

Journal of International Medical Research
2017, Vol. 45(1) 246–253
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0300060516687230
journals.sagepub.com/home/imr

Total intravenous anesthesia produces outcomes superior to those with combined intravenous—inhalation anesthesia for laparoscopic gynecological surgery at high altitude

Rui Xu¹,*, Shuqin Zhou^{1,2,*}, Jin Yang³, Haiyan Li⁴, Qingguo Zhang¹, Guohong Zhang⁵, Shiyuan Xu¹ and Qing Peng⁴

Abstract

Objective: We compared efficacy and adverse outcomes following totally intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) versus combined intravenous—inhalation anesthesia (CIVIA) on hemodynamics and postoperative recovery following laparoscopic gynecological (LG) surgery at high altitudes.

Methods: We enrolled 80 ASA I or II patients scheduled for LG surgery and randomly assigned them to TIVA with propofol (group P, n=40) or CIVIA with isoflurane (group I, n=40). Mean arterial pressure, heart rate, pulse oxygen saturation, and partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide were measured at various time points. Outcome measures were interval to spontaneous ventilation, eye opening, return to consciousness, extubation, operating room duration. Intraoperative awareness and postoperative nausea/vomiting were assessed at follow-up.

Results: No differences in hemodynamic parameters were detected in either group. Group P had a significantly shorter postoperative anesthetic recovery time and lower incidence of postoperative nausea/vomiting.

Conclusion: TIVA is superior to CIVIA for GL surgery at high altitudes.

*RuiXu and Shuqin Zhou contributed equally to this work

Corresponding authors:

Shiyuan Xu and Qing Peng, Department of Anesthesiology, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510282, China; Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery II, Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510282, China.

Emails: xushiyuan355@163.com; zhujiangzhuanhua@163.com

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

²Department of Anesthesiology, the First People's Hospital of Kashi, Kashi, Xinjiang, China

³Departement of Anesthesiology, People's Hospital of Linzhi Area, Tibet, China

⁴Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery II, Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

⁵Department of Pathology, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, Guangdong, China

Keywords

TIVA, laparoscopy, gynecological surgery, anesthesia, intravenous-inhalation anesthesia, post-operative recovery

Date received: 25 September 2016; accepted: 11 December 2016

Introduction

Human habitation in high-altitude environments (1500–3500 meters) is no longer uncommon. Initial responses to diminished inspiratory oxygen pressure include decreased exercise performance and increased ventilation (reduced PaCO₂). The arterial oxygen saturation is usually unchanged, although the oxygen pressure is markedly reduced. During acclimatization, oxygen delivery to tissues is enhanced through adjustments in the respiratory, cardiovascular, and hematological systems to meet cellular oxygen demand.1 Because of these physiological changes, anesthetics delivered at high altitude require an understanding of high-altitude medicine, specifically the altitude's impact on anesthesia.²

Gynecological laparoscopy is one of the most commonly performed surgical procedures. It is often the treatment of choice for ectopic pregnancies,³ endometriosis,⁴ and ovarian cystectomy.⁵ Laparoscopy been shown to be safer and more costeffective for these procedures as well as a having a shorter recovery time than traditional laparotomy. A laparoscopic technique is favored by surgeons and patients.⁶ Although TIVA and CIVIA are commonly applied during gynecological laparoscopic surgery, there are no reports that have compared these two anesthetic methods during gynecological laparoscopic surgery at high attitude. Therefore, to investigate the optimal method of anesthesia delivery under these conditions, we performed a prospective study of the effects of TIVA and CIVIA on intraoperative hemodynamic parameters

and postoperative recovery. The study was performed at People's Hospital of Linzhi Area, which is located at an altitude of more than 3000 m above sea level.

Methods

Patient information

After gaining from the approval Institutional Ethics Committee of People's Hospital of Linzhi Area (Ethics Committee reference number: 20101220) and obtaining written informed consent, 80 American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I–II patients who were scheduled to undergo gynecological laparoscopic surgery at People's Hospital of Linzhi Area (located Linzhi, Tibet Autonomous Region, China) between January 2011 December 2011 were enrolled in the study. All participants were lifelong residents or had been continuous residents at high altitude for more than 3 years. They were 18–56 years old (height 151–167 cm, 44–71 kg). None of the patients reported a history of hypertension or cardiovascular disease. Focused physical examinations of the heart, lung, liver, and kidney were unremarkable in all participants, as were the electrolyte levels. The patients were randomized, by a computed algorithm, to receive either totally intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) with propofol (group P) or comintravenous-inhalation anesthesia (CIVIA) with isoflurane (group I). No statistically significant differences in baseline information or duration of surgery were observed between the two groups.

Anesthetic methods

All patients were intravenously (IV) administered midazolam 0.1 mg/kg and atropine 0.01 mg/kg 30 min prior to surgery. The mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), pulse oxygen saturation (SaO₂), and partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide (P_{ET}CO₂) were recorded using a BRD-2000B multi-function monitor (Shenzhou, Guandong, China). Sodium lactate 300-500 mL was infused preoperatively. Patients in both groups underwent induction with atracurium 0.05 mg/kg IV, propofol 2 mg/kg IV, and fentanyl 3 µg/kg IV. After endotracheal intubation, patients were mechanically ventilated with a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of 50%, tidal volume 8–10 mL/kg, respiratory rate 12–15/min, inhalation/expiration ratio 1:2, P_{ET}CO₂ 26-30 mmHg, and abdominal pressure 12-Intraoperatively, the 14 mmHg. volume and respiratory rate were adjusted to achieve a P_{ET}CO₂ of 35–45 mmHg. In the P group, anesthesia was maintained with propofol 3-10 mg/kg/h and remifentanil 0.1–0.2 µg/kg/min IV. Patients in group I received 1-3% isoflurane and remifentanil $0.1-0.2 \,\mu g/kg/min$ IV. A total of $10-15 \,mg$ of atracurium was administered IV intraoperatively to facilitate surgical exposure.

The patient was placed Trendelenburg position, and her head was elevated to a 15°-20° angle relative to the feet. TIVA or CIVIA was administered continuously for 5 min prior to insufflation, continued throughout the procedure, and maintained for 5 min following removal of the laparoscopic trocars. The Narcotrend index was adjusted to 40-50 to monitor the anesthetic depth during the surgery. Upon completion of the operation, neostigmine 2 mg IV and atropine 1 mg IV were administered to antagonize the effects of residual muscle relaxants. Extubation was performed when the patient exhibited awareness, cough

and swallowing reflexes, and spontaneous ventilation with a normal tidal volume. She was then transferred to the recovery room for further observation.

Outcome measures

MAP, HR, SaO₂, and $P_{EF}O_2$ were measured in all patients at the following time points: 30 min before induction, immediately before insufflation, 5 and 15 min after insufflation. 5 min after desufflation, 5 min after extubation. The anesthesia stop time and the time to spontaneous breathing, eye opening, return to consciousness, extubation, and time in the operating room were recorded. Eye opening in this study was defined as 2 in the Observer's Assessment of Alertness/ Sedation Scale, and return to consciousness was defined as 1. An observer blinded to the anesthesia grouping assessed the patients 24 h postoperatively regarding intraoperative awareness, postoperative nausea/vomiting, and other complications.

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. Student's t-test and the χ^2 test were performed using SPSS15.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Demographic characteristics of participants

As shown in Table 1, the groups were demographically similar with no differences in terms of age, weight, height, ASA status, hemoglobin A1c, heart rate, and levels of serum total cholesterol, albumin, alanine transaminase, aspartate aminotransferase, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, potassium, sodium, calcium. None of participants reported a history of hypertension

Table I		Demographic	characteristics	of	participants
---------	--	-------------	-----------------	----	--------------

Parameters	TIVA (n $=$ 40)	CIVIA $(n=40)$	Р
Age, years	33 (18–55)	34 (20–56)	0.97
Height, cm	158 (152–165)	159 (151–167)	0.27
Weight, kg	56.7 (45–71)	57.6 (44–68)	0.56
ASA I/II	27/13	25/15	0.82
HbA1c, %	$\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	$\textbf{5.5} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	0.44
Heart rate, beats/min	$\textbf{78.5} \pm \textbf{6.6}$	$\textbf{76.3} \pm \textbf{8.1}$	0.13
Total cholesterol, mmol/L	4.4 ± 1.1	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	0.40
Albumin, g/L	$\textbf{45.6} \pm \textbf{2.4}$	$\textbf{44.8} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	0.12
ALT, IU/L	$\textbf{38.2} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	$\textbf{37.9} \pm \textbf{3.1}$	0.18
AST, IU/L	$\textbf{35.6} \pm \textbf{3.3}$	$\textbf{36.5} \pm \textbf{2.9}$	0.12
BUN, mmol/L	5.5 ± 1.1	$\textbf{5.2} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	0.15
Cr, mmol/L	$\textbf{90.6} \pm \textbf{9.8}$	$\textbf{88.7} \pm \textbf{11.9}$	0.09
Potassium, mmol/L	4.1 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 0.3	0.62
Sodium, mmol/L	$\textbf{137.5} \pm \textbf{5.3}$	136.7 ± 3.9	0.21
Calcium, mmol/L	2.3 ± 0.3	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	0.49
Cardiovascular history	None	None	_
Hypertension history	None	None	_
Duration of surgery, min	$\textbf{62.9} \pm \textbf{11.7}$	64.4 ± 13.5	0.57
Duration of anesthesia, min	$\textbf{85} \pm \textbf{25.3}$	83.6 ± 22.8	0.25

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Cr, creatinine.

There were no significant differences in any of the parameters between the TIVA and CIVIA groups

or cardiovascular diseases. Operating time and duration of anesthesia were not statistically significant different between the two groups.

Comparison of MAP, HR, SaO_2 , and $P_{ET}CO_2$ at various points in time

Prior to induction of anesthesia, MAP, HR, SaO₂, and $P_{ET}CO_2$ groups were similar in the two groups. The HR was increased in both groups 15 min after insufflation compared with pre-induction levels (P < 0.01). The SaO₂ was increased in all patients following induction of anesthesia (P < 0.01). There were no significant differences in MAP, HR, SaO₂, or $P_{ET}CO_2$ between the two groups at any time point (Table 2).

Postoperative recovery

All patients experienced uneventful emergence and postoperative courses. After cessation of either TIVA or CIVIA, we assessed the time to spontaneous breathing, eye opening, recovery of orientation, and extubation as well as the time in the operating room. The TIVA group experienced a significantly shorter time to the return of all measured end points (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

Postoperative Adverse Events

Intraoperative awareness was not observed in either group. In contrast, the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting within 24h of surgery was significantly lower in group P patients than in group I patients (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

Values are given as the number and range or mean \pm SD.

Parameters	Group	ВІ	BP	AP 5 min	AP 15 min	Desufflation 5 min	Extubation 5 min
MAP (mmHg)	Р	$\textbf{85.7} \pm \textbf{7.8}$	$73.5 \pm 6.3*$	$\textbf{87.6} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	$\textbf{85.2} \pm \textbf{10.9}$	$\textbf{87.9} \pm \textbf{9.8}$	$\textbf{88.5} \pm \textbf{9.9}$
	I	86.1 ± 8.1	$72.3 \pm 7.7**$	$\textbf{85.2} \pm \textbf{12.7}$	$\textbf{84.6} \pm \textbf{11.3}$	84.8 ± 8.9	$\textbf{90.5} \pm \textbf{9.8}$
HR (bpm)	Р	$\textbf{73.6} \pm \textbf{7.8}$	$\textbf{68.7} \pm \textbf{8.3*}$	$\textbf{75.9} \pm \textbf{8.5}$	$81.6 \pm 10.5 **$	$\textbf{75.3} \pm \textbf{9.1}$	$\textbf{78.8} \pm \textbf{11.3}$
	I	$\textbf{73.5} \pm \textbf{9.5}$	$67.7\pm11.3^*$	77.1 ± 12.7	$84.3\pm13.8^{**}$	$\textbf{76.5} \pm \textbf{13.7}$	$\textbf{80.7} \pm \textbf{11.5}$
SaO ₂ (%)	Р	93.3 ± 2.0	$98.8 \pm 0.5**$	$98.8 \pm 0.7**$	$98.9 \pm 0.7**$	$98.9 \pm 0.4**$	97.5 ± 1.9**
	I	$\textbf{92.9} \pm \textbf{2.1}$	$98.9 \pm 0.3**$	$98.9 \pm 0.3**$	$98.5\pm1.7^{**}$	$98.8 \pm 0.7**$	96.3 ± 2.4**
$P_{ET}CO_2$ (mmHg)	Р	$\textbf{28.4} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	24.4 ± 2.2**	26.8 ± 2.9	28.1 ± 2.8	29.9 ± 3.3	$\textbf{33.3} \pm \textbf{3.0}$
	I	$\textbf{28.3} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	$24.0\pm1.8^{*\!*\!*}$	26.7 ± 2.4	$\textbf{27.8} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$\textbf{29.4} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	$\textbf{32.2} \pm \textbf{3.3}$

Table 2. MAP, HR, SaO₂, and P_{ET}CO₂ at multiple time points for the TIVA and CIVIA groups

MAP, mean arterial pressure; HR, heart rate; SaO2, pulse oxygen saturation; $P_{ET}CO_2$, partial pressure of end-tidal carbon dioxide; BI, before induction; BP, before insufflation; AP, after insufflation; P, TIVA with propofol (n = 40); I, CIVIA with isoflurane (n = 40).

Results are given as mean \pm SD.

Table 3. Recovery status and intraoperative/postoperative complications in TIVA and CIVIA groups

Parameter	TIVA group	CIVIA group			
Time to opera	ative event				
SBR	$6.60 \pm 2.84 ^{*}$	$\textbf{8.85} \pm \textbf{3.66}$			
EO	$11.20 \pm 4.30 **$	$\textbf{17.80} \pm \textbf{5.13}$			
OR	$16.30 \pm 4.51**$	$\textbf{21.30} \pm \textbf{5.49}$			
ET	$11.40 \pm 4.52**$	18.30 ± 5.31			
RT	$19.35 \pm 4.29 **$	$\textbf{26.35} \pm \textbf{4.33}$			
Intraoperative	/postoperative				
complication (%)					
IA	0	0			
PNV	3 (15%)*	11 (55%)			

P, TIVA with propofol ($n\!=\!40$); CIVIA with isoflurane ($n\!=\!40$); SBR, spontaneous breathing recovery; EO, eye opening; OR: return to consciousness; ET, extubation; RT, time in operating room; IA, intraoperative awareness; PNV, postoperative nausea and vomiting.

Results are given as mean \pm SD.

Discussion

Lingzhi Hospital is located in the Qinghai— Tibet Plateau region at an altitude of 3000 m

above sea level. The geographic and climatic environment of this region affects human physiology and poses a unique challenge in perioperative anesthesia management. The pressure of inspired oxygen at high altitudes may lead to an increased incidence of anesthesia-related complications compared with that at lower altitudes^{7,8} A highaltitude environment generally causes humans to acclimatize. Particularly, there are respiratory, cardiovascular, and hematological adjustments that improve the transport and utilization of O₂. Genes coding for proteins involved in oxygen transport, growth of blood vessels, and erythropoiesis are activated. The catalytic activity and expression of certain isoenzymes of cytochrome P450 at high altitude are modified, leading to changes in relative drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics.9 Additionally, patients receiving anesthetics at high altitude who have fasted during the preoperative period require more vigorous hydration than their counterparts at low altitude. 10 Therefore, recovery from anesthesia must be carefully managed.

^{*}P < 0.05, **P < 0.01: compared with prior to induction.

^{*}P < 0.05, **P < 0.01: compared with group I.

To facilitate early postoperative recovery, high-altitude anesthesia should focus on restoring P_aO₂ and P_aCO₂ to preoperative levels rather than reference levels.7 No standards for P_aO₂, P_aCO₂, and P_{ET}CO₂ have been established for high altitudes, however, and the reference ranges for P_aCO₂ and P_{ET}CO₂ levels in individuals residing at sea level are not generalizable to those at high altitude. Some clinical investigations have demonstrated that PETCO2 can indirectly reflect P_aCO₂. 11,12 Under normal conditions, P_{ET}CO₂ is lower than P_aCO₂ by 3–6 mmHg.¹³ At an altitude of 3000 m, the atmospheric pressure of our hospital is $532 \,\mathrm{mmHg}$ and the $P_a CO_2$ is $34 \,\mathrm{mmHg}$, resulting in a calculated P_{ET}CO₂ of 28–31 mmHg.² In this study, preoperative P_{ET}CO₂ in all patients was found to be 26-32 mmHg, thereby confirming the predicted values, which should be the P_{ET}CO₂ reference value for high-altitude patients (ASA I–II). Therefore, during laparoscopy at high altitude, P_{ET}CO₂ should be monitored continuously and respiratory parameters adjusted to maintain P_{ET}CO₂ pre-anesthesia levels prevent hypercapnia.

After induction of anesthesia, MAP and HR were decreased in all patients, secondary to the sympatholytic effects of anesthetics. P_{ET}CO₂ was reduced after induction and before insufflation, likely due to hyperventilation. SaO₂ was elevated in all patients after insufflation until after extubation because of mechanical ventilation with high FiO₂. The HR in all patients had decreased 15 min after desufflation. This effect is likely attributable to increased peritoneal pressure. Nonetheless, hemodynamic parameters were not significantly different between the two groups at any time point during the surgery.

Group P patients had a faster postoperative recovery and a lower incidence of nausea and vomiting during the first postoperative day. Based on this analysis,

both TIVA and CIVIA can be used for gynecological laparoscopic surgery. In this study, however, TIVA provided not only more rapid postoperative recovery from anesthesia and high-quality awareness, it lowered the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting. Numerous studies have corroborated the point that at lower altitudes TIVA allows the most rapid recovery from anesthesia with the fewest postoperative side effects. 14-17 Our results demonstrated that TIVA displayed the same advantages at high altitude. Furthermore, TIVA is not a respiratory tract irritant and does not contaminate the air in the operating room.

Puri et al.¹⁸ found significantly lower heart rates (72.0 ± 9.83) 88.0 ± 12.1 bpm; p < 0.04) and an attenuated heart rate response in patients (in Leh, India, which is 3505 m above sea level) to the stresses of endotracheal intubation and surgical incision at high altitude, compared with those at low-altitude. The baseline heart rate $(73.6 \pm 7.8 \text{ bpm})$ and the heart rate response to the stresses of insufflation in our study were similar to those in the study by Puri et al. 18 Compared with another, similar study conducted at low altitude (Beijing, China), our study shows a lower baseline heart rate $(73.6 \pm 7.8 \text{ vs. } 76 \pm 4)$ bpm) for participants at high altitude. Whether there is a significant difference remains unknown, however, as we were not able to calculate the statistical difference. It seems, however, that the heart rate response and postoperative recovery time $(11.20 \pm 4.30 \text{ vs.} 10.4 \pm 7.4 \text{ min})$ in our study were similar to those in the Beijing study.¹⁷

The limitation of this study is that neither newcomers to high altitude nor persons with a history of cardiovascular and/or pulmonary disease were recruited for this study. Those people experience less adaptation to the high altitude than do high-altitude residents and may display different responses to anesthesia management.⁸ Further study

is required to investigate the effects of TIVA and CIVIA on those individuals.

In conclusion, it is important to know that, when administering anesthetics at high altitude for laparoscopic gynecological surgery, TIVA has several advantages over CIVIA, including its shorter recovery time and fewer postoperative complications.

Acknowledgements

This project was sponsored by National Natural Scientific Foundation of China (No. 81271390). We thank Dr. Peihua Cao for assistance with the paper's revision.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

References

- Paralikar SJ and Paralikar JH. High-altitude medicine. *Indian J Occup Environ Med* 2010; 14: 6–12.
- 2. Safar P and Tenicela R. High altitude physiology in relation to anesthesia, and inhalation therapy. *Anesthesiology* 1964; 25: 515–531.
- Tulandi T and Saleh A. Surgical management of ectopic pregnancy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 1999; 42: 31–38.
- 4. Bosteels J, Van Herendael B and Weyers S. The position of diagnostic laparoscopy in current fertility practice. *Hum Reprod Update* 2007; 13: 477–485.
- Smorgick N, Barel O, Halperin R, et al. Laparoscopic removal of adnexal cysts: is it possible to decrease inadvertent intraoperative rupture rate? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2009; 200: 237 e1–e3.
- Arati Srivastava and Ashutosh Niranjan and. Secrets of safe laparoscopic surgery: anaesthetic

- and surgical considerations. *J Min Acesss Surg* 2010; 6: 91–94.
- Firth PG and Pattinson KTS. Anaesthesia and high altitude: a history. *Anaesthesia* 2008; 63: 662–670.
- Leissner KB and Mahmood FU. Physiology and pathophysiology at high altitude: considerations for the anesthesiologist. *J Anesth* 2009; 23: 543–553.
- Li X, Wang X, Li Y, Yuan M, et al. Effect of exposure to acute and chronic high-altitude hypoxia on the activity and expression of CYP1A2, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and NAT2 in rats. *Pharmacology* 2014; 93: 76–83.
- Kleiner SM. Water: an essential but overlooked nutrient. J Am Diet Assoc 1999; 99: 200–206.
- Gonzalez Henriquez JJ, Losa-Reyna J, Torres-Peralta R, et al. A new equation to estimate temperature-corrected PaCO2 from PET CO2 during exercise in normoxia and hypoxia. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2016; 26: 1045–1051.
- Jones NL, Robertson DG and Kane JW. Difference between end-tidal and arterial PCO2 in exercise. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 1979; 47: 954–960.
- Jiang J. Theory and practice of clinical anesthesiology, 1st ed. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press, 2006. (Chinese).
- Ozkose Z, Ercan B, Unal Y, et al. Inhalation versus total intravenous anesthesia for lumbar disc herniation: comparison of hemodynamic effects, recovery characteristics, and cost. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol* 2001; 13: 296–302.
- 15. Ozkose Z, Yalcin Cok O, Tuncer B, et al. Comparison of hemodynamics, recovery profile, and early postoperative pain control and costs of remifentanil versus alfentanil-based total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA). *J Clin Anesth* 2002; 14: 161–168.
- Gravel NR, Searle NR, Taillefer J, et al. Comparison of the hemodynamic effects of sevoflurane anesthesia induction and maintenance vs TIVA in CABG surgery. Can J Anaesth 1999; 46: 240–246.
- 17. Zhang JY, Mi X and Li XY. Comparison between Total Intravenous and Isoflurane Inhalation Anesthesia in Gynecological

Laparoscopic Surgery. *Chinese Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery* 2012; 12: 814–816. (Chinese) http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTOTAL-ZWWK2012 09018.html.

18. Puri GD, Jayant A, Dorje M, et al. Propofolfentanyl anaesthesia at high altitude: anaesthetic requirements and haemodynamic variations when compared with anaesthesia at low altitude. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2008; 52: 427–431.