### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



**Open Access** 

# Increase in intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> induces apoptosis in Bax-expressing colon cancer cell

Lisenn Lalier<sup>1,2\*</sup>, François Pedelaborde<sup>2</sup>, Christophe Braud<sup>2</sup>, Jean Menanteau<sup>2</sup>, François M Vallette<sup>2</sup> and Christophe Olivier<sup>2,3</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** NSAIDs exhibit protective properties towards some cancers, especially colon cancer. Yet, it is not clear how they play their protective role.  $PGE_2$  is generally shown as the only target of the NSAIDs anticancerous activity. However,  $PGE_2$  known targets become more and more manifold, considering both the molecular pathways involved and the target cells in the tumour. The role of  $PGE_2$  in tumour progression thus appears complex and multipurpose.

**Methods:** To gain understanding into the role of  $PGE_2$  in colon cancer, we focused on the activity of  $PGE_2$  in apoptosis in colon cancer cell lines.

**Results:** We observed that an increase in intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> induced an apoptotic cell death, which was dependent on the expression of the proapoptotic protein Bax. This increase was induced by increasing PGE<sub>2</sub> intracellular concentration, either by PGE<sub>2</sub> microinjection or by the pharmacological inhibition of PGE<sub>2</sub> exportation and enzymatic degradation.

**Conclusions:** We present here a new sight onto PGE<sub>2</sub> in colon cancer cells opening the way to a new prospective therapeutic strategy in cancer, alternative to NSAIDs.

#### Background

Prostaglandins are implicated in a wide range of physiological and pathological pathways. Among these pathways, cancer occurrence and development is one of the most debated. It is undoubtable that NSAIDs use was shown to reduce the incidence of some cancers [1], among which colon cancer took the highest therapeutic advantage [1]. It is unclear, however, how NSAIDs play their protective role. At the tissue level, chronic inflammation is implicated in the development of cancers [2]. Proinflammatory prostaglandins play a role in tumour progression in many ways, namely cell proliferation, survival and migration, immunosuppression and angiogenesis [2]. The anti-inflammatory activity of NSAIDs is thus probably involved in their anti-cancer potency. Yet, at the cellular level, the mechanism by which NSAIDs exert their proapoptotic activity is not clear. PGE2 itself has been shown to play various roles in cell survival and proliferation (reviewed in [3]). PGE<sub>2</sub> induces the activation of several pathways in cancer cells through its interaction with membrane receptors EP(1-4) [3], and nuclear receptors (PPAR\delta) [4], thereby promoting proliferation and survival. Besides, 15-PGDH, the enzyme responsible for its degradation, has been identified as a negative regulator of colon cancer progression [5]. Nevertheless, some models demonstrate a more complex role played by PGE<sub>2</sub>, since it induces cell death under some circumstances. Thus, it was shown that PGE<sub>2</sub> could mediate both neuroprotection and neurotoxicity through the same EP2 receptor, depending on the conditions [6]. Huang and colleagues also demonstrated an EP2/EP4-mediated apoptotic role of PGE<sub>2</sub> in fibroblasts [7]. Moreover,  $PGE_2$  was also shown to exert opposite effects on colon cancer cells proliferation through different signalling pathways depending on the range of its concentration in the cell culture [8].

Strikingly, although NSAIDs modulate the production of several prostaglandins, their inhibiting efficiency is classically monitored by the sole measurement of PGE<sub>2</sub> secretion. This consideration is very restrictive, since it



© 2011 Lalier et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: lisenn.lalier@univ-nantes.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Département de Biologie Oncologique, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer René Gauducheau, Bd J. Monod, 44805 Nantes, Saint Herblain Cedex, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

is known that many processes are regulated by the balance between  $PGE_2$  and  $PGD_2$ , which is also produced downstream of COX-2. Moreover,  $PGE_2$  secretion does not strictly reflect  $PGE_2$  production since it excludes  $PGE_2$  intracellular accumulation and/or degradation. Interestingly, two groups published their results in  $APC^{Min/+}$  mice demonstrating on the one hand that the genetic deletion of mPGES-1, the terminal enzyme responsible for  $PGE_2$  synthesis, increased intestinal tumorigenesis [9], while on the other hand  $PGE_2$  treatment induced a raise in intestinal adenoma growth [4]. This apparent discrepancy suggests that  $PGE_2$  effects in intestinal tumorigenesis might not be restricted to those observed with extracellular provision.

Besides, we have observed in the glioblastoma [10] that the overexpression of mPGES-1 was correlated to a longer survival of patients. We have shown in glioblastoma that intracellular  $PGE_2$  induced a direct activation of the pro-apoptotic protein Bax, thereby inducing glioblastoma cells apoptosis [10], whereas extracellular  $PGE_2$  did not. The role played by  $PGE_2$  in cancer thus appears highly complex, whether in the whole tissue or even in isolated cancer cells. To gain understanding in the signalling of  $PGE_2$  in colon cancer cells, we focussed our work on the effect of intracellular  $PGE_2$  on the Bax-dependent apoptotic pathway.

#### Methods

#### Materials

Cell culture material was obtained from Gibco (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France). Unless mentioned, chemical products and reagents were obtained from Sigma (France).

Antibodies were purchased from indicated companies: COX-2 (Cayman, #160107), mPGES-1 (Cayman, #160140), actin (Chemicon, #MAB1501R).

15-PGDH inhibitor (CAY10397) was purchased from Cayman (#70130) (Interchim, France).

 $^{3}\text{H-PGE2}$  (0.1  $\mu\text{Ci}/\mu\text{l})$  was purchased from Amersham Biosciences.

Immunoblots were quantified using the ImageJ software (NIH, USA).

Every experiment was repeated at least 3 independent times unless otherwise stated.

Statistical analyses were performed using the Graph-Pad software (San Diego, CA 92130 USA) (Student unpaired t-test, \*: p < 0.05, \*\*: p < 0.01).

#### Patients

Patient materials as well as records (diagnosis, age, sex, date of death) were used with confidentiality according to French laws and recommendations of the French National Committee of Ethic. Tumor samples were collected from adult patients after surgical resection at the Department of anatomo-pathology of the Hospital of Nantes over the years 2002-2003. The clinical information of the patients is summarized in additional file 1 table SI, and additional file 2 table SII. Control tissue was obtained from normal colon tissue found at the periphery of the resected tumor.

#### **RT-PCR**

Cells were washed twice in PBS, then total RNA was isolated using the RNAwiz (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions with DNAse I treatment. After RNA quantification using the Nano Drop (Nano Drop ND-1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), the quality of the RNA was determined in an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Palto Alto, CA, USA) using the Labchip RNA 6000 kit. A minimum RNA Integrity Number (RIN) value of 8 was required [11]. Total RNA (1  $\mu$ g) was reverse transcribed in a final volume of 20  $\mu$ l using the Superscript II kit (Invitrogen, France). Subsequently the cDNA was diluted to a final concentration of 20 ng/ $\mu$ l, for use in Q-PCR.

The PCR reaction contained 40 ng cDNA in a reaction volume of 25  $\mu$ l, 1× Brilliant II SYBR Green Q-PCR master mix, 200 nM reverse and forward primers and 30 nM Sybr Green. Thermo-cycling conditions were 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 45 s and 72°C for 30 s. Gene expression values were normalized to housekeeping gene (GAPDH) and relative expression values were calculated based on the comparative  $\Delta\Delta$ CT-method with adherent cells used as a reference for each cell type[12].

GAPDH: sense primer: 5'-GAAGGTGAAGGTCG-GAGTC-3'

antisense primer: 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC-3' COX-2: sense primer: 5'-CAGCCATACAG-CAAATCC-3'

antisense primer: 5'-ATCCTGTCCGGGTACAAT-3'

mPGES-1: sense primer: 5'-AGGAAGACCAG-GAAGTGC-3'

antisense primer: 5'-ACGACATGGAGACCATCTAC-3' MRP4: sense primer: 5'-AAGTGAACAACCTC-CAGTTCCA-3'

antisense primer: 5'-CCGGAGCTTTCAGAATTGAC-3' 15-PGDH: sense primer: 5'-AAGCAAAATGGAGGT-GAAGGC-3'

antisense primer: 5'-TGGCATTCAGTCTCACAC-CAC-3'

#### Cell culture and transfection

HCT-116 and HCT-116<sup>Bax-/-</sup> cells (described in [13]) were grown in McCOY's 5A medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin. SW1116 cells were grown in RPMI medium containing 10% fetal calf serum,

2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin. The cells were transfected by a plasmid encoding for the sequence of mPGES-1 cDNA subcloned into pDEST12.2 vector (Invitrogen), or by the mock plasmid containing no coding sequence [10]. Plasmid DNA (5  $\mu$ g) was introduced into 10<sup>6</sup> cells by electroporation (GenePulser, Bio-Rad) using 200 V/cm and 250  $\mu$ F. Transfected cells were selected and further cultured in a medium containing 1 mg/ml G418. The mock-transfected cells were used as a control for the mPGES-1 transfected cells in the expression and viability experiments.

#### **Microinjection experiments**

Microinjection was performed as described by Cartron *et al.* [14].  $PGE_2$  was co-injected with a dextran coupled to a fluorochrome (Oregon Green, Molecular Probes). The instantaneous intracellular concentration of compounds achieved by the microinjection is about one tenth of the initial concentration in the injected solution. The percentage of fluorescent cells exhibiting morphological apoptotic features was evaluated every hour following  $PGE_2$  microinjection using an inverted fluorescent microscope (DMIRE2, Leica France).

#### <sup>3</sup>H-PGE<sub>2</sub> internalisation assay.

HCT-116<sup>Bax-/-</sup> cells were seeded in a 96-well culture plate the day before experiment. 5  $\mu$ l <sup>3</sup>H-PGE<sub>2</sub> was added to the cells. Inhibitors of 15-PGDH (CAY10397, 15  $\mu$ M) and MRP4 (ketoprofen, 1  $\mu$ M [15]) were added in every other well. After the indicated incubation time (0 min, 30 min and 1 h), cells were rinsed and harvested. The amount of radiolabeled PGE<sub>2</sub> present in the cells was quantified by beta-emission measurement (LS 6500 liquid scintillation counter, Beckman Coulter).

#### Caspase activation assay

Total cell lysates were carried out with RIPA buffer (PBS, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, proteases inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Meylan, France)) and protein concentrations were measured by the Brad-ford technique. DEVDase activity was measured using the fluorometric CaspACE Assay System (Promega) and normalized to the sample protein concentration as described previously [10].

#### Western blot

Total cell lysates were obtained with RIPA buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes by semi-dry transfer. Membranes were successively probed with the indicated antibodies and revealed by ECL with peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies.

#### Viability assays

SW1116 cells were plated the day before treatment.  $PGE_2$  (10  $\mu$ M) was added to the culture medium. After 10 min, CAY10397 (15  $\mu$ M) and ketoprofen (1  $\mu$ M) were added, and cells were treated for 30 h. Cell death was then assessed by trypan blue staining.

#### Results

## Heterogeneity of COX-2 and mPGES-1 expression in human colon cancer

The role played by PGE<sub>2</sub> in cell survival/proliferation or in cell death is still highly debated. Given the observation we made in glioblastoma that mPGES-1 overexpression was correlated to an increased survival of patients, we studied the expression of COX-2 and mPGES-1 in nine human colon cancer samples (Figure 1A). mPGES-1 expression was very inconstant in the tumours, some expressing very high levels of the protein whereas mPGES-1 was hardly detectable in others. COX-2 expression was weakly detected in all the samples. We then measured mPGES-1 and COX-2 transcripts in seven additional human colon cancer samples and in the non cancerous corresponding tissue by qPCR (Figure 1B). Similarly, mPGES-1 expression appeared very inconstant whereas COX-2 was regularly overexpressed in cancer tissue compared to control (p < 0.003in 5 out of 7 patients), even if the mRNA relative expression level was low (see mPGES-1, left graph, for comparison). Of note, in two out of three tumours overexpressing mPGES-1, COX-2 was largely overexpressed. In our hands, it thus seems that mPGES-1 was not always up-regulated in colon cancers.

## Effect of mPGES-1 overexpression in human colon cancer cell lines

We have previously shown in glioblastoma primary cultures that mPGES-1 exogenous overexpression sensitized the cells to apoptosis [10]. We thus considered if this observation could be reproduced in human colon cancer cells in vitro, when isolated from the stroma. We first analysed the expression of mPGES-1 and COX-2 in two model human colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116 and SW1116). As shown in Figure 2A, mPGES-1 expression was hardly detectable in both cell lines (Figure 2A right, lanes 1 and 3). In contrast, COX-2 was abundantly expressed in SW1116 cells, but not in HCT-116 cells. HCT-116 cells have often been presented as COX-2 deficient cells, whereas they rather seem to constitutively express COX-2, unlike noncancer cells, even at lower level than other cell lines. We induced mPGES-1 overexpression in both cell lines by plasmid transfection. Of note, two rounds of transfection were necessary to induce a steady expression of mPGES-1 in HCT-116 cells. As we had



observed earlier in glioblastoma cells, the modulation of mPGES-1 expression had an effect on the expression of COX-2. Surprisingly, COX-2 expression was increased in HCT-116 cells overexpressing mPGES-1 whereas it was not significantly modified in SW1116 transfected cells (Figure 2A left, lanes 2 and 4). We verified that the transfection of mPGES-1 in these two cell lines and in two additional colon cell lines (HCT-8 and HT29) was accompanied by an increase in intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> concentration (additional file 3 figure S1A). Since the expression of COX-2 was not modified by the transfection in SW1116 cells, we used these cells for the rest of the experiment. SW1116 cells were subjected to UV-B irradiation to induce apoptosis (25 J/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 min). The specific caspase-3 activity was measured in the cell lysate after 30 hours. As shown in Figure 2B, mPGES-1 overexpression led to a higher caspase-3 activity, attesting to a higher sensitivity of the cells to apoptosis induction. Of note, we measured a constitutive caspase-3 activity in all the four mPGES-1-transfected cells, even if this activity was very low and was not associated to a significant cell death (additional file 3 figure S1B).

#### Effect of PGE<sub>2</sub> microinjection in colon cancer cell

The conflicting observations that extracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> promotes colon cancer cells survival and proliferation [3,4,8] while mPGES-1 overexpression increases apoptosis in colon cancer cells (Figure 2B andadditional file 3 figure S1B) prompted us to study the effect of  $PGE_2$ microinjection directly into the cytoplasm of colon cancer cells. The treatment of the four colon cancer cell lines with PGE<sub>2</sub> extracellular concentrations ranging from 0.1  $\mu$ M to 100  $\mu$ M induced no more than 10% cell death compared to control cells. Yet HCT-116 cells exhibited the highest variation coefficient in cell death following to PGE<sub>2</sub> extracellular treatment (additional file 4 figure S2). As shown in Figure 3A, PGE<sub>2</sub> microinjection induces a significant cell death within 5 hours in both SW1116 and HCT-116 cell lines, whereas SW1116 cells are only sensitive to the highest concentration injected (left). Of note, the microinjection of a 20  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> solution results in a transient intracellular increase of about 2 µM, which is consistent with the intracellular levels we have previously measured during apoptosis induction (unpublished results). Consistent with the direct effect of PGE2 on Bax activation we have



previously demonstrated, we observed that the cell death induced by  $PGE_2$  in colon cancer cells was dependent on Bax expression. Indeed, Bax-deficient HCT-116<sup>Bax-/-</sup> cells appeared resistant to  $PGE_2$  microinjection (Figure 3B).

## Effect of PGE<sub>2</sub> intracellular accumulation induced by pharmacological agents.

Since  $PGE_2$  microinjection was able to induce colon cancer cell death, whereas extracellular  $PGE_2$  could not, we tried to force  $PGE_2$  cytoplasmic accumulation by pharmacological means.  $PGE_2$  intracellular concentration is the result of several regulated processes, among which are its synthesis, its enzymatic degradation through the activity of 15-PGDH (15-prostaglandin  $E_2$ dehydrogenase), and its membrane transport, mainly through the export protein MRP4. We thus analysed the level of the transcripts of the synthesis enzymes COX-2 and mPGES-1 and also those of 15-PGDH and MRP4 in both cell lines HCT-116 and SW1116 (Figure 4A). It was noticeable that SW1116 cells expressed a high level of 15-PGDH compared to HCT-116, possibly explaining the significant difference of sensitivity to PGE<sub>2</sub> microinjection (Figure 3A). Ketoprofen was used, at a non-COX-2 inhibiting dose, to block the MRP4-mediated exportation of PGE<sub>2</sub> [15], while CAY10397 was used to inhibit PGE<sub>2</sub> enzymatic degradation by 15-PGDH. Treating the cells with both drugs caused a significant cytoplasmic accumulation of <sup>3</sup>H-PGE<sub>2</sub>, as measured in the resistant HCT-116<sup>Bax-/-</sup> cells (Figure 4B, black squares), whereas <sup>3</sup>H-PGE<sub>2</sub> remained extracellular in the absence of MRP4 inhibition (Figure 4B, open circles). Given this result, we treated SW1116 cells with extracellular PGE2 and with various combinations of the inhibitors described and measured cell death after 30 hours by Trypan blue exclusion. As shown in Figure 4C, the combination of both inhibitors induced a significant cell



was performed in HCT-116 and HCT-116<sup>Bax-/-</sup> cells as in A.

death even in the absence of exogenous  $PGE_2$ . However, cell death increased from 21 to 38% when  $PGE_2$  was added. A significant, yet slightly lower cell death induction was observed when either CAY10397 or ketoprofen was omitted, indicating that both  $PGE_2$  enzymatic degradation and exportation participate in the survival of these. We verified in SW1116 cells that the treatment applied effectively induced an increase in  $PGE_2$  intracellular concentration and that caspase 3 was activated, testifying apoptotic cell death (additional file 5 figure S3A). Of note, the treatment described above also enabled  $PGE_2$  intracellular accumulation and cell death in the three additional colon cell lines used (additional file 5 figure S3B); besides, we confirmed the singularity of HCT-116 cells compared to the three other cell lines

since  $PGE_2$  internalisation was measured even in the absence of the inhibitors, making their sensitivity to extracellular  $PGE_2$  clearer. We also verified, using the HCT-116 cell line and its Bax-deficient counterpart (HCT-116<sup>Bax-/-</sup>), that the cell death induced by the combination of extracellular  $PGE_2$  and the inhibitors described above was Bax-dependent (Figure 4D).

#### Discussion

An extensive amount of data point out that COX-2 and its product  $PGE_2$  are actors of cancer promotion and progression. This point has been supported by the fact that the use of COX inhibitors reduces the incidence of several cancers, among which colorectal cancer [1]. Mechanistically, it has been established that  $PGE_2$ , one



or without both inhibitors and cell death was assessed by Trypan blue exclusion.

of the products of COX-2 activity, could activate several pathways implicated in cancer, namely apoptosis evasion, cell proliferation, migration and angiogenesis. The majority of these effects are mediated through G-coupled EP receptors (EP1-4) [2]. Nevertheless, conflicting results tend to demonstrate that  $PGE_2$  is much more versatile than what was initially thought.

Besides, caution should be used when considering COX inhibitors as "anti-PGE<sub>2</sub>" compounds. The inhibition of COX-2, even with the use of selective COX-2 inhibitors, definitely has larger consequences than a decrease in PGE<sub>2</sub> synthesis since several prostaglandins arise from COX-2 activity. For instance, Thoren and Jakobsson [16] demonstrated that COX-2 inhibitors had a various ability to inhibit mPGES-1 activity. As a consequence, COX-2 inhibitors not only modulate COX-2 activity but also the potential coupling of COX-2 and

mPGES-1 activity; they consequently not only modulate  $PGH_2$  production but also the ratio between the  $PGH_2$  products, among which  $PGE_2$  and  $PGD_2$ , which are known to exert opposite effects on Bax activation [17].

Given the multiplicity of the physiological functions of prostaglandins and the very subtle regulatory processes which can hardly be predicted in the whole, we need a deeper understanding of the pathways in which the products of COX are implicated in cell signalling, in the tissue and in the body, before safely using NSAIDs as anticancer therapeutic adjuvants. More disturbing is the observation that the anti-proliferative effects of COX-2 inhibitors on cancer cells have also been demonstrated in COX-2-deficient cells [18-21], suggesting that the role of COX-2 and its product PGE<sub>2</sub> in cancer might have been overvalued based on the effects of pharmacological COX-2 inhibitors.

We show here that the overexpression of mPGES-1, the enzyme responsible for PGE<sub>2</sub> synthesis downstream of COX-2, sensitizes isolated colon cancer cells to apoptosis in vitro. We also demonstrate that cell death can be induced in colon cancer cells by increasing the intracellular content in PGE<sub>2</sub>, either through direct microinjection or through the inhibition of PGE<sub>2</sub> intracellular exportation and degradation, provided the cells express the protein Bax. Indeed, taking into account the data of Reid and colleagues [15], which showed that several NSAIDs exert an inhibitory activity on MRP4 at concentrations inferior to those used for COX inhibition, we showed that ketoprofen could induce a PGE<sub>2</sub>-dependent cell death, even if MRP4 inhibition might inhibit the efflux of other compounds from the cells; this could partly explain the disastrous cardiac side effects observed during long-term NSAID treatments. Similarly, we did not explore the consequence of 15-PGDH inhibition on the concentration of other prostaglandins, but we showed that the cell death was considerably increased by the adding of PGE<sub>2</sub> in the cell culture, demonstrating that PGE<sub>2</sub> was at least partly responsible for the apoptosis induced. These results are consistent with what we have previously described in glioblastoma [10]. To our comprehension, our results also bring a possible explanation to some of the conflicting results observed between extracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> treatments and modulations of PGE<sub>2</sub> production (see [4] and [9] for example). With the care to be as representative as possible for colon cancers, our in vitro work was realised with four colon cancer cell lines, two of which presented LOH (SW1116 and HT29) whereas the other two were classified MSI-positive (HCT-116 and HCT-8)[22,23].

What could be the rationale of these ambiguous properties exhibited by PGE<sub>2</sub>? An attractive concept was recently described by Li and colleagues [24]. They report that executive caspases, key players of apoptotic cell death, are necessary for wound healing. The activation of these caspases in injured cells is responsible for PGE<sub>2</sub> synthesis and exportation. When released at the wounded site, PGE<sub>2</sub> stimulates stem cells proliferation and tissue regeneration. PGE<sub>2</sub> might thus be regarded as a danger signal emerging from dying cells. Our understanding of the mechanism is that newly produced, intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> is able to sensitize the cells to death through the activation of the apoptotic protein Bax. In the cells where the death signals overwhelm the resistance capacities, caspases are activated and apoptosis occurs. Meanwhile, PGE<sub>2</sub> production and release in the environment is increased; PGE<sub>2</sub> thus exerts its antiapoptotic, proliferative and migratory role on the neighbouring cells through the EP receptors pathway. In the context of a tumour, the surviving cells become more resistant to a subsequent insult. PGE<sub>2</sub> activity in tumour cells would thus follow a two-step mechanism: first, intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> participates in apoptotic cell death; second, secreted PGE<sub>2</sub> has an autocrine or paracrine protective and stimulating activity, respectively on the producing cell if the integration of death signals is compatible with survival, or on the neighbouring cells if cell death is induced, with an amplification loop in PGE<sub>2</sub> production through executive caspases activity. The important consequence of this mechanism is that PGE<sub>2</sub> exportation from cancer cells is the most detrimental determinant in the role played by PGE<sub>2</sub> in tumour progression. An alternative to COX-2 inhibitors as adjuvant anti-cancer therapies might thus be the use of drugs inhibiting PGE<sub>2</sub> efflux from the cancer cells. The potential of MRP4 inhibitors in enhancing classical therapies would thereby be worth questioning.

#### Conclusions

Our present work demonstrates that intracellular  $PGE_2$  can exert a pro-apoptotic, Bax-dependent apoptosis in colon cancer cell lines in vitro. We thereby bring an additional level of complexity in the highly complex role played by  $PGE_2$  in colon cancer progression. We also suggest that MRP4 inhibition might be a valuable adjuvant strategy to colon cancers treatments.

#### Additional material

Additional file 1: table SI. clinical information of the first set of patients. Additional file 2: table SII. clinical information of the second set of patients.

Additional file 3: figure S1.  $PGE_2$  intracellular measurement and DEVDase activity in 4 mPGES-1 transfected colon cell lines.

Additional file 4: figure S2. effect of extracellular  $\mathsf{PGE}_2$  on the 4 colon cancer cell lines viability.

Additional file 5: figure S3. extracellular  $PGE_2$  internalisation in the 4 colon cancer cell lines.

#### Abbreviations

NSAlDs: non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PGE<sub>2</sub>: prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>; PGD<sub>2</sub>: prostaglandin D2; COX-2: cyclooxygenase 2; mPGES-1: microsomal prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> synthase-1; 15-PGDH: 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Anne Jarry for the gift of colon tissue samples and patients information. We thank Dr Jacques Le Pendu for the generous gift of HT29 and HCT-8 cell lines.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Département de Biologie Oncologique, Centre de Lutte Contre le Cancer René Gauducheau, Bd J. Monod, 44805 Nantes, Saint Herblain Cedex, France. <sup>2</sup>Département de Recherche en Cancérologie, Université de Nantes, INSERM U892, 8 quai Moncousu, 44035 Nantes Cedex, France. <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Toxicologie, Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Nantes, 1 rue Gaston Veil, 44000 Nantes, France.

#### Authors' contributions

LL participated to the design of the study, to the collection and analysis of  $PGE_2$  internalisation data and drafted the manuscript. FP collected and analysed qPCR data. CB participated to the collection and analysis of data. JM and FMV participated to the design and coordination of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. CO helped to the design of the study, collected and analysed data and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Received: 28 July 2010 Accepted: 27 April 2011 Published: 27 April 2011

#### References

- Cuzick J, Otto F, Baron JA, Brown PH, Burn J, Greenwald P, Jankowski J, La Vecchia C, Meyskens F, Senn HJ, et al: Aspirin and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs for cancer prevention: an international consensus statement. Lancet Oncol 2009, 10(5):501-507.
- Wang D, Dubois RN: Eicosanoids and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2010, 10(3):181-193.
- Greenhough A, Smartt HJ, Moore AE, Roberts HR, Williams AC, Paraskeva C, Kaidi A: The COX-2/PGE2 pathway: key roles in the hallmarks of cancer and adaptation to the tumour microenvironment. *Carcinogenesis* 2009, 30(3):377-386.
- Wang D, Wang H, Shi Q, Katkuri S, Walhi W, Desvergne B, Das SK, Dey SK, DuBois RN: Prostaglandin E(2) promotes colorectal adenoma growth via transactivation of the nuclear peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor delta. *Cancer Cell* 2004, 6(3):285-295.
- Backlund MG, Mann JR, Holla VR, Buchanan FG, Tai HH, Musiek ES, Milne GL, Katkuri S, DuBois RN: 15-Hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase is downregulated in colorectal cancer. J Biol Chem 2005, 280(5):3217-3223.
- Wu L, Wang Q, Liang X, Andreasson K: Divergent effects of prostaglandin receptor signaling on neuronal survival. *Neurosci Lett* 2007, 421(3):253-258.
- Huang SK, White ES, Wettlaufer SH, Grifka H, Hogaboam CM, Thannickal VJ, Horowitz JC, Peters-Golden M: Prostaglandin E(2) induces fibroblast apoptosis by modulating multiple survival pathways. *Faseb J* 2009, 23(12):4317-4326.
- Loffler I, Grun M, Bohmer FD, Rubio I: Role of cAMP in the promotion of colorectal cancer cell growth by prostaglandin E2. *BMC Cancer* 2008, 8:380.
- Elander N, Ungerback J, Olsson H, Uematsu S, Akira S, Soderkvist P: Genetic deletion of mPGES-1 accelerates intestinal tumorigenesis in APC(Min/+) mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008, 372(1):249-253.
- Lalier L, Cartron PF, Pedelaborde F, Olivier C, Loussouarn D, Martin SA, Meflah K, Menanteau J, Vallette FM: Increase in PGE2 biosynthesis induces a Bax dependent apoptosis correlated to patients' survival in glioblastoma multiforme. Oncogene 2007, 26(34):4999-5009.
- 11. Fleige S, Pfaffl MW: RNA integrity and the effect on the real-time qRT-PCR performance. *Mol Aspects Med* 2006, **27(2-3)**:126-139.
- Tripodi D, Quemener S, Renaudin K, Ferron C, Malard O, Guisle-Marsollier I, Sebille-Rivain V, Verger C, Geraut C, Gratas-Rabbia-Re C: Gene expression profiling in sinonasal adenocarcinoma. *BMC Med Genomics* 2009, 2:65.
- Priault M, Hue E, Marhuenda F, Pilet P, Oliver L, Vallette FM: Differential dependence on Beclin 1 for the regulation of pro-survival autophagy by Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells. *PLoS One* 5(1):e8755.
- Cartron PF, Juin P, Oliver L, Martin S, Meflah K, Vallette FM: Nonredundant role of Bax and Bak in Bid-mediated apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003, 23(13):4701-4712.
- Reid G, Wielinga P, Zelcer N, van der Heijden I, Kuil A, de Haas M, Wijnholds J, Borst P: The human multidrug resistance protein MRP4 functions as a prostaglandin efflux transporter and is inhibited by nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100(16):9244-9249.
- Thoren S, Jakobsson PJ: Coordinate up- and down-regulation of glutathione-dependent prostaglandin E synthase and cyclooxygenase-2 in A549 cells. Inhibition by NS-398 and leukotriene C4. Eur J Biochem 2000, 267(21):6428-6434.

- 17. Lalier L, Cartron PF, Olivier C, Loge C, Bougras G, Robert JM, Oliver L,
- Vallette FM: Prostaglandins antagonistically control Bax activation during apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 2011, **18(3)**:528-537.
- Dittmann KH, Mayer C, Ohneseit PA, Raju U, Andratschke NH, Milas L, Rodemann HP: Celecoxib induced tumor cell radiosensitization by inhibiting radiation induced nuclear EGFR transport and DNA-repair: a COX-2 independent mechanism. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008, 70(1):203-212.
- Grosch S, Maier TJ, Schiffmann S, Geisslinger G: Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2)independent anticarcinogenic effects of selective COX-2 inhibitors. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006, 98(11):736-747.
- Ryan EP, Bushnell TP, Friedman AE, Rahman I, Phipps RP: Cyclooxygenase-2 independent effects of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors on oxidative stress and intracellular glutathione content in normal and malignant human Bcells. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2008, 57(3):347-358.
- Stolfi C, Fina D, Caruso R, Caprioli F, Sarra M, Fantini MC, Rizzo A, Pallone F, Monteleone G: Cyclooxygenase-2-dependent and -independent inhibition of proliferation of colon cancer cells by 5-aminosalicylic acid. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2008, **75(3)**:668-676.
- Gayet J, Zhou XP, Duval A, Rolland S, Hoang JM, Cottu P, Hamelin R: Extensive characterization of genetic alterations in a series of human colorectal cancer cell lines. *Oncogene* 2001, 20(36):5025-5032.
- Li HR, Shagisultanova El, Yamashita K, Piao Z, Perucho M, Malkhosyan SR: Hypersensitivity of tumor cell lines with microsatellite instability to DNA double strand break producing chemotherapeutic agent bleomycin. *Cancer Res* 2004, 64(14):4760-4767.
- 24. Li F, Huang Q, Chen J, Peng Y, Roop DR, Bedford JS, Li CY: Apoptotic cells activate the "phoenix rising" pathway to promote wound healing and tissue regeneration. *Sci Signal* 2010, **3(110)**:ra13.

#### **Pre-publication history**

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/11/153/prepub

#### doi:10.1186/1471-2407-11-153

Cite this article as: Lalier *et al.*: Increase in intracellular PGE<sub>2</sub> induces apoptosis in Bax-expressing colon cancer cell. *BMC Cancer* 2011 11:153.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) Bio Med Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit