

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Addictive Behaviors Reports



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/abrep

# Problematic substance use in depressed adolescents: Prevalence and clinical correlates

Elise N. Marino<sup>a</sup>, Manish K. Jha<sup>a</sup>, Abu Minhajuddin<sup>a,b</sup>, Emine Rabia Ayvaci<sup>a</sup>, Sara Levinson<sup>a</sup>, Ronny Pipes<sup>a</sup>, Graham J. Emslie<sup>c</sup>, Madhukar H. Trivedi<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Depression Research and Clinical Care, Peter O'Donnell Jr. Brain Institute and Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390, USA

<sup>b</sup> Peter O'Donnell Jr. School of Public Health, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390, USA

Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 3323 Harry Hilles Biva, Datas, TX 73390, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Adolescent Depression Early onset Substance use	Background: Substance use among adolescents is common and associated with significant consequences, including depression. Adolescents can experience myriad problems related to early onset substance use and depression, making further understanding of this comorbidity necessary. <i>Method:</i> Participants were a subset from a large-scale performance improvement project and consisted of adolescents aged 12–18 who screened positive for depression during their routine medical or psychiatric appointment and who then completed the substance use assessment Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Friends, Trouble Version 2.1 (CRAFFT). Participants with problematic substance use had a CRAFFT score ≥2. <i>Results:</i> A total of 621 participants were included in this study, and 105 (16.9%) reported problematic substance use. Compared with participants without problematic substance use, those with problematic use were more likely to have moderate to severe depression and anxiety, as well as significantly higher irritability, impulsivity, suicidal propensity, and suicidal thoughts. <i>Limitations:</i> Participants were from a large, metropolitan area of the Southwest United States who must have screened positive for depression, so results may not generalize. Because all participants were underage, they may have been wary in responding to the substance use assessment accurately. <i>Conclusions:</i> By using a large, diverse sample in a real-world clinical setting, findings strengthen the association between problematic substance use and depression and universal depression screening.

# 1. Introduction

Substance use during adolescence is particularly problematic as it is associated with significant consequences, such as the development of problematic patterns of substance use, development of a substance use disorder and other psychiatric disorders (e.g., depression, anxiety, conduct disorder), as well as alterations to brain development in regions that control higher order cognitive functioning and inhibitory control (Clayborne et al., 2019; Esmaeelzadeh et al., 2018; Fergusson et al., 2009; Gray & Squeglia, 2018; McHugh & Weiss, 2019; Pedrelli et al., 2016; Spear, 2018). Though short-term and long-term consequences of early onset substance use are well known, rates of drug and alcohol use in this population are high (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021). In 2020, approximately 20.9 % of adolescents aged 12–17 reported ever using illicit drugs (13.8 % in the past year), and 22.8 % reported ever consuming alcohol (18.5 % in the past year) (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021).

One condition that is often comorbid with substance use that has garnered attention is depression (Esmaeelzadeh et al., 2018; Fergusson et al., 2009; Pedrelli et al., 2016). Rates of major depressive episodes in adolescents have been steadily increasing, with 17 % of adolescents aged 12–17 reporting that they experienced a major depressive episode

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* madhukar.trivedi@utsouthwestern.edu (M.H. Trivedi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2024.100539

Received 19 May 2023; Received in revised form 26 February 2024; Accepted 6 March 2024 Available online 11 March 2024

2352-8532/© 2024 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

in the past year (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2021). This rate is especially problematic as these adolescents were more likely to also use substances compared with those without depression, including illicit drugs (28.6 % vs. 10.7 %), marijuana (22.0 % vs. 7.9 %), as well as binge drinking alcohol (6.2 % vs. 3.8 %). With the brain still developing during adolescence, this is a particularly vulnerable period for the onset of substance use and depressive symptomatology, which makes identifying those at risk crucial.

Taken together, comorbid substance use and depression among adolescents is well documented, and evidence suggests poor outcomes for those with this comorbidity (Gray & Squeglia, 2018; McHugh & Weiss, 2019; Vida et al., 2009). Indeed, those with this comorbidity are more likely to drink more (Wu et al., 2008), develop and/or maintain a substance use disorder into adulthood (Meier et al., 2016), not complete high school, get arrested by age 19 (Vida et al., 2009), and experience more severe symptoms of the two conditions (McHugh & Weiss, 2019; Vida et al., 2009). With persistent symptoms and consequences of depression and substance use, individuals with this comorbidity are at risk for a poorer prognosis both during adolescence and into adulthood.

Because of the significant comorbidity of substance use and depression among adolescents (Esmaeelzadeh et al., 2018; Fergusson et al., 2009; Pedrelli et al., 2016), these remain clinical conditions of interest. With the extant literature having been established largely in research or survey settings, using data collected as part of routine clinical care in a <u>clinical</u> setting would provide valuable information regarding the prevalence and severity of problematic substance use and comorbid depression. This would then help inform the current needs for identifying and treating adolescents with this comorbidity. To address this identified gap, the current study examined the clinical correlates of problematic substance use among adolescents who screened positive for depression during routine primary care appointments.

# 2. Method

## 2.1. Participants and procedure

Participants were patients of a health system in a large, metropolitan city in the Southwest United States undergoing a large-scale performance improvement project. VitalSign6 is an ongoing project examining a Primary Care First (PCP-First) Model utilizing a universal screening and measurement-based care approach to screen for depression and provide treatment in large, <u>clinical</u> samples (Trivedi et al., 2019). Overall, the VitalSign6 project highlights the need to utilize primary care clinics in the detection and management of depression to ease healthcare burden and reduce time from detection to treatment. Data for the current paper were drawn from VitalSign6 in order to examine the cross-sectional association among substance use and mental health.

Universal screening via VitalSign6 occurred during routine visits to primary and psychiatric care clinics participating in the performance improvement project. Patients with a positive screen for depression were asked to complete additional assessments. The medical clinicians, who had been trained by the VitalSign6 project, then completed a clinical interview and made a diagnosis. Clinicians were also responsible for starting treatment and monitoring clinical status over time. Prior to initiation, this study was approved by the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Institutional Review Board, who classified this project as a performance improvement project and waived the requirement to obtain informed consent. The participants included in this study were a subset of the total VitalSign6 population and consisted of adolescents aged 12-18 who screened positive on the 2-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2) screening measure for depression (PHQ-2 > 2), which included depressed/irritable mood and anhedonia, and who then completed the substance use assessment (CRAFFT, detailed below). All study data was collected between 06/2018 and 07/2022.

## 2.2. Measures

Car, Relax, Alone, Forget, Friends, Trouble (CRAFFT) version 2.1 (Knight et al., 1999) is a 9-item, self-report screening measure that assesses substance use and related consequences in adolescents. It consists of three prescreening items assessing any substance use over the past year (i.e., alcohol, marijuana, and other substances) and six items measuring risks and consequences related to substance use. These six yes/no items are used to determine the total score (0–6). The CRAFFT has demonstrated good predictive validity and internal consistency (Knight et al., 1999, 2002). A cut-off point of 2 has been established to accurately predict problematic substance use and the presence of a substance use disorder per DSM-5 criteria (Mitchell et al., 2014). As such, the current study categorized problematic substance use as a score of 2 or higher, and no problematic substance use as a score of 0–1. The internal consistency for the scale in the current sample was good ( $\alpha = 0.90$ ).

Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Kroenke et al., 2001) is a 9item, self-report assessment for depression. Depression symptoms are scored based on frequency over the past two weeks from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day) with a possible score between 0 and 27. Severity of depression was categorized as none (0–5), mild (6–9), moderate (10–14), severe (15–19), and very severe (20–27). The two items on the PHQ-2, which are taken from the PHQ-9, were used as the initial screening measure to determine inclusion in this study (PHQ-2 > 2) with a possible score between 0 and 6. The internal consistency for the scale in the current sample was acceptable ( $\alpha = 0.77$ ).

Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) (Spitzer et al., 2006) is a 7-item, self-report assessment for generalized anxiety disorder. It assesses anxiety symptoms over the past two weeks using the scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day) with a possible score between 0 and 21. Severity of anxiety was classified as none (0–4), mild (5–9), moderate (10–14), and severe (15–21). The internal consistency for the scale in the current sample was good ( $\alpha = 0.88$ ).

Concise Associated Symptoms Tracking Scale (CAST) (Trivedi, Wisniewski, Morris, Fava, Kurian, et al., 2011) is a 16-item, self-report assessment of depression-associated symptoms. The CAST assesses symptoms from the past 24 h using a 5-point, Likert-type scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Items are scored on a scale from 1 to 5. It includes five factors, and the internal consistency for the subscales in the current sample was generally good: irritability (5 items;  $\alpha = 0.85$ ), anxiety (3 items;  $\alpha = 0.78$ ), insomnia (2 items;  $\alpha = 0.86$ ), mania (4 items;  $\alpha = 0.71$ ), and panic (2 items;  $\alpha = 0.69$ ).

Concise Health Risk Tracking Scale (CHRT) (Trivedi, Wisniewski, Morris, Fava, Gollan, et al., 2011) is a 14-item, self-report assessment of suicide risk. Symptoms are assessed over the past 24 h using a 5-point, Likert-type scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Items are scored on a scale from 0 to 4. It includes three factors, and the internal consistency for the subscales in the current sample was good: impulsivity (2 items;  $\alpha = 0.80$ ), suicidal propensity (9 items;  $\alpha = 0.92$ ), and suicidal thoughts (3 items;  $\alpha = 0.86$ ). The propensity factor consists of four domains: pessimism, helplessness, perceived lack of social support, and despair.

## 2.3. Statistical analysis

Adolescents who completed the CRAFFT at the screening visit (N = 621) were categorized as participants without (CRAFFT score <2) and with (CRAFFT score  $\geq$ 2) problematic substance use. Bivariate analyses comparing screening demographic and clinical features in those with versus without problematic use were conducted using two-tailed *t*-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. The significant findings from the bivariate analyses were then tested using separate linear regression models controlling for age at screening, sex, race, and ethnicity. All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Inc, Cary, NC).

## 3. Results

Of the 621 participants included in this study, 105 (16.9%) reported problematic substance use (CRAFFT score  $\geq$ 2). Table 1 displays the number and type of CRAFFT items participants endorsed. The median number of CRAFFT items reported by those with problematic substance use was 4, and the mean was 4.07 (SD = 1.42).

Table 2 presents bivariate comparisons of participants with or without problematic substance use. Participants with problematic use are more likely to be male (49.5 % with substance use vs. 31.4 % without substance use, p < .0001), White (73.2 % with substance use vs. 65.2 % without substance use, p = .003), and of Hispanic ethnicity (39.0 % with substance use vs. 20.0 % without substance use, p < .0001). Additionally, participants with problematic substance use vs. 13.8 (SD = 1.8) without substance use, p < .0001).

Clinically, a significantly higher percentage of participants with problematic substance use have moderate to severe depression (92.4 % with substance use vs. 63.4 % without substance use, p < .0001) and anxiety (65.6 % with substance use vs. 50.0 % without substance use, p = .003) (see Table 2). In addition, significantly more participants with problematic substance use have a major depressive disorder diagnosis (56.2 %) compared with the 33.3 % of the sample without problematic substance use have significantly higher PHQ-9 total (p < .0001), GAD-7 total (p < .0001), CAST irritability (p = .012), CAST anxiety (p = .009), CHRT impulsivity (p < .0001), CHRT suicidal propensity (p < .0001), and CHRT suicidal thoughts (p < .0001) scores.

Multivariate analyses were then conducted to examine the effect of problematic substance use on the depression and associated symptoms that were significant in the bivariate analyses. Controlling for age at screening, sex, race, and ethnicity, problematic substance use significantly predicted depression severity ( $\beta = 1.54, 95 \%$  Confidence Interval (CI) [0.08, 2.98], p = .038) (see Table 3), impulsivity ( $\beta = 1.74, 95 \%$  CI

## Table 1

CRAFFT Responses Across All Participants Aged 12-18 Years Old
---

CRAFFT variables	No Problematic Use (n = 516)	Problematic Use (n = 105)	Total (N = 621)
CRAFFT Score			
0	475 (88.6 %)	0 (0.0 %)	475 (76.5 %)
1	41 (7.7 %)	0 (0.0 %)	41 (6.6 %)
2	0 (0.0 %)	20 (19.0 %)	20 (3.2 %)
3	0 (0.0 %)	20 (19.0 %)	20 (3.2 %)
4	0 (0.0 %)	19 (18.1 %)	19 (3.1 %)
5	0 (0.0 %)	25 (23.8 %)	25 (4.0 %)
6	0 (0.0 %)	21 (20.0 %)	21 (3.4 %)
CRAFFT Items			, ()
Ridden in a car driven by someone who was "high"	16 (3.1 %)	68 (64.8 %)	84 (13.5 %)
Use alcohol or drugs to "relax"	4 (0.1 %)	92 (87.6 %)	96 (15.5 %)
Ever forget things you did while using alcohol or drugs	0 (0.0 %)	48 (45.7 %)	48 (7.7 %)
Ever use alcohol or drugs alone	21 (4.1 %)	54 (51.4 %)	75 (12.1 %)
Have family or friends ever tell you to cut down on your drinking	0 (0.0 %)	85 (80.9 %)	85 (13.7 %)
Ever gotten in trouble while using alcohol or drugs	0 (0.0 %)	80 (76.2 %)	80 (12.9 %)

[0.75, 2.74], p < .001) (see Table 4), suicidal propensity ( $\beta = 4.60, 95 \%$  CI [1.11, 8.09], p = .010) (see Table 5), and suicidal thoughts ( $\beta = 1.78$ , 95 % CI [0.61, 2.95], p = .003) (see Table 6). Irritability and anxiety were not significant in multivariate models.

# 4. Discussion

In this large sample of adolescents who were receiving care at primary care and psychiatric clinics, the presence of problematic substance use was associated with significantly greater severity of depression and depression-associated symptoms. Although this sample all screened positive for depression at routine medical appointments, the rates of substance use were similar to what is reported in recent epidemiological literature. This study thus provides unique insight into the clinically significant prevalence and overlap in problematic substance use and depression in a diverse sample of adolescents.

Overall, 16.9 % of participants aged 12–18 reported problematic substance use, consistent with recent large-scale survey data (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2021), 2021). Among those with problematic substance use, more than half received a major depressive disorder diagnosis compared with one third of those without problematic use. In addition, those with problematic substance use had greater depression-associated symptoms, including anxiety, irritability, impulsivity, suicidal propensity, and suicidal thoughts. After controlling for demographic characteristics, problematic substance use remained a significant predictor of depression severity, impulsivity, suicidal propensity, and suicidal thoughts. Despite the gravity of this, rates of adolescent substance use remain high, underscoring a predisposition to early onset drug and alcohol use (Gray & Squeglia, 2018; O'Loughlin et al., 2017; Pedrelli et al., 2016; Schuler et al., 2019; Trucco, 2020; Van Ryzin et al., 2012).

Cross-sectional findings demonstrate a clear association among problematic substance use and depression, as do longitudinal studies. There remains support for the self-medication model (Turner et al., 2018; Wilkinson et al., 2016), and there are also some longitudinal studies that have found support for a contrasting model suggesting that using substances in adolescence increases the likelihood of later developing a depressive disorder (Esmaeelzadeh et al., 2018; Fergusson et al., 2009; Lev-Ran et al., 2014; Wilkinson et al., 2016). Suicidality, one symptom of depression, has also been shown to be significantly associated with problematic substance use (Bjureberg et al., 2022; Guvendeger Doksat et al., 2017; Vijayakumar et al., 2011). Research has found unidirectional relationships from substance use to suicidality and from suicidality to substance use (Zhang & Wu, 2014), suggesting a complex relationship between the two factors, much like that seen with comorbid substance use and depression. In total, a feedback loop then develops and after this begins, there arises a cyclical pattern of symptoms and consequences that can persist and worsen if left untreated.

Early identification of adolescents at risk for developing problematic substance use and depression is, therefore, essential. Indeed, current findings indicate that adolescents with problematic drug and alcohol use in the past year who screened positive for depression in the past two weeks were on average two years older than those without problematic use. As such, screening for depression and substance use early and then providing appropriate treatment may help prevent the development and/or worsening of problematic substance use and depression during a critically important period for brain development, thus producing the best clinical outcomes for these individuals.

Future research could examine the effect first-line screening for problematic substance use in combination with depression has on improving outcomes. Identifying those with problematic substance use early, irrespective of their depression status, can serve to prevent the negative consequences seen with early onsets substance use. To provide additional valuable information, future studies should examine the longitudinal framework of the best methods and time to assess and intervene for both those with problematic substance use alone and those

# Table 2

Demographic and Clinical Comparisons by Problematic Substance Use.

Participant Characteristics	No Problematic Use (n = 516) n (%) or M (SD)	Problematic Use (n = 105) n (%) or M (SD)	Total (N = 621) n (%) or M (SD)	Test Statistic	p value	Cohen's d
Sex				12.7	0.0004	
Female	354 (68.6 %)	53 (50.5 %)	407 (65.5 %)			
Male	162 (31.4 %)	52 (49.5 %)	214 (34.5 %)			0.38
Race*				14	0.003	
Black	42 (11.9 %)	17 (20.7 %)	59 (9.5 %)			
White	230 (65.2 %)	60 (73.2 %)	290 (46.7 %)			0.17
Other	80 (22.6 %)	5 (6.1 %)	85 (13.7 %)			
Unknown	1 (0.3 %)	0 (0 %)	1 (0.2 %)			
Ethnicity				24.5	< 0.0001	
Hispanic	103 (20.0 %)	41 (39.0 %)	144 (23.2 %)			0.43
Non-Hispanic	268 (51.9 %)	53 (50.5 %)	321 (51.7 %)			
Unknown	145 (28.1 %)	11 (10.5 %)	156 (25.1 %)			
Age at Screening	13.8 (1.8)	15.8 (1.4)	14.1 (1.9)	12.9	< 0.0001	1.25
PHQ-9 Total	12.1 (5.6)	15.3 (4.7)	12.6 (5.6)	5.5	< 0.0001	0.62
PHQ-9 Categories				38.5	< 0.0001	
None (0–5)	75 (14.5 %)	3 (2.9 %)	78 (12.6 %)			
Mild (6–9)	114 (22.1 %)	5 (4.8 %)	119 (19.2 %)			
Moderate (10-14)	141 (27.3 %)	40 (38.1 %)	181 (29.1 %)			
Severe (15–19)	138 (26.7 %)	35 (33.3 %)	173 (27.9 %)			
Very Severe (20+)	48 (9.3 %)	22 (21.0 %)	70 (11.3 %)			
GAD-7 Total*	9.4 (6.0)	12.1 (5.2)	9.8 (6.0)	4.1	< 0.0001	0.47
GAD-7 Categories*				13.6	0.003	
None (0–4)	129 (25.1 %)	9 (9.1 %)	138 (22.2 %)			
Mild (5–9)	130 (25.3 %)	25 (25.3 %)	155 (25.0 %)			
Moderate (10–14)	129 (25.2 %)	33 (33.3 %)	162 (26.1 %)			
Severe (15+)	125 (24.4 %)	32 (32.3 %)	157 (25.3 %)			
CAST Irritability*	9.4 (5.4)	12.6 (3.7)	9.6 (5.4)	2.5	0.012	0.67
CAST Anxiety*	5.2 (3.3)	7.2 (2.6)	5.3 (3.3)	2.6	0.009	0.67
CAST Insomnia*	3.3 (2.7)	3.8 (2.6)	3.3 (2.7)	0.8	0.435	0.18
CAST Mania*	6.9 (3.4)	7.2 (3.5)	6.9 (3.4)	0.4	0.719	0.08
CAST Panic*	2.2 (2.0)	3.1 (1.7)	2.2 (2.0)	1.9	0.058	0.47
CHRT Impulsivity*	4.1 (2.6)	5.9 (1.9)	4.3 (2.6)	5.6	<0.0001	0.77
CHRT Propensity*	13.4 (9.2)	19.6 (7.4)	14.2 (9.2)	4.5	<0.0001	0.74
CHRT Thoughts*	2.7 (3.0)	4.4 (2.9)	2.9 (3.0)	3.6	0.0004	0.56
Diagnosis				32.1	<0.0001	
Adjustment Disorder	33 (6.4 %)	2 (1.9 %)	35 (5.6 %)			
Dysthymia	13 (2.5 %)	0 (0.0 %)	13 (2.1 %)			
Major Depressive Disorder	172 (33.3 %)	59 (56.2 %)	231 (37.2 %)			
Unspecified Depressive Disorder	55 (10.7 %)	14 (13.3 %)	69 (11.1 %)			
Other Psychiatric Dx	60 (11.6 %)	14 (13.3 %)	74 (11.9 %)			
No Psychiatric Dx	38 (7.4 %)	0 (0.0 %)	38 (6.1 %)			
Unknown/Unable to Confirm	145 (28.1 %)	16 (15.2 %)	161 (25.9 %)			

\* For Race, n = 353 for no problematic use group and n = 82 for problematic use group. For GAD-7 total, n = 513 in no problematic use group and n = 99 in the problematic use group; For CAST domain scores, n = 305 in no problematic use group and n = 20 in the problematic use group; For CHRT domain scores, n = 328 in no problematic use group and n = 48 in the problematic use group. Bold denotes statistically significant group differences.

# Table 3

Effect of Problematic Substance	Use on	Depression	Severity.
---------------------------------	--------	------------	-----------

Variable	$\beta$ estimate	95 % Confidence Interval	p value
Intercept	2.06	-2.12, 6.26	0.334
Age	0.75	0.46, 1.04	< 0.0001
Female Sex	1.78	0.71, 2.85	0.001
White	0.34	-0.96, 1.63	0.608
Black	-1.13	-2.93, 0.66	0.216
Hispanic	1.34	0.20, 2.50	0.022
Problematic Use	1.54	0.08, 2.98	0.038

## Table 4

Effect of Problematic Substance Use on Impulsivity.

Variable	$\beta$ estimate	95 % Confidence Interval	p value
Intercept	2.07	-0.44, 4.58	0.106
Age	0.11	-0.06, 0.29	0.194
Female Sex	0.31	-0.37, 0.98	0.370
White	0.17	-0.61, 0.96	0.668
Black	-0.24	-1.31, 0.83	0.661
Hispanic	0.14	-0.57, 0.85	0.705
Problematic Use	1.74	0.75, 2.74	< 0.001

# Table 5

Effect of Problematic Substance Use on Suicidal Propensity.

Variable	$\beta$ estimate	95 % Confidence Interval	p value
Intercept	-1.73	-10.54, 7.08	0.700
Age	0.93	0.32, 1.54	0.003
Female Sex	2.74	0.38, 5.09	0.023
White	0.59	-2.17, 3.35	0.676
Black	-2.30	-6.07, 1.47	0.230
Hispanic	2.63	0.13, 5.13	0.039
Problematic Use	4.60	1.11, 8.09	0.010

# Table 6

# Effect of Problematic Substance Use on Suicidal Thoughts.

Variable	$\beta$ estimate	95 % Confidence Interval	p value
Intercept	1.12	-1.84, 4.08	0.456
Age	0.09	-0.12, 0.29	0.393
Female Sex	0.59	-0.20, 1.39	0.141
White	-0.30	-1.22, 0.63	0.526
Black	-0.46	-1.73, 0.80	0.473
Hispanic	1.02	0.18, 1.86	0.012
Problematic Use	1.78	0.61, 2.95	0.003

with comorbid problematic substance use and depression.

There are several caveats worth mentioning. This was a crosssectional study that used a sample of adolescents drawn from a large, metropolitan region of the Southwest United States who all had to screen positive for depression to be included in this study, both of which may impact the generalizability of these findings. All assessments were selfreport, and because all participants were underage, there may have been caution taken in responding on the CRAFFT. As this study examined the existing comorbidity of problematic substance use and depression, causality cannot be determined. As the purpose of this study was to explore the correlates of problematic substance use in a sample of depressed adolescents, and not to test specific hypotheses, we did not adjust p-values for multiple comparisons.

This study used a clinical setting to validate the range of depression and associated symptoms observed in adolescents with problematic substance use. Given the severity and breadth of consequences associated with this comorbidity, findings highlight the importance and utility of universal screening for depression in primary care clinics. Because one in five adolescents use substances and one in five experience depression, examining treatment outcomes in a <u>clinical</u> setting for those with this comorbidity is the next step.

## Funding source

Funding was provided, in part by the Center for Depression Research and Clinical Care (CDRC), the Rees-Jones Foundation, the Meadows Foundation, and the Hersh Foundation.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

Elise N. Marino: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. Manish K. Jha: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. Abu Minhajuddin: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation. Emine Rabia Ayvaci: . Sara Levinson: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. Ronny Pipes: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. Graham J. Emslie: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. Madhukar H. Trivedi: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Dr. Marino reports no conflicts of interest. Dr. Jha is supported by an NIMH career development award (MH126202), the O'Donnell Clinical Neuroscience Scholar Award from UT Southwestern Medical Center. He has received contract research grants from Acadia Pharmaceuticals, Neurocrine Bioscience, Navitor/Supernus and Janssen Research & Development, educational grant to serve as Section Editor of the Psychiatry & Behavioral Health Learning Network, consultant fees from Eleusis Therapeutics US, Inc, Janssen Global Services, Janssen Scientific Affairs, Worldwide Clinical Trials/Eliem and Inversargo, and Guidepoint Global, and honoraria from North American Center for Continuing Medical Education, Medscape/WebMD, Clinical Care Options, and Global Medical Education. Dr. Minhajuddin, Dr. Ayvaci, Ms. Levinson, and Mr. Pipes report no conflicts of interest. Dr. Emslie is a consultant for Lundbeck and Neuronetics. Dr. Emslie receives research support from American Foundation for Suicide Prevention (AFSP), Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Janssen Research & Development, the National Institutes of Health, Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI), and the State of Texas. Dr. Trivedi has received research funding from NIMH, NIDA, NCATS, the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention, the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, and the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas. He has served as a consultant or advisor for ACADIA PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Akili Interactive, ALKERMES INC (Pub Steering Comm-ALKS5461), Allergan Sales LLC, Alto Neuroscience, Inc.

, Applied Clinical Intelligence, LLC (ACI), Axome Therapeutics, Boehringer Ingelheim, Engage Health Media, Gh Research, GreenLight VitalSign6, Inc., Heading Health, Inc., Health Care Global Village, Janssen - Cilag.SA, Janssen Research and Development, LLC (Adv Committee Esketamine), Janssen Research and Development, LLC (panel for study design for MDD relapse), Janssen - ORBIT, Legion Health, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, LUNDBECK RESEARCH U.S.A, Medscape, LLC, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., Mind Medicine (MindMed) Inc., Myriad Neuroscience, Neurocrine Biosciences Inc, Navitor, Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Noema Pharma AG, Orexo US Inc., Otsuka Pharmaceutical Development & Commercialization, Inc. (PsychU, MDD Section Advisor), Otsuka America Pharmaceutical, Inc. (MDD expert), Pax Neuroscience, Perception Neuroscience Holdings, Inc., Pharmerit International, LP, Policy Analysis Inc., Sage, Therapeutics, Rexahn Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Sage Therapeutics, Signant Health, SK Life Science, Inc., Takeda Development Center Americas, Inc., The Baldwin Group, Inc., and Titan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Dr. Trivedi also received editorial compensation from Oxford University Press. Disclaimer: The Intellectual Property of VitalSign6 belongs to the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (Principal Investigator, Dr Trivedi) and is now licensed to GLVS6 for future distribution.

## Data availability

The authors do not have permission to share data.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all parties involved in the development, funding, and implementation of VitalSign6.

## References

- Bjureberg, J., Kuja-Halkola, R., Ohlis, A., Lichtenstein, P., D'Onofrio, B. M., Hellner, C., & Cederlöf, M. (2022). Adverse clinical outcomes among youths with nonsuicidal selfinjury and suicide attempts: A longitudinal cohort study. *Journal of Child Psychology* and Psychiatry, 63(8), 921–928. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13544
- Clayborne, Z. M., Varin, M., & Colman, I. (2019). Systematic review and meta-analysis: Adolescent depression and long-term psychosocial outcomes. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 58(1), 72–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jaac.2018.07.896
- Esmaeelzadeh, S., Moraros, J., Thorpe, L., & Bird, Y. (2018). Examining the association and directionality between mental health disorders and substance use among adolescents and young adults in the U.S. and Canada—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 7(12), Article 12. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/icm7120543
- Fergusson, D. M., Boden, J. M., & Horwood, L. J. (2009). Tests of causal links between alcohol abuse or dependence and major depression. Archives of General Psychiatry, 66 (3), 260–266. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2008.543
- Gray, K. M., & Squeglia, L. M. (2018). Research review: What have we learned about adolescent substance use? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 59(6), 618–627. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12783
- Guvendeger Doksat, N., Zahmacioglu, O., Ciftci Demirci, A., Kocaman, G. M., & Erdogan, A. (2017). Association of suicide attempts and non-suicidal self-injury behaviors with substance use and family characteristics among children and adolescents seeking treatment for substance use disorder. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 52 (5), 604–613. https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2016.1245745
- Knight, J. R., Sherritt, L., Shrier, L. A., Harris, S. K., & Chang, G. (2002). Validity of the CRAFFT substance abuse screening test among adolescent clinic patients. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 156(6), 607–614. https://doi.org/10.1001/ archpedi.156.6.607
- Knight, J. R., Shrier, L. A., Bravender, T. D., Farrell, M., Vander Bilt, J., & Shaffer, H. J. (1999). A new brief screen for adolescent substance abuse. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 153(6), 591–596. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.153.6.591
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. W. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16(9), 606–613. https://doi.org/10.1046/i.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x
- Lev-Ran, S., Roerecke, M., Foll, B. L., George, T. P., McKenzie, K., & Rehm, J. (2014). The association between cannabis use and depression: A systematic review and metaanalysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Medicine*, 44(4), 797–810. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0033291713001438
- McHugh, R. K., & Weiss, R. D. (2019). Alcohol use disorder and depressive disorders. Alcohol Research: Current Reviews, 40(1). https://doi.org/10.35946/arcr.v40.1.01
- Meier, M. H., Hall, W., Caspi, A., Belsky, D. W., Cerdá, M., Harrington, H. L., Houts, R., Poulton, R., & Moffitt, T. E. (2016). Which adolescents develop persistent substance dependence in adulthood? Using population-representative longitudinal data to

#### E.N. Marino et al.

inform universal risk assessment. Psychological Medicine, 46(4), 877-889. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715002482

- Mitchell, S. G., Kelly, S. M., Gryczynski, J., Myers, C. P., O'Grady, K. E., Kirk, A. S., & Schwartz, R. P. (2014). The CRAFFT cut-points and DSM-5 criteria for alcohol and other drugs: A reevaluation and reexamination. *Substance Abuse*, 35(4), 376–380. https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2014.936992
- O'Loughlin, J., O'Loughlin, E. K., Wellman, R. J., Sylvestre, M.-P., Dugas, E. N., Chagnon, M., Dutczak, H., Laguë, J., & McGrath, J. J. (2017). Predictors of cigarette smoking initiation in early, middle, and late adolescence. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 61(3), 363–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.12.026
- Pedrelli, P., Shapero, B., Archibald, A., & Dale, C. (2016). Alcohol use and depression during adolescence and young adulthood: A summary and interpretation of mixed findings. *Current Addiction Reports*, 3(1), 91–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-016-0084-0
- Schuler, M. S., Tucker, J. S., Pedersen, E. R., & D'Amico, E. J. (2019). Relative influence of perceived peer and family substance use on adolescent alcohol, cigarette, and marijuana use across middle and high school. *Addictive Behaviors, 88*, 99–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.08.025
- Spear, L. P. (2018). Effects of adolescent alcohol consumption on the brain and behaviour. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 19(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrn.2018.10
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B. W., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. Archives of Internal Medicine, 166 (10), 1092–1097. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2021). Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: Results from the 2020 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (HHS Publication No. PEP21-07-01-003, NSDUH Series H-56). Rockville, MD: Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/.
- Trivedi, M. H., Jha, M. K., Kahalnik, F., Pipes, R., Levinson, S., Lawson, T., Rush, A. J., Trombello, J. M., Grannemann, B., Tovian, C., Kinney, R., Clark, E. W., & Greer, T. L. (2019). VitalSign6: A primary care first (PCP-first) model for universal screening and measurement-based care for depression. *Pharmaceuticals*, 12(2), 2. doi: 10.3390/ ph12020071.
- Trivedi, M. H., Wisniewski, S. R., Morris, D. W., Fava, M., Gollan, J. K., Warden, D., Nierenberg, A. A., Gaynes, B. N., Husain, M. M., Luther, J. F., Zisook, S., & Rush, A. J. (2011). Concise health risk tracking scale: A brief self-report and clinician rating of

suicidal risk. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 72(6), 3609. https://doi.org/ 10.4088/JCP.11m06837

- Trivedi, M. H., Wisniewski, S. R., Morris, D. W., Fava, M., Kurian, B. T., Gollan, J. K., Nierenberg, A. A., Warden, D., Gaynes, B. N., Luther, J. F., & Rush, A. J. (2011). Concise associated symptoms tracking scale: A brief self-report and clinician rating of symptoms associated with suicidality. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 72(6), 3587. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.11m06840
- Trucco, E. M. (2020). A review of psychosocial factors linked to adolescent substance use. Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior, 196, Article 172969. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.pbb.2020.172969
- Turner, S., Mota, N., Bolton, J., & Sareen, J. (2018). Self-medication with alcohol or drugs for mood and anxiety disorders: A narrative review of the epidemiological literature. *Depression and Anxiety*, 35(9), 851–860. https://doi.org/10.1002/ da.22771
- Van Ryzin, M. J., Fosco, G. M., & Dishion, T. J. (2012). Family and peer predictors of substance use from early adolescence to early adulthood: An 11-year prospective analysis. Addictive Behaviors, 37(12), 1314–1324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. addbeh.2012.06.020
- Vida, R., Brownlie, E. B., Beitchman, J. H., Adlaf, E. M., Atkinson, L., Escobar, M., Johnson, C. J., Jiang, H., Koyama, E., & Bender, D. (2009). Emerging adult outcomes of adolescent psychiatric and substance use disorders. *Addictive Behaviors*, 34(10), 800–805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2009.03.035
- Vijayakumar, L., Kumar, M. S., & Vijayakumar, V. (2011). Substance use and suicide. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 24(3), 197. https://doi.org/10.1097/ YCO.0b013e3283459242.
- Wilkinson, A. L., Halpern, C. T., Herring, A. H., Shanahan, M., Ennett, S. T., Hussey, J. M., & Harris, K. M. (2016). Testing longitudinal relationships between binge drinking, marijuana use, and depressive symptoms and moderation by sex. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 59(6), 681–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jadohealth.2016.07.010
- Wu, P., Hoven, C. W., Okezie, N., Fuller, C. J., & Cohen, P. (2008). Alcohol abuse and depression in children and adolescents. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Substance Abuse*, 17(2), 51–69. https://doi.org/10.1300/J029v17n02\_04
- Zhang, X., & Wu, L.-T. (2014). Suicidal ideation and substance use among adolescents and young adults: A bidirectional relation? *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 142, 63–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.05.025