

# Proprioceptive training on the recovery of total knee arthroplasty patients

# A meta-analysis protocol of randomized controlled trial

Jia-qi Wu, MM<sup>a</sup>, Hong-wei Bao, BS<sup>b</sup>, Lin-bo Mao, BS<sup>a</sup>, Ling-feng Liu, MM<sup>a</sup>, Yong-mei Li, BS<sup>a</sup>, Jing-zhao Hou, MM<sup>b</sup>, Can-hua Wu, BS<sup>b</sup>, Yue-jiang Zhou, BS<sup>b</sup>, Zhao Wang, MD<sup>b</sup>, Yan-xiao Cheng, MM<sup>b</sup>, Jian Wu, MM<sup>c,\*®</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Total knee arthroplasty is a common surgery for end-stage of knee osteoarthritis. Proprioceptive training has become an important part in athletes training programmes in different sports. However, the effects of proprioceptive training on the recovery of total knee arthroplasty were unknown. This meta-analysis, with its comprehensive and rigorous methodology, will provide better insight into this problem.

**Methods and analysis:** Electronic databases including PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database, Wanfang Database and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) were searched from its inception to October 21, 2020. We only included proprioceptive training vs placebo in patients after total knee arthroplasty and pooled results were summarized by STATA 12.0 software. Two researchers independently selected the study and assessed the quality of the included studies. The heterogeneity was measured by  $l^2$  tests ( $l^2 < 50$  indicates little heterogeneity,  $l^2 \ge 50$  indicates high heterogeneity). Publication bias was ruled out by funnel plot and statistically assessed by Beggs test (P > .05 as no publication bias).

**Results:** Results will be published in relevant peer-reviewed journals.

**Conclusion:** Our study aims to systematically present the clinical effects of proprioceptive training after total knee arthroplasty patients, which will be provide clinical guidance for total knee arthroplasty patients.

**Abbreviations:** ACR = American College of Rheumatology, CBM = Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, CI = confidence interval, CNKI = China National Knowledge Infrastructure, OA = osteoarthritis, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analyses, RR = relative risk, WMD = weighted mean difference.

Keywords: meta-analysis, proprioceptive training, protocol, total knee arthroplasty

JW and HB contributed equally to this article and should be listed as co-first authors.

This study was funded by Minsheng Science and Technology Project of Suzhou (SS201814) and through a protocol registry.

Trial registration number: https://www.researchregistry.com/browse-theregistry#registryofsystematicreviewsmeta-analyses/, No. reviewregistry1023.

The authors have no conflicts of interests to disclose.

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

<sup>a</sup> Rehabilitation Department, <sup>b</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Jingjiang People's Hospital, <sup>c</sup> Institute Office, Jingjiang People's Hospital, Jingjiang, Taizhou City, Jiangsu Province, China.

\* Correspondence: Jian Wu, Institute Office, Jingjiang People's Hospital, No.28, Zhongzhou road, Jingjiang, Taizhou City 214500, Jiangsu Province, China (e-mail: maobicai19900514@126.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wu Jq, Bao Hw, Mao Lb, Liu Lf, Li Ym, Hou Jz, Wu Ch, Zhou Yj, Wang Z, Cheng Yx, Wu J. Proprioceptive training on the recovery of total knee arthroplasty patients: a meta-analysis protocol of randomized controlled trial. Medicine 2020;99:51(e23757).

Received: 16 November 2020 / Accepted: 18 November 2020 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000023757

# 1. Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty is a common surgical procedure for a painful end-stage knee osteoarthritis (OA).<sup>[1–3]</sup> It affects millions of people worldwide, with knee OA being the most common in terms of prevalence (6% of adults > 30 years of age).<sup>[4,5]</sup> Total knee arthroplasty costs exceeded US\$1087.43 million.<sup>[6]</sup> These numbers are expected to rise further due to increasing proportion of aging population and obese population.<sup>[7]</sup> Total knee arthroplasty is an effective treatment that helps to reduce knee pain and can increase physical performance in patients with severe OA.<sup>[8]</sup> In general, the effectiveness of total knee arthroplasty has been unsatisfactory, especially for the postoperative rehabilitation.

Proprioceptive training programmes using special training tools, such as wobble boards or soft balance-pads, have become an important element in various sports.<sup>[9–11]</sup> Proprioceptive training is known to lead to an economisation of movements and to help perform energy-saving movement patterns.<sup>[12]</sup> Therefore, it is necessary to explore additional effective rehabilitation protocols after total knee arthroplasty.

In this meta-analysis, we systematically reviewed relevant published articles about proprioceptive training after total knee arthroplasty to analyses the effectiveness of proprioceptive training after total knee arthroplasty.

# 2. Methods and analysis

# 2.1. Study registration

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Statement (PRISMA) was applied for guiding this systematic review and meta-analysis.<sup>[13]</sup> This meta-analysis was registered in the Registry of Systematic Review/Meta-Analysis (https://www.researchregistry.com/browse-the-registry#regis tryofsystematicreviewsmeta-analyses/, No. reviewregistry1023).

And this study protocol was funded through a protocol registry. This study receives ethics approval from Jingjiang People's Hospital and founded by Minsheng Science and Technology Project of Suzhou (SS201814).

# 2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The details regarding the inclusion criteria are listed as follows:

- 1. Patients: Diagnosis of knee OA was defined using the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria of classification of OA of the knee;
- 2. Intervention: proprioceptive training;
- 3. Control: placebo;



Figure 1. The flow diagram of procedure to select studies.

- 4. Outcomes: self-reported functionality, quality of life, Biodex Balance System and knee function; and
- 5. Study design: randomized controlled trials.

The study selection process was carried out by 2 reviewers, and any conflict between 2 reviewers was resolved by an additional reviewer evaluation the full-text of article.

#### 2.3. Study search

We systematically searched the PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database, Wanfang Database and Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) to identify included trials through October 21, 2020, and using the following core terms: ("total knee arthroplasty" OR "total knee replacement" OR "TKA" OR "TKR") AND ("proprioceptive training"). The studies have already completed but not yet published were searched from the website http://clinicaltrials.gov/ (US NIH) and the Meta Register of Controlled Trials. We also reviewed the reference lists of identified studies to identify any new RCT met the inclusion criteria.

#### 2.4. Study selection

EndNote X9 (Thomson Reuters, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) was used for literature managing and records literature selection. Study selection was conducted independently by 2 reviewers (Jiaqi Wu and Hong-wei Bao) and discrepant results were resolved by discussion until a unanimous decision was reached. The study flow chart is presented in Figure 1.

#### 2.5. Data extraction

The following information were abstracted by 2 reviewers independently: first authors name, publication year, country, sample size, percentage male, mean age, type of operation, anesthesia, intervention, control, and investigated outcomes, any disagreement between reviewers was settled by discussion until a consensus.

#### 2.6. Risk of bias assessment

Two researchers (Yan-xiao Cheng and Jian Wu) independently assessed the quality of the included trials based on Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool. This tool mainly including 7 items: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias.

#### 2.7. Data analysis

The treatment effectiveness between proprioceptive training and placebo for self-reported functionality, and knee function were assigned as continuous data, and weighted mean difference (WMD) with its 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated in each trial. Moreover, the quality of life, Biodex Balance System were assigned as categories data, and relative risk (RR) with 95% CI in each trial before data pooling. The pooled analyses for investigated outcomes were conducted applied by the random-effects model.<sup>[14,15]</sup> Heterogeneity across included studies were assessed by  $I^2$  and Q statistic, and significant heterogeneity was defined as  $I^2 > 50\%$  or P < .10.<sup>[16,17]</sup> Subgroup analyses were also

conducted on the basis of time endpoints and the type of operation. Publication biases for investigated outcomes were assessed by the Egger and Begg tests.<sup>[18,19]</sup> The inspection level for pooled results are 2-sided, and P < .05 was regarded as statistically significant. The STATA software (Version 14.0; StataCorp, Texas, United States of America) was applied to conduct all statistical analyses in this study.

# 3. Discussion

The aim of this meta-analysis was to summarize the existing evidences about proprioceptive training after total knee arthroplasty. This study has some highlights. First, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis about the effectiveness of proprioceptive training after total knee arthroplasty. In addition, we systematically searched the both English and Chinese databases to comprehensively selected the published papers. These methods demonstrate the reliability of our study. Moreover, we performed subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis to increase the reliability of our meta-analysis. Consistency between reviewers were identified by kappa value. Finally, we could provide evidence for clinical guidance.

#### Acknowledgment

We would thank for the Registry of Systematic Review/Meta-Analysis platform for registry for this meta-analysis.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jia-qi Wu, Jing-zhao Hou.

Data curation: Jing-zhao Hou.

Formal analysis: Jia-qi Wu.

Investigation: Jia-qi Wu, Yong-mei Li, Yue-jiang Zhou.

Methodology: Jia-qi Wu, Yong-mei Li.

Resources: Lin-bo Mao.

Software: Can-hua Wu, Yan-xiao Cheng, Jian Wu.

Supervision: Yue-jiang Zhou, Jian Wu.

Validation: Hong-wei Bao, Jian Wu.

Visualization: Hong-wei Bao.

Writing - original draft: Ling-feng Liu, Zhao Wang.

Writing – review & editing: Hong-wei Bao, Ling-feng Liu, Zhao Wang.

#### References

- Zhu Y, Feng Y, Peng L. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain control after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Rehabil Med 2017;49:700–4.
- [2] Tedesco D, Gori D, Desai KR, et al. Drug-free interventions to reduce pain or opioid consumption after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Surg 2017;152:e172872.
- [3] Lewis GN, Rice DA, McNair PJ, et al. Predictors of persistent pain after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth 2015;114:551–61.
- [4] Shukla H, Nair SR, Thakker D. Role of telerehabilitation in patients following total knee arthroplasty: Evidence from a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. J Telemed Telecare 2017;23:339–46.
- [5] Zhang P, Liang Y, He J, et al. Timing of tourniquet release in total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. Medicine 2017;96:e6786.
- [6] Zhu S, Qian W, Jiang C, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery for hip and knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Postgrad Med J 2017;93:736–42.
- [7] Cai DF, Fan QH, Zhong HH, et al. The effects of tourniquet use on blood loss in primary total knee arthroplasty for patients with osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis. J Orthop Surg Res 2019;14:348.

- [9] Gstoettner M, Raschner C, Dirnberger E, et al. Preoperative proprioceptive training in patients with total knee arthroplasty. Knee 2011;18:265–70.
- [10] Domínguez-Navarro F, Igual-Camacho C, Silvestre-Muñoz A, et al. Effects of balance and proprioceptive training on total hip and knee replacement rehabilitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gait & posture 2018;62:68–74.
- [11] Pozzi F, Snyder-Mackler L, Zeni J. Physical exercise after knee arthroplasty: a systematic review of controlled trials. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2013;49:877–92.
- [12] Xu J, Zhang J, Wang XQ, et al. Effect of joint mobilization techniques for primary total knee arthroplasty: Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Medicine 2017;96:e8827.
- [13] Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate

health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med 2009;6:e1000100.

- [14] DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin TrialsV 7 1986;177–88.
- [15] Ades AE, Lu G, Higgins JP. The interpretation of random-effects meta-analysis in decision models. Med Decis Making 2005;25: 646-54.
- [16] Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 21 antidepressant drugs for the acute treatment of adults with major depressive disorder: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Lancet (London, England) 2018;391:1357– 66.
- [17] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003;327:557–60.
- [18] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.
- [19] Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994;50:1088–101.