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## Germacrene A-A Central Intermediate in Sesquiterpene Biosynthesis
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Abstract: This review summarises known sesquiterpenes whose biosyntheses proceed through the intermediate germacrene A. First, the occurrence and biosynthesis of germacrene A in Nature and its peculiar chemistry will be high-
lighted, followed by a discussion of 6-6 and 5-7 bicyclic compounds and their more complex derivatives. For each compound the absolute configuration, if it is known, and the reasoning for its assignment is presented.

## 1. Introduction

With an estimated number of over 80,000 compounds terpenes form the largest class of natural products. They are produced by all kingdoms of life and can be classified as mono$\left(C_{10}\right)$, sesqui- $\left(C_{15}\right)$ or diterpenes ( $C_{20}$ ) etc. according to the number of incorporated isoprenoid units. During the past decades many sesquiterpene synthases have been reported ${ }^{[1-6]}$ that catalyse the cyclisation of farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) through diphosphate abstraction to give the reactive farnesyl cation (A, Scheme 1). Attack of the C10 $=$ C11 double bond to C1 can yield the ( $E, E$ )-germacradienyl cation (B) by 1,10 - or the ( $E, E$ )-humulyl cation (C) by 1,11-cyclisation. The alternative reaction by reattack of diphosphate to C3 results in nerolidyl diphosphate (NPP). After a conformational rearrangement of the vinyl group by rotation around the C2-C3 bond, cyclisation reactions may proceed to the ( $E, Z$ )-germacradienyl cation (D), the ( $(, Z)$-humulyl cation ( $\mathbf{E}$ ), the bisabolyl cation ( $\mathbf{F}$ ), or to cation G, with possible formation of either enantiomer for chiral intermediates. Deprotonation of B leads to germacrene A, a widespread natural product and central intermediate in the biosynthesis of many 1,10 -cyclised sesquiterpenes. This review discusses its occurrence in Nature, its chemistry, and central importance as an intermediate towards many sesquiterpenes.

## 2. Germacrene A

### 2.1. Occurrence in Nature

(-)-Germacrene A (1, Scheme 2) was first isolated in 1970 from the gorgonian Eunicea mammosa. ${ }^{[7]}$ Its absolute configuration was established as $(S)-(-)-1$ through its Cope rearrangement to ( + )- $\beta$-elemene (2) for which the configurational assignment was performed by chemical correlation of (-)-elemol (3) to $(-)-\mathbf{2}^{[8,9]}$ Compound ( - )-1 is also believed to occur in the soft coral Lobophytum, ${ }^{[10]}$ and is the alarm pheromone of the aphid Terioaphis maculata. ${ }^{[11,12]}$ In the course of this work it was no-
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ticed that the optical rotation $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-26.8, ~ c ~ 1.0, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ was significantly higher than initially reported $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-3.2\right.$, c 14.4, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4},{ }^{[7]}$ which is explainable by a partial rearrangement of purified ( - )-1 to (+)-2, or alternatively, $\mathbf{1}$ isolated from E. mammosa was not enantiomerically pure. However, the optical rotation of $(+)-2\left([\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+15.1\right.$, neat) reported in this initial study ${ }^{[7]}$ matches the reported value for $(-)-2\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}=-15.8, c 0.50\right.$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) obtained by Cope rearrangement of $(+)-1,{ }^{[13]}$ thus disfa-


Scheme 1. Terpene cyclisation modes for FPP.


Scheme 2. Structure of 1 and its absolute configuration by chemical correlation.
vouring the latter hypothesis. In fact, the enantiomeric composition of a compound cannot be concluded only from the optical rotation upon its first isolation, or not with certainty if a compound is known to be instable. Methods such as chromatographic separation on a chiral stationary phase may be more conclusive. Through this approach, König and co-workers found that 1 from various plants is a mixture of enantiomers, ranging from nearly pure (+)-1 in Piper nigrum to mainly (-)-1 in the liverwort Barbilophozia barbata. ${ }^{[14]}$

Germacrene A synthase (GAS) catalyses a 1,10-cyclisation of FPP to B, followed by deprotonation to 1 (Scheme 3). Both enantiomers of 1 are accessible through this reaction, depending on whether C10 of FPP is attacked from the Re or the Si face. Since this face selectivity may be altered by subtle conformational changes of FPP in the active sites of GASs, predictions based on amino acid sequences or phylogenetic analyses regarding the stereochemical implications may be difficult. Many plant GAS have been identified during the past two decades, including two (+)-GASs from Cichorium intybus ${ }^{[15,16]}$ and one from Matricaria recutita, ${ }^{[17]}$ with the absolute configuration of $(+)-\mathbf{1}$ established by chiral GC. Sometimes the absolute configuration can be rationally suggested, because 1 is transformed in the same organism into another compound such as ( + )-costunolide. ${ }^{[18-21]}$ Further GASs are known from many other plant species, ${ }^{[22-32]}$ but the absolute configuration of 1 has frequently not been determined. While the accumulated literature shows that ( + )-1 is typical for plants, the recently characterised bacterial GAS from Micromonospora marina produces ( - )-1, ${ }^{[33]}$ reflecting the observation that terpenes and cationic intermediates towards them from plants and bacteria often represent different enantiomers. ${ }^{[34-37]}$ The coinciding absolute configuration of (-)-1 from E. mammosa may point to a biosynthesis by symbiotic bacteria in the gorgonian. ${ }^{[38]}$


Scheme 3. Cyclisation mechanism from FPP to A) (R)-(+)-1 and B) (S)-(-)-1.

### 2.2. Chemistry of germacrene $A$

The isolation and full structural and NMR-spectroscopic characterisation of 1 was a long-standing problem significantly hampered by its high reactivity. Its first isolation from E. mammosa in 1970 was done by extraction and concentration at temperatures below $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to avoid the Cope rearrangement to $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 2). ${ }^{[7]}$ Chromatographic purification on slightly acidic
silica gel induces a cyclisation through cation H 1 to $\alpha$-selinene (4), $\beta$-selinene (5), and selina-4,11-diene ( 6, Scheme 4). ${ }^{[7,11,15]}$

The skeleton of 1 is characterised by a conformationally flexible 10-membered ring that shows sufficient ring strain to prevent a fast interconversion between conformers, resulting in broadened signals and multiple signal sets in the NMR spectra. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data were first published for 1 from $T$. maculata. ${ }^{[12]}$ Later studies improved the NMR data assignments for the main conformers of 1 (recorded at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), but did not allow for a completion of the data sets. ${ }^{[13,39]}$ Through NOESY the conformers of $1 \mathbf{a}$ (UU, Me14 and Me15 up), $1 \mathbf{b}$ (UD, updown) and 1 c (DU, down-up) in a 5:3:2 ratio were identified (Scheme 5A). ${ }^{[13]}$ The NMR data sets $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for all three conformers were recently completed using a ${ }^{13}$ C-labelling strategy by conversion of all 15 isotopomers of $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{FPP}^{[40]}$ with GAS from $M$. marina into ( - )-1, resulting in strongly enhanced ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ -


Scheme 4. Acid catalysed conversion of 1 into selinenes.
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Scheme 5. A) Conformers of (+)-1. B) Cope rearrangement of ent-1 a.

NMR signals for the labelled carbons. HSQC spectroscopy of enzymatically prepared stereoselectively deuterated and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labelled 1 allowed the NMR assignment of all hydrogens. ${ }^{[33,41]}$
The stereoselectively deuterated and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labelled isotopomers of 1 were also used to study the stereochemical course of its Cope rearrangement (Scheme 5B). According to the Wood-ward-Hoffmann rules, pericyclic reactions follow a stereochemical course determined by the symmetry of frontier orbitals. ${ }^{[42]}$ For the Diels-Alder reaction this has been verified by stereoselective deuteration, ${ }^{[43,44]}$ while classical experiments for the Cope rearrangement have been performed with meso- and rac-3,4-dimethylhexa-1,5-diene. ${ }^{[45]}$ The enzymatic access to labelled 1 allowed to follow the rearrangement to ( + )-2 that proceeds from ent-1 a through a chair-chair transition state. ${ }^{[33]}$
For many terpene synthase reactions 1 is further cyclised in a second step initiated by reprotonation. This can occur at C1 and lead to the 6-6 bicyclic system of $\mathbf{H}$ as a precursor of eudesmane sesquiterpenes (Scheme 6A). The 6-6 bicyclic system could in theory also arise by protonation at C4 leading to the secondary cation $\mathbf{I}$, but this reaction is not preferred. Furthermore, 1 can be protonated at C 10 with cyclisation to the 5-7 bicyclic skeleton of J, or at C4 resulting in K, representing the precursors to guaiane sesquiterpenes. As an alternative to the
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Scheme 6. Secondary terpene cyclisations of 1.
formation of neutral 1 and its reportonation also an intramolecular or water-mediated proton transfer in cation $\mathbf{B}$ may directly lead to $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J}$ or $\mathbf{K}$, thus bypassing 1 that would in such cases be better described as a side product rather than an intermediate. However, experimental evidence to distinguish between these alternatives is difficult to obtain, and 1 will preferentially be discussed as an intermediate towards more complex sesquiterpenes in this article. A detailed discussion of the reactions from 1 will follow in the subsequent sections.

## 3. Eudesmanes

### 3.1. Eudesmanes with a regular skeleton

The protonation-induced cyclisation of 1 can lead to eight stereochemically distinct cationic intermediates (Scheme 7), four of which arise from $(+) \mathbf{- 1}(\mathbf{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 4)$, while the other four stereoisomers originate from (-)-1 (H5-H8). For each intermediate, simple deprotonations or nucleophilic attack of water are possible. Also, hydride shifts can occur first, which further widens the reachable chemical space of eudesmanes. For many of these possibilities the corresponding structures have been reported.


Scheme 7. Cyclisations induced by reprotonation of 1 at C 1 to $\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H8}$.

### 3.2. Eudesmanes from cation H 1

An important intermediate to eudesmanes is H1. Deprotonations from C3 and C15 lead to $\alpha$-selinene (4) and $\beta$-selinene (5), two compounds that have been isolated more than 100 years ago from celery oil. ${ }^{[46]}$ Their structures were elucidated in degradation experiments ${ }^{[47]}$ and were correlated to $\beta$-eudesmol (7, Scheme 8A) ${ }^{[48-50]}$ Based on a comparison of physical characteristics of degradation products to those of other cis- and trans-decalins initially a cis-decalin structure was assigned, ${ }^{[51]}$


Scheme 8. A) Structures of eudesmanes from H1 and of 7. B) Chemical correlation of ketone $\mathbf{8}$ with 10. C) Chemical correlation of $\mathbf{7}$ with ent-10.
but a later conformational re-examination indicated a transfused ring system. ${ }^{[52,53]}$ The absolute configurations of 4 and 5 were determined by chemical correlation through the following arguments. The structure of ketone 8 was established in the classical synthesis of steroids by Woodward. ${ }^{[54]}$ Two years later the same group converted 8 into the dicarboxylic acid 10 (Scheme 8B) that was the opposite enantiomer as obtained by degradation of 7 (Schemes 8 C$)^{[55]}$ that had previously been correlated with 4 and 5 (vide supra).
The optical rotation of 4 was repeatedly found to have a positive value, including the reports from Brazilian rosewood oil $\left.\left([\alpha]_{D}=+18\right)\right)^{[56]}$ Dendropanax trifidus $\left([\alpha]_{D}=+68\right)^{[57]}$ and Cryptotaenia japonica $\left([\mathrm{a}]_{D}{ }^{15}=+6.3\right),{ }^{[58]}$ or for 4 obtained by enantioselective synthesis $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+15.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{[59]}$ Andersen et al. pointed out that minor impurities may result in erroneous data and reported a value of $[\alpha]_{D}=-16$ (c 0.2, pentane) ${ }^{[60]}$ that was confirmed by Maurer and Grieder $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-14.5\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1 \%\right)^{[6]]}$ and in both cases secured by CD spectroscopy. For 5 consistently positive optical rotations with values between $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+31.7\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+60\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ have been given. ${ }^{[49,57,58,50-66]}$ Thus, natural $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-selinene from ( + )-1 are characterised as $(-)-4$ and $(+)-5$. Complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are available. ${ }^{[66,67]}$

Compounds 4 and 5 were identified from various plant sources. ${ }^{[49,57,58,60-63,66-76]}$ In some cases 2 was also isolated, ${ }^{[58,68,69]}$ sometimes with determined absolute configuration of (-)-$2^{[61-63]}$ which supports $(+)-1$ as a biosynthetic intermediate, but 1 could also be the true natural product, while 4 and 5 may have been formed spontaneously from 1 during compound isolation (Scheme 4).

An alternative deprotonation of $\mathbf{H 1}$ can lead to selina-4,11diene (6), while the attack of water may result in selin-11-en$4 \alpha$-ol (12) or neointermedeol (13, Scheme 9A). As the stereochemical information at C 5 is lost in 6 , this sesquiterpene can
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Scheme 9. A) Structures of eudesmanes from H1. B) Correlation of 14 to 6. C) Synthesis of 6 .
also arise from H4. Conclusions may be possible from co-isolated materials with retained stereochemical information at C5. The absolute configuration of 6 was evident from its formation by pyrolysis of the $p$-nitrobenzoate 14 of ( - )-elemol (3), leading to ( + )-6 (Scheme 9B). ${ }^{[65]}$ This finding is further supported by an enantioselective synthesis of ( + )-6 starting from $(+)$-trans-dihydrocarvone (15) through ( + )- $\alpha$-cyperone (16), ${ }^{[7]}$ followed by reduction of the ketone with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (Scheme 9C). ${ }^{[88]}$

Compound 6 has been isolated from several plants ${ }^{[62,74,79-83]}$ with reported positive optical rotations ranging from $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{14}=$ $+32.05(\mathrm{MeOH})^{[79]}$ to $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=+54.5\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1 \%\right){ }^{[80]}$ From Vernonia glabra 6 was isolated together with 1, 2, 4 and 5 after column chromatography, suggesting that it may have been formed by silicic-acid-catalysed cyclisation of 1. ${ }^{[8]]}$ The full ${ }^{[61]}$ or partia ${ }^{[78,79,84]]}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR data have frequently been published, but unfortunately no ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are available from the literature.
The alcohol $12\left([\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-18\right)$ was first isolated from Podocarpus dacrydioides and its structure was correlated to ( + )-selinane (19), the hydrocarbon corresponding to 4 and 5 , by catalytic hydrogenation to 17 , dehydration with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ to 18 and hydrogenation (Scheme 10A), while the $4 \alpha$ orientation of the hydroxy function was deduced from the NMR spectrum, thereby establishing its absolute configuration. ${ }^{[85]}$ This structural assignment was confirmed by a synthesis from 7 that was converted into the epoxide and dehydrated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ to yield a mixture of 20 and 21 (Scheme 10B). Epoxide opening with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ resulted in (-)-12 and juniper camphor (22). ${ }^{[86]}$ Furthermore, the racemic compound, along with all other seven stereoisomers, has been synthesised ${ }^{[87]}$ and comparative spectroscopic data including ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ have been published. ${ }^{[87,88]}$ Identical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for 12 were reported for the material from Artemisia barrelieri ${ }^{[89]}$ and Tanacetum nubigenum. ${ }^{[90]}$ Compound 12 has been isolated from many plant species. ${ }^{[73,80,82,89-102]}$

Neointermedeol (13) was first reported from the grass Bothriochloa intermedia, with an optical rotation of $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=$



Scheme 10. Chemical correlations of 12 with A) 19 and B) 7. C) Correlation of 13 with 6 .
$+7.5,{ }^{[103,104]}$ while the material isolated later from Panax ginseng exhibited a negative optical rotation $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{22}=-4.8\right.$, c 3.45, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{[84]}$ To resolve the situation $(-)-13$ was dehydrated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in pyridine, yielding ( + )-6 and thus securing the absolute configuration of 13 (Scheme 10C). The structure of 13 has also been confirmed by synthesis of the racemate. ${ }^{[87]}$ Further isolations have been reported from termites including Subulitermes baileyi ${ }^{[105]}$ and Amitermes excellens, ${ }^{[106]}$ and from the plants Geigeria burkei ${ }^{[107]}$ and Artemisia schmidtiana. ${ }^{[108]}$ Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and full ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for 13 have been published. ${ }^{[84,104]}$

### 3.3. Eudesmanes from cation H2

Sesquiterpenes arising through H2 occur less frequent in Nature compared to H1 derivatives, but the alcohol 26 (Scheme 11 A ) is quite widespread. The sesquiterpene $5,10-$ diepi- $\alpha$-selinene (23) was first reported from Dipterocarpus alatus $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=+2.1\right) .{ }^{[109]}$ The compound was co-isolated with (7R,10S)-eudesma-4,11-diene, ( - )-25 $\quad\left([\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-108.6\right)$, that could potentially also arise by deprotonation of H 3 , but if a common terpene cyclisation is assumed, intermediate H2 should be relevant. The absolute configuration of 23 was assigned by epoxidation with peracetic acid to a mixture of stereoisomeric epoxides 28, reduction with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ to yield a mixture of alcohols, and Jones oxidation. From the obtained ketones 29, the enantiomer of a known compound, was isolated as main product (Scheme 11 B). ${ }^{[109]}$ Further, an enantioselective synthesis of 23 from $\mathbf{3 0}$ that is readily accessible from dihydrocarvone 15 was reported, that proceeded by reduction with Li in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and phosphorylation with (EtO) $)_{2} \mathrm{POCl}$ to 31, followed
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Scheme 11. A) Structures of 23-26 and "paradisiol" (27). Chemical correlations of $\mathbf{2 3}$ with B) ketone 29 and C) synthetic 30.
by defunctionalisation with Na in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $t \mathrm{BuOH}$ (Scheme 11 C ). ${ }^{[110]}$ Alternatively, 30 can be converted into a mixture of $\mathbf{2 3}$, its C5 epimer and $\mathbf{2 5}$ by Wolff-Kishner reduction. ${ }^{[111]}$ The regioisomer 5,10-diepi- $\beta$-selinene (24) was first obtained along with 23 by dehydration of a sesquiterpene alcohol with the assigned structure of "paradisiol" (27) from grapefruit (Citrus paradis). ${ }^{\left[{ }^{[12]}\right.}$ Subsequent work demonstrated that "paradisiol" was identical with intermedeol (26). ${ }^{[113]}$ All three compounds 23-25 were also obtained by hydrolysis of intermedeol $\beta$-D-fucopyranoside. ${ }^{[114]}$ Compound 23, sometimes accompanied by $\mathbf{2 4}$ or $\mathbf{2 5}$, has also been reported from several termites. ${ }^{[106,115,116]}$ Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data of 23 (with missing signals only for quaternary olefinic carbons) and 24 are available from the literature, ${ }^{[116]}$ while data for 25 are lacking.
Intermedeol ( $4 S, 5 S, 7 R, 10 S$ )-26 $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+10.7\right)$ was first reported with $7 S$ configuration from Bothriochloa intermedia. ${ }^{[117]}$ This wrong structural assignment was based on the finding that 26 was converted into (-)-selinane (19) by hydrogenation ( $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ), dehydration $\left(\mathrm{POCl}_{3}\right.$, pyridine) and hydrogenation ( $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}$, Scheme 12A). The subsequently discovered alcohol 12 (Scheme 9) ${ }^{[85]}$ showed different physical characteristics and spectroscopic properties, and thus the structure of ent-12 for intermedeol was excluded. Oxidation of 26 with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to hydroxyketone 33, followed by epimerisation to 34 and Wittig methylenation gave ent-12, supporting a structural revision for intermedeol to $\mathbf{2 6}$. The initially observed formation of (-)-19 from 26 was explained by double bond migration and hydrogenation from the sterically less hindered side during Pd catalysis, yielding intermediate 32 with overall epimerisation at $\mathrm{C} 7 .{ }^{[86]}$ The structure of $\mathbf{2 6}$ was also confirmed by synthesis. ${ }^{[87,110,111]}$

Compound 26 has frequently been isolated from plants. ${ }^{[66,117-127]}$ For 26 isolated from Cymbopogon flexuosus the
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Scheme 12. A) Chemical correlations of 26 with (-)-19 and ent-12, B) concerted mechanism for the protonation induced cyclisation of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{2 6}$.
opposite absolute configuration was assigned, despite the optical activity of $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+2$ (c 3.3, MeOH). The compound was named "isointermedeol" ${ }^{[128]}$ but this material was likely an impure sample of $(+)-26 .{ }^{[129]}$ Nevertheless, the description of "isointermedeol" caused some confusion, as there is at least one later paper about Jasonia candicans with reference to the report of this supposedly new sesquiterpene alcohol. ${ }^{[130]}$ For the (+)-intermedeol synthase from Termitomyces GC-MS analysis of the products revealed minor amounts of $\mathbf{2}$, thereby establishing 1 as a side product and supporting this compound as a biosynthetic intermediate to $26 .{ }^{[131]}$ Another ( + )-intermedeol synthase was recently reported from Streptomyces clavuligerus..$^{[132]}$ Complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data of 26 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}{ }^{[87,88,104,114,119]}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}^{[131,132]}$ have been reported.
Paradisiol ( $4 R, 5 S, 7 R, 10 S$ )-27 represents the initially assigned structure of a sesquiterpene alcohol from Citrus paradisi ${ }^{[112]}$ that was later corrected to 26 . ${ }^{[113]}$ It may seem surprising that 27 has never been reported as a natural product, while its epimer 26 is widespread, but this is understandable on biosynthetic grounds (Scheme 12B). Starting from the shown conformation of $\mathbf{1}$, a concerted protonation induced ring closure and attack of water can lead to 26 , while the formation of 27 by such a process would require a syn addition to the C4=C5 double bond of 1 with attack of water from the internal face, which seems sterically impossible. However, compound 27 has been synthesised ${ }^{[87]}$ and was obtained as one of the hydrolysis products of intermedeol $\beta$-D-fucopyranoside ( $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{22}=-17.9$, c 0.53, EtOH). ${ }^{[14]]}$ Full spectroscopic data are available. ${ }^{[87,88,114]}$

### 3.4. Eudesmanes from cation H3

Natural products from H3 are unknown. Synthetic compounds that could formally arise through H 3 by terpene cyclisation include 10 -epi- $\alpha$-selinene (35), 7 -epi-amiteol (36) and 5 -epi-paradisiol (37, Scheme 13). Compound 35 was first obtained by Wolff-Kishner reduction of $\mathbf{3 0}{ }^{[111]}$ and then from ( $R$ )-limonene
A)

(+)-35


36


37
B)


Scheme 13. A) Structures of 35-37. B) Enantioselective synthesis of 35 .
(37) that can be converted in three steps into the aldehyde 39 (Scheme 13 B$){ }^{[133]}$ followed by Wittig-Horner olefination to 40. An intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction results in the endoadduct 4 and the exo-adduct $35\left([\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+102, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $0.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{[134]}$ A similar route was also reported from (S)-carvone. ${ }^{[135]}$ For 36 and 37 only synthetic routes to the racemates have been established. ${ }^{[87]}$ For all three compounds full spectroscopic data have been published. ${ }^{[87,88,135]}$

### 3.5. Eudesmanes from cation H4

Only a few natural products arising through H 4 are known. Amiteol ( + )-43 $\left([\alpha]_{365}^{24}=+8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ from the termite Amitermes excellens was the first isolated compound from this class and co-occurred with 5 -epi- $\alpha$-selinene (41), 5 -epi- $\beta$-selinene (42) and 6 in this species (Scheme 14 A ). ${ }^{[107]}$ Although 6 is usually assumed to be formed via $\mathbf{H} 1$, in $A$. excellens a formation via $\mathbf{H 4}$ is more likely, as this reflects the mechanism for its cometabolites. The absolute configuration of 43 was established by dehydration with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, yielding a mixture of 41, 42
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Scheme 14. A) Structures of 41-44. B) Enantioselective synthesis of 41.
and $(+)-6\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=+30, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{[106]}$ the same enantiomer as originally reported from Chamaecyparis formosensis. ${ }^{[79]}$ Furthermore, ( + )-41 was synthesised from $\alpha$-santonin (45) that was converted into 46 through a known route (Scheme 14B). ${ }^{[136]}$ Reduction of 46 to epimeric diols 47 , mesylation to 48 and elimination with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and LiBr in refluxing DMF yielded 41 $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=+30.1\right.$, c 3.50, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right){ }^{[137]}$ Syntheses for racemic 43 and 5-epi-neointermedeol (44) have also been established, ${ }^{[87]}$ but despite its tentative GC/MS based identification as constituent of some essential oils compound 44 has not been isolated from natural sources so far. More recently, a terpene synthase for 41 has been identified from the cyanobacterium Nostoc punctiforme, but the absolute configuration of the product has not been assigned. ${ }^{[138]}$ Full spectroscopic data including IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ are available for $41,{ }^{[137,138]} 43$ and $44 .{ }^{[87,88]}$

Notably, while the formation of the sesquiterpene hydrocarbons 41, 42 and 6 should be possible through H4, the formation of 43 along this pathway encounters a difficulty that is related to the explanation for the possible formation of $\mathbf{2 6}$, but not of 27, from H2 (Scheme 12). Along similar lines (Scheme 15 A ), the protonation induced cyclisation of 1 starting from a boat-boat conformation can explain the biosynthesis of 44 , while the formation of 43 would require the nucleophilic attack of water from the sterically less accessible Re face at C4. However, the formation of 43 is well understandable, if a precursor with a C4=C5 Z-configured double bond would be assumed (Scheme 15 B). This precursor is known as (-)-helminthogermacrene (49) from the fungus Helminthosporium sativum ${ }^{[139]}$ and later from the termite Amitermes wheeleri. ${ }^{[140]}$ The enantiomer (+)-49 was reported from the liverwort Scapania undulata and has a very similar El mass spectrum and GC retention index to $\mathbf{1}$, but is less prone to a Cope rearrangement to (-)-cis- $\beta$-elemene (50, Scheme 15C). ${ }^{[141]}$ Synthetic routes towards racemic 49 have been developed ${ }^{[139,142]}$ and the absolute configuration of (+)-49 was established by chemical correlation to ( - -)-helmiscapene, a compound discussed in Section 3.8. ${ }^{[39]}$


Scheme 15. Protonation induced cyclisations A) of 1 to 44 and B) of 49 to 43. C) Cope rearrangement of 49 to 50

### 3.6. Eudesmanes from cation H5

Compounds derived from $(-)-\mathbf{1}$ through the enantiomeric series of intermediates $\mathbf{H} 5-\mathbf{H} 8$ have been reported less often compared to those from (+)-1, which may be attributed to the fact that still most work has been done on higher plants for which $(+)-\mathbf{1}$ is the typical enantiomer (Section 2). The cation H5 gives rise to the known natural products ent- $\alpha$-selinene (ent-4), ent- $\beta$-selinene (ent-5), ent-selina-4,11-diene (ent-6) and (4S,5S,7S,10S)-eudes-11-en-4-ol (ent-12, Figure 1).

(+)-ent-4

(-)-ent-6

(+)-ent-12

Figure 1. Structures of ent-4-ent-6 and ent-12.

The first report about naturally occurring enantiomers of selinane sesquiterpenes identified ent-4 as a constituent of the liverwort Chiloscyphus polyanthus in 1973. Its absolute configuration was established by CD spectroscopy in comparison to authentic (-)-4. ${ }^{[60]}$ Compounds ent-4 and ent-6, likewise established by CD spectroscopy and accompanied by 2 , were subsequently reported from the liverworts Diplophyllum albicans and D. taxifolium, ${ }^{[143]}$ while the liverworts Riccardia jackii, Bazzania spiralis and Tylimanthus tenellus contain different combinations of ent-4, ent-5 and ent-12. ${ }^{[144-147]}$ Also insects were reported to contain ent-4 and (+)-2, exemplified by their occurrence in Ceroplastes ceriferus, which is surprising considering the fact that the „normal" enantiomeric series of compounds is present in the related species $C$. rubens. ${ }^{[62]}$ In all these examples the absolute configurations were determined from the optical rotations of the isolated compounds. In Penicillium roqueforti also ent-4, ent-5 and ent-12 may occur; in this case the absolute configurations were assigned based on their biosynthetic relationship to aristolochene (vide infra) that is generated through (-)-1 in this fungus. ${ }^{[188]}$

### 3.7. Eudesmanes from cation H6

Little is known about eudesmanes arising via cationic intermediate H6. The compound 7-epi- $\alpha$-selinene (ent-23, Scheme 16A) was first reported from Amyris balsamifera, a species from which also 7-epi- $\alpha$-eudesmol ( 51 , Scheme 16 B) was isolated and structurally characterised by NMR spectroscopy. From its positive optical rotation $\left([\alpha]_{D}=+10, c 1.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ the authors concluded on the shown absolute configuration for 51, but a comprehensible explanation for this assignment is missing. Dehydration of 51 yielded a mixture of two products to which the structures of ent-23 and 52 were assigned by NMR spectroscopy, unfortunately without separating the obtained materials and determining their optical rotations. The compounds described as ent-23 and 52 also occurred in the essential oil of $A$. balsamifera. ${ }^{[149]}$ One study reported the chro-

ent-23
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Scheme 16. A) Structures of ent-23, ent-25 and ent-26. B) Dehydration of 51.
matographic separation of the compound from A. balsamifera and ( + )-23 (the latter with a mentioned source „provided by Dr. Wilfried König") on a chiral stationary GC phase, which represents the only hint in the literature that the structure of ent23 for the essential oil constituent may be correctly assigned. ${ }^{[150]}$ Compound ent-23 was also reported as major product of a terpene synthase from Vitis vinifera. ${ }^{[150,151]}$ Both enantiomers of 23 have been obtained by synthesis from the enantiomers of 15 , but optical rotary powers of the products were not measured. ${ }^{[152]}$ However, ent-23 may have a negative optical rotation, as for 23 from Dipterocarpus alatus a low value of $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}=+2.1$ was determined. ${ }^{[109]}$ This would be consistent with a report by König in which ent-23 was published as the (-)-enantiomer, albeit only based on separation by gas chromatography using a chiral stationary phase without isolation. ${ }^{[153]}$

Compound ent-25 $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{16}=+46.5, c 0.85, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ has been synthesised using the same strategy as for 6 (Scheme 9C), ${ }^{[78]}$ but has not been isolated from any organism. The only report about ent-26 from Monactis macbridei by Bohlmann and coworkers ${ }^{[154]}$ gives a reference to the erroneous "isointermedeol" ${ }^{\prime[128]}$ that was corrected shortly after. ${ }^{[129]}$ Unfortunately, Bohlmann's paper does not give an optical rotation for the isolated material so that it is difficult to judge, if the authors of this study were aware of the misassignment of "isointermedeol" at the time of their publication. Overall, this discussion shows that compounds from H6 are not only rare, but if they occur in the literature, the assignments of absolute configurations remain unclear. Since the compounds originate in all cases from higher plants, they may truly be the usual enantiomers, that is, 23, 25 and 26.

### 3.8. Eudesmanes from cations H 7 and H 8

The literature contains only few reports of compounds that may originate from H 7 , while no examples from H 8 are available. $\alpha$-Helmiscapene (ent-35, Scheme 17A) was first isolated from Scapania undulata and suggested to arise through a "cisgermacrene", ${ }^{[155]}$ a compound that was later described from this species ${ }^{[141]}$ after its first identification from $H$. sativum as helminthogermacrene (49). ${ }^{[139]}$ In agreement with the positive optical rotation of synthetic 35 (Scheme 13), ent-35 was found to be the $(-)$-enantiomer $\left([\alpha]_{D}=-100, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and correlated

(-)-ent-35
B)

$(+)-49$
ent-35
54
Scheme 17. A) Structures of ent-35 and 53. B) Acid-catalysed cyclisation of 49 to ent-35 and isomerisation to 54.
to ( + )- $\delta$-selinene (54) by acid-catalysed isomerisation (Scheme 17B). Both ent-35 and $\beta$-helmiscapene ( - )-53 were also found in the liverwort Radula perrottetii. ${ }^{[156]}$ The acid-catalysed cyclisation of (+)-49 to ent-35 suggests that the formation of ent- 35 from 49 could be non-enzymatic and that germacrene A may indeed not be the precursor of helmiscapenes. ${ }^{[39]}$ Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are available for ent- 35 and 53. ${ }^{[39,156]}$

## 4. Rearranged Eudesmanes

In this section rearranged eudesmanes from $\mathbf{H 1} 1-\mathrm{H} 6$ will be discussed, while such compounds from H 7 and H 8 are unknown.

### 4.1. Rearranged eudesmanes from H 1

Rearranged eudesmanes can in theory arise from all cations H1-H8 in Scheme 7. An important group of compounds by widespread occurrence in Nature originates from H1. Specifically, this intermediate can undergo a 1,2-hydride migration to H1 a that must proceed suprafacially and thus determines the configuration at C4 (Scheme 18; 1,n-hydride or proton migrations as used in this article refer to the distance of n carbons for the migration, not to positional numbers). A subsequent 1,2-methyl group migration leads to $\mathbf{H 1} \mathbf{b}$ (path a) that upon deprotonation yields eremophilene (55) or 4,5-diepi-aristolochene (56). Alternatively, H 1 a can react in a Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement (WMR) with ring contraction to H 1 c that results in hinesene (59, path b).

Compound 55 was first isolated from Petasites officinalis and P. albus $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-104.2\right.$ and $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=-142.5$, respectively). ${ }^{[157-159]}$ Its structure was initially wrongly assigned ${ }^{[160]}$ but then corrected based on a chemical derivatisation and interpretation of the EI-MS fragmentation behaviour of a thioketal derivative. ${ }^{[159]}$ The sesquiterpene 55 was later isolated from several higher plants. ${ }^{[58,161-167]}$ Furthermore, ( - - 55 was discovered in the gorgonian Plexaurella fusifera ${ }^{[168]}$ and along with 2 in the liverwort Frullania serratta. ${ }^{[169]}$
An elegant synthesis for (rac)-55 has been developed starting from 60 that can give 61 a by a Diels-Alder reaction, with partial epimerisation to 61 b (Scheme 19A). Both compounds can be converted into 62 by acid-catalysed isomerisation. Reaction with tosylhydrazine leads to 63 that was reduced with


Scheme 18. Biosynthesis of rearranged eudesmanes from H1


Scheme 19. A) Synthesis of (rac)-55 through a Diels-Alder approach, B) preparation of 56 from the natural products 66 and 67 .
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ via 64 to $65 .{ }^{[170]}$ Treatment with MeLi and dehydration with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ in pyridine gave $55 .{ }^{[171]}$ Its double bond regioisomer 56 (Scheme 19B) was first obtained from eremophilone (66), the first structurally characterised terpene found to violate Ruzicka's isoprene rule, ${ }^{[172]}$ by reduction with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, ${ }^{[173]}$ and later from eremophil-9-en-11-ol (67) by dehydration $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-11.1, c 0.18, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{[174]}$ Compound 56 has also been obtained by synthesis from capsidiol, ${ }^{[175]}$ but was never isolat-
ed from Nature. Complete ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are available for 55 and 56. ${ }^{[170,175]}$
The sesquiterpene alcohol $4 \alpha \mathrm{H}$-eudesma-11-en- $4 \alpha$-ol (57), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+32.8\left(\mathrm{c} 0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, was isolated from Kleinia pendula and can arise by attack of water to $\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{a} .{ }^{[176]}$ Similarly, the addition of water to H 1 b leads to eremophil-11-en-10ß-ol (58), a compound that is known from Alpinia intermedia ( $[\alpha]_{D}=$ $\left.+29.2, c 0.12, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{[66]}$ For both alcohols 57 and 58 full ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were given. ${ }^{[66]}$
Hinesene (59) was first isolated from Rolandra fruticosa $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=-44, c 0.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{[177]}$ The absolute configuration was initially assigned based on the same sign of optical rotation than for hinesol and later confirmed by enantioselective synthesis from santonin. ${ }^{[178]}$ The compound is also known from an unspecified liverwort of the genus Frullania. ${ }^{[179]}$ Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data were provided. ${ }^{[177,178]}$

### 4.2. Rearranged eudesmanes from H2

Also rearranged eudesmanes from $\mathbf{H} 2$ constitute an important group of compounds (Scheme 20 A ), including ( + )-valencene (68), ( - )-aristolochene (70), valencene hydrate (71) and its C10 epimer 72, (-)-ishwarane (73), (-)-8,12-seco-ishwaran-12-ol (74) and ( - )-agarospirene (71). Compound 73 requires a third cyclisation from $\mathbf{H 2 b}$ to $\mathbf{H 2 c}$ and deprotonation with closure of a cyclopropane ring, while $\mathbf{7 4}$ can be explained by attack of water to H 2 c .
Valencene (68) was first isolated from orange oil ${ }^{[180]}$ and found to be related to nootkatone (69) by oxidative conversion, ${ }^{[181]}$ an important value adding transformation for which an artificial enzyme system has been developed. ${ }^{[182]}$ Compound 69 is a flavour constituent of citrus fruits and its structure had previously been established. ${ }^{[183]}$ The optical rotation of 68 was determined for the material obtained by dehydration of valerianol (76, Scheme 20B) with NaOAc in refluxing $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+73.4, \mathrm{c} 5.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{[184]} \mathrm{A}$ synthesis of (rac)-68 similar to the synthesis of (rac)-55 in Scheme 19A has been developed. ${ }^{[170]}$ The sesquiterpene 68 is a constituent of the essential oils from numerous plants, but has rarely been isolated. Bixa orellana is one of the few sources from which its isolation was mentioned, ${ }^{[185]}$ while it was obtained enriched together with 2 in a sesquiterpene hydrocarbon fraction from the liverwort Porella acutifolia. ${ }^{[186]}$ The combination of 2 and 68 also occurs in the octocoral Plexaurella fusifera, ${ }^{[168]}$ while 68 from bacteria is rare, but has been identified from Streptomyces sp. FORM5. ${ }^{[187]}$ Valencene synthases are known from Citrus sinensis, ${ }^{[188]}$ Vitis vinifera, ${ }^{[150,151]}$ and Callitropsis nootkatensis, ${ }^{[189]}$ in which it occurs together with a valencene oxidase for the biosynthesis of $69 .{ }^{[190]}$ Besides 68, the terpene synthases from V. vinifera were reported to produce (-)-7-epi-selinene (ent-23, Scheme 16) ${ }^{[150,151]}$ that must originate from H6. It would be easier to understand, if one of the two enzyme products would represent the opposite enantiomer than reported, so that both could arise through a common intermediate. In fact, the configurational assignment for 68 was based on a GC analysis using a chiral stationary phase, but without including a (-)-68 standard.
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Scheme 20. A) Biosynthesis of compounds from H2. B) Dehydration of 76 to 68.

Aristolochene (70, $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-76.47$ ) was first isolated from Ar istolochia indica. Its structure was elucidated by NMR spectroscopy and catalytic hydrogenation, yielding a mixture of (+)-nootkatane (77), also obtained by hydrogenation of 68, and its C 10 epimer 78 (Scheme 21 A ). ${ }^{[191]}$ The structural assignment was later confirmed by a synthesis of 70 from 68, that was first oxidised to 69 , followed by conversion into the dienol acetate 79 (Scheme 21 B). Deconjugation by reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave 80 that was defunctionalised with thiocarbonyldiimidazole and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ to yield 70. ${ }^{[192]}$ Furthermore, an enantioselective synthesis from (S)-carvone (81) has been developed (Scheme 21 C). After silylation to 82, a Robinson annelation with ethylvinyl ketone resulted in 83 . Its reduction with excess $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ to 84 was followed by epoxidation to 85 . Treatment with $\mathrm{TiF}_{4}$ resulted in epoxide opening with methyl group migration and cleavage of the trimethylsilyl cation to produce 86, that was defunctionalised in two more steps to 70. ${ }^{[193]}$ Compound 70 was also reported as a side product of valencene synthase from $V$. vinifera ${ }^{[150]}$ and as a headspace constituent from Streptomyces acidiscabies. ${ }^{[194]}$ Both compounds $(+)-68$ and ( - )-70 are present in extracts from the liverwort Dumortiera hirsuta with absolute configurations established in comparison to authentic standards by GC using a chiral sta-
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Scheme 21. A) Hydrogenation of 70. B) Synthesis of 70 from 69, and C) from (S)-carvone (81).
tionary phase. ${ }^{[153]}$ Full ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for $\mathbf{6 8}{ }^{[170]}$ and $\mathbf{7 0}{ }^{[193,195,196]}$ have been reported.

Valencene hydrate (71), arising from H2 b by attack of water, has been isolated from orange juice. For comparison this compound and its C10 epimer 72 were synthesised from 68 by epoxidation and epoxide opening with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. Unfortunately, no optical rotations were given, but full ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data are available. ${ }^{[197]}$
$(-)$-Ishwarane $\left(73,[\alpha]_{D}=-40.33\right)$ was first isolated from Aristolochia indica where it co-occurs with biosynthetically linked 70. ${ }^{[191]}$ The compound has been chemically correlated through $(+)$-ishwarone (87) that can be converted into 73 by WolffKishner reduction (Scheme 22). ${ }^{[191]}$ Compound 87 undergoes ring opening to (-)-isoishwarone (88) by treatment with acid. ${ }^{[198]}$ Its further conversion by acetalisation, hydroboration and oxidation leads to 89, that upon deacetalisation and retroaldol reaction results in 90 . Reduction through the bis-semicarbazone yields (+)-nootkatane (77), thus firmly establishing the absolute configuration of $73 .{ }^{[199]}$ Ishwarane was subsequently also found in many other plants, ${ }^{[185,200-204]}$ while 8,12-seco-ish-waran-12-ol $\left(74,[\alpha]_{D}=-165, c 0.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ has only once been reported from Litsea amara. ${ }^{[205]}$ Its absolute configuration has not been formally established, but was suggested to correspond to that of 73 . Full ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for 73 and 74 are available. ${ }^{[206,207]}$
(-)-Agarospirene (75) was first obtained by pyrolysis of the benzoate ester of agarospirol, a compound isolated from agar-
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Scheme 22. Chemical correlation of ishwarane (73) with nootkatane (77).
wood. ${ }^{[207]}$ Its structure has also been ascribed to a natural product isolated from the liverworts Scapania robusta and Scapania maxima, ${ }^{[208,209]}$ but a later synthesis of $75\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{22}=-11\right.$, c0.3) and its stereoisomers demonstrated that the natural product was identical to ( - )-hinesene (59). ${ }^{[178]}$ Complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for 75 were reported. ${ }^{[178]}$

### 4.3. Rearranged eudesmanes from H3

Natural rearranged eudesmanes from H3 are unknown. The only known compound is ( $4 S, 5 R, 7 R$ )-spirovetivadiene (91) that has been obtained by synthesis $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{22}=-3, c 0.6\right)$. Its hypothetical biosynthesis from H3 would require a 1,2-hydride shift to H 3 a , ring contraction to H 3 b and deprotonation (Scheme 23). Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are available. ${ }^{[178]}$


Scheme 23. Rearranged eudesmanes from H3: spirovetivadiene (91).

### 4.4. Rearranged eudesmanes from H 4

Known rearranged eudesmanes from intermediate H4 (Scheme 24) are represented by (-)-4-epi-eremophilene (92), $(+)$-5-epi-aristolochene (93), ( - )-premnaspirodiene (95, also named spirovetivene), (-)-spirolepechinene (96) and $4 \beta \mathrm{H}, 7 \alpha \mathrm{H}, 10 \beta$-eudesm-11-en- $4 \alpha$-ol (98). The unusual sesquiterpene 97 requires a ring contraction to $\mathbf{H 4 d}$ and deprotonation.


Scheme 24. Biosynthesis of rearranged eudesmanes from H4.

Both compounds $92\left([\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-22.7, c 0.17, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and 93 $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+8.13, c 0.16\right.$, hexane) were obtained by synthesis from capsidiol (94). ${ }^{[175,210]}$ Notably, 93 is also the biosynthetic precursor to $94{ }^{[211]}$ as was demonstrated by incubation of [1,1${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ]FPP with cell-free enzyme preparations from Nicotiana tabacum, yielding radioactively labelled 93 . Furthermore, ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labelled 93 was incorporated into 94 in feeding experiments with N. tabacum and Capsicum annuum. ${ }^{[212,213]}$ Subsequent work resulted in the purification of tobacco 5-epi-aristolochene synthase (TEAS), ${ }^{[214]}$ cloning of the genes from $N$. tabacum and C. annuum and expression in Escherichia coli, ${ }^{[215-217]}$ and determination of the first crystal structure of a plant terpene synthase. ${ }^{[218]}$ Based on this structure the active site residue Tyr520 was suggested to be responsible for reprotonation of the intermediate (-)-1. Consistent with this hypothesis, the Y520F enzyme variant gave $(-)-1$ as a single product. ${ }^{[219]}$ Also the $5-$ epi-aristolochene-1,3-dihydroxylase for the biosynthesis of 94 from 93 has been identified. ${ }^{[220]}$ For the biotechnological access to 93 the epi-aristolochene synthase gene has been heterologously expressed in E. coli, ${ }^{[221]}$ in Oryza sativa, ${ }^{[222]}$ and in yeast in which optimisation of the strain and the culture conditions resulted in a high titre production. ${ }^{[223]}$ A thermostable variant of EAS has been created. ${ }^{[224]}$
Along similar lines of research, 95 has first been isolated from Premna latifolia ${ }^{[225]}$ and subsequently from Lepechinia bullata $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-88, c 0.501, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ in which it co-occurs with 97 $\left([\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-32, c 0.125, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{[226]}$ The premnaspirodiene synthase (also known as vetispirodiene synthase) from Hyoscyamus muticus (HPS) has been characterised. ${ }^{[227,228]}$ Another sesquiterpene synthase (Tps32) from Solanum lycopersicum with $90 \%$ sequence identity to HPS was initially described as viridi-
florene synthase, ${ }^{[229]}$ but a later study showed that Tps32 is indeed active as premnospiradiene synthase. ${ }^{[230]}$ Compound 95 is the parent hydrocarbon of (-)-solavetivone (96), ${ }^{[231,232]}$ for which a premnaspirodiene oxygenase was reported. ${ }^{[233]}$

A detailed analysis of the product profiles of TEAS and HPS has led to the characterisation of several side products and demonstrated that TEAS produces minor amounts of 95, ${ }^{[234]}$ while HPS generates small quantities of 93 from FPP. ${ }^{[235]}$ Domain swapping experiments between TEAS and HPS resulted in enzyme variants making mixtures of 93 and 95 and allowed the identification of domains that conferred specificity for these two products. ${ }^{[236]}$ After the crystal structure of TEAS had become available, a systematic and rational approach targeting nine selected residues within and near the active site in all $2^{9}=512$ combinations for a functional interconversion between TEAS and HPS was surveyed. ${ }^{[237,238]}$ Finally, compound 98 has been isolated from orange juice. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data for $92,{ }^{[175]} \mathbf{9 3},{ }^{[210]} 95,{ }^{[178,226]} 97,{ }^{[226]}$ and $98{ }^{[197]}$ have been published.

### 4.5. Rearranged eudesmanes from H5

Only a few reports about rearranged eudesmanes from H5 from Nature are available (Scheme 25). Terpene synthases for ent-55 have been characterised from the myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=+131.7, c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{[239]}$ and the plant pathogenic fungus Fusarium fujikuroi. ${ }^{[240]}$ The cyclisation mechanism of (+)-eremophilene synthase from F. fujikuroi was studied by isotopic labelling experiments that showed selective deprotonation from C12 of FPP in the formation of the intermediate ( - )-1, allowed to follow the 1,2-hydride shift from H 5 to H 5 a , and demonstrated that the final deprotonation from H 5 b to ent-55 proceeds with loss of the same proton as incorporated in the cyclisation of ( - )-1 to H5 (Scheme 7). ${ }^{[240]} \mathrm{A}$ crystal structure of ent-55 ${ }^{[239]}$ and full NMR data assignments have been published. ${ }^{[239,240]}$ Only a synthetic study towards ent$56\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=+12.5, \mathrm{c} 2.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ is available. ${ }^{[241]}$


Scheme 25. Biosynthesis of rearranged eudesmanes from H5.

### 4.6. Rearranged eudesmanes from H6

Rearranged molecules from H6 (Scheme 26 A) are ( - )-valencene (ent-68) and (+)-aristolochene (ent-70) that has been isolated from Aspergillus terreus $\quad\left([\alpha]_{D}=+79.4, \quad\right.$ c 0.0176, hexane), ${ }^{[192,196]}$ and Penicillium roqueforti, in which it occurs to-


Scheme 26. A) Biosynthesis of rearranged eudesmanes from H6. B) Cyclisation of (R)-5,6-dihydro-FPP (100) to 101 by AT-AS. C) Proposed water-mediated proton transfer from $(S)-\mathbf{B}$ to $\mathbf{M}$ in the biosynthesis of ent-70.
gether with 2. ${ }^{[148,242,243]}$ The absolute configuration has been established by synthesis of $(-)$-70 from ( + )-valencene (68). ${ }^{[192]}$ $(+)$-Aristolochene synthase was first isolated from $P$. roqueforti (PR-AS) ${ }^{[244]}$ and is also present in A. terreus (AT-AS). ${ }^{[245]}$ Subsequent gene cloning and expression gave efficient access to the recombinant enzymes. ${ }^{[246,247]}$ A biphasic flow reactor system for the biocatalytic production of ent-70 has been developed. ${ }^{[248]}$

Notably, PR-AS produces a mixture of ent-70 as the main and ent-68 and ( - )-1 as side products, while AT-AS yields ent70 as a single product. ${ }^{[249,250]}$ Isotopic labelling experiments demonstrated that the cyclisation of FPP to ent-70 proceeds with inversion of configuration at C1 and the specific loss of a proton from C12. ${ }^{[245]}$ The E252Q variant of PR-AS yielded (-)germacrene A (1) as the only product. ${ }^{[250]}$ Further support of $(-)-1$ as an intermediate was obtained by the observed cyclisation of (R)-5,6-dihydro-FPP (100) to the germacrene A analogue 101 by AT-AS (Scheme 26B). ${ }^{[251]}$ Similar experiments have been carried out with fluorinated FPP analogues. ${ }^{[252,253]}$ On the other hand, instead of a true pathway intermediate, ( - )-1 could only be a shunt product. Allemann and co-workers have argued for this view, as (-)-1 was not accepted as a substrate by PR$A S,{ }^{[249]}$ and a computational study showed feasibility of a water-mediated direct proton transfer from (S)-B to $\mathbf{M}$ that could further cyclise to H6 (Scheme 26C). ${ }^{[254]}$ However, the
same workers later excluded this possibility experimentally, because the incorporation of deuterium from $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at C 1 of ent-70 proceeded with Re face attack. ${ }^{[255]}$ Based on the crystal structure of PR-AS the active site residue Tyr92 was suggested to serve as a general acid in the reprotonation of $(-)-1,{ }^{[256]}$ but also this hypothesis was disfavoured by site-directed mutagenesis. ${ }^{[250]}$ A more detailed picture was subsequently obtained by the crystal structure of AT-AS, providing evidence that the diphosphate anion is ideally positioned to act as a general acid and base relevant for i) the deprotonation of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{B}$, with the proton taken up by O 6 , and ii ) the reprotonation of the resulting (-)-1 with donation of a different proton from O 3 (this process may also be concerted with 1 as a highly transient species, Scheme 26D). ${ }^{[257]}$ The results of a site-directed mutagenesis suggest that the thus formed eudesmane cation H6 is stabilised by W334 of PR-AS or W308 of AT-AS. ${ }^{[258]}$ Cationic azaanalogues of H6 have been shown to efficiently inhibit catalysis by PR-AS. ${ }^{[259,260]}$

The sesquiterpene hydrocarbon ent-70 is the biosynthetic precursor to PR toxin (99), ${ }^{[261]}$ a potent mycotoxin that targets transcription and protein biosynthesis with a lethal dose of $\mathrm{LD}_{50}=5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ in mice, ${ }^{[262-264]}$ and a series of other oxidation products that are likely pathway intermediates. ${ }^{[265-269]}$ Surprisingly, despite the potential of mycotoxin biosynthesis $P$. roqueforti is traditionally used for the production of blue cheese, which is explainable by the rapid degradation of 99 under cheese fermentation conditions. ${ }^{[270]}$ Biosynthetic hypotheses linking these oxidised metabolites have been investigated by feeding of labelled precursors ${ }^{[148,269]}$ and discussed on the grounds of the biosynthetic gene cluster, ${ }^{[271-273]}$ but apart from the aristolochene synthase and the poorly characterised eremofortin C oxidase ${ }^{[274]}$ for the installation of the aldehyde function in 99 little is known about the enzymes involved in fungal toxin biosynthesis.

## 5. Guaianes

### 5.1. Guaianes formed by C4 protonation of germacrene $A$

Eight cationic intermediates can be formed from the enantiomers of 1 by protonation at C4 and ring closure (Scheme 27). These cations exhibit four stereogenic centres, leading to a maximum number of $2^{4}=16$ possible stereoisomers, but two of the stereogenic centres are not set independently, since the C4/C5 double bond in 1 is E-configured and the ring closure proceeds by anti addition, that is, Me15 and H5 must be arranged trans. Thus, only eight stereoisomers are relevant to this pathway, namely J1-J4 from (+)-1, and their enantiomers J5-J8 from (-)-1.

### 5.2. Guaianes formed from cations J1 and J2

Guaianes from cations J1 and J2 include $\delta$-guaiene (102) and pogostol (103, Scheme 28 A ). $\delta$-Guaiene is also named $\alpha$-bulnesene and can in principle be generated by the deprotonation of J1 or J2, while $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ derives from J2 by Si face attack of water. Compound 102 was first isolated from the patchouli oil



Scheme 27. Cyclisations induced by reprotonation of $\mathbf{1}$ at $\mathbf{C 4}$ to J1-J8.
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Scheme 28. A) Guaianes derived from J1 and J2, initially reported structures of pogostol (104) and pogostol methyl ether (105), and patchoulol (106). B) Synthesis of 102 from bulnesol (107).
of Pogostemon cablin and given its premier name $\delta$-guaiene in 1950. Initially, only the planar structure with insecure positioning of double bonds was determined, with a reported optical rotation close to zero of $[\alpha]_{D}=+0.32 .{ }^{[275]}$ Later, bulnesol (107) was chemically converted into 102 by pyrolysis of its acetate 108 (Scheme 28B), leading to a material with an $[\alpha]_{D}=0,{ }^{[276]}$ that was thus inconclusive for assigning the absolute configuration of 102 from the fully established structure of $106 .{ }^{[277,278]}$ Because 102 is accompanied by patchouli alcohol (106) in $P$. cablin, it was suggested that both compounds should have coinciding absolute configurations, but at this time for 106 still a wrong structure was assumed (vide infra). ${ }^{[276]}$ A subsequent stereoselective synthesis from $\alpha$-cyperone (16, Scheme 9) and comparison of the optical rotatory dispersion (o.r.d.) curves of
synthetic and natural 102 finally established its structure. ${ }^{[279,280]}$ Compound 102 is known from several other plants ${ }^{[281-284]}$ including Piper fimbriulatum, ${ }^{[285]}$ in which it occurs together with 2. In addition, 102 can be produced by cultured cells from Aquilaria crassna and Aquilaria sinensis, ${ }^{[286,287]}$ resulting in the discovery of the $\delta$-guaiene synthase from A. crassna. ${ }^{[288]}$ Compound 102 is also one of the main products of the $\alpha$-guaiene synthase from V. vinifera ${ }^{[289]}$ and a side product of the patchoulol synthase from P. cablin. ${ }^{[22,290]}$ The complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data of 102 are available. ${ }^{[286]}$

Pogostol (103) was first isolated from P. cablin $\left([\alpha]_{D}=-20.2\right.$, c 8.7). ${ }^{[291]}$ Since then, 103 was reported from various other plant sources ${ }^{[292-296]}$ and is known from the fungus Geniculosporium. ${ }^{[297]}$ A relative configuration was first assigned for pogostol O-methyl ether (105) from Artabotrys stenopetalus, ${ }^{[298]}$ followed by the assignment of the relative configuration of 104 for pogostol by Weyerstahl and co-workers. ${ }^{[293]}$ A subsequent synthesis of the reported structures 104 and 105 for pogostol and its methyl ether demonstrated that both assignments were erroneous. ${ }^{[299]}$ Amand et al. then gave a correction as 103. ${ }^{[295]}$ Although pogostol is long known and fairly widespread in Nature, the absolute configuration still remains to be determined. For unclear reasons the structure of ent-103 has been assigned to the CAS number of pogostol (21698-41-9), while in fact 103 may be more likely, because this corresponds to the main product 106 of the patchoulol synthase from $P$. cablin that also makes 103 as a side product. ${ }^{[22]}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data of 103 are reported in the literature. ${ }^{[292-295,297]}$

The sesquiterpene 1,4-diepi- $\gamma$-gurjunene (109, Scheme 29A) was isolated from the sponge Cymbastela hooperi $\left([\alpha]_{D}=\right.$ $\left.+34.6, c 0.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{[300]}$ The formation of this compound can be understood from J1 by two sequential 1,2-hydride shifts via $\mathbf{J 1 a}$ to J1b and deprotonation. Since the absolute configuration of 109 has not been determined, it may also be derived from intermediate J5. Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data have been provided for 109. ${ }^{[300]}$
$\alpha$-Guaiene (110, Scheme 29B) may instead arise from J2 by 1,2-hydride migration to $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{a}$ and deprotonation. It is the universal precursor leading under simple aerial oxidation conditions to many fragrant volatiles of industrial importance such as (R)- and (S)-rotundols (111 and 112) and rotundone (113) that exhibit a pleasant peppery or woody aroma. ${ }^{[301-303]}$ Compound $110\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{19}=-64.5\right.$, c 3.584, dioxane) was initially obtained by dehydration of guaiol (114, Scheme 29C). ${ }^{[304]}$ With the absolute configuration of 114 being specified, ${ }^{[305]}$ the full structure of compound 110 was also affirmed. Natural sources of 110 include several plant species ${ }^{[63,284,285,306-310]}$ and cell cultures from Aquilaria crassna and A. sinensis. ${ }^{[286,287]}$ A recombinant $\alpha$-guaiene synthase has been reported from $V$. vinifera, ${ }^{[289]}$ and 110 is also a side product of $\delta$-guaiene synthase from $A$. crassa ${ }^{[288]}$ and patchoulol synthase from P. cablin. ${ }^{[22,290]}$ The biosynthesis of 110 is also possible from K1 (Scheme 32, Section 5.5 ) by 1,2-hydride shift and deprotonation, but the co-occurrence with 102 in several species, ${ }^{[284-287,307,308]}$ whose formation can best be understood from J1 or J2, together with the observation of both compounds in the product profiles of several terpene synthases ${ }^{[22,288-290]}$ speaks in favour of a common
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Scheme 29. Biosynthesis of guaianes from A) J1 and B) J2. C) Chemical correlation of 110 with guaiol (114).
biosynthesis through J2. Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data of 110 are provided. ${ }^{[284,308]}$

### 5.3. Guaianes formed from cations J3 and J4

Guaianes from J3 and J4 include guaia-1(10),11-diene (115) that is accessible through both cations by deprotonation, and guaia-9,11-diene (116) obtainable by loss of a proton from J3 (Scheme 30 A ). Deprotonation of J4 can lead to guaia-10(14),11-diene (117), a compound for which we revise the structure here based on the reason given below, while the attack of water to J4 can give 4,5-diepi-pogostol (118). For 118 this discussion is hypothetical, because this compound was only obtained in racemic form by synthesis and is not known as natural product. ${ }^{[299]}$

The hydrocarbons (+)-115 and (+)-116 were both isolated only from the fruits of Peucedanum tauricum. ${ }^{[311]}$ Their co-occurrence in one organism suggests that they may have the same cationic precursor J3. The absolute configurations of 115 and 116 were specified by comparison of their hydrogenation products to those obtained from $(+)-\gamma$-gurjunene (120, Scheme 30 B ), ${ }^{[312]}$ leading to one common product (119a) from all three materials, as judged by GC analysis using two different chiral stationary phases.

Guaia-10(14),11-diene (117) is only known from Abies koreana. ${ }^{[121]}$ Its absolute configuration was elaborated using the same hydrogenation strategy as for 115 and 116 with chemical correlation to aciphyllene (122, Scheme 30C). At the stage of
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cheme 30. A) Structures of 115-118. Correlations through hydrogenation products B) of 115 and 116 to 120 and C) of revised 117 to aciphyllene (122, see text).
this work the structure of 123 with $7 S$ stereochemistry was assigned for aciphyllene ${ }^{[284]}$ which would have led to the hydrogenation products 119 f and $\mathbf{1 1 9 i}$, and therefore the structure of 121 was concluded for the natural product from A. koreana expected to give the hydrogenation products 119 f and $\mathbf{1 1 9} \mathrm{g}$. However, shortly after the structure of aciphyllene underwent a revision to (7R)-122. ${ }^{[313]}$ In conclusion, the truly obtained hydrogenation products from aciphyllene were 119 c and 119 h , with the consequence that the natural product from $A$. koreana must be revised herewith to 117 , expected to give 119 c and 119 d .
The synthetic compound 1-epi-aciphyllene (124) has been prepared from guaiol (114), ${ }^{[314]}$ but has not been discovered from Nature so far. Indeed, its biosynthesis is not easily understood, as its formation through the $\mathbf{K}$ series (Scheme 32, Secion 5.5) of cations cannot lead to a cis-orientation of H 1 and Me14. If $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ exists at all as a natural product, two sequential 1,2-hydride migrations from J4 to J4a and deprotonation
could explain its formation (Scheme 31). Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for 124 were reported, ${ }^{[34]}$ but unfortunately no optical rotation that would be useful for comparison in case of its future isolation.


Scheme 31. Hypothetical biosynthesis of 1-epi-aciphyllene (124).

### 5.4. Guaianes formed from cations J5-J8

Despite the fact that for 103 the absolute configuration has not been determined and this compound could in principle arise through J6, no guaianes from J5-J8 are known. The absolute configuration of 1,4 -diepi- $\gamma$-gurjunene (109) from C. hooperi would be most interesting to know, as sponges may produce the optical antipodes of plant compounds.

### 5.5. Guaianes formed by C10 protonation of germacrene A

Considering the discussion above, there are also only four logical cationic intermediates ( $\mathbf{K 1} \mathbf{- K 4}$ ) after the cyclisation from $(+)-1$ initiated by C10 protonation (Scheme 32). Likewise, ( - )-1 can produce four additional candidates (K5-K8).




(+)-1


Scheme 32. Cyclisations induced by reprotonation of $\mathbf{1}$ at C10 to K1-K8.

### 5.6. Guaianes formed from cations K1 and K2

A deprotonation from C5 of K1 or K2 provides aciphyllene (122), also named guaia-4,11-diene. Compound 122 was first
isolated from Lindera glauca in $1983\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=+153.0\right) .{ }^{[284]}$ Its structure was erroneously elucidated by Kubota et al. as that of 7-epi-aciphyllene (123) by chemical correlation with aciphyllic acid (125, Scheme 33), ${ }^{[284,315]}$ a compound that had been reported with $7 S$ configuration. ${ }^{[316]}$ The structure was later corrected to 122 by synthesis from (+)-dihydrocarvone (15). ${ }^{[313]}$ Whether this means that also 125 should be revised to have $7 R$ configuration or the material had undergone epimerisation at C7 during the transformations into 122 remains unclear at this stage. However, since Kubota and co-workers ${ }^{[315]}$ as well as Liu and $\mathrm{Yu}^{[317]}$ have reported different NMR data for "aciphyllic acid", in both cases with 7S configuration, at least one of these structures must be wrong. Thus it may be likely that the Japanese workers have indeed started their correlation of "aciphyllic acid" to 122 from a material with $7 R$ configuration. (+)-Aciphyllene (122) was later also found in Dumortiera hirusta, ${ }^{[153]}$ and with undetermined absolute configuration from the essential oil of Xylopia rubescens. ${ }^{[310]}$ It is also known as a side product of the recombinant patchoulol synthase from Pogostemon cablin, ${ }^{[290]}$ a multi-product terpene synthase for which all products retain the $(7 R)$ stereochemistry introduced in the intermediate $(+)-1$ and thus further supporting the structural reassignment for 122. Moreover, total syntheses from ( $R$ )-limonene by Srikrishna et al. ${ }^{[318]}$ and from guaiol (114) by Huang et al. ${ }^{[314]}$ were conducted. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ data of 122 have been published. ${ }^{[153,284]}$


Scheme 33. Chemical correlation of "ciphyllic acid" to 122 (corrected structure).

### 5.7. Guaianes formed from cations K3 and K4

One of the most important sesquiterpenes derived from the $\mathbf{K}$ series is (+)- $\gamma$-gurjunene (120). Its formation can be understood from K4 by 1,2-hydride shift to K4a and deprotonation (Scheme 34 A). This component was first discovered from the gurjun balsams of several species of Dipterocarpus $\left([\alpha]_{D}=\right.$ $\left.+147, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{[314,319]}$ Its absolute configuration was illuminated by correlation with $\alpha$-gurjunene (127) and guaiol (114, Scheme 34 B$).{ }^{[312]}$ While treatment of 127 with acid gave the isomerisation products ( + )-128 and 120 identical to natural $(+)-\gamma$-gurjunene, the isomerisation of 114 produced (-)-ent128. Compound 120 was also isolated from Persea gamblei. ${ }^{[320]}$ Complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data have been published. ${ }^{[300,319,321]}$

Compound (-)-ent-123 (Figure 2) is only known as a synthetic material $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=-13.2, c 0.35, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and could, as a hypothetical natural product, arise from K3 or K4 by deprotonation. It is wrongly presented in the synthesis paper that corrects the structure of $(+)$-aciphyllene (122) as the assigned structure of this natural product (123, Scheme 30), while it rep-


B)


Scheme 34. A) Biosynthesis of 120. B) Correlation of 120 with 127 and 114.

(-)-ent-123

(+)-123

(-)-ent-120

Figure 2. Structures of synthetic compounds ent-123, 123 and ent-120.
resents in fact its enantiomer. Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data are available. ${ }^{[313]}$

### 5.8. Guaianes formed from cations K5-K8

Natural products from the cations K5-K8 are unknown. Synthetic compounds (Figure 2) include ( + )-7-epi-aciphyllene (123) obtained from $(R)$-limonene $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{27}=+13.5, \quad c\right.$ 1.3, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),{ }^{[318]}$ and (-)- $\gamma$-gurjunene (ent-120) made accessible through an enantioselective Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction using an enantiopure phosphine catalyst $\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-121.1, ~ c 0.1\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{[322]}$ For both compounds full NMR data were provided. ${ }^{[318,322]}$

## 6. Cyclised and Rearranged Guaianes

Further cyclisations eventually with skeletal rearrangements are important for two groups of compounds originating from $\mathbf{J 1}$ and J3, while no examples from the other cations of the J series or from cations of the K series are known.

### 6.1. Compounds from J1

Compounds from J1 include patchouli alcohol (129), the patchoulenes 130-133 and seychellenes 134 and 135 (Scheme 35 A). The common biosynthesis of these compounds can be understood from J1 by a long range proton shift from C1 into the isopropenyl group to J1c, followed by cyclisation to J1 d (path a) and deprotonation to $\beta$-patchoulene (130) and
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Scheme 35. A) Biosynthesis of cyclised and rearranged guaianes from J1. B) Initially assigned structures for ( - )-patchouli alcohol (129a and 129b) and cycloseychellene (135a).
$\delta$-patchoulene (131). An alternative cyclisation from J1c to J1d (path b) and deprotonation yields $\alpha$-patchoulene (132) and $\gamma$-patchoulene (133). A Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement of $\mathbf{J 1}$ e to $\mathbf{J 1} \mathbf{f}$ gives access to patchouli alcohol (129) by attack of water, while a methyl group migration to J 1 g and deprotonation results in seychellene (134) or cycloseychellene (135). This pathway is in agreement with feeding experiments using radioactively labelled ( $4 R$ )- $\left[2-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}, 4-{ }^{-3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ mevalonic acid, ${ }^{[323,324]}$ and with deuterium incorporation from $\left(2^{2}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ FPP at C5 of 129 and several side products from patchoulol synthase, ${ }^{[22,290]}$ while a reported additional deuteration at C15 is difficult to understand.

Patchouli alcohol or patchoulol (-)-129 was first isolated as the main constituent from patchouli oil (P. cablin) in 1869. ${ }^{[325]}$ The oil is one of the most important industrial fragrances that is widely used in perfumery and cosmetics products. Its planar structure was described more than 80 years later as that of

129a (Scheme 35 B). ${ }^{[326]}$ A structural revision based on chemical transformations and a synthesis from ( + )-camphor through 132 resulted in the assignment of structure 129 b. ${ }^{[327-329]}$ However, a subsequent X-ray analysis of the chromic acid diester surprisingly led to the structure of $129,{ }^{[330]}$ suggesting that during the synthesis of this compound from 132 a similar skeletal rearrangement as in the biosynthesis must have taken place. A later synthesis from ( $R$ )-carvone (ent-38) resulted in $(-)-129\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-121.3, \text { c 2.3, } \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{[331]}$ Compound ( - )-129 was also isolated from plants of the genera Valeriana ${ }^{[332-334]}$ and Nardostachys ${ }^{[335,336]}$ The complete ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 129 are available. ${ }^{[290,333,337]}$
The patchoulenes 130-133 and seychellenes 134 and 135 have been reported to co-occur with 129 in several species, ${ }^{[307,332,334-336,338,339]}$ and also many of these compounds are observed as products of the patchoulol synthase, ${ }^{[22,290]}$ supporting their common biosynthesis through shared intermediates (Scheme 35 A ) and corresponding absolute configurations. Formally, the absolute configuration of $130\left([\alpha]_{D}^{30}=-42.6\right.$, c $10.51, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) was specified by chemical correlation with patchouli alcohol through acid treatment, at a time when 129 b was believed to be the correct structure of this sesquiterpene alcohol. Pyrolysis of patchoulyl acetate (135) yielded a mixture of 132 and 133, and dehydration with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ resulted in a mixture of mainly 132 with 130 and $133{ }^{[328]}$ A reinterpretation of the results from these experiments included a Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement (Scheme 36). ${ }^{[340]}$ Compound 131 was first obtained by the acid-catalysed transformation of $129^{[34]]}$ and later isolated from patchouli oill ${ }^{[342]}$ The complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 130 are available, ${ }^{[308]}$ while those of 131-133 are lacking.
Seychellene (134, Scheme 35 A ), $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-72\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right)^{[343]}$ was first found in patchouli oil ("hydrocarbon $\left.\mathrm{G}^{[ }\right)^{[307]}$ followed by structure elucidation through chemical degradation. ${ }^{[340,343]} \mathrm{A}$ total synthesis of $(-)$-134 from ( $R$ )-carvone (ent-81) confirmed its absolute configuration. ${ }^{[34]}$ Cycloseychellene (135) was reported to possess the structure of 135 a (Scheme 35 B) when it was first isolated from P. cablin in 1973. ${ }^{[339]}$ In 1981, Welch et al. synthesised $( \pm)-135$ a and found that the spectral and chromatographic properties of the synthetic hydrocarbon differed significantly from those of the natural product. ${ }^{[345]}$ A re-examination of the NMR spectra of cycloseychellene indicating that its
A) $\mathrm{H}^{+}$



Scheme 36. A) Acid promoted conversion of 129 into 130. B) Pyrolysis of patchoulyl acetate (135) to patchoulenes 132 and 133.
structure should be corrected to that of $135 .{ }^{[346]}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR data of 134 are available from the literature. ${ }^{[308,344]}$

### 6.2. Compounds from J3

The biosynthesis of rotundene (136), isorotundene (137) and cyperene (138) can be understood from J3 (Scheme 37 A). Its cyclisation to J3a (path a) and deprotonation yields 136 and 137, while a 1,2-hydride shift to J3b (path b) followed by a 1,5-proton shift to J 3 c , cyclisation to J 3 d and deprotonation result in 138. This common biosynthetic pathway nicely explains the co-occurrence of 136-138 in Cyperus rotundus. ${ }^{[347]}$ Compound $136\left([\alpha]_{D}=-16.3\right)$ was first reported from $C$. rotundus and C. scariosus, ${ }^{[348]}$ and later also from C. alopecuroides, ${ }^{[349]}$ but at this stage only with the planar structure. (-)-Isorotundene (137) was isolated from C. rotundus whose relative configuration was determined by NOESY. ${ }^{[347]}$ This allowed to demonstrate that 136 has the same skeleton by conversion into rotundol (139) through oxymercuration and dehydration with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (Scheme 37 B ). The absolute configuration of 136 , and thus also of 137, was determined by ozonolysis to 140, decar-


J3b
boxylation to a mixture of epimers 141 ab , Wittig methylenation to 142 ab and catalytic hydrogenation to 119 ab (Scheme 37 C ). One of these hydrocarbons was identical to 119 a obtained by hydrogenation of 120 (Scheme 30C). Complete ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data for 137 have been reported, ${ }^{[347]}$ but are lacking for 136.

The sesquiterpene $138\left([\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}=-20.0\right.$, neat), was first isolated from Cyperus rotundus. ${ }^{[350,351]}$ Its absolute configuration was resolved by the chemical correlation through its hydrogenation product that was identical to a material derived from 129 by dehydration with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ and hydrogenation. ${ }^{[352,353]}$ The (-)enantiomer of 138 was later isolated from several other plants. ${ }^{[177,349,354-367]}$ Full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR data in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ have been reported. ${ }^{[367,368]}$

## 7. Conclusions

Germacrene A shows a unique and interesting chemistry mainly characterised by its reactivity towards acid-catalysed cyclisations and its thermal lability in a Cope rearrangement to $\beta$-elemene. Similar observations have been made for other germacrenes, ${ }^{[369]}$ suggesting that the high ring strain associated with the 10 -membered ring in these systems may be a strong driving force for the observed reactions leading to much less strained compounds with 6-membered rings. The reactivity built up by the ring strain is also used in enzymatic reactions towards sesquiterpenes for which germacrene $A$ serves as an important intermediate. In enzyme reactions not only the formation of 6-6 bicyclic compounds, but also of 5-7 bicyclic derivatives can be achieved, and for both cases follow-up chemistry by skeletal rearrangements can further increase the structural variability. Subsequent steps include oxidative and other modifications after terpene cyclisation, leading to numerous derivatives for each compound presented in this review, which further underlines the central importance of germacrene A in sesquiterpene biosynthesis.
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