

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Available online at

ScienceDirect

www.sciencedirect.com

Letter to the editor

Characteristic outcomes and risk assessment of pneumothorax in 21 patients with COVID-19

The occurrence of pneumothorax (PT) in SARS-CoV-2-infected

patients is an uncommon complication that is not frequently

reported. When reported, it is mostly observed in ventilated

patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) [1,2]. However, PT does

not exclusively occur on mechanical ventilation (MV) and can arise

weeks after COVID-19 onset [3]. Furthermore, although the primary

mechanism behind PT is related to barotrauma, the exact risk fac-

tors are unclear [4]. Hence, we assessed the incidence of PT and

compared these patients with controls without PT to identify the

We performed a monocentric retrospective analysis in a French

tertiary care hospital, between March 1, 2020 and March 31, 2021.

We included all SARS-CoV-2-infected patients who presented with

PT, which was defined by the presence of PT on chest X-rays or chest

computed tomography (CT) scans. However, we excluded patients

with iatrogenic PT (such as direct perforation by catheter insertion,

surgery, or pleural drainage). Data on the type of ventilation at PT

onset (i.e. spontaneous, oxygen supply, high-flow cannula implan-

tation or noninvasive ventilation [NIV], or MV) and outcomes were

collected for each patient. These data were then compared with

those of patients without PT who were hospitalized during the

ARTICLE INFO

main risk factors for PT.

1. Methods

same period.

Keywords: COVID-19 Air leakage Pneumothorax

1.1. Statistical analysis

Elsevier Masson France

www.em-consulte.com/en

Categorical data were presented as the number of missing values and absolute and relative counts. Continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon test, whereas categorical data were compared using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test.

2. Results

During the study period, 1656 patients with laboratoryconfirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were hospitalized. Of these, 25 patients exhibited PT complications. Four patients were excluded because they developed PT secondary to pleural puncture (n = 1), surgical procedure (n = 1), or central catheter insertion (n = 2). Thus, 21 patients were included in the PT group (15, 4, and 2 right, left, and bilateral PT cases, respectively). Among these patients, three had subcutaneous emphysema and PT, whereas one had pneumomediastinum, subcutaneous emphysema, and PT (Fig. 1).

Patients with PT included three women (14.3%) and 18 men (85.7%). Their median age was 67 years (range Q1-Q3, 57-78) and the median body mass index (BMI) was 27 kg/m² (range Q1-Q3, 24-33). Eight patients (38.1%) were hospitalized in non-ICU wards at the time of diagnosis, including two patients who were diagnosed after being transferred from the ICU. However, MV was initiated in all 13 patients (61.9%) who were diagnosed in the ICU. Five patients (23.8%) were on ambient air, including one patient hospitalized in a medical ward and four patients diagnosed in the emergency department. Two patients (9%) received conventional oxygen therapy, and one patient (4.5%) had air leakage after high-flow cannula implantation and NIV. This patient initially developed PM and subcutaneous emphysema on day 7 and PT on day 14 while receiving conventional nasal oxygen therapy. The median time between hospital admission and PT onset was 7 days (range 1–70). Among the 13 patients who developed PT during MV, the median time between PT diagnosis and initiation of MV was 3 days (range 1-25) and four patients were diagnosed with PT on the day of orotracheal



Fig. 1. Case of a 58-year-old male patient who developed pneumomediastinum or subcutaneous emphysema after high-flow cannula therapy and noninvasive ventilation (thoracic computed tomography, left panel) and who subsequently developed pneumothorax (chest X-ray, right panel).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idnow.2022.05.001 2666-9919/© 2022 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.



1.

Table 1

Baseline characteristics, laboratory findings, and outcomes of patients.

		Pneumothorax patients	Controls	All patients	р
		(<i>n</i> =21)	(<i>n</i> = 1,631)	(<i>n</i> = 1,652)	
Gender	Male	18 (85.7%)	897 (55.0%)	915 (55.4%)	0.003
	Female	3 (14.3%)	734 (45.0%)	737 (44.6%)	
Age (vears)	Median (01-03)	66.54 (57.4-78.1)	72.97 (60.5-83.5)	72.92 (60.4-83.5)	0.109
$BMI(kg/m^2)$	Missing (%)	8 (38,10%)	467 (28.63%)	475 (28,75%)	
2 (Median $(01-03)$	27.1 (24.3-32.9)	26.2(22.8-30.2)	26.2 (22.8-30.2)	0.392
Risk factor(s)		19 (90 5%)	1443 (88.8%)	1462 (88.8%)	0.804
Chronic pulmonary disease		4(190%)	402 (24 7%)	406 (24 7%)	0 536
Diabetes		5 (23.8%)	449 (27.6%)	454 (27.6%)	0.693
Cancer		4(190%)	387 (23.8%)	391 (23.8%)	0.601
Neurological disorders		7 (33 3%)	337 (20.7%)	344 (20.9%)	0 183
HIV		-	3 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)	0.781
Pregnancy		_	2(0.1%)	2(0.2%)	0.821
Tobacco smoking	Missing	12	972	984	0.021
Tobacco smoking	No	A(AAA%)	355 (53.9%)	359 (53 7%)	0.497
	Ves and Active	2(222%)	102 (20 1%)	194 (29.0%)	0.457
Day(s) since first symptoms	Missing (%)	0	221 (13 55%)	221 (12 38%)	
Day(3) since mist symptoms	Modian $(01, 02)$		50(9,1)	50(9 1)	0.591
Ventilation at admission	Missing	-4.0(-9-0)	-5.0(-81)	-3.0(-81)	0.561
	Spontanoous ambient air	2 15 (79.0%)	1018 (67.0%)	1022 (67.2%)	0.252
Body temperature (°C)	Missing (%)	0(42.86%)	215(1219%)	1055(07.2%)	0.255
	Modian (01, 02)	9(42.00%)	213(13.10%) 27.40(26.6, 29.2)	224(15.50%)	0.155
Leucocytes (g/L)	Missing (%)	1 (F 00%)	57.40(50.0 - 50.5)	37.40 (30.0-38.3)	0.155
	Madian (01, 02)	I (5.00%) 7.420 (4.02, 10.72)	14 (0.88%)	15(0.93%)	0.570
Neutrophils (g/L)	Minim (Q1-Q3)	1.(5.00%)	6.500 (4.75-9.04)	6.530 (4.75-9.06)	0.570
	Missing (%)	I (5.00%)	28 (1.76%)	29 (1.80%)	0.040
Lymphocytes (g/L)	Median (Q1–Q3)	6.370 (3.20-9.17)	4.870 (3.31-7.21)	4.885 (3.31-7.24)	0.249
	Missing (%)	1 (5.00%)	38 (2.39%)	39 (2.42%)	0.000
	Median (Q1–Q3)	0.580 (0.49-0.95)	0.820 (0.56–1.20)	0.820 (0.55–1.20)	0.066
Calcium (mmol/L)	Missing (%)	15 (75.00%)	1145 (72.06%)	1160 (72.09%)	
	Median (Q1–Q3)	1.960 (1.92–2.06)	2.160 (2.08–2.26)	2.160 (2.08–2.26)	0.006
Albumin (g/L)	Missing (%)	14 (70.00%)	1,132 (71.24%)	1,146 (71.22%)	
	Median (Q1–Q3)	32.0 (30–34)	37.0 (33–40)	37.0 (33-40)	0.050
Phosphate (mmol/L)	Missing (%)	16 (80.00%)	1,467 (92.32%)	1,483 (92.17%)	
	Median (Q1–Q3)	0.9(1-1)	1.0(1-1)	1.0(1-1)	0.051
$GFR(mL/min/1.73 m^2)$	Missing (%)	0	36 (2.27%)	36 (2.24%)	
	Median (Q1–Q3)	66.0 (47–93)	71.0 (49–89)	71.0 (49–89)	0.577
CRP (mg/L)	Missing (%)	1 (5.00%)	32 (2.01%)	33 (2.05%)	
	Median (Q1–Q3)	112.0 (29–241)	56.0 (18–106)	56.0 (18–107)	0.012
ASAT (IU/L)	Missing (%)	8 (40.00%)	564 (35.49%)	572 (35.55%)	
	Median (Q1-Q3)	47.5 (36–69)	37.0 (25–56)	37.0 (25–56)	0.131
ALA (IU/L)	Missing (%)	5 (25.00%)	369 (23.22%)	374 (23.24%)	
	Median (Q1–Q3)	29.0 (18-50)	26.5 (17-44)	27.0 (17-44)	0.689
LDH (IU/L)	Missing (%)	12 (60.00%)	805 (50.66%)	817 (50.78%)	
	Median (Q1-Q3)	386.5 (295-482)	272.0 (212-362)	272.0 (212-362)	0.034
Steroids use		11 (52.4%)	475 (29.1%)	486 (29.4%)	0.027
Type of care	ICU	13 (61.9%)	279 (17.1%)	294 (17.8%)	< 0.001
Death	Yes	11(52.4%)	269 (16.5%)	280 (16.9%)	< 0.001

Results are presented as *n* (%) or median (Q1–Q3), percentages were calculated for non missing values only.

BMI: body mass index; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; GFR: glomerular filtration rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; ASAT: aspartate aminotransferase; ALAT: alanine aminotransferase; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; ICU: intensive care unit.

intubation. The median maximum positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) was 15.5 cmH2O (range 12–24). Characteristics of the 21 patients with PT and 1631 controls are summarized in Table 1.

Therapeutic management for 18 patients (85.7%) consisted of intercostal drain insertion, including secondary thoracotomy in one patient. Three patients (27.3%) were managed conservatively either because of the minimum extent of PT (n=2) or a poor condition (n=1). Eleven patients died (52.4%), with nine deaths occurring in patients with MV-related PT. Significant differences were identified between patients with PT and controls in the sex ratio, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level, calcium level, and C-reactive protein (CRP) level at the time of PT, ICU hospitalization, and death (all P<0.05).

3. Discussion

Barotrauma, primarily during MV, undetected bullous lung disease, and/or diffuse alveolar damage followed by alveolar rupture are all causes of primary PT [5]. Spontaneous PT is caused by repetitive intense episodes of dry cough with a sudden increase in distal airway pressure, causing alveolar rupture and secondary gas leakage in the peribronchovascular pulmonary interstitium. Air can dissect proximally from this area, eventually reaching the mediastinum [6]. For MV-related PT, barotrauma is usually attributable to the rupture of hyperinflated alveoli which is facilitated in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) by the need for high PEEP to prevent alveolar collapse [7]. PT is thought to be more common in patients with SARS-CoV-2 than in ARDS patients without SARS-CoV-2, suggesting a link with the lung frailty observed during COVID-19 [8]. The frequency of PT in our study was similar to previous findings [1,5,6]. The study by Geraci et al. reported a rate of 7.5%, but their study included iatrogenic cases [9]. PT induced by MV during COVID-19 is more frequently described in the literature, with reported rates of 13%–26% [2,7].

When comparing 21 patients with air leakage with 1631 controls, we found that patients with PT included a significantly higher proportion of men, a finding already reported in most studies analyzed in a meta-analysis by Chong et al. [1]. Moreover, patients with PT had higher CRP and LDH levels at baseline, which could account for the more severe status at baseline and thus a higher level of lung injury, thereby favoring air leakage as previously described [10]. Patients had a high death rate, especially those on MV, in line with numerous reports highlighting the severity of this complication in these fragile patients [1,2,5,9,10].

4. Conclusion

Although PT can occur in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infections in various ventilation modes, it is most common in serious COVID-19 patients on MV. Male sex, higher baseline CRP, and LDH levels were identified as risk factors in our study. Additionally, mortality was frequent, particularly in patients on MV. Physicians should be aware of the risk of PT when the state of COVID-19 patients deteriorates.

Authors' contributions

All authors approved the submitted final version and participated in data collection and analysis. MM conceived the study. MM and LP wrote the manuscript. MM, LP, and VG revised the manuscript for content. CK performed the statistical analysis.

All authors attest that they meet the current International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for Authorship.

Human and animal rights

The authors declare that the work described has been carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of the World Medical Association revised in 2013 for experiments involving humans as well as in accordance with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments.

Informed consent and patient details

The authors declare that this report does not contain any personal information that could lead to the identification of the patient(s) and/or volunteers.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Funding

This work did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved under a consent waiver by the Ethics Committee of Medicine Odontology and Pharmacy Faculties and Hospitals (University Hospital of Strasbourg; N°CE-2020-32).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used in this study are available from the first author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank Magali Eyriey, Anne Schieber, Jean-Claude Ongagna, Anais Henric, Mahsa Mohseni Zadeh, Simon Gravier, Damien Kayser for their help in data collection and analysis. The authors thank Christian Kempf for performing the statiscal analysis.

References

- [1] Chong WH, Saha BK, Hu K, Chopra A. The incidence, clinical characteristics, and outcomes of pneumothorax in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a systematic review. Heart Lung 2021;50:599, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2021.04.005.
- [2] Chopra A, Al-Tarbsheh AH, Shah NJ, Yaqoob H, Hu K, Feustel PJ, et al. Pneumothorax in critically ill patients with COVID-19 infection: Incidence, clinical characteristics and outcomes in a case control multicenter study. Respir Med 2021;184:106464, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2021.106464.
- [3] Chandra V, Singh P, Huligeri HS, Pandey M. Bilateral tension pneumothorax: an unusual complication in a COVID-19 recovered patient. Indian J Anaesth 2021;65:556, http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/ija.ija.325_21.
- [4] Adhikary AB, R U, Patel NB, S VP, Boruah P, Chandrakar S. Spectrum of pneumothorax/pneumomediastinum in patients with coronavirus disease 2019. Qatar Med J 2021;2021:41, http://dx.doi.org/10.5339/qmj.2021.41 [eCollection 2021].
- [5] Cut TG, Tudoran C, Lazureanu VE, Marinescu AR, Dumache R, Tudoran M. Spontaneous pneumomediastinum, pneumothorax, pneumopericardium and subcutaneous emphysema-not so uncommon complications in patients with COVID-19 pulmonary infection-A series of cases. J Clin Med 2021;10:1346, http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10071346.
- [6] Ben Chaib R, Aabdi M, Jakhjoukh D, Merbouh M, Mekkaoui I, Berrichi S, et al. Spontaneous gas effusions: a rare complication of covid 19. Ann Med Surg (Lond) 2021;67:1346, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.102508.
- [7] Gazivoda VP, Ibrahim M, Kangas-Dick A, Sun A, Silver M, Wiesel O. Outcomes of barotrauma in critically ill COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia. J Intensive Care Med 2021;36:1176, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/08850666211023360 [8850666211023360].
- [8] Lemmers DHL, Abu Hilal M, Bnà C, Prezioso C, Cavallo E, Nencini N, et al. Pneumomediastinum and subcutaneous emphysema in COVID-19: barotrauma or lung frailty? ERJ Open Res 2020;6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00385-2020.
- [9] Geraci TC, Williams D, Chen S, Grossi E, Chang S, Cerfolio RJ, et al. Incidence, management, and outcomes of patients with COVID-19 and pneumothorax. Ann Thorac Surg 2021:1545–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2021.07.097.
- [10] Guven BB, Erturk T, Kompe Ö, Ersoy A. Serious complications in COVID-19 ARDS cases: pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum, subcutaneous emphysema and haemothorax. Epidemiol Infect 2021;149:e137, http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0950268821001291.

L. Pierre ^a J. Rieu ^a T. Lemmet ^a C. Ion ^a S. Gravier ^a M. Mohseni-Zadeh ^a T. Lawson ^{a,b} V. Gerber ^c M. Martinot ^{a,*} ^a Infectious Diseases Department Hôpitaux Civils de Colmar, 39, avenue de la Liberté, 68024 Colmar, France ^b UFR of Health Sciences, University of Thiès, Thiès, Senegal ^c Intensive Care Department, Hôpitaux Civils de

Colmar, Colmar, France

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: martin.martinot@ch-colmar.fr (M. Martinot)

Available online 7 May 2022