

Adult Jejuno-jejunal intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp

A case report and literature review

Yi-Kai Kao, MD^a, Jian-Han Chen, MD^{b,c,d,*}

Abstract

Rationale: Intussusception is defined as the invagination or telescoping of a proximal portion of the intestine into the distal portion of the intestine. Intussusception can occur at any age but is more common among children. Most cases of intussusception in adults have a pathological lead point. Inflammatory fibroid polyp (IFP) is a rare benign tumor-like lesion arising from the submucosa of the gastrointestinal tract that can cause intussusception in adults. Here, we report a case of adult intussusception due to IFP. We also present a literature review of 31 reports including 34 cases between 2012 and December 2019, which shows a mean age of 45.4 ± 14.2 years and female dominance (23/34) of intussusception due to IFP.

Patient concerns: A 47-year-old man presented with a half-day history of epigastric abdominal pain. Physical examination revealed distension and tenderness of the upper abdomen. Computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and pelvis demonstrated intussusception of the jejunum along with a suspicious jejunal mass associated with mesenteric lymphadenopathies.

Diagnosis: Intussusception of the jejunum along with a suspicious jejunal mass, and histopathological examination of the resected specimen showed IFP.

Interventions: The patient underwent emergency laparotomy. The intussusception was resected without attempts for reduction.

Outcomes: The postoperative period was uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the fourth postoperative day.

Lessons: Intussusception in adults is rare, especially that secondary to IFP. The most commonly used diagnostic tool for adult intussusception is abdominal CT, and the optimal management is resection of the involved bowel segment without reduction if malignancy cannot be ruled out.

Abbreviations: CT = computed tomography, IFP = inflammatory fibroid polyp.

Keywords: adult intussusception, case report, inflammatory fibroid polyp, literature review

1. Introduction

Intussusception is rare among adults. Diagnosis of intussusception can be difficult in adults because of non-specific symptoms. Abdominal CT is the most sensitive tool for diagnosis of adult intussusception, which demonstrates concentric rings in the axial

Editor: Maya Saranathan.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

^a Department of Surgery, ^b Bariatric and Metabolism International Surgery Center, ^c Division of General Surgery, E-Da Hospital, ^d School of Medicine, College of Medicine, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.

* Correspondence: Jian-Han Chen, Bariatric and Metabolism International Surgery Center, E-Da Hospital, No. 1, Yida Rd., Kaohsiung, Yanchao Dist. 824, Taiwan (e-mail: JAMIHAN1981@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Kao YK, Chen JH. Adult Jejuno-jejunal intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp: A case report and literature review. Medicine 2020;99:36(e22080).

Received: 27 January 2020 / Received in final form: 18 June 2020 / Accepted: 6 August 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000022080

view referred to as the "target sign". Surgical intervention is ideal. However, a consensus has not been reached on whether to perform reduction before resection.^[1] Most cases are associated with a benign or malignant pathologic lesion or lead point. IFP is a rare benign tumor-like lesion of the gastrointestinal tract, which can lead to small bowel intussusception.^[2] In this article, we present a case of jejuno-jejunal bowel intussusception caused by IFP in a 47-year-old male patient. A literature review of 34 cases between 2012 and December 2019 is also presented.

2. Case report

A 47-year-old man presented to the emergency department with a half-day history of epigastric abdominal pain. The abdominal pain was not relieved even after morphine and nalbuphine injections.

He had a past history of gastric ulcer and was under medication. He had no history of prior abdominal surgery. He was a non-smoker, a non-drinker, and had no relevant family history.

On admission, the patient was afebrile, slightly tachypneic, and normotensive. Physical examination revealed distension and tenderness of the upper abdomen. Routine blood tests showed leukocyte count 16.25×10^9 /L, and hemoglobin level 9.4g/dL. Other serum parameters (C-reactive protein, total bilirubin, lipase, hs-Troponin I, renal and liver functions) were all within



Figure 1. Axial section of computed tomography demonstrates a bowelwithin-bowel configuration (arrowhead), and suspicious jejunal mass (asterisk).



Figure 3. Distended intussuscipiens with the intussuscepted segment of jejunum.

the normal range. Chest radiograph showed no abnormalities. CT of the abdomen and pelvis, performed 6 hours after his presentation to the emergency department, demonstrated intussusception of the jejunum along with a suspicious jejunal mass (Figs. 1 and 2) associated with mesenteric lymphadenopa-thies.

The patient subsequently underwent emergency laparotomy. Intraoperative findings included intussusception in the mid jejunum, with the lead point of a palpable mass (Figs. 3 and 4), and some enlarged lymph nodes over the root of mesentery. The intussuscepted small bowel segment was resected without any attempts for reduction as malignancy had not been excluded. Dissection of the enlarged lymph nodes and a side-to-side small bowel stapled anastomosis were performed.

The resected segment of the jejunum was 33.5 cm in length. The resected histology specimen demonstrated a $45 \times 35 \times 30$ mm IFP. The regional lymph nodes demonstrated reactive hyperplasia with no evidence of malignancy. The postoperative period was

uneventful, and the patient was discharged on the fourth postoperative day. The patient recovered well during the six months follow-up period after he was discharged. There was no ileus, bowel habit change, signs of intussusception or signs of recurrent small bowel tumor.

Informed consent was obtained from the patient prior to submission of this manuscript.

3. Literature review

We searched the English literature via PubMed using keywords, such as "intussusception", "invagination", "Vanek's tumor", "IFP", and "inflammatory fibroid tumor". We searched for articles from 2012 to 2019 because the previous literature review covered articles from 1976 to 2011.^[3] The articles containing information including publication year, patient age, sex, duration



Figure 2. Axial section of computed tomography demonstrates duplicated layers of the bowel forming concentric rings, also called target sign. I = intussusception.



Figure 4. Resected intussuscepted small bowel and mucosal tumor with stalk.

of complaint, presence of palpable mass, radiological tools, surgical approach, length of the resected bowel, primary resection or initial reduction followed by resection, tumor location, and tumor size, were included, while those with insufficient clinical data were excluded.

A total of 31 reports and 34 cases of intussusception due to IFP were included in this literature review. The patients were aged 10–79 years (mean, 45.4 ± 14.2 years); 23 were women (mean, 46.8 ± 8.7 years) and 11, men (mean, 42.5 ± 21.3 years), including two adolescent boys aged 10 and 16 years. The size of IFPs was 5 ± 2.9 cm, and the five, 28, and one IFPs were located in the jejunum, ileum, and colon, respectively. Reduction was performed before resection in five of the 34 cases, with one case suspected to be lipoma through CT. Laparoscopic reduction was performed without resection; however, intussusception recurred after 2 weeks; thereafter, segmental resection was segmental resection was 22.8 ± 2.9 methods.

5.2 cm. Among the 34 cases, two underwent laparoscopy with mini-laparotomy approach, four, laparoscopy approach, 17, laparotomy approach, while the rest of the cases did not mention having undergone any procedure. Among 34 cases, 29 were diagnosed using computed tomography, including six that initially used ultrasonography and one that initially used barium. Of the remaining five cases, three were diagnosed using ultrasonography alone and two were diagnosed using colonos-copy alone. The clinical characteristics of the 34 patients are summarized in Table 1.

Compared with the previously reviewed article of 85 cases from 1976 to 2011, the size and location of IFPs were similar. The IFPs as a lead point causing intussusception were more commonly located in the ileum (28 of 34 cases in our review and 63 of 85 in previous) and lesser in jejunum.^[3] Most IFPs usually measured between 2 and 5 cm in diameter. The size of IFPs in our review was 5 ± 2.9 cm, and the previous review was 4.1 ± 1.8 cm.^[3]

Table 1

General characteristics of 34 cases of intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp reported between 2012 and 2019 in the literature.

Ref.	Age	Sex	Duration of complaint	Palpable mass	Radiologic tools	Surgical approach	Length of the resected bowel (cm)	Reduction before resection	Tumor location	Tumor size (cm)
Kang et al (2019) ^[9]	48	F	1 d	(—)	СТ	S.Resection, Lap, mini-laparotomy	NS	(+)	lleum	2.5
Gupta et al (2019) ^[10]	79	М	2 d	NS	СТ	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	(—)	lleum	6
Mader et al (2019) ^[11]	38	F	3 mo	(+)	CT	R.Hemicol, Lap	NS	(—) (—)	lleum	3.5
Ekici et al (2018) ^[12]	47	F	2 d	(+) NS	CT	R.Hemicol, Laparotomy	NS	(—) (+)	lleum	5
Jan et al (2018) ^[13]	60	M	Few days	NS	CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	NS
Al Taei et al (2018) ^[7]	47	F	4 d	NS	CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	16	()	lleum	3.5
Sakran et al (2018) ^[14]	40	M	NS	NS	CT	S.Resection, Lap	NS	(—) (—)	lleum	NS
Carvalho et al $(2017)^{[15]}$	41	F	6 h	(—)	US, CT	S.Resection, Lap	24	(—) (—)	lleum	4.8
	51	F	2 mo	(—) (—)	CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	24	(—) (—)	lleum	5.5
Adams et al (2017) ^[16]	61	F	2 wk	(—) NS	CT	S.Resection, Lap, mini-laparotomy	22	(—) (—)	lleum	7.5
Demir et al (2017) ^[17]	52	F	NS	NS	СТ	NS	NS	NS	lleum	NS
Grover et al $(2017)^{[18]}$	45	M	10 d	NS	US	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	8
Rais et al $(2017)^{[19]}$	22	M	NS	NS	CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	3
Mochizuki et al (2017) ^[20]	35	F	2 d	NS	CT	S.Resection, Lap	NS	(+)	Colon	4.5
Forasté-Enríquez et al (2017) ^[21]	58	F	4 mo	(+)	US, CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	6.3
Basara et al $(2016)^{[22]}$	42	F	NS	NS	CT	Surgery, NS	NS	NS	lleum	NS
	51	F	NS	NS	CT	S.Resection	NS	NS	lleum	5
Tajima et al (2016) ^[23]	70	F	NS	(—)	US, CT	S.Resection	NS	NS	lleum	4
Romano-Munive et al (2016) ^[24]	35	F	NS	NS	CT	R.Hemicol	NS	NS	lleum	7.2
	36	M	NS	NS	CT	S.Resection	NS	NS	lleum	4.2
Kimura et al (2015) ^[25]	30	M	2 wk	NS	CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	Jejunum	4
Kang et al (2015) ^[26]	51	F	1 mo	(+)	CT	S.Resection	NS	NS	Jejunum	4
Bae et al (2015) ^[27]	48	F	7 d	NS	CT	S.Resection	NS	()	lleum	4
Feldis et al $(2015)^{[28]}$	40 67	M	NS	NS	CT, MRI	S.Resection	NS	(—) NS	lleum	9
Siminas et al (2014) ^[29]	10	M	10 d	(+)	US, CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	3.5
Joyce et al (2014) ^[30]	62	M	12 h	NS	CT	Lap reduction without resection	(—)	(+) suspect lipoma	Jejunum	(—)
			Recurred 2 wk after reduction	NS	MRI	S.Resection, Laparotomy	33	(—)	Jejunum	4.2
Sulu et al (2014) ^[31]	41	F	NS	(—)	Colonoscopy	Surgery, NS	NS	NS	lleum	5
Neishaboori et al (2013) ^[32]	40	F	3 d	(_)	US	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	Jejunum	18
Teli et al (2013) ^[33]	45	F	3 d	NS	US, CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	(+)	lleum	2.5
Jacobs et al (2013) ^[34]	41	F	3 mo	(+)	US, CT	R.Hemicol, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	2.5
Akbulut et al (2012) ^[3]	38	F	10 d	\ /	US	S.Resection, Laparotomy	20	(-)	lleum	4
Das et al $(2012)^{[35]}$	16	M	6 mo	(+)	Barium, CT	S.Resection	NS	NS	Jejunum	4
Lasithiotakis et al (2012) ^[36]	58	F	3 mo	NS	Colonoscopy	R.Hemicol, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	4
Rabbani et al (2012) ^[37]	39	F	3 mo	()	CT	S.Resection, Laparotomy	NS	NS	lleum	2

CT = computed tomography; F = female; Lap = laparoscopy; M = male; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NS = not-stated; R.Hemicol = right hemicolectomy; S.Resection = segmental resection; US = ultrasonography.

However, giant IFP up to 18 cm in diameter was also reported in our review. Age of onset was lesser, and the females were more was predominant in our review compared to the previous review.^[3] Furthermore, the use of CT as the diagnostic tool had increased significantly, and the use of barium had decreased markedly.

4. Discussion and conclusion

Intussusception is defined as the invagination or telescoping of a proximal portion of the intestine (intussusceptum) into the distal portion of the intestine (intussuscipiens). Intussusception can occur at any age but is more common among children. Intussusception in adults differs from that in children in various aspects, including etiology, clinical manifestations, and prognosis.

Approximately 90% of intussusception in children is idiopathic, while about 90% of that in adults has a lead point, which is a well-defined pathological abnormality. In adults, the lead points in the small-bowel intussusceptions are benign lesions (60%) and malignant (30%) lesions, while the rest (10%) are idiopathic. Further, up to 66% of large bowel intussusceptions are caused by malignancy.^[2,4]

Pediatric intussusception is often acute with sudden intermittent colicky pain, vomiting, bloody mucoid stools, and presence of a palpable mass. Conversely, adults may present with acute, subacute, or chronic nonspecific symptoms.^[3] The most common presentation in adult is abdominal pain. Patient may also present with vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, hematochezia, abdominal distension, and palpable mass.^[5] Intussusception in adults requires surgical intervention due to the high incidence of well-definable pathological abnormality and the possibility of malignancy. The clinical condition of the patient and the surgeon's experience determine whether laparoscopy or laparotomy must be performed.^[1]

Some controversy still exists whether to reduce the intussusception before resection. Reduction of intussusception can reduce the extent of the intestinal resection. Conversely, inappropriate manual reduction may lead to dissemination of the malignant cells, bowel perforation, and increased risk of anastomotic complications.^[1,4–6] To avoid potential dissemination of malignant cells, resection without reduction is performed in most cases of colonic intussusception owing to higher incidence of malignancy. However, reduction of small bowel intussusception could be performed if malignancy is not suspected.^[1,4]

IFPs are rare benign tumor-like lesions of the gastrointestinal tract. The most common site is the gastric antrum, followed by the small bowel and colorectal region.^[3] The diagnosis of IFP is confirmed by histopathologic analysis. IFPs are usually treated by surgical resection. However, only a single case of polyp recurrence is found in the literature.^[7] According to the location and size of the lesion, patients present with different clinical manifestations. IFPs of the small bowel can lead to intussusception. Furthermore, recurrent intussusception secondary to IFPs of the small intestine may cause bowel obstruction, ischemia, necrosis, or perforation.^[8]

In conclusion, intussusception in adults is rare, especially that secondary to IFP. The most commonly used diagnostic tool for adult intussusception is abdominal CT, and the optimal management is resection of the involved bowel segment without reduction if malignancy cannot be ruled out.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Yi-Kai Kao, Jian-Han Chen. Resources: Jian-Han Chen. Software: Jian-Han Chen. Visualization: Jian-Han Chen. Writing – original draft: Yi-Kai Kao. Writing – review & editing: Jian-Han Chen.

References

- Brill A, Waheed A. Intussusception in adults. StatPearls FL: Treasure Island; 2019.
- [2] Renzulli P, Candinas D. Idiopathic small-bowel intussusception in an adult. CMAJ 2010;182:E148.
- [3] Akbulut S. Intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp: a case report and comprehensive literature review. World J Gastroenterol 2012;18:5745–52.
- [4] Yakan S, Caliskan C, Makay O, et al. Intussusception in adults: clinical characteristics, diagnosis and operative strategies. World J Gastroenterol 2009;15:1985–9.
- [5] Honjo H, Mike M, Kusanagi H, et al. Adult intussusception: a retrospective review. World J Surg 2015;39:134–8.
- [6] El-Sergany A, Darwish A, Mehta P, et al. Community teaching hospital surgical experience with adult intussusception: study of nine cases and literature review. Int J Surg Case Rep 2015;12:26–30.
- [7] Al Taei TH, Al Mail SA. Small bowel intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp: a case report. Radiol Case Rep 2018;13: 801–4.
- [8] O'Kane AM, O'Donnell ME, McCavert M, et al. Inflammatory fibroid polyp of the ileum causing recurrent intussusception and chronic ischaemia: a case report. Cases J 2008;1:244.
- [9] Kang SI, Gu MJ. Synchronous ileal inflammatory fibroid polyp and Meckel's diverticulum found during laparoscopic surgery for adult intussusception. Yeungnam Univ J Med 2019;37:226–9.
- [10] Gupta R, Pokharia P, Kaur A. Adult ileo-ileal intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp. J Gastrointest Surg 2019;24:1439-40.
- [11] Mader S, Ting J, Nabi H. Ileocolic intussusception from an inflammatory fibroid polyp: a rare cause of adult small bowel obstruction. ANZ J Surg 2019;89:E100–1.
- [12] Ekici U, Yilmaz S, Tatli F. Ileocecal invagination due to an inflammatory fibroid polyp. A case report. Ann Ital Chir 2018;89:347–9.
- [13] Jan ZU, Muslim M, Ullah K, et al. Vanek's tumor as an unusual cause of ileo-ileal intessuception – a unique case report. Ann Med Surg (Lond) 2018;32:14–7.
- [14] Sakran N, Farkash I, Hershko D. Inflammatory fibroid polyp (Vanek's tumour) causing a rare form of double invagination intussusception of the small bowel. ANZ J Surg 2018;88:E85–6.
- [15] Carvalho A, Leitao P, Pinheiro J, et al. Small bowel intussusception in 2 adults caused by inflammatory polyps. Radiol Case Rep 2017;12:710–4.
- [16] Adams HS, Bergstrom B, Haines B, et al. Inflammatory fibroid polyp: an unusual cause of ileoileal intussusception. Case Rep Surg 2017;2017: 6315934.
- [17] Demir MK, Savas Y, Furuncuoglu Y, et al. Imaging findings of the unusual presentations, associations and clinical mimics of acute appendicitis. Eurasian J Med 2017;49:198–203.
- [18] Grover AS, Kumar M, Yadav R, et al. Intussusception of small intestine as a result of inflammatory fibroid polyp causing small bowel obstruction in an adult patient. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2017;15:352–4.
- [19] Rais M, Chahdi H, Elfahssi M, et al. An unusual cause of intestinal obstruction in a young adult patient: inflammatory fibroid polyp. Case Rep Surg 2017;2017:3675848.
- [20] Mochizuki T, Hirata Y, Kitamura S, et al. Laparoscopic operation after pre-operative reduction of an intussusception-induced inflammatory fibroid polyp. J Surg Case Rep 2017;2017:rjx026.
- [21] Forasté-Enríquez CF, Mata-Hernández R, Hernández-Villaseñor A, et al. Intestinal obstruction in adults due to ileal intussusception secondary to inflammatory fibroid polyp: a case report. Rev Gastroenterol Méx 2017;82:263–5.
- [22] Basara I, Canda AE, Sagol O, et al. Intussusception and perforation due to an inflammatory fibroid polyp located in the ileum. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2016;128:731–4.

- [23] Tajima S, Koda K. Locally infiltrative inflammatory fibroid polyp of the ileum: report of a case showing transmural proliferation. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) 2016;6:144–8.
- [24] Romano-Munive AF, Barreto-Zuñiga R, Rumoroso-García JA, et al. Inflammatory fibroid polyp of the gastrointestinal tract: 10 years of experience at the Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán. Rev Gastroenterol Méx 2016;81:134–40.
- [25] Kimura N, Hight M, Liang J, et al. Adult intussusception secondary to inflammatory fibroid polyp. West J Emerg Med 2015;16:581–2.
- [26] Kang SH, Kim SW, Moon HS, et al. Inflammatory fibroid polyp in the jejunum causing small bowel intussusception. Ann Coloproctol 2015;31:106–9.
- [27] Bae JS, Song JS, Hong SM, et al. An unusual presentation of an inflammatory fibroid polyp of the ileum: a case report. Oncol Lett 2015;9:327–9.
- [28] Feldis M, Dilly M, Marty M, et al. An inflammatory fibroid polyp responsible for an ileal intussusception discovered on an MRI. Diagn Interv Imaging 2015;96:89–92.
- [29] Siminas S, Qasem E, Shukla R, et al. Inflammatory fibroid polyp: a rare benign tumor of the alimentary tract in children presenting as intussusception – case report and review of literature. European J Pediatr Surg Rep 2014;2:16–9.

- [30] Joyce KM, Waters PS, Waldron RM, et al. Recurrent adult jejuno-jejunal intussusception due to inflammatory fibroid polyp – Vanek's tumour: a case report. Diagn Pathol 2014;9:127.
- [31] Sulu B, Gunerhan Y, Kosemehmetoglu K. A rare ileal tumor causing anemia and intussusception: inflammatory fibroid polyp. Turk J Gastroenterol 2014;25:116–7.
- [32] Neishaboori H, Maleki I, Emadian O. Jejunal intussusception caused by a huge Vanek's tumor: a case report. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 2013;6:210–3.
- [33] Teli B, Madhu CP, Sudhir S, et al. Ileo-ileal intussusception in an adult caused by Vanek's tumour: a rare case report. J Clin Diagn Res 2013;7:2994–5.
- [34] Jacobs TM, Lambrianides AL. Inflammatory fibroid polyp presenting as intussusception. J Surg Case Rep 2013;2013:
- [35] Das S, Mandal TS, Sinhababu AK, et al. Small bowel obstruction due to inflammatory fibroid polyp. J Indian Med Assoc 2012;110:51–2.
- [36] Lasithiotakis K, Grisbolaki E, Filis D, et al. Ileocolic intussusception precipitated by diagnostic colonoscopy: a case report. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2012;22:e161–3.
- [37] Rabbani K, Narjis Y, Jgounni R, et al. Adult intussusception caused by an inflammatory fibroid ileal polyp. Acta Chir Belg 2012;112: 157–9.