed contemporary targeted autoimmune RNA sequencing, in parallel to single-cell sequencing, to ileal tissue derived from paediatric CD and controls. Weighted gene co-expression network analysis [WGCNA] was performed and differentially expressed genes [DEGs] were determined. We integrated clinical data to determine co-expression modules associated with outcomes.

Results: In all, 27 treatment-naive CD [TN-CD], 26 established CD patients and 17 controls were included. WGCNA revealed a 31-gene signature characterising TN-CD patients, but not established CD, nor controls. The *CSF3R* gene is a hub within this module and is key in neutrophil expansion and differentiation. Antimicrobial genes, including *S100A12* and the calprotectin subunit *S100A9*, were significantly upregulated in TN CD compared with controls [$p = 2.61 \times 10^{-15}$ and $p = 9.13 \times 10^{-14}$, respectively] and established CD [both p = 0.0055]. Gene-enrichment analysis confirmed upregulation of the IL17-, NOD- and Oncostatin-M-signalling pathways inTN-CD patients, identified

OXFORD

in both WGCNA and DEG analyses. An upregulated gene signature was enriched for transcripts promoting Th17-cell differentiation and correlated with prolonged time to relapse [correlation-coefficient-0.36, p = 0.07]. Single-cell sequencing of TN-CD patients identified specialised epithelial cells driving differential expression of *S100A9*. Cell groups, determined by single-cell gene expression, demonstrated enrichment of IL17-signalling in monocytes and epithelial cells.

Conclusions: Ileal tissue from treatment-naïve paediatric patients is significantly upregulated for genes driving IL17-, NOD- and Oncostatin-M-signalling. This signal is driven by a distinct subset of epithelial cells expressing antimicrobial gene transcripts.

Key Words: IBD; crohn's disease; paediatric; transcriptomics

1. Introduction

Paediatric-onset Crohn's disease is a heterogeneous condition characterised by chronic, relapsing and remitting inflammation, largely of the intestinal tract. Paediatric-onset Crohn's disease has a greater genetic contribution to pathogenesis compared with adult-onset disease, with multiple genes and pathways implicated in the inflammation observed in the condition.¹ These genes are largely centred on innate and adaptive immune pathways, cytokine signalling pathways, and bacterial recognition and response pathways.² Recently, Mendelian causes of inflammatory bowel disease [IBD] have given additional insights into causes and risk factors for polygenic disease, with variation in a number of genes including IL10 pathway, NOD2, and NADPH oxidase complex genes being implicated in both forms of disease.3-5 Non-Mendelian forms of Crohn's disease may present with similar phenotypic appearance. However, it is becoming increasingly clear that individual patients are likely to have a specific molecular diagnosis related to their underlying genetic variation. This may present through either a limited number of genes [oligogenic inflammatory bowel disease: IBD] or through interaction of many genes [polygenic IBD], often resulting in perturbation of inflammatory pathways common to all genetic causes.² The ability to determine this genetic signature within an individual patient will bring new opportunities for predicting disease outcome and personalisation of therapy.6

RNA sequencing allows identification of abnormal gene expression and specific gene signatures, which are associated with disease subtypes. This information provides insight into the biological processes underlying pathways driving inflammation. Previous studies have identified differentially expressed genes, including OSM and TREM1, associated with disease onset and treatment response and have been able to predict disease course.7-9 Although there is clear utility in determining markers of disease in blood, insights from nongastrointestinal tissues are likely suboptimal to elucidate drivers of intestinal inflammation.¹⁰ In addition, bulk RNA sequencing, where all cell types resident within a single biopsy sample are assessed concurrently, may fail to sequence low-expressed genes, with reads being overwhelmed by housekeeping transcripts that provide little biological insight.¹¹ In contrast, contemporary efforts at targeted and single-cell sequencing in cancer have revealed novel pathways and genes associated with disease, and provided clinical diagnostic value.¹²⁻¹⁴ Furthermore, integration of targeted RNA sequencing, single-cell sequencing, and clinical outcome data provides the opportunity for improved molecular profiling of patients, garnering understanding of the specific cells driving inflammatory pathways while simultaneously using RNA gene signatures to stratify patients. Recently, single-cell analysis in 22 adult Crohn's disease patients determined a specific transcriptomic module from cells derived from the lamina propria, which was reproducibly found in bulk RNA

sequencing and was associated with failure to respond to antitumour necrosis factor [TNF] therapy.¹⁵

In this study we apply cutting-edge autoimmune targeted RNA sequencing of ileal biopsy tissue from a cohort of paediatric Crohn's disease patients. We use these data to characterise patients by underlying gene transcription signatures and identify differentially expressed genes impacting on signalling pathways in treatmentnaïve and established disease patients. We integrate single-cell RNA sequencing performed on a subset of patients to determine cell populations driving specific gene expression.

2. Methods

Paediatric IBD patients were recruited through the Paediatric Gastroenterology service at the Southampton Children's Hospital. All patients were diagnosed under the age of 18 years, according to the modified Porto criteria.¹⁶ Two patient populations were recruited; the first consisted of patients referred to paediatric gastroenteology with a suspected diagnosis of IBD, recruited before diagnostic endoscopy. Patients who were diagnosed with Crohn's disease, following successful ileoscopy, were labelled as the treatment-naïve [TN] Crohn's disease group. Children who had a normal endoscopy, and were not diagnosed with IBD or any other gastrointestinal pathology, were included in a control group. The control group was followed up for a minimum of 6 months to confirm there was no subsequent diagnosis of IBD. The second population consisted of patients with established [ED] Crohn's disease undergoing routine endoscopy for re-assessment, termed the established disease group [Figure 1 and Supplementary methods, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online].

2.1. Ethical approval

The study has category A ERGO II ethics approval [30630] and an REC approval from Southampton and South West Hampshire Research Ethics Committee [09/H0504/125]. All patients and families provided informed consent at recruitment.

2.2. RNA sequencing of terminal ileal biopsies

2.2.1. Sample acquisition, processing, and storage

Terminal ileal biopsies were obtained during endoscopy and immediately placed into a cryovial containing 1 ml of RNAlater [Sigma Aldrich], and frozen at -80°C within 30 min from collection. The diameter of each biopsy was an average 2.5 mm [range 1–4.5mm] with the mean volume of 27 mm³ [equivalent to 30 mg].

2.2.2. RNA extraction

Biopsies were homogenised using Qiagen TissueLyser[™] and RNA was extracted using Promega Maxwell RSC[™] [simplyRNA tissue]



Figure 1. Summary of patient recruitment, sample processing and data analysis pipelines. Patients were recruited in two groups, established Crohn's disease [ED] and suspected Crohn's disease patients, consisting of treatment-naïve patients [TN] and controls. All groups underwent endoscopy with ileal biopsy. All patients had ileal biopsies retrieved and stored in RNAlater at -80°C. These biopsies underwent bulk RNA extraction and subsequent targeted RNA sequencing. A subgroup of TN patients had fresh ileal biopsies processed for single cell sequencing. Data quality control and processing steps can be seen in the figure. Integration of targeted RNA sequencing and single-cell sequencing was conducted following individual pipeline analyses.

and frozen at -80°C. RNA quality was assessed using the Agilent Bioanalyzer™ [RNA Nano]. RIN values and RNA concentrations are available as Supplementary data 1, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online.

2.2.3. Targeted RNA sequencing

The contemporary HTG EdgeSeq Autoimmune Panel was used to measure mRNA expression levels in 2002 autoimmune genes, including genes involved in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease.¹⁷ Briefly, RNA samples were thawed and diluted, and 25 ng of RNA per sample was plated in 96 wells and loaded onto the HTG EdgeSeq instrument. HTG fully automated nuclease target protection chemistry includes hybridisation of mRNA to target-specific nuclease protection probes [NPPs] and addition of S1 nuclease to digest excess NPPs and non-hybridized RNA, followed by heat denaturation of \$1. The processed samples contain 5' and 3'-end wing sequences and were used as a template for polymerase chain reactions [PCR] with primers complementary to the 'wings' which also contain barcode sequences and common adaptors required for cluster generation and sequencing [P5, P7]. Libraries were cleaned up following a standard clean-up procedure [AMPureXP, PEG8000] and quantified with qPCR using KAPA Library quantification [Roche] kit. Libraries were normalised accordingly, were pooled in a 3-pM concentration, and were loaded on the Illumina NextSeq500 for single-read deep sequencing [Read1: 50 bp, Index1: 6 bp, Index2: 6 bp].

2.2.4. RNA data processing

Sequencing output basecalls were converted into FASTQ and demultiplexed using module bcl2fastq2/2.18 on IRIDIS HPS [University of Southampton], adding the option barcode mismatches 0. FASTQ files were parsed on HTG EdgeSeq parser [v 5.2.823] constructing a gene expression count matrix for each gene and each patient. They were merged to form a single output file containing all genes and all counts. Downstream analyses of RNA data were performed using HTG Reveal, a web-based, GDPR-compliant data analysis suite. Gene counts were normalised using quantile normalisation [QN] based on best practice guidelines previously applied with HTG EdgeSeq targeted immuno-oncology panel.^{18,19}

2.2.5. RNA sequencing data quality assessment and analysis

Quality control of RNA sequencing data was performed in line with recommendations from HTG, to satisfy cut-offs for sample quality, sufficient read depth, and minimal expression variability across probes. Differential expression was assessed using DESeq2 package [Python, within Reveal software, 2020 version].²⁰ Gene co-expression networks enable regulatory hubs and gene-gene associations to be determined. CEMiTool [2020 version] was used to assess weighted gene co-expression networks within normalised data, and to determine modules and hub regulatory genes observed in different categorical groups.²¹ Gene-gene interactions within co-expressed genes were determined using the HitPredict database.²² WGCNA [R package in R studio version 1.2.1335] was used to establish gene co-expression modules and assess correlation between continuous clinical outcome variables.²³

We assessed for enrichment of genes in specific WGCNA modules, and differentially expressed genes [DEGs] in specific pathways using ToppFun,²⁴ EnRichR,²⁵ and BioPlanet.²⁶ Statistical analysis was performed using Reveal software and SPSS [v25, IBM]. The raw read count matrix and metadata spreadsheet are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus [GSE153974].

2.3. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis

Fresh ileal tissue biopsies from two Crohn's disease patients were digested within 10 min of biopsy procedure, and cells were disag-gregated, slow-frozen [in 10% DMSO], and thawed just before use.

Co-encapsulation of single cells with genetically encoded beads was performed following the Drop-seq pipeline.^{14,27} Optimised microfluidics parameters were used, ensuring the generation of single-cell/single-barcoded Bead SeqB [Chemgenes, USA] encapsulation events. Following encapsulation, ~4500 STAMPS [beads exposed to a single cell] from 1.2 ml of cell suspension were generated; 1000 STAMPS for each biopsy were taken further for library preparation [High Sensitivity DNA Assay, Agilent Bioanalyser, 12 peaks with the average fragment size 500 bp]. Prepared libraries were run on an Illumina NextSeq [1 × 10⁵ reads/cell].

Alignment, read filtering, barcode, and Unique Molecular Identifier [UMI] counting were performed using STAR.²⁸ For gene filtering, genes detected in less than 10 cells were excluded. Subsequent data analyses were run using the python-based Scanpy²⁹ with R [3.6.0]. Background empty barcodes were identified and removed using EmptyDrops.³⁰ Cells of low quality with high fraction of counts from mitochondrial genes [20% or more], which indicates stressed or dying cells, were removed. Data were normalised using Scran.³¹ A single-cell neighbourhood graph, with data integrated from separate tissue samples, was computed using BBKNN.³² Data were visualised using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection [UMAP], with the Leiden algorithm used to identify cell clusters.³³

Cell type annotation was performed using SingleR [database: BlueprintEncodeData].³⁴ Marker genes for cell clusters were identified using a t test within Scanpy.²⁹ Raw sequencing data are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus [GSE153866].

2.4. Clinical data integration

Clinical outcome data were collected on all treatment-naïve patients. Time to first clinical relapse was used as the primary clinical outcome measure. We defined relapse as requirement for repeated steroid course, or exclusive enteral nutrition, or step-up in immunomodulation or biologic therapy, after completion of initial induction. All patients had entered remission following induction therapy. Patients who had not relapsed at most recent follow-up were arbitrarily assigned their time from diagnosis to most recent follow-up for analysis.

Any histological evidence of ileitis was recorded for all patients. Clinical biopsies were taken concurrently and examined by a paediatric histopathologist to determine the presence of inflammation within the ileum at the time of endoscopy.

3. Results

In all, 91 patients with ileal biopsies were recruited to the study. Confirmation of diagnosis by Porto criteria resulted in 70 patients being included: 27 TN Crohn's disease patients; 17 controls; and 26 ED Crohn's disease. Twenty-one patients who were diagnosed with IBD unclassified [IBDU] or ulcerative colitis following endoscopy were excluded. A single ED sample failed targeted RNA quality control [parameter two, sample had low variation of counts across probes], leading to exclusion. Patient characteristics can be seen in Table 1. Ileal biopsies taken from the TN Crohn's disease patients were from inflamed areas in 74% of cases; for established disease patients, only 27% had biopsies that were from inflamed areas.

	Treatment-naïve patients	Single-cell patient SOPR 0472 ^a	Single-cell patient SOPR 0476*	Established disease patients	Controls
Number of patients	27	1	1	26	17
Number of biopsies included in analysis ^b	27	1	1	25	17
Mean age at diagnosis [range]	13.46 years [9.26–16.75]	13.44 years	14.81 years	12.03 years [5.79–15.3]	N/A
Mean age at ileal biopsy [range]	13.46 years [9.26–16.75]	13.44 years	14.81 years	14.64 years [8.68–17.70]	11.56 years [6.01–15.10]
Percentage female	29.6% [n = 8]	0% [patient is male]	0% [patient is male]	42.3% [n = 11]	35.3% [n = 6]
Percentage with ileitis at biopsy [histologically proven]	74% $[n = 20]$	100% [n = 1]	100% [n = 1]	27% [n = 7]	%0
Percentage on anti-TNF therapy	0%0	0%0	%0	46.2% [n = 12]	%0
Percentage on thiopurine therapy	0%0	0%0	%0	88.5% [n = 23]	%0
Percentage on ustekinumab therapy	0%0	0%0	%0	7.7% $[n = 2]$	%0

3.1. Targeted RNA sequencing of 2002 autoimmune genes

3.1.1. A 31-gene module characterises treatment-naïve Crohn's disease patients

Gene modules associated with TN patients, controls, and ED patients were established. Three gene modules were identified containing 104, 47, and 31 genes, respectively [Supplementary data 2, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online]. Module 1 co-expression was significantly increased in controls (normalized expression score [NES] 1.95, p = 0.0004) and significantly decreased in TN [NES -1.7, p = 0.0015] and ED [NES -2.35, p = 0.0012] patients. Although module 2 contained 47 co-expressed genes, it was not significantly associated with any patient group. Module 2 was significantly enriched for cell metabolic processes, including PPAR signalling $[p = 1.22 \times 10^{-7}]$ and protein digestion and absorption [p = 0.006055]. Module 3, containing 31 genes, was significantly upregulated in TN patients [NES 3.07, p = 0.0006] and downregulated in controls [NES -2.73, p = 0.0004], Figure 2A and B and Supplementary Table 1, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online. Module 3 did not correlate with ED patients.

3.1.2. The treatment-naïve gene co-expression module is associated with upregulation of oncostatin-M and NOD-signalling pathways

Following multiple testing correction, 33 pathways were significantly associated with module 3 genes [Supplementary data 3, available as Supplementary data at *ECCO-JCC* online]. The most implicated pathway was the oncostatin-M [OSM] signalling pathway [adj- $p = 4.47 \times 10^{-22}$], upregulation of which was seen in treatment-naïve patients. OSM signalling results in activation of pro-inflammatory pathways including *JAK/STAT3*, *MAPK*, and *PI3K*. Interestingly, given the key role of *NOD2* in Crohn's disease pathogenesis, activation of the NOD-signalling pathway was also significantly enriched for in module 3 [adj-p = 0.0008].

3.1.3. CSF3R appears to act as a regulatory hub within the treatment-naïve module

In order to assess regulatory hub genes within the module 3 network, we performed an interaction network analysis. This revealed genes with three hub interactions within the module Figure 2C. Of these genes, *CSF3R* was also co-expressed within the network with *AQP9* Figure 2C. *CSF3R* is the receptor for colony stimulating factor 3. The related pathway functions to control expansion, differentiation, and role of neutrophils, with highly deleterious variants in *CSF3R* resulting in congenital neutropenia.

3.1.4. S100A9 [calprotectin subunit] and S100A12 antimicrobial genes are significantly upregulated in treatment-naïve patients

^bFollowing quality control.

Differential gene expression was assessed between TN patients, controls, and ED patients using DESeq2 [Supplementary data 4 and 5, available as Supplementary data at *ECCO-JCC* online]. Following multiple testing correction, 342 genes were significantly differentially expressed between TN patients and controls, 259 of which were upregulated in TN patients (logFC >1.15, false discovery rate [FDR] <0.05, Figure 3A). The five most significant upregulated DEGs in TN patients were *\$100A12* [fold change 32.5, adj-*p* = 2.6 x 10⁻¹⁵], *CXCL8* [IL8] [fold change 20.2, adj-*p* = 5.5 x 10⁻¹⁵], *\$100A9* [fold change 12.6, adj-*p* = 9.1 x 10⁻¹⁴], *FCGR3A/B* [fold change 7.3, adj-*p* = 5.0 x 10⁻¹³], and *IL1RN* [fold change 8.1, adj-*p* = 3.9 x 10⁻¹²]. The



Figure 2. Weighted gene co-expression analysis reveals a 31-gene module specifically upregulated in treatment-naïve Crohn's disease patients. A] Normalised expression score [NES] of modules correlated with patient groups; module 3 genes have markedly increased expression in treatment-naïve patients only. Circle size reflects the number of genes within that module that correlate with the patient group. B] Mean expression of module 3 genes across individual patients in the three patient groups; individual lines represent individual gene expression. Each point on the x- axis represents an individual patient. C] Identification of hub co-expression and interacting genes within module 3 using the HitPredict database demonstrates 11 hub genes within the 31-gene module.

difference between TN and ED patients was less marked, whereby just 12 genes were significantly upregulated in TN patients compared with ED patients [Figure 2D, logFC >1.15, FDR <0.05]. The five most significantly upregulated genes were *CSF3R* [fold change 2.5, adj-p = 0.0055], *IL1RN* [fold change 3.0, adj-p = 0.0055], *S100A9* [fold change 3.6, adj-p = 0.0055], *S100A12* [fold change 4.8, adj-p = 0.0055], and *AQP9* [fold change 3.6, adj-p = 0.03].

3.1.5. Treatment-naïve Crohn's disease is characterised by elevated IL17- and NOD-signalling

We used gene enrichment analysis to assess for pathways associated with TN patients using genes implicated by both WGCNA and differential gene expression analysis. The IL17- and NOD-signalling pathways were recurrently implicated across multiple gene enrichment databases [Supplementary Table 2, available as Supplementary



Figure 3. Differentially expressed genes [DEGs] were identified and characterise upregulation of antimicrobial peptides in Crohn's disease patients. A] Volcano plot demonstrating DEGs between treatment-naïve Crohn's disease [TN CD] patients vs controls. A total of 342 genes were differentially expressed between groups; blue represents upregulated probes [n = 259], red represents downregulated probes [n = 83]. B] The top 10 upregulated DEGs between TN CD patients and controls. The y-axis represents log[quantile normalised] change in gene expression. Boxes represent the 25-75th percentile of expression data; whiskers represent minimum and maximum data points, excluding outlier data. C] Volcano plot demonstrating DEGs between TN CD patients vs established Crohn's disease patients. A total of 14 genes were differentially expressed between groups; blue represents upregulated probes [n = 2]. D] The top 10 upregulated DEGs between TN CD patients vs established Crohn's disease patients. A total of 14 genes were differentially expressed between groups; blue represents upregulated probes [n = 2]. D] The top 10 upregulated DEGs between TN CD patients and established Crohn's disease patients, highlighting *S100A9*, *S100A12*, and *CXCL8* [IL8] as remaining significantly upregulated in TN CD patients. The y-axis represents log[quantile normalised] change in gene expression. Boxes repression. Boxes repression. Boxes repression. Boxes repression. Boxes repression data; whiskers represent 25-75th percentile of expression data; whiskers represent minimum and maximum data points, excluding outlier data.

data at *ECCO-JCC* online]. These pathways have previously been implicated in Crohn's disease pathogenesis, and more so are a biologically plausible explanation for a chronic inflammatory process within the intestine.^{35,36}

3.2. Gene expression differences are not driven solely by inflamed tissue

To ensure differences between groups were not driven solely by active inflammation, we conducted analysis on inflamed vs non-inflamed tissue. Whilst there were differentially expressed probes between inflamed and non-inflamed biopsies [total DEGs = 185], the most differentially expressed genes were different from those observed when comparing TN CD and controls [Supplementary Figure 1, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online].

3.2.1. Gene expression in NOD-signalling pathway clusters Crohn's disease patients distinctly from controls

NOD2 is the most heavily implicated gene in Crohn's disease pathogenesis, with variation in multiple interacting genes, including XIAP, *RIPK2*, and ATG16L1, described as increasing risk of Crohn's disease.² Transcripts from genes within the NOD-signalling pathway were among the most significantly enriched in treatment-naïve patients. We hypothesised that aberrant NOD-signalling gene expression could be used to classify patients from controls. Using a list of 95 genes in the NOD-signalling pathway, curated by the HTG platform, we performed hierarchical clustering of all patients [quantile normalised data, average distance clustering] Figure 4. Three broad clusters were formed, with 8 patients remaining outliers. All but three of the controls grouped together in cluster 3, characterised



Figure 4. Hierarchical clustering of all patients using 95 genes in the NOD-signalling pathway revealed grouping of Crohn's disease patients from controls [quantile normalised data, average distance clustering]. Clustering demonstrated grouping of controls together [other than three individuals] characterised by reduced expression of *CXCL8* [IL8], *CASP5*, and *CXCL2* [cluster 3]. Clusters 1 and 2, mainly consisting of Crohn's disease patients, were characterised by increased *CXCL8* [IL8] and *STAT1* expression, with cluster 2 also having increased *CXCL1* expression.

by low CXCL8 [IL8], CXCL2, and CASP5 expression. In contrast, clusters 1 and 2 had increased expression of pro-inflammatory CXCL1 and STAT1. NOD2 expression itself did not appear to differ between groups.

3.3. Clinical data integration

3.3.1. Th17-cell differentiation gene module associated with prolonged time to relapse

Using WGCNA, we assessed whether co-expressed gene modules were predictive for patient prognosis. The number of days from diagnosis to relapse were entered as a continuous variable. Six of the 27 treatment-naïve Crohn's disease patients had not relapsed at the time of analysis. We took the conservative approach of setting their time to relapse as the number of days from diagnosis to most recent follow-up. A co-expression module ['blue', Supplementary data 6], available as Supplementary data at *ECCO-JCC* online] characterised by 55 significantly upregulated genes [p = <0.05], was positively correlated with time to relapse [correlation coefficient 0.36, p = 0.07] [Supplementary Figure 2, available as Supplementary data at *ECCO-JCC* online]. The 55 genes comprising this module were significantly enriched for Th17 cell differentiation [KEGG, adjusted p-value 9.21 x 10⁻¹¹], indicating patients with longer duration of remission had increased activation of Th17 cell differentiation pathways Supplementary data 7, available as Supplementary data at *ECCO-JCC* online.

In order to determine if any DEGs were associated with early relapse, we stratified the 27 treatment-naïve CD patients into those that relapsed within 16 weeks [n = 14] and those that either relapsed after 16 weeks or did not relapse [n = 13]. Sixteen weeks was chosen to split the cohort into two equal groups, to increase the power to identify DEGs. Expression of the *PI3* gene, an antimicrobial peptide expressed in response to lipopolysac-charide and IL17-signalling, was significantly upregulated in patients with early relapse [fold change = 2.13, adjusted p = .0358, Supplementary Table 3, available as Supplementary data at *ECCO-JCC* online].³⁷

3.4. Single-cell sequencing of treatment-naïve

Crohn's disease patients

```
3.4.1. Specialised gastrointestinal epithelial cells drive differential expression of $100A9, a key molecule in IL17-signalling pathway
```

Single-cell RNA sequencing of ileal biopsies from two treatment-naïve Crohn's disease patients [patient IDs SOPR0472 and SOPR0476] were undertaken in order to identify distinct cell populations driving the enrichment of specific biological pathways. After filtering, a total of 1458





Figure 5. Single-cell transcriptomics identifies monocyte and epithelial cells populations which contribute to the IL17 signature in inflammatory bowel disease [IBD]. A] UMAP plot of 1458 cells originating from two independent, digested IBD ileal samples [IBD2 = 710 cells, IBD3 = 748 cells], integrated into one neighbourhood graph using BBKNN (ScanPy, n_pcs = 50, 1999 highly variable genes [min_mean = 0, max_mean = 4, min_disp = 0.1]). B] SingleR database annotation [database: BlueprintEncodeData] assigned cells into populations of CD8+ Tem, memory B cells, monocytes, epithelial cells, and plasma cells. C] Leiden clustering [r = 0.5], identified nine clusters [0–8] among the cell populations. D] Hierarchical clustering matrix plots with top five marker genes [scaled UMI counts] for each Leiden cluster are displayed. E] Barplots displaying frequency and amplitude expression of indicated gene transcripts that characterise treatment-naïve patients in IBD. Bars are colour-coded for cells as in panel C, identified using Leiden clustering. Each bar shows the Scran-normalised expression level of indicated transcript in a given cell.

cells [SOPR0472 = 710 cells, SOPR0476 = 748 cells] and 4731 genes were used for analyses. ScanPy UMAP dimensionality reduction of the 1458 cells integrated from both patient biopsies [SOPR0472=710, SOPR0476=748 cells] was performed [Figure 5A], followed by annotation of cell populations as CD8+ effector memory T cells [CD8+ Tem], memory B cells, monocytes, epithelial cells, and plasma cells, contributed by cells from each sample [Figures 5A, 4B]. We identified nine distinct clusters within the SingleR annotated populations [Figure 5C], with each cluster defined by the expression of unique marker genes [Figure 5D], Supplementary data 8, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online. Cells were assigned to clusters based on their overall transcription profiles and confirmed by further annotation by Enrichr CellType [Supplementary data 9, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-ICC online]. Interestingly, the two genes that most strongly defined cluster 7 as epithelial cells included both calprotectin subunits, S100A8 and S100A9, and the S100A9 gene was one of the top DEGs between TN patients and controls. S100A8 is the other calprotectin subunit but, as this transcript was not probed in the targeted panel, we could not compare its expression between methodologies. Gene ontology analysis of the genes that define cluster 7 [n = 50]revealed associations with inflammatory immune response processes, including an epithelial defence response to bacteria $[adj-p = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}]$.

3.4.2. Specific cell populations drive gene expression seen in treatment-naïve patients

The nine discrete cell populations were interrogated for their relative expression of genes identified in the gene module 3 that characterised TN CD patients [Figure 2A]. The cell populations showing elevated expression of module 3 genes included cells identified as monocytes [cluster 5- CCL4, CXCL3, IL1RN, IL8, and PLAUR] and epithelial cells in cluster 7 [S100A9], cluster 4 [LCN2, MMP1, and PLAUR] and cluster 2 [IL8, LCN2, MMP1, PI3, and PLAUR] [Figure 5E].

3.4.3. Enrichment of IL17-signalling was observed in monocytes and specialised epithelial cells

We hypothesised that specific cell populations were driving the pathways implicated by targeted bulk RNA sequencing. IL17-signalling genes were enriched within the specialised epithelial cells [cluster 7] and in monocytes [cluster 5]. Significant enrichment for the IL17 pathway [adj-p = 0.016] in cluster 7 was largely attributed to elevated expression of *S100A7*, *S100A8*, and *S100A9*. Cluster 5 monocytes markers [n = 50] were enriched for genes involved in the IL17 signalling pathway [adj-p = 0.0012]; due to elevated expression of *CXCL8* [IL8], *CXCL3*, *IL1B*, *NFKBIA*, and *HSP90B1*, as well as the broad pathways of 'response to cytokines' [adj-p = 1.1 x 10⁻⁹] and the 'inflammatory response' [adj-p = 2.6 x 10⁻⁶].

4. Discussion

We present data using a targeted autoimmune panel for the first time in IBD. These data demonstrate an ileal gene expression signature identified through both WGCNA and differential gene expression analysis, characterised by NOD- and IL17-signalling and specific to treatment-naïve Crohn's disease patients. Parallel single-cell analysis identified specialised epithelial cells driving differential expression of several genes, including the calprotectin subunits [*S100A8/S100A9*]. Enrichment of IL17-signalling genes was observed in this epithelial cell cluster as well as in a distinct subset of monocytes. Finally, we identify a gene module characterised by the Th17 cell differentiation pathway that is observed in patients with increased time to relapse following diagnosis.

Our data confirm and corroborate findings from a number of previous studies, implicating OSM, CXCL8, and AQP9 as upregulated DEGs in IBD patients compared with controls.^{7,8} We also provide tissue-specific evidence for several upregulated genes that have previously been observed in blood, including TREM1.9 We confirm the findings of Haberman et al., who previously detailed paediatric Crohn's disease ileal transcriptomic signatures. We identify a large number of overlapping genes from their 'core ileal Crohn's disease' expression module, including upregulation of CXCL5, IL8, and S100A9.8 These genes, including IL8, OSM, and TREM1, are either pro-inflammatory cytokines or receptors triggering downstream inflammatory signalling, and have been linked to inflammatory processes in autoimmune disease.^{7,9,38} In addition, we identify the hub gene, CSF3R, a regulator of neutrophil differentiation, which appears to be key in controlling expression of a number of genes in treatment-naïve Crohn's disease. This gene has been previously associated with congenital neutropenia, but this is the first time it has been specifically implicated in IBD pathogenesis. This is despite the fact that a number of primary immunodeficiencies are recognised to present with IBD-like phenotypes.39

Stratification of patients based on differential gene expression provides the opportunity to predict treatment response and patient prognosis. Recently the RISK cohort from North America has been used to develop prediction algorithms, specifically using integration of ileal transcriptome profiles into a multifactorial risk score for stricturing disease, identifying an extracellular matrix gene signature associated with stricturing disease.⁴⁰ Haberman *et al.* also created a model, including *APOA1* gene expression, able to predict 6-month steroid-free remission.⁸ Here we identify a gene module, characterised by Th17 cell differentiation, associated with prolonged time to relapse. Once the results are replicated in external cohorts, transitioning these models into clinical practice will be key for personalising therapy in patients.

Th17 cells and IL17-signalling are of great interest in IBD pathogenesis. Differentiated Th17 cells produce several effector IL17 cytokines, promoting inflammation and mucosal pathogen clearance.³⁶ We implicate ileal activation of IL17-signalling within TN Crohn's disease patients, compared with both controls and ED patients. Several previous studies have not identified increased serum IL17 in Crohn's disease patients;, however, increased IL17 levels have been detected in affected tissues.^{41,42} We replicate these findings in paediatric patients and, for the first time, identify a specialised epithelial cell cluster and a monocyte cell cluster appearing to drive the IL17signalling. We hypothesise that the epithelium appears to be a target tissue for IL17-signalling, resulting in heightened pro-inflammatory and antimicrobial response within this specific population of cells, characterised by secretion of calprotectin [S100A8/S100A9]. This pro-inflammatory effect of IL17-signalling on epithelial cells has been observed in colonic epithelial cell cultures, with upregulation of CXCL8 and CXCL1 promoting neutrophil chemotaxis.43 Our data identify distinct cell clusters driving these processes, and targeted RNA sequencing replicates similar expression profiles within the ileum of treatment-naïve patients.

Previously, Martin *et al.* identified an expression module in ileal Crohn's disease, characterising IgG plasma cells, mononuclear phagocytes, activated T cells, and stromal cells.¹⁵ Through single-cell sequencing of ileal tissue derived from two TN patients, we were able to identify a novel group of epithelial cells driving differential expression of the calprotectin complex [*S100A8/S100A9*], which was echoed in the targeted sequencing of all patients. Typically, it has been thought that most calprotectin is derived from colonic neutrophils, with small bowel inflammation less reflected in faecal

sampling.⁴⁴ Our data indicate that specialised ileal epithelial cells highly express S100A8/S100A9 in Crohn's disease patients, driving the differential gene expression between patients and controls. It appears that these ileal epithelial cells show an increased expression of these proteins under inflammatory conditions. Interestingly, previous transcriptomic analysis of only intestinal epithelial cells of paediatric Crohn's disease patients did not identify any differentially expressed genes correlated to active inflammation.45 In their article, Howell et al. describe several genes, including DEFA5, DEFA6, LYZ, PLA2G2A, CD40, and CD44, that were differentially expressed between controls and TN Crohn's disease patients, concluding that there was minimal molecular impact of disease on the epithelial cells.⁴⁵ Through application of a single-cell resolution analyses, we identify a distinct sub-population of S100A8/S100A9-expressing epithelial cells. Including those markers, alongside staining for the calprotectin complex, within the epithelium may aid with histological diagnosis of small bowel Crohn's disease and with assessment of mucosal inflammation in known patients.

Within TN patients we also identify the upregulation of NODsignalling genes, associated with bacterial recognition, response, and pro-inflammatory downstream signalling.35 Taken together, activation of these pathways infers increased inflammatory response to pathogenic bacteria, or an aberrant response to normal bacteria. Alternatively ineffectual bacteria clearance, related to a downstream 'hypoimmune' response, has recently been postulated as a cause of IBD.46 Through WGCNA we identified a module of genes associated with increased time to relapse, characterised by upregulation of the Th17 cell differentiation pathway. Regulation of Th17 differentiation is complex, several key cytokines, including IL1β, IL6, IL23, and TGFB, suppressing FOXP3 expression and inducing RORCdependent Th17 differentiation.³⁶ We hypothesise that in patients able to mount a robust Th17 response, bacteria are cleared more quickly, resulting in immediate reduction of chronic inflammation following induction therapy. Conversely at diagnosis, most Crohn's disease patients exhibit marked upregulation of IL17- and NODsignalling as a response to ineffective bacterial clearance, resulting in chronic inflammation. Whether IL17-signalling is driving chronic inflammation in response to invasive bacteria, or as a primary 'hyperinflammatory' response, is uncertain. However, it appears that downstream IL17-signalling within these cell populations results in production of antimicrobial peptides and pro-inflammatory cell infiltration as part of the disease process.

This study has several strengths. Treatment-naïve patients have no confounding effects from medications. Through a targeted sequencing approach we reduce the number of reads lost to 'housekeeping' genes, enabling accurate identification of low expression probes which impact on biological processes, a method previously successfully applied in tumour samples.¹³ Additionally, this methodology provides the ability to work with low biomass samples, reduction of extraction bias, and a user-friendly analytical pipeline.¹⁷ Applying single-cell transcriptomics allowed identification of cell types driving differential gene expression and provided insight into the biology of specific cells in disease.

We acknowledge the potential limitations of classifying cell types, including the specialised epithelium and monocytes, based on transcription profiles alone. However, we have followed best practice guidelines for the best-established software, SingleR, to type these cells, with confirmation from Enrichr CellType.^{25,34} Nonetheless, analyses of primary patient biopsies are associated with challenges; thus, our study has some limitations. Analysis was limited to Crohn's disease patients and controls, but there remains disease heterogeneity

between individuals, exacerbated by the relatively modest numbers in each subgroup. Specifically, the effect of patient age on gene expression could not be assessed due to low patient numbers; however, the majority of patients were aged between 10 and 16 years, when most maturation of the immune system has already occurred.⁴⁷ Additionally, at the time of analysis, follow-up duration was insufficient to assess WGCNA and DEGs with long-term disease behaviour and response to therapy. Despite these limitations, by applying novel targeted and single-cell sequencing methods to Crohn's disease biopsy material we uncovered specific disease-associated pathways, identified the driver cell populations, and characterised a gene module associated with disease prognosis. Finally, we provide evidence that DropSeq sequencing in single cells and targeted assessment of expressed transcripts using HTG EdgeSeq revealed comparable expression profiles. We restricted our comparison to the expression levels of 736 genes common to both technologies. For the samples from two patients who underwent single-cell sequencing, we pooled their cell type-specific data to regenerate pseudo-bulk tissue data, and compared expression levels for the 736 transcripts with those observed in the bulk tissue-targeted RNASeq. Both patients independently showed highly significant [p = <0.0001] correlation of gene expression, indicating reproducible results from both technologies [Supplementary Figure 3, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online]. This agrees with recent data from Ran et al., where targeted sequencing was validated against bulk RNA sequencing for >1200 samples.48

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the enhanced resolution of targeted RNA sequencing to identify pathways in paediatric Crohn's disease, particularly the IL17- and NOD-signalling pathways. We identify a Th17 cell differentiation gene module associated with increased time to relapse in treatment-naïve patients, and use single-cell RNA sequencing to determine a distinct epithelial cell population driving differentially expressed genes. Personalising therapy based on underlying molecular diagnosis and stratification is an exciting prospect. Replication of these findings is required, and integration of long-term outcomes may yield improved predictive models. All data generated in this project are available via the GEO repository- GSE153974 and GSE153866.

Funding

JJA is funded by an Action Medical Research training fellowship and an ESPEN personal fellowship. KB is funded by Wessex Investigational Sciences Hub, Faculty of Medicine, Cancer Sciences. MEP is funded by a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship from the Wellcome Trust, 109377/Z/15/Z. Drop-Seq experiments were funded by MRC grant MC_PC_15078.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the subjects who participated in this study. We acknowledge the use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility, together with support services at the University of Southampton. This study was supported by the Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, the National Institute for Health Research [NIHR] Southampton Biomedical Centre, and the National Institute for Health Research [NIHR] Data Science Team within Southampton Biomedical Centre. The views expressed are those of the author[s] and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. We would like to thank Wessex Investigational Sciences Hub [WISH] laboratory, for providing access to WISH genomic platforms, HTG EdgeSeq, and NextSeq500. The Drop-Seq device and imaging rig used in this project were made by Martin Fischlechner and Jonathon West.

Author contributions

JJA, RMB, and SE initiated the study. Patients were recruited by JJA and RH. Patient samples were acquired by JJA, RH, TAC, AB, NAA, and RMB. Samples were processed and sequenced by JJA, KB, and JD. Analyses were performed by JJA, KB, JD, and ISS, under the guidance of AFV, MP, AW, and SE. BV performed histological analysis for all patients. JJA wrote the manuscript with help from all authors. All authors approved the final manuscript before submission.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data can be found at ECCO-JCC online.

References

- Khor B, Gardet A, Xavier RJ. Genetics and pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* 2011;474:307–17.
- Graham DB, Xavier RJ. Pathway paradigms revealed from the genetics of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* 2020;578:527–39.
- Kotlarz D, Beier R, Murugan D, et al. Loss of interleukin-10 signaling and infantile inflammatory bowel disease: implications for diagnosis and therapy. Gastroenterology 2012;143:347–55.
- Ashton JJ, Mossotto E, Stafford IS, *et al.* Genetic sequencing of pediatric patients identifies mutations in monogenic inflammatory bowel disease genes that translate to distinct clinical phenotypes. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2020;11:e00129.
- Denson LA, Jurickova I, Karns R, et al. Clinical and genomic correlates of neutrophil reactive oxygen species production in pediatric patients with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology 2018;154:2097–110.
- Ashton JJ, Mossotto E, Ennis S, Beattie RM. Personalising medicine in inflammatory bowel disease - current and future perspectives. *Transl Pediatr* 2019;8:56–69.
- West NR, Hegazy AN, Owens BMJ, et al.; Oxford IBD Cohort Investigators. Erratum: oncostatin M drives intestinal inflammation and predicts response to tumor necrosis factor-neutralizing therapy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Nat Med 2017;23:788.
- Haberman Y, Tickle TL, Dexheimer PJ, et al. Corrigendum. Pediatric Crohn disease patients exhibit specific ileal transcriptome and microbiome signature. J Clin Invest 2015;125:1363.
- Verstockt B, Verstockt S, Dehairs J, *et al.* Low TREM1 expression in whole blood predicts anti-TNF response in inflammatory bowel disease. *EBioMedicine* 2019;40:733–42.
- Palmer NP, Silvester JA, Lee JJ, et al. Concordance between gene expression in peripheral whole blood and colonic tissue in children with inflammatory bowel disease. PLoS One 2019;14:e0222952.
- Martin DP, Miya J, Reeser JW, Roychowdhury S. Targeted RNA sequencing assay to characterize gene expression and genomic alterations. *J Vis Exp* 2016;2016. doi: 10.3791/54090.
- Martin-Broto J, Cruz J, Penel N, *et al.* Pazopanib for treatment of typical solitary fibrous tumours: a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* Oncol 2020;21:456–66.
- Hurtado M, Prokai L, Sankpal UT, et al. Next generation sequencing and functional pathway analysis to understand the mechanism of action of copper-tolfenamic acid against pancreatic cancer cells. Process Biochem 2020;89:155–64.
- Macosko EZ, Basu A, Satija R, et al. Highly parallel genome-wide expression profiling of individual cells using nanoliter droplets. Cell 2015;161:1202–14.
- Martin JC, Chang C, Boschetti G, et al. Single-cell analysis of Crohn's disease lesions identifies a pathogenic cellular module associated with resistance to anti-TNF therapy. *Cell* 2019;178:1493–508.e20.

- 16. Levine A, Koletzko S, Turner D, et al.; European Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. ESPGHAN revised Porto criteria for the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease in children and adolescents. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2014;58: 795–806.
- 17. HTG Autoimmune. https://autoimmune.htgmolecular.com/.
- Godoy PM, Barczak AJ, Dehoff P, Das S, Erle DJ, Correspondence LCL. Comparison of reproducibility, accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of miRNA quantification platforms. *Cell Rep* 2019;29:4212–22. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.078.
- Dillies MA, Rau A, Aubert J, et al.; French StatOmique Consortium. A comprehensive evaluation of normalization methods for Illumina high-throughput RNA sequencing data analysis. Brief Bioinform 2013;14:671–83.
- Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol* 2010;11:R106.
- Russo PST, Ferreira GR, Cardozo LE, et al. CEMiTool: a Bioconductor package for performing comprehensive modular co-expression analyses. BMC Bioinformatics 2018;19:56.
- Lopez Y, Nakai K, Patil A. HitPredict version 4: comprehensive reliability scoring of physical protein-protein interactions from more than 100 species - PubMed. *Database* 2015;2015:bav117. doi: 10.1093/database/ bav117.
- Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 2008;9:559.
- Chen J, Bardes EE, Aronow BJ, Jegga AG. ToppGene Suite for gene list enrichment analysis and candidate gene prioritization. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009;37:W305–11.
- Kuleshov MV, Jones MR, Rouillard AD, et al. Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:W90–7.
- 26. Huang R, Grishagin I, Wang Y, et al. The NCATS BioPlanet an integrated platform for exploring the universe of cellular signaling pathways for toxicology, systems biology, and chemical genomics. Front Pharmacol 2019;10:445.
- Vallejo AF, Davies J, Grover A, et al. Resolving cellular systems by ultrasensitive and economical single-cell transcriptome filtering. BioRxiv 2019:800631. doi: 10.1101/800631.
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNAseq aligner. Bioinformatics 2013;29:15–21.
- Wolf FA, Angerer P, Theis FJ. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biol* 2018;19:15.
- 30. Lun ATL, Riesenfeld S, Andrews T, Dao TP, Gomes T, Marioni JC; participants in the 1st Human Cell Atlas Jamboree. EmptyDrops: distinguishing cells from empty droplets in droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Genome Biol* 2019;20:63.
- Lun AT, Bach K, Marioni JC. Pooling across cells to normalize single-cell RNA sequencing data with many zero counts. *Genome Biol* 2016;17:75.
- Polański K, Young MD, Miao Z, Meyer KB, Teichmann SA, Park JE. BBKNN: fast batch alignment of single cell transcriptomes. *Bioinformatics* 2020;36:964–5.
- Traag VA, Waltman L, van Eck NJ. From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing well-connected communities. Sci Rep 2019;9:5233.
- Aran D, Looney AP, Liu L, et al. Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. Nat Immunol 2019;20:163–72.
- Caruso R, Warner N, Inohara N, Núñez G. NOD1 and NOD2: signaling, host defense, and inflammatory disease. *Immunity* 2014;41:898–908.
- Hou G, Bishu S. Th17 cells in inflammatory bowel disease: an update for the clinician. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020;26:653–61.
- Gudjonsson JE, Ding J, Johnston A, et al. Assessment of the psoriatic transcriptome in a large sample: additional regulated genes and comparisons with in vitro models. J Invest Dermatol 2010;130:1829–40.
- Gijsbers K, Van Assche G, Joossens S, et al. CXCR1-binding chemokines in inflammatory bowel diseases: down-regulated IL-8/CXCL8 production

by leukocytes in Crohn's disease and selective GCP-2/CXCL6 expression in inflamed intestinal tissue. *Eur J Immunol* 2004;34:1992–2000.

- Uhlig HH, Schwerd T, Koletzko S, et al.; COLORS in IBD Study Group and NEOPICS. The diagnostic approach to monogenic very early onset inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2014;147:990–1007.e3.
- Kugathasan S, Denson LA, Walters TD, *et al.* Prediction of complicated disease course for children newly diagnosed with Crohn's disease: a multicentre inception cohort study. *Lancet* 2017;389:1710–8.
- 41. Fujino S, Andoh A, Bamba S, *et al.* Increased expression of interleukin 17 in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 2003;**52**:65–70.
- 42. Sahin A, Calhan T, Cengiz M, et al. Serum interleukin 17 levels in patients with Crohn's disease: real life data. Dis Markers 2014;2014:690853.
- Lee JW, Wang P, Kattah MG, et al. Differential regulation of chemokines by IL-17 in colonic epithelial cells. J Immunol 2008;181:6536–45. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.181.9.6536.

- 44. Stawczyk-Eder K, Eder P, Lykowska-Szuber L, *et al.* Is faecal calprotectin equally useful in all Crohn's disease locations? A prospective, comparative study. *Arch Med Sci* 2015;11:353–61.
- 45. Howell KJ, Kraiczy J, Nayak KM, et al. DNA methylation and transcription patterns in intestinal epithelial cells from pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel diseases differentiate disease subtypes and associate with outcome. Gastroenterology 2018;154:585–98.
- 46. Coelho T, Mossotto E, Gao Y, et al. Immunological profiling of paediatric inflammatory bowel disease using unsupervised machine learning. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2020:1. doi: 10.1097/mpg.00000000002719.
- 47. Simon AK, Hollander GA, McMichael A. Evolution of the immune system in humans from infancy to old age. *Proc Biol Sci* 2015;282:20143085.
- Ran D, Moharil J, Lu J, *et al.* Platform comparison of HTG EdgeSeq and RNA-Seq for gene expression profiling of tumor tissue specimens. *J Clin* Oncol 2020;38:3566.