

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

with ECMO for COVID-19 ARDS will ultimately require lung transplantation. Second, in those patients who ultimately require lung transplantation, a period of rehabilitation, even if these patients are oxygen dependent, may result in more rapid recovery from their eventual transplantation.

The limitations of this study are inherent in its retrospective, observational design. Selection bias is unavoidable and acknowledged; similar bias exists in all available COVID-19 ECMO data. To date, patients with severe COVID-19 have not been randomized to ECMO vs medical therapy. In our opinion, it is the role of the ECMO team to select appropriate patients for this strategy. ECMO is a potentially lifesaving resource that is time intensive and costly. Allocation of such resources was even more complex at the beginning of this global pandemic. The need to avoid futile procedures was heightened by limited resources, including trained staff and health care providers and personal protective equipment. Similarly, the risk to the providers of these procedures was unknown. With this in mind, we chose to avoid offering ECMO as only salvage therapy.

In conclusion, with appropriately selected patients and aggressive management strategies, the use of ECMO support in patients with severe COVID-19 can result in exceptional early survival that, in this cohort, was sustained at 1 year after ECMO cannulation.

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr Georgeann McGuinness, Dr William Moore, and Dr Jane Ko for their expertise in the interpretation of radiographic studies for this patient cohort. The authors would also like to acknowledge the NYU Langone Health ECMO and perfusion team and the physicians, advanced practice providers, nurses, and hospital staff who contributed to the care of these patients during the height of the pandemic.

#### REFERENCES

1. Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy region, Italy. *JAMA*. 2020;323:1574-1581.

- Myers LC, Parodi SM, Escobar GJ, Liu VX. Characteristics of hospitalized adults with COVID-19 in an integrated health care system in California. JAMA. 2020;323:2195-2198.
- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. JAMA. 2020;323:2052-2059.
- **4.** Jacobs JP, Stammers AH, St Louis J, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the treatment of severe pulmonary and cardiac compromise in coronavirus disease 2019: experience with 32 patients. *ASAIO J.* 2020;66: 722-730.
- **5.** Zeng Y, Cai Z, Xianyu Y, Yang BX, Song T, Yan Q. Prognosis when using extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for critically ill COVID-19 patients in China: a retrospective case series. *Crit Care*. 2020;24:148.
- 6. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395:1054-1062.
- 7. Registry dashboard of ECMO-supported COVID-19 patient data. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization. Accessed November 1, 2021. https:// www.elso.org/Registry/FullCOVID19RegistryDashboard.aspx
- Barbaro RP, MacLaren G, Boonstra PS, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in COVID-19: an international cohort study of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry. *Lancet*. 2020;396:1071-1078.
- 9. Kon ZN, Smith DE, Chang SH, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in severe COVID-19. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2021;111:537-543.
- Mustafa AK, Alexander PJ, Joshi DJ. al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for patients with COVID-19 in severe respiratory failure. *JAMA Surg.* 2020;155:990-992.
- 11. Shih E, DiMaio JM, Squiers JJ, et al. Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for patients with refractory coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): multicenter experience of referral hospitals in a large health care system. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2022;163: 1071-1079.e3.
- 12. Arnouk S, Altshuler D, Lewis TC, et al. Evaluation of anti-Xa and activated partial thromboplastin time monitoring of heparin in adult patients receiving extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. ASAIO J. 2020;66: 300-306.
- 13. Angel L, Kon ZN, Chang SH, et al. Novel percutaneous tracheostomy for critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2020;110:1006-1011
- **14.** Geraci TC, Narula N, Smith DE, Moreira AL, Kon ZN, Chang SH. Lobectomy for hemorrhagic lobar infarction in a patient with COVID-19. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2021;111:e183-e184.

# ECMO in COVID-19: Continued Variable Outcomes



## INVITED COMMENTARY:

In this issue of *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, Smith and colleagues<sup>1</sup> present a mid-term follow up for patients cannulated for venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VV-ECMO) during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. The authors seek to expand our understanding of outcomes post-discharge, a topic that

has not been clearly addressed. The present analysis includes 30 patients cannulated over 3 months at a single institution, and demonstrates impressive survival—86.7% at a median follow-up of 10.8 months. Significantly, all surviving patients were home, most (25 of 26) required no supplemental oxygen, and pulmonary function tests had generally returned to baseline. These data are in sharp contrast to much of the initial VV-ECMO reports available during the time frame described (March to May 2020), where survival was

noted to be significantly worse than that seen in non-COVID-19 VV-ECMO patients.<sup>2</sup>

Possible reasons for the difference between the current study and other, larger reports from the same period include the somewhat younger and healthier population seen here. The median age and pre-ECMO PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio of this cohort are more favorable at 42 years and 80.0 mm Hg, as compared to 52 years and 61 mmHg reported by Lebreton and colleagues.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, more patients in previous studies required renal replacement therapy and vasoactive infusions pre-ECMO and during support compared with the current study.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, the shorter time from intubation to cannulation, 2 days here vs 5 days for Lebreton and associates,<sup>3</sup> may have partially mitigated the deleterious effect of positive pressure ventilation.

Although this study is notably limited by short followup, single-center cohort design, and low number of patients, it does provide further indication that acceptable COVID-ECMO outcomes are possible. These outcomes, and the notably disparate results compared with early data, are possible in significant part because of changes in management adopted for this population. Longer ECMO runs, frequent concurrent interventions (prone positioning on ECMO, routine bronchoscopy), alterations to cannulation strategies, and other changes to management and selection are likely driving outcomes. How these changes will affect patients in the longer term, or indeed how they can be applied to non-COVID respiratory failure patients, remains to be seen. Furthermore, our long-term "exit strategy" in COVID-ECMO now includes lung transplantation in select cases, with over 200 performed to date and demonstrating favorable outcomes.4 Much as ECMO runs in excess of 100 days have gone from the extreme to the norm (or at least not unanticipated), managing

refractory lung failure stemming from viral illness with lung transplantation would have been extremely rare up until this pandemic.

With over 12,000 COVID-ECMO cases worldwide, it is clear that we have accepted ECMO as a therapy for these patients. But with continued variable outcomes, and an Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry mortality of 48%,<sup>5</sup> it is also clear that the optimal use of this modality has yet to be determined.

### Dominic Emerson, MD, FACS Milad Sharifpour, MD

Department of Cardiac Surgery Cedars-Sinai Medical Center Smidt Heart Institute 127 S San Vicente Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90048 email: dominic.emerson@cshs.org

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Smith DE, Chang SH, Geraci TC, et al. One-year outcomes with venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support for severe COVID-19. *Ann Thoracic Surg.* 2022;114:70-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.
- 2. Jacobs JP, Stammers AH, St Louis J, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the treatment of severe pulmonary and cardiac compromise in coronavirus disease 2019: experience with 32 patients. ASAIO J. 2020;66: 722-730. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAT.0000000000001185.
- 3. Lebreton G, Schmidt M, Ponnaiah M, et al. Paris ECMO-COVID-19 investigators. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation network organisation and clinical outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic in Greater Paris, France: a multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2021;9:851-862. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00096-5.
- Roach A, Chikwe J, Catarino P, et al. Lung transplantation for Covid-19related respiratory failure in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:1187-1188. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2117024.
- **5.** Extracorporeal Life Support Organization. Registry dashboard of ECMO-supported COVID patient data. Accessed January 25, 2022. https://www.elso.org/Registry/FullCOVID-19Registry/Dashboard.aspx