Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Evaluation of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw using the Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report database

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Ayaka Inada Keiko Hosohata Saki Oyama Iku Niinomi Yasuhiro Mori Yuki Yamaguchi Mayako Uchida Kazunori Iwanaga

Education and Research Center for Clinical Pharmacy, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-1094, Japan

Correspondence: Keiko Hosohata Education and Research Center for Clinical Pharmacy, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4-20-1 Nasahara, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-1094, Japan Tel +81 72 690 1271 Fax +81 72 690 1023 Email hosohata@gly.oups.ac.jp



Background: Bisphosphonates (BPs) and denosumab are widely used to treat osteoporosis and complications associated with bone metastases. However, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ) is a serious problem.

Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the frequency, outcome, and characteristics of patients with drug-induced MRONJ.

Methods: Retrospective pharmacovigilance disproportionality analysis was conducted using the Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report (JADER) database from the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. Adverse event reports submitted to JADER between 2004 and 2017 were analyzed, and the reporting odds ratio (ROR) was calculated.

Results: Among the BPs that cause MRONJ, zoledronate was the most common; therefore, we compared the characteristics of cases of MRONJ induced by zoledronate with those induced by denosumab. Among the 3,875 (68.1% women) cases of MRONJ, zoledronate-related MRONJ accounted for 1,283 (56.0% women) and denosumab-related MRONJ accounted for 322 (55.3% women). MRONJ was more frequent after 70 years of age regardless of the use of either zoledronate or denosumab; onset occurred after 1 year from the denosumab treatment, but it is unknown when onset occurred after zoledronate treatment. The outcomes for MRONJ were poor, with 406 reports on zoledronate (31.6%) and 152 reports on denosumab (47.2%) demonstrating nonrecovery. Zoledronate (ROR: 319.3, 95% CI: 296.0–344.4) had the highest ROR among BP agents. Denosumab had a high ROR (ROR: 155.2, 95% CI: 136.5–176.3). Zoledronate and denosumab were used in similar patient backgrounds, and their use resulted in a similar frequency of MRONJ.

Conclusion: The findings of this comprehensive evaluation of MRONJ using the JADER database will be helpful for prescribing medications to elderly patients.

Keywords: medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw, pharmacovigilance, spontaneous reporting system, reporting odds ratio, Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report database

Introduction

Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ) is a serious drug reaction. MRONJ is defined as an exposed jawbone or bone that persists for 8 or more weeks, and occurs in the absence of radiation or metastatic disease in the jaws and in the presence of current or previous treatment with a bone resorption inhibitor.¹ If the jawbone decomposes, infection may occur because bacteria inhabit the oral cavity, leading to symptoms such as jaw pain and swelling. Although MRONJ is rare (approximately 1%) among patients with cancer exposed to antiresorptive or antiangiogenic medications² and does not affect life prognosis, it remains an important issue, and the refractory symptoms significantly reduce the quality of life for patients.

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management 2019:15 59-64

Commercial use of this work, is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php
 and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported \v0.30) License (http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you
 hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission
 for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

59

Bisphosphonates (BPs) are known to cause MRONJ.^{3–5} BPs have been widely used to treat cancer patients with bone metastases and osteoporosis. BPs, which are analogs of pyrophosphoric acid and have a hydrolysable P-O-P bond, suppress bone resorption by inhibiting osteoclasts. Of note, BPs have a nitrogen side chain (N-BPs) in the P-C-P bond, which is replaced with the P-O-P bond, and exhibit much stronger inhibition of bone resorption than BPs without nitrogen side chains (non-N-BPs).⁶ BPs also have a greater affinity for bone than non-N-BPs, and are thus more likely to accumulate in bone. Therefore, the inhibition of bone resorption by alendronate with a nitrogen side chain is a 1,000-fold more potent, and that by zoledronate and minodronate, which have a cyclic structure, including two nitrogen side chains, is 10,000-fold more potent than the inhibition by etidronate.⁷

Denosumab was developed as a therapeutic human monoclonal antibody with a new mechanism of action that inhibits the receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand (RANKL) and has been used to treat bone complications. In Japan, use of denosumab was initiated in April 2012 for the treatment of bone complications secondary to multiple myeloma and bone metastases from solid tumors. Subsequently, additional indications for osteoporosis (June 2013) and osteoclastoma (June 2014) were approved.8 Denosumab inhibits bone resorption by osteoclasts similar to BPs, but differs from BPs in that it has a short half-life (approximately 1 month), and neither deposits in the bone nor remains stored in the bone matrix. Additionally, denosumab does not induce apoptosis in osteoclasts.9 Although BPs and denosumab have a different mechanism of action, they are targets for osteoclasts and are involved in the onset of MRONJ. As there is increasing concern that MRONJ is induced by BPs and denosumab, we conducted a comprehensive nationwide overview of BP- and denosumab-related MRONJ, and patient characteristics using the Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report (JADER) database, a spontaneous reporting database from the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA).

Methods

In this study, we used data from the public release of PMDA's JADER database, which is freely available and contains information on the adverse drug events and patients in Japan since April 1 2004. We used data from JADER to which adverse event reports were submitted between April 2004 and January 2017. The data structure of JADER consists of four data sets: patient demographic information (DEMO), drug information (DRUG), adverse events (REAC), and medical history. In REAC table, the Medical Dictionary for

Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) is used to codify adverse effects that are indicated as "Preferred Term (PT)."

After we removed duplicate data from each table, the DEMO table was then merged with the REAC and DRUG tables using the ID numbers. In each case, the contribution of the medication to adverse events was classified into three categories: "suspected medicine," "concomitant medicine," and "interaction." A "suspected medicine" is defined as a pharmaceutical product with which an adverse event is suspected to be associated. When the reporter suspects an interaction, he/she reports it as an "interaction." A "concomitant medicine" is defined as another pharmaceutical product used at the time of the adverse event.

We only extracted cases that were classified as "suspected medicine" and analyzed the reports of suspected drugs and adverse drug events, which we selected as "MRONJ" in the Preferred Term coded in MedDRA. We compiled a cross-tabulation table based on two classifications: the presence or absence of MRONJ and the presence or absence of the suspected medicine. Then, we calculated the reporting odds ratio (ROR). The ROR is rate of reporting a specific adverse event caused by a particular drug divided by the rate of the same adverse event caused by all other drugs present in the database. In addition, the ROR was frequently used with the spontaneous reporting database as an index of the relative risk for drug-related adverse events. A signal was considered to be present when the lower limit of the 95% CI of the ROR is >1.

In this database, age, height, and weight information are indicated in the form of age in decades, height in centimeterdenominated ranges, and weight in kilogram-denominated ranges. Because these data are not continuous variables, we could not conduct multiple analyses using them. All analyses were performed with JMP Pro 12 (SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA).

Results

As shown in Figure 1, a total of 5,195,890 reports were obtained after the combination of three tables using the ID numbers: DRUG (2,850,470 reports), REAC (709,826 reports), and DEMO (449,558 patients). Of those, we extracted all suspected drugs causing adverse events (1,984,122 reports), and 3,875 reports of MRONJ were analyzed. The annual number of reports during 2004–2016 is shown in Table 1. The number of reports of drug-induced MRONJ has been increasing. The number of deaths from MRONJ was extremely low, but nonrecovery with drug-induced MRONJ was common.



Figure I Flowchart of this study.

Abbreviations: DEMO, patient demographic information; DRUG, drug information; REAC, adverse events.

The drugs reported for MRONJ were predominantly antiresorptive agents such as BP agents and RANKL inhibitors. Among the BP agents (alendronate, ibandronate, etidronate, zoledronate, pamidronate, minodronate, and risedronate), the most commonly reported agent was zoledronate and the least common was etidronate (Table 2). Then, we focused on zoledronate and compared it with the new drug, denosumab. Characteristics of the patients with MRONJ induced by zoledronate and denosumab are shown in Table 3. Among the reports of zoledronate-related MRONJ (1,283 reports), 718 had a higher percentage of women (56.0%). The reasons for the use of zoledronate included bone metastases (65.8%), plasma cell myeloma (10.4%), and breast cancer (5.9%). More than 30% of the reports were on patients in their seventies. The timing of zoledronate-related MRONJ onset was mostly unknown (51.8%), but secondary after 1 year from the start of medication, and approximately 30% of the reports indicated no recovery. Similarly, among the reports of denosumab-related MRONJ, 178 had a higher percentage of women (55.3%). The reasons for the use of denosumab were bone metastases (50.9%), osteoporosis (12.4%), and off-label use (10.6%). More than 30% of the reports were on patients

in their seventies. As with BPs, MRONJ onset occurred after 1 year from the start of denosumab, and approximately half (152 reports, 47.2%) of the reports on denosumab-related MRONJ described nonrecovery.

The ROR of antiresorptive agents is shown in Table 4. As the lower limit of the 95% CI of the ROR was >1, all of the antiresorptive medicines were considered to have a signal. Zoledronate had the strongest signal (ROR: 319.3; 95% CI: 296.0–344.4) among the BPs. Denosumab also had a strong signal (ROR: 155.2; 95% CI: 136.5–176.3). In general, the use of anticancer drugs, angiogenesis inhibitors, or adrenocortical steroid hormones is known to be a risk factor for MRONJ. Therefore, we analyzed the association between BPs or denosumab and the use of anticancer drugs, angiogenesis inhibitors, or adrenocortical steroid hormones. However, no relationship between the onset of MRONJ and concomitant administration of these drugs was found.

Discussion

In this study, we comprehensively overviewed the occurrence and characteristics of patients with MRONJ induced by BPs and denosumab using the JADER database. The number of reports of MRONJ was 3,875 in 2016. For BP agents, zoledronate was found to be the most common medicine. Focusing on zoledronate among the BP agents, MRONJ occurred more often in women \geq 70 years old and after use for more than 1 year; no recovery was observed in more than 30% of the reports. Similar results were noted for denosumab. This is the first survey to provide important insights regarding postapproval knowledge for rare and adverse drug events such as MRONJ.

Zoledronate and denosumab have different mechanisms of action; therefore, we expected MRONJ not to occur in patients taking denosumab. However, denosumab-related MRONJ occurred at almost the same frequency as BP-agentrelated MRONJ. These results were consistent with Phase III trials¹⁰ and a report by the Food and Drug Administration's Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS).¹¹ In the FAERS,

 Table I Number of reports of MRONJ and its outcome between 2004 and 2016

| Variable | Year | | | | | | | | | | | Total | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | |
| Number of MRONJ induced by all drugs | 0 | 18 | 94 | 259 | 272 | 330 | 376 | 316 | 312 | 285 | 698 | 520 | 395 | 3,875 |
| Number of deaths with MRONJ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | Ι | 0 | 8 |
| Number of nonrecoveries form MRONI | 0 | 5 | 50 | 117 | 111 | 118 | 133 | 88 | 90 | 62 | 209 | 151 | 122 | 1,256 |

Abbreviation: MRONJ, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw.

 Table 2 Number of reports of MRONJ induced by antiresorptive agents between 2004 and 2016

| Variable | Year | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | |
| BP agents | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alendronate | 0 | 0 | 8 | 42 | 71 | 77 | 79 | 67 | 76 | 54 | 51 | 81 | 69 | 675 |
| Ibandronate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | I | 6 | 34 | 11 | 52 |
| Etidronate | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | I | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
| Zoledronate | 0 | 0 | 25 | 77 | 93 | 124 | 128 | 128 | 125 | 77 | 335 | 106 | 65 | 1,283 |
| Pamidronate | 0 | 8 | 35 | 62 | 42 | 21 | 28 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 14 | I | 7 | 262 |
| Minodronate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 10 | 27 | 22 | 31 | 115 |
| Risedronate | 0 | I | 4 | 12 | 21 | 18 | 27 | 25 | 35 | 20 | 15 | 40 | 39 | 257 |
| RANKL inhibitor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Denosumab | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 37 | 75 | 100 | 96 | 322 |

Abbreviations: BP, bisphosphonate; MRONJ, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand.

Table 3 Characteristics of the patients with MRONJ induced by zoledronate or denosumab

| Variable | Zoledronate, n (%) | Denosumab, n (%) | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Total | 1,283 | 322 | | |
| Sex | | | | |
| Men/women/unknown | 513 (40)/718 (56)/52 (4) | 142 (44.1)/178 (55.3)/2 (0.6) | | |
| Reasons for use | | | | |
| Bone metastases | 845 (65.8) | 164 (50.9) | | |
| Plasma cell myeloma | 133 (10.4) | 9 (2.8) | | |
| Breast cancer | 76 (5.9) | 8 (2.5) | | |
| Prostate cancer | 51 (4) | (3.4) | | |
| Bone lesion | 36 (2.8) | 6 (1.8) | | |
| Spinal metastases | 10 (0.8) | 0 (0) | | |
| Hypercalcemia | 9 (0.7) | 0 (0) | | |
| Renal cell carcinoma | 6 (0.5) | 0 (0) | | |
| Off-label use | 5 (0.4) | 34 (10.6) | | |
| Osteoporosis | 0 (0) | 40 (12.4) | | |
| Others/unknown | 35 (2.7)/77 (6) | 16 (5)/34 (10.6) | | |
| Age | | | | |
| Twenties | 2 (0.2) | I (0.3) | | |
| Thirties | 3 (0.2) | 0 (0) | | |
| Forties | 72 (5.6) | 5 (1.6) | | |
| Fifties | 183 (14.3) | 27 (8.4) | | |
| Sixties | 368 (28.7) | 76 (23.6) | | |
| Seventies | 407 (31.7) | 109 (33.8) | | |
| Eighties and over | 127 (9.9) | 62 (19.3) | | |
| Unknown | 121 (9.4) | 42 (13) | | |
| Period for treatment at the episode | | | | |
| 4 weeks | 8 (0.6) | 6 (1.9) | | |
| 12 weeks | 43 (3.4) | 15 (4.7) | | |
| 24 weeks | 64 (5) | 33 (10.2) | | |
| l year | 110 (8.6) | 74 (23) | | |
| After I year | 158 (12.3) | 92 (28.6) | | |
| After 2 years | (8.7) | 13 (4) | | |
| After 3 years | 39 (3) | 7 (2.2) | | |
| After 4 years | 16 (1.2) | 0 (0) | | |
| After 5 years | 18 (1.4) | 0 (0) | | |
| Unknown | 716 (55.8) | 82 (25.5) | | |
| Outcome | | | | |
| Remission | 230 (17.9) | 82 (25.5) | | |
| Recovery | 156 (12.2) | 36 (11.2) | | |
| No recovery | 406 (31.6) | 152 (47.2) | | |
| Death | 7 (0.6) | 0 (0) | | |
| After affects | 23 (1.8) | 13 (4) | | |
| Unknown | 461 (35.9) | 39 (12.1) | | |

Abbreviation: MRONJ, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw.

| | • • | • | 0 |
|-----------------------|-----|-------|-------------|
| Antiresorptive agents | N | ROR | 95% CI |
| BP agents | | | |
| Alendronate | 675 | 134.4 | 122.8-147.1 |
| Ibandronate | 52 | 51.9 | 38.9-69.1 |

Table 4 The RORs of MRONJ by antiresorptive agents

38.9-69.1 Etidronate 18 135.9 80.8-228.7 Zoledronate 1,283 319.3 296.0-344.4 Pamidronate 262 170.3 147.7-196.3 Minodronate 115 49.7 40.9-60.3 257 Risedronate 99.9 87.1-114.6 **RANKL** inhibitor Denosumab 322 155.2 136.5-176.3

Abbreviations: BP, bisphosphonate; MRONJ, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw; ROR, reporting odds ratio.

most MRONJ cases in the setting for prevention of skeletalrelated events occurred due to pamidronate and zoledronate. These results were consistent with ours, but the ROR was different. In the FAERS database, the ROR for denosumabrelated MRONJ was 13.8, whereas it was 155.2 in our study. This difference is partly because denosumab has an antibody preparation that may cause differences in the effects and frequency of adverse events according to individual or pathological conditions. Another reason for the difference is that the use of denosumab is limited in the US, whereas there is no such restriction in Japan.

Several studies have reported that the use of anticancer drugs, such as an angiogenesis inhibitors and adrenocortical steroid hormones, is also a risk factor for MRONJ.12-14 However, the angiogenesis inhibitor bevacizumab was found to be minimally associated with the onset of MRONJ.15 In our study, no concomitant drugs were used with denosumab or zoledronate in cases of MRONJ. Of note, MRONJ was not induced by zoledronate when it was used for benign bone disease, ie, osteoporosis, whereas 40/322 (12.4%) of the MRONJ cases induced by denosumab occurred when it was used for osteoporosis (Table 3). This is partly because the dose of zoledronate for malignant bone disease is usually 4 mg intravenous every 4 weeks compared with 5 mg every 12 months for osteoporosis; the dose of denosumab for malignant bone disease is 120 mg every 4 weeks compared with 60 mg every 6 months for osteoporosis. These risk factors should be confirmed in further epidemiological studies such as case-control or cohort studies.

In our study, the total number of cases of MRONJ induced by denosumab was less than that induced by zoledronate. However, it is possible that the number of cases of MRONJ due to denosumab will increase with the expanded use. In 2017, denosumab was approved for the treatment of bone erosion from rheumatoid arthritis.^{16,17} Furthermore, denosumab has greater preventive effects against skeletal-related events, but leads to almost the same frequency of MRONJ as zoledronate (2.0%, denosumab; 1.4%, zoledronate).¹⁸ Therefore, the number of reports of denosumab-related MRONJ may increase.

The occurrence of MRONJ is associated with specific medical and dental conditions and procedures, including dental procedures and conditions that increase the risk of bone trauma. Most commonly, MRONJ is associated with invasive bone procedures such as tooth extractions.¹⁹ Therefore, one of the most important prophylactic methods for MRONJ is keeping the oral cavity clean. As regular dental check-ups reduce the incidence of MRONJ,^{20,21} clinical staff need to explain this to patients in detail and closely coordinate with the dentist or oral surgeon. Further studies are needed to prevent MRONJ. Similar to reports on denosumab-related MRONJ,^{11,22} we noted a decline in MRONJ reports in 2015 and 2016. This finding is partly because several publications of case reports increased the awareness of health care providers regarding the risk for MRONJ. Saad et al¹⁰ stated that educating physicians about oral health before and during bone-targeted therapy may help reduce the MRONJ incidence and improve outcomes. Therefore, serious outcomes of MRONJ induced by BPs or denosumab should be improved in the near future.

Limitations

Analysis using JADER as a self-reporting database has several advantages as it covers numerous medications and a wide range of patients, reflects clinical circumstances, and can detect unknown or severe side effects. However, the present study using the JADER database needs to be interpreted in the context of its potential limitations. First, the ROR does not provide a robust indication of the signal strength. In spontaneous reporting systems, such as JADER, control populations are not included; therefore, the ROR is different from the "odds ratio" that is commonly used in epidemiological studies. In clinical terms, the ROR indicates an increased risk of adverse event reporting, and not the risk of adverse events. Second, as a consequence of it being a self-reporting database, there are underreported cases, typing errors, reported biases, and a lack of detailed clinical information. Finally, the association between patients and medications is unclear in this database. If several medications are administered together, it is difficult to identify the drug causing the adverse events. Therefore, careful attention must be paid to the interpretation of the results from JADER.^{23,24}

Conclusion

In conclusion, analysis of data from JADER suggests that the frequency of MRONJ due to BPs was higher than that due to

63

denosumab, but the characteristics of patient with MRONJ induced by denosumab were similar with those of patients with MRONJ induced by zoledronate. These findings can be used to update information used for prescriptions, especially for elderly patients.

Disclosure

K Hosohata received research support from the Science Research Promotion Fund. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Yoneda T, Hagino H, et al. Japanese Allied Committee on Osteonecrosis of the Jaw, et al. Erratum to: Antiresorptive agent-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: Position Paper 2017 of the Japanese Allied Committee on Osteonecrosis of the Jaw. *J Bone Miner Metab.* 2017;35(1):20.
- Dodson TB. The frequency of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw and its associated risk factors. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am. 2015;27(4):509–516.
- Khosla S, Burr D, Cauley J, et al. Bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: report of a task force of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2007;22(10):1479–1491.
- Marx RE. Pamidronate (Aredia) and zoledronate (Zometa) induced avascular necrosis of the jaws: a growing epidemic. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2003;61(9):1115–1117.
- Maxillofacial S, Advisory Task Force on Bisphosphonate-Related Ostenonecrosis of the Jaws, American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2007;65(3):369–376.
- Rogers MJ, Crockett JC, Coxon FP, Mönkkönen J. Biochemical and molecular mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates. *Bone*. 2011; 49(1):34–41.
- Endo Y, Kumamoto H, Nakamura M, et al. Underlying mechanisms and therapeutic strategies for bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ) *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2017;40(6):739–750.
- Chawla S, Henshaw R, Seeger L, et al. Safety and efficacy of denosumab for adults and skeletally mature adolescents with giant cell tumour of bone: interim analysis of an open-label, parallel-group, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013;14(9):901–908.
- Baron R, Ferrari S, Russell RG. Denosumab and bisphosphonates: different mechanisms of action and effects. *Bone*. 2011;48(4):677–692.
- Saad F, Brown JE, van Poznak C, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of osteonecrosis of the jaw: integrated analysis from three blinded active-controlled phase III trials in cancer patients with bone metastases. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23(5):1341–1347.

- Zhang X, Hamadeh IS, Song S, et al. Osteonecrosis of the jaw in the united states food and drug administration's adverse event reporting system (faers). *J Bone Miner Res.* 2016;31(2):336–340.
- Aragon-Ching JB, Ning YM, Chen CC, et al. Higher incidence of Osteonecrosis of the Jaw (ONJ) in patients with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer treated with anti-angiogenic agents. *Cancer Invest*. 2009;27(2):221–226.
- Vahtsevanos K, Kyrgidis A, Verrou E, et al. Longitudinal cohort study of risk factors in cancer patients of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(32):5356–5362.
- Sivolella S, Lumachi F, Stellini E, Favero L. Denosumab and antiangiogenetic drug-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: an uncommon but potentially severe disease. *Anticancer Res.* 2013;33(5):1793–1797.
- Guarneri V, Miles D, Robert N, et al. Bevacizumab and osteonecrosis of the jaw: incidence and association with bisphosphonate therapy in three large prospective trials in advanced breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010;122(1):181–188.
- Mochizuki T, Yano K, Ikari K, et al. Effects of denosumab treatment on bone mineral density and joint destruction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Bone Miner Metab.* 2018;36(4):431–438.
- Yue J, Griffith JF, Xiao F, et al. Repair of bone erosion in rheumatoid arthritis by denosumab: A high-resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography study. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2017;69(8):1156–1163.
- Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(35):5132–5139.
- Jeong HG, Hwang JJ, Lee JH, Kim YH, Na JY, Han SS. Risk factors of osteonecrosis of the jaw after tooth extraction in osteoporotic patients on oral bisphosphonates. *Imaging Sci Dent.* 2017;47(1):45–50.
- Dimopoulos MA, Kastritis E, Bamia C, et al. Reduction of osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) after implementation of preventive measures in patients with multiple myeloma treated with zoledronic acid. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20(1):117–120.
- Ripamonti CI, Maniezzo M, Campa T, et al. Decreased occurrence of osteonecrosis of the jaw after implementation of dental preventive measures in solid tumour patients with bone metastases treated with bisphosphonates. The experience of the National Cancer Institute of Milan. *Ann Oncol.* 2009;20(1):137–145.
- 22. Fusco V, Galassi C, Berruti A, et al. Decreasing frequency of osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer and myeloma patients treated with bisphosphonates: the experience of the oncology network of piedmont and aosta valley (north-Western Italy). *ISRN Oncol.* 2013;2013:672027.
- van Puijenbroek EP, Bate A, Leufkens HG, Lindquist M, Orre R, Egberts AC. A comparison of measures of disproportionality for signal detection in spontaneous reporting systems for adverse drug reactions. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2002;11(1):3–10.
- 24. Hatahira H, Abe J, Hane Y, et al. Drug-induced gingival hyperplasia: a retrospective study using spontaneous reporting system databases. *J Pharm Health Care Sci.* 2017;3:19.

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Publish your work in this journal

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies in all therapeutic areas, outcomes, safety, and programs for the effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, CAS,

EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/therapeutics-and-clinical-risk-management-journal

64

Dovepress