

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 6177

A hierarchical Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array, fabricated and used as a structured photocatalyst†

Fei Liu,^a Yuwei Wang,^a Xianggui Kong,^a Deqiang Lei,^b Fazhi Zhang^{*a} and Xiaodong Lei^{*a}

Recently, using sunlight as a driving force with transitional metal oxides as photocatalysts, due to their unique optical and catalytic properties for organic reactions, has been considered to be a promising strategy in synthetic chemistry. Here, a hierarchically structured photocatalyst, a NiFe mixed metal oxide coated Nb₂O₅ (denoted as Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO) rod array has been successfully fabricated using Nb foil as a substrate. The Nb₂O₅ rod array was synthesized by the oxidative etching of Nb metal on the surface of the a substrate. The coating NiFe-MMO was obtained by the calcination of a NiFe layered double hydroxide (NiFe-LDH) precursor via the *in situ* epitaxial growing technique. The Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array extended the photoresponse light region from ultraviolet light around 400 nm to visible light around 600 nm. With the well-designed architecture and highly dispersed NiO and Fe₂O₃, the as-prepared photocatalyst exhibited excellent activity and recyclability toward the reaction of aerobic coupling under relatively green conditions, with catalytic efficiency of 228 μmol cm⁻² (the area is that of the Ni foil substrate) at 30 °C for 5 h. The present work provides a new strategy for the exploration of excellent structured photocatalysts based on transition metal oxide materials for selective aerobic oxidation of benzylamine to imine.

Received 20th December 2018

Accepted 6th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra10432h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

In recent years, for reducing energy consumption, waste emission, and operating and purification steps, scientists have applied considerable effort to the direct synthesis of imines, especially through one-pot oxidative procedures.^{1,2} Among the various methods for the synthesis of imines, there are three approaches that have attracted wide attention because of the available starting materials, and green molecular oxygen or air serving as the oxidants:^{3,4} (1) cross-coupling of alcohols with amines, (2) self-coupling of primary amines and (3) the oxidative dehydrogenation of secondary amines. Based on these considerations, some transition and precious metal catalysts have been developed for amine oxidation, for example, Ru⁵ and Au⁶ nanoparticles loaded on the surface of Al₂O₃, CeO₂ (ref. 7) and graphite,⁸ respectively. Based on precious metals, these catalysts also need to be used at relatively high reaction temperature up to 373 K.⁹ In addition, some magnetic nanoparticles and multistage structured catalytic materials have been designed for solving environmental problems, based on their convenient for recycling. It has been reported that reduced graphene oxide supported CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticles can be

magnetically recycled and showed very good yields (88–95%) for amine to imine.¹⁰ Many researchers have focused on developing new low energy chemical manufacturing approaches, in which photocatalytic reactions is one of the promising method.^{11,12} Among the most promising photocatalysts, niobium pentoxide (Nb₂O₅) has excellent photochemical activity with the band gap of 390 nm (3.2 eV) that has been applied for the degradation of organic pollutant,^{13–15} water splitting to produce hydrogen^{16,17} and selective oxidation of benzylamine.⁹ However, due to the high recombination rate of photogenerated electron–hole pairs, the photocatalytic activity of Nb₂O₅ is rather limited.¹⁸ To improve the photocatalytic activity of Nb₂O₅, several strategies have been proposed to enhance the separation of charge carriers in Nb₂O₅, such as loading metals (Pt, Au and Cu),^{19,20} nonmetals (N and C)^{21,22} and metal oxides (NiO,²³ TiO₂ (ref. 24) and Fe₂O₃ (ref. 25)) as co-catalysts. We found especially from these reports that the photo-generated holes in semiconductor can be transferred to the NiO or Fe₂O₃ layer along the interface electric field under the light irradiation resulting a large amount of photogenerated holes are separated effectively and then prolonged the holes lifetime to participate in the photocatalytic oxidation reaction. It shows that the favorable hole-collecting process of NiO or Fe₂O₃ on the surface of semiconductor is the main factor being responsible for enhancing the photocatalytic efficiency.

Layered double hydroxides (LDHs) have received much attention, which are important layered anionic clays generally expressed by the formula [M_{1-x}²⁺M_x³⁺(OH)₂]^{x+}(Aⁿ⁻)_{x/n}·mH₂O, where M²⁺ and M³⁺ are divalent and trivalent metal cations and

^aState Key Laboratory of Chemical Resource Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China. E-mail: leixd@mail.buct.edu.cn; kongxg@mail.buct.edu.cn

^bDepartment of Neurosurgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra10432h



A^{n-} is the anion compensating for the positive charge of the hydroxide layers.^{26–32} The metal cations in LDHs are homogeneous dispersed in the layers and this merit also maintain when LDHs transform to mixed metal oxides (MMO).^{33–37} Among the MMOs with visible band absorbance property, NiFe-MMO has several advantages, it absorbs close to half of solar spectrum radiation, stable in most solution at pH > 3, the raw materials are abundant and inexpensive, and itself is non-toxic and environmentally benign. Recently, many researchers have discovered that the NiFe-MMO has excellent activity in photocatalysts, dye-sensitised solar cells, CO₂ adsorbents and electrocatalysts for overall water splitting.^{38–40} While metal oxides generally suffer from poor control over particle size, morphology as well as crystalline orientation, which restricts the efficiency of charge separation and photoconversion capability. Taking into account the fact that TiO₂, Nb₂O₅ and WO₃ nanocrystal can act as a good acceptor for photogenerated electrons, the hybridization of semiconductors with NiFe-MMO would provide an effective way to enhance the charge separation and photocatalytic efficiency.^{41–43}

In this work, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array was designed and fabricated as a hierarchically structured photocatalyst, which was used to catalyze the oxidation of amines to imines. The catalytic efficiency of the hierarchical Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array and NiFe-MMO powder was compared under the same conditions. Due to NiO and Fe₂O₃ homogeneous dispersed on the surface of Nb₂O₅ rod array, it was found that the catalyst has excellent photocatalytic activity and recyclability in solvent-free oxidative coupling of amines to imines.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Material

Nb foil (99%) was obtained from Alfa Aesar, ammonium fluoride [NH₄F, 99.9%], ammonium persulphate [(NH₄)₂S₂O₈, 98.5%], ammonium sulfate [(NH₄)₂SO₄, 99.0%], nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate [Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 97%], iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate [Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, 98%], and urea [CO(NH₂)₂] were purchased from Merck, ethanol (C₂H₅OH, 99.9%), acetonitrile (CH₃CN, 99.9%), and benzylamine (C₇H₉N, 99%) were purchased from Energy Chemical. All chemical reagents were all used as received.

2.2 Fabrication of Nb₂O₅ rod array

Nb₂O₅ rod array was synthesized using a similar method with previous report.⁴⁵ First, a piece of Nb foil (10 × 30 × 0.3 mm, 99.9%) ultrasonic cleaned by deionized water, ethanol and acetone, respectively. 0.52 g NH₄F (1.4 M) aqueous solution 10 mL and 0.57 g (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ (0.25 M) aqueous solution 10 mL were mixed under magnetic stirring. Then 0.4 g (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added into the above mixed solution and stirring for 10 min. The solution was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave. The cleaned Nb foil was put into the solution followed by sealing the autoclave. After heated at 180 °C for 24 h, the Nb foil was taken out, washed by the deionized water and dried in the air at 60 °C overnight. At last, the niobium pentoxide rods on the surface of Nb foil substrate were obtained.

2.3 Fabrication of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array

0.77 g nickel nitrate (0.066 M), 0.54 g ferric nitrate (0.033 M), 1.2 g urea (0.5 M), and 0.3 g ammonium fluoride (0.20 M) were dissolved in 40 mL deionized water to obtain a mixed solution. The as-synthesised Nb₂O₅ array (10 × 30 mm) was placed in the mixed solution and reacted in an autoclave at 100 °C for 10 h, then cooled to room temperature. The resulting Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array was washed with absolute ethanol and deionized water thoroughly and dried at 60 °C for 5 h.

2.4 Fabrication of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array

The prepared Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array was placed in a muffle furnace and heated to 400 °C with a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ and kept at the temperature for 3 h. At last, the Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array was obtained and used as photocatalyst for the selective aerobic oxidation of benzylamine to imine.

2.5 Fabrication of NiFe-MMO powder

For comparison, 0.77 g nickel nitrate (0.066 M), 0.54 g ferric nitrate (0.033 M), 1.2 g urea (0.5 M), and 0.3 g ammonium fluoride (0.20 M) were dissolved in 40 mL deionized water to obtain a mixed solution. Then the solution was transferred in an autoclave at 100 °C for 10 h, and then cooled to room temperature. The obtained NiFe-LDH was washed with absolute ethanol and deionized water thoroughly and dried at 60 °C for 5 h. The prepared NiFe-LDH powder was placed in a muffle furnace and heated to 400 °C with a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹ and kept at the temperature for 3 h. The NiFe-MMO powder was obtained and used as the comparison photocatalyst for the selective aerobic oxidation of benzylamine to imine.

2.6 Material characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the products were collected on a Rigaku XRD-6000 diffractometer using Cu K α radiation. The surface morphologies of structured photocatalyst were characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM; Zeiss SUPRA 55) with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, combined with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were used to characterize the microstructures of the products adopting a HITACHI HT7700 Exalens and a JEOL JEM-2010 HR-TEM. An ESCALAB 250 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a monochromatic 150 W Al K α source was employed for X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The passing energy was 30 eV, and charge was compensated by low energy electrons. Binding energies are reported relative to the C 1s line at 284.8 eV. Diffuse reflectance ultraviolet and visible (DRUV-vis) spectroscopy was performed with a Tsushima UV-3600 UV-vis spectrometer over the wavelength range 200 to 800 nm. Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was adopted to analyze the chemical components of the catalysts, the solutions prepared by dissolving the samples in dilute HNO₃ (1 : 1). ¹H NMR spectra were measured (CDCl₃) with a Bruker AV600 spectrometer at 400 MHz.

2.7 Measurements of photocatalytic activity

The catalytic reactions were performed in a double-neck quartz (25 mL) that was used as a photoreactor at the O₂ flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹ using a 300 W xenon lamp (Beijing ChangTuo Ltd.) as light source. The distance between the light source and the center of the reaction solution was approximately 10 cm. The real light power density at the position of the reactor is determined to be 126.8 mW cm⁻² ($\lambda > 300$ nm). The solution temperature was maintained at 30 °C with circulating water equipment. The initial benzylamine concentration and volume of acetonitrile (solvent) were 0.35 mmol and 10 mL, respectively. The structured catalyst was 1 × 3 cm² and the powder was 7.2 mg. The weight of powder catalyst was determined by ICP results that the loading of NiFe-MMO was approximately 2.4 mg cm⁻² on Nb foil. Therefore, 7.2 mg NiFe-MMO powder ensured the same condition with Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array. The products were determined by high quantitative analysis that were performed on a GC-MS equipment (Agilent 7890B-5977A, equipped with a DB-5MS capillary column). Before we switched on the lamp, the suspension containing one piece of catalyst was stirred for 30 min in the dark to attain the thermodynamic equilibrium. The reusability of the catalyst was determined using the same method repeated 5 run times. After each experimental run, the photocatalyst was washed with ethanol, and reused for the oxidation of fresh reactants.

3. Results and discussion

The fabrication of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array contains three simple steps as illustrated in Fig. 1. Firstly, Nb₂O₅ rod array was synthesized as precursor through a simple hydrothermal oxidative etching method by using (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ as oxidant. Subsequently, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array was fabricated by *in situ* hydrothermal growth of NiFe-LDH on the surface of Nb₂O₅ rods. At last, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array was obtained by calcined Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array. The niobium foil was etched to produce Nb₂O₅ rods.¹⁴ F⁻ was normally used to attack the niobium metal or niobium oxide precursor to form the fluoride containing intermediate compounds (NH₄)₂NbOF₅.^{46,47} Under hydrothermal condition, the (NH₄)₂NbOF₅ may transfer to more stable NH₄-NbOF₄ by releasing one NH₄F molecule. The addition of (NH₄)₂SO₄ can adjust the pH value on the Nb metal surface and further promote crystallization on the surface of Nb foil. The following chemical reactions may occur in the hydrothermal process:





Fig. 2 shows the morphology and structure of the rod arrays obtained at different stages of the fabrication. After reaction in an aqueous solution containing (NH₄)₂S₂O₈ and NH₄F, the dense and uniform rods perpendicular to the surface of Nb foil substrate was formed (Fig. 2a and d). It can be seen that the average diameter of the hexagonal prism Nb₂O₅ rods is about 3 μm, and the length is about 15 μm (Fig. 2a and d). The uniform Nb₂O₅ rods acted as backbone for the subsequent growth of NiFe-LDH sheets by hydrothermal method. As shown in Fig. 2b and e, the resulting Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array contains a regular high density of NiFe-LDH sheets, which have a thickness of ~1 μm. It can be clearly seen that during this process the Nb₂O₅ rods were coated with NiFe-LDH sheets (Fig. 2b). After calcination, as shown in Fig. 2c and f, the resulting Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array was obtained with the platelet-like NiFe-LDH precursor changed into particle-stacking NiFe-MMO film with the thickness of about 300 nm on the surface of Nb₂O₅ rods. The distribution of Nb, Ni, Fe and O was visualized by using the EDS mapping technique (Fig. 3a). As shown in Fig. 3a, Ni and Fe elements are uniformly localized around the rod, with Nb mainly distributed in the center of the rod. Meanwhile, the HRTEM image of the center of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod reveals typical regular lattice fringe with a *d* spacing of 0.385 nm (Fig. 3b), corresponding to the (001) plane of Nb₂O₅.³⁵




Fig. 2 SEM images of the samples: Nb₂O₅ rod array (a and d), Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array (b and e) and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array (c and f).




Fig. 1 Illustration of the synthesis process of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array.




Fig. 3 Nb, O, Ni and Fe elemental EDS mapping results of a Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod (a), the HRTEM of Nb₂O₅ rod (b).

Furthermore, the SAED pattern (inset of Fig. 3b) demonstrates the single crystalline structure of the Nb₂O₅.

From the XRD pattern, it is found that some sharp diffraction peaks superimposed on the pattern of Nb foil (Fig. 4). These peaks at about 22.6° and 46.6° that attributed to (001) and (002) planes of the hexagonal phase (H-Nb₂O₅), respectively.⁴⁵ Furthermore, the much stronger peak at 22.6° indicates the preferential growth of (001) plane. The result is consistent with the HRTEM results, conforming the formation of Nb₂O₅ on the surface of Nb foil. On the surface of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array, the diffraction peaks of NiFe-LDH, (003), (006), (009), (110) and (113), all appear in the XRD pattern (Fig. 4a). The chemical composition of NiFe-LDH was determined by ICP test, the molar ratio is about Ni : Fe = 1.6 : 1.0, and the loading of NiFe-MMO was approximately 2.4 mg cm⁻² on Ni foil. The lamellar NiFe-LDH was changed into particle-stacking mixed metal oxides after calcination. The XRD pattern of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array (Fig. 4a) confirmed the formation of a mixture of NiO and Fe₂O₃. The diffraction peaks of NiO appear at about 37°, 43°, 63°, that are attributed to (111), (200) and (220), respectively (JCPDS: 01-1239). The peaks at 14°, 35°, 41° are (004), (110) and (200) reflections of Fe₂O₃, respectively (JCPDS: 02-1165, see in Fig. 4b).

To investigate the chemical structure of the Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array in detail, XPS measurements were performed. As shown in Fig. 5a, the full XPS spectrum of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-




Fig. 4 The XRD patterns of Nb foil, Nb₂O₅ rod array, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array (a), enlarged XRD pattern of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array (b).




Fig. 5 The XPS full spectrum of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array (a), XPS spectra of Nb 3d (b), Ni 2p (c) and Fe 2p (d) for Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array.

MMO rod array confirms the existence of Nb, Ni, Fe, O and C (for calibration) elements in the material, in agreement with the EDS elemental mapping results. As shown in Fig. 5b, the peaks located at 207.1 and 209.9 eV, corresponding to Nb 3d^{5/2} and Nb 3d^{3/2}, respectively. The bond energies of the peaks are consistent with the reported Nb₂O₅.¹⁶ For Ni (2p), the spectrum (Fig. 5c) is split into Ni 2p^{3/2} (855.4 eV) and Ni 2p^{1/2} (873.0 eV) peaks with the accompaniment of a doublet satellite bands, indicating that the Ni was mainly present in Ni²⁺ oxidation state⁴¹ on the Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array. The Fe 2p (Fig. 5d) core level spectra display peaks at 725.1 and 711.2 eV, which correspond to Fe 2p^{3/2} and Fe 2p^{1/2} respectively. It was difficult to distinguish the Fe phases from the XPS spectra because the various iron oxides (*e.g.* FeO, Fe₂O₃, and Fe₃O₄) and oxyhydroxides (*e.g.* FeOOH) have similar Fe 2p core levels and peak shapes.^{41–43}

UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy was used to investigate the bandgap energy of Nb₂O₅, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod arrays, respectively (Fig. 6). The corresponding color change of the samples is displayed in the insets of Fig. 6a. Unlike the white color of Nb₂O₅, the color of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO gradually changed from pale-yellow to deep-yellow after calcination, which provides direct evidence that the phase changes extended the light absorption of sample into the visible light region. The Kubelka–Munk equation was used to calculate the bandgap of the as-fabricated materials (Fig. 6b). The optical absorption coefficient (α) is expected to vary with the photon energy ($h\nu$) in accordance with the relationship $(\alpha h\nu)^n = A(h\nu - E_g)$. Where A is a constant, E_g is the band gap energy and n is an exponent characteristic of the transition process.⁴⁴ For a directly allowed transition, $n = 2$. The band gap of Nb₂O₅ rod array is about 3.12 eV. Compared with Nb₂O₅ rod array, both the absorption of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH rod array (2.15 eV) and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array (2.10 eV) shows a red shift, that of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array is more obvious. Hence, the band gap of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array has decreased, this can be attributed to the coated of NiO and Fe₂O₃.⁴³

To compare the catalytic property of the as-prepared Nb₂O₅, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod arrays and NiFe-MMO powder, the oxidation of amines to imines under light irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm) was used as probe reaction, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Under 30 °C, the yields of *N*-





Fig. 6 (a) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (insets: the optical images of samples with different colors), (b) plots of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs. the energy of absorbed light for the Nb₂O₅, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-LDH and Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod arrays.

Table 1 Oxidative coupling of benzylamine to *N*-benzylidene-benzylamine over different catalysts. Reaction conditions: amine (0.5 mmol), catalyst ($1 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$ based on the Nb foil) or powder (7.2 mg), O_2 balloon (1 atm), 5 h. (Note: for comparison, according to the amount of NiFe-MMO powder loaded on the substrate, 2.4 mg of NiFe-MMO powder is considered to be 1 cm^2 catalyst.)



Entry	Catalyst	Yield ($\mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$)	Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)
1	Nb_2O_5 rod array	143	30
2	Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-LDH rod array	123	30
3	Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod array	228	30
4	NiFeMMO powder	44	30

benzylidene benzylamine over Nb_2O_5 , Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-LDH rod arrays and NiFe-MMO powder were 143, 123 and $44 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$, respectively. They are much lower than that over Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod array ($228 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$). It is believed the reasons are that all the samples have activity for the reaction but the photogenerated charge carriers recombined very fast on Nb_2O_5 rod array, the NiFe-LDH on Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-LDH rod array exhibits poor electrical conductivity. Obviously, it illustrated that the structured Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod array enhanced the catalytic efficiency, which is attributed to the fact that Nb_2O_5 rod coated with NiO and Fe_2O_3 delays the recombination of photo-generated charge carriers.

To further understand the difference of photocatalytic activity of the Nb_2O_5 , Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-LDH and Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod arrays, the comparative time dependent study of the ability of them for oxidize benzylamine to *N*-benzylidene benzylamine at $30 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ under light irradiation was provided, as shown in Fig. 7. Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod array exhibits a more potent photocatalytic performance than other materials, with $228 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$ of *N*-benzylidene benzylamine obtained for 5 h (Fig. 7). This is a large improvement of about 48% compared to




Fig. 7 The photocatalytic performance of Nb_2O_5 , Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-LDH and Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod arrays in the oxidation of benzylamine to *N*-benzylidene benzylamine.

Nb_2O_5 rod and 58% improvement over Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-LDH rod array. It is very clear that after the calcination of NiFe-LDH to obtain NiO and Fe_2O_3 in Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod array, the *N*-benzylidene benzylamine yield was greatly improved. The GC and MS data of the reaction product are shown in the Fig. S1 and S2,[†] respectively, confirming that the product is *N*-benzylidene benzylamine and the selectivity is 100%.

For investigate the stability and recyclability of Nb_2O_5 @NiFe MMO rod array, the catalyst was run up to five consecutive cycles under the same reaction condition for aerobic oxidative coupling of benzylamine. After each catalytic cycle, Nb_2O_5 @NiFe MMO rod array was recovered by the same washing and drying procedure that provided in the section of materials and methods. Fig. 8a shows that at the first run of the catalyst, the *N*-benzylidene benzylamine yield has $228 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$. In addition, the yield for the photocatalyst diminishes very little with each cycle. However, at the fifth cycle, it still has more than $200 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2}$ of *N*-benzylidene benzylamine yield. By comparing the XRD pattern (Fig. 8b) and SEM images of the catalyst before and after the fifth cycle, there is no obvious change, indicating the excellent stability and recyclability of it.

It is believed that the excellent photocatalysis activity, stability and recyclability of Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO rod array is due to the synergistic effects between the Lewis acid sites of Nb_2O_5 ,




Fig. 8 Recyclability plot: the yield of imine for recycled catalyst (a) and the XRD pattern of catalyst before it used for the reaction and after 5th run (b).












Fig. 9 The mechanism of aerobic oxidative coupling of benzylamine to imines over Nb_2O_5 @NiFe-MMO under visible-light irradiation.

Table 2 The influence of substituents on the benzene ring on the reaction rate. Reaction conditions: amine (0.5 mmol), catalyst (10 × 30 mm² based on Nb foil substrate), O₂ balloon (1 atm), 30 °C, 8 h

Reactant	Product	Yield (μmol cm ⁻²)	Temperature (°C)
		351	30
		329	30
		326	30
		321	30

which are the active centers for the oxidation benzylamine under the visible light irradiation.⁴⁸ And the hole-collecting effect of NiFe-MMO, which can significantly improve the separation efficiency of the photogenerated charges. The reactant were activated by both Nb₂O₅, Fe(III) and Ni(II) metal centers and transformed into oxygen and amine radical species which react to form H₂O₂ and benzylimine intermediates later. The *in situ* formed H₂O₂ may oxidize another molecule of benzylamine to imine intermediate and get converted into water which later hydrolyzes imine to aldehyde. The unstable benzylimine intermediate and aldehyde were transformed to *N*-benzylidene benzylamine,^{9,10} as shown in Fig. 9. The formation of free radical was also investigated by using 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl (TEMPO) as free radical trap which reduces benzylamine conversion up to about 3% after its addition. The decrease of this conversion confirms the free radical mechanism for this reaction. In order to understand the substituent influence on the reaction, the catalytic oxidation was conducted on derivative compounds with electron donation groups (–CH₃ and –OCH₃) and with the electron withdrawing group (–Cl). The results are summarized in Table 2. The substituents on the benzene ring appear to have only a slight influence on the reaction rate and a minor influence on the selectivity.^{49–51} Hence all these outstanding results indicate the wide applicability of Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array for such oxidation reactions with excellent activity and high recyclability.⁵² The catalytic performance for the aerobic oxidative coupling of amines over different metal oxides in some literatures is listed in Table S1.† Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array has higher yields compared to some other metal oxides listed.

4. Conclusions

Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array was synthesized on Nb foil *via* a facile three-step method which exhibited excellent photocatalytic activity towards self-coupling of amine to imines. The heterojunctions effectively improved the photogenerated electron and hole separation efficiency and presented a high

conversion rate and selectivity for the selective oxidation of benzylamine to imine under full spectrum light irradiation and the catalytic efficiency is also increased by 48% relative to the Nb₂O₅ catalyst. Hence, Nb₂O₅@NiFe-MMO rod array is a promising photocatalyst for the aerobic oxidative coupling of amines under sunlight, especially since it maintains a high level of activity after five recycles.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no: 2177060378, U1707603 and 21521005) and the Program for Changjiang Scholars, Innovative Research Teams in Universities (no. IRT1205).

References

- H. Tong, S. Ouyang, Y. Bi, N. Umezawa, M. Oshikiri and J. H. Ye, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 229.
- S. Linic, P. Christopher and D. B. Ingram, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 911.
- M. T. Schümperli, C. Hammond and I. Hermans, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 1108.
- B. Chen, L. Wang and S. Gao, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 5851.
- K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 1479.
- L. Aschwanden, T. Mallat, M. Maciejewski, F. Krumeich and A. Baiker, *ChemCatChem*, 2010, **2**, 666.
- L. Aschwanden, T. Mallat, F. Krumeich and A. Baiker, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2009, **309**, 57.
- M. H. So, Y. Liu, C. M. Ho and C. M. Che, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2009, **4**, 1551.
- S. Furukawa, Y. Ohno, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 1150.
- R. Dhandu and M. Kidwai, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 53430.

- 11 N. Zhang, X. Li, H. Ye, S. Chen, H. Ju, D. Liu, Y. Lin, D. Ye, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8928.
- 12 W. Zhao, C. Liu, L. Cao, X. Yin, H. Xu and B. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 22944.
- 13 W. Dong, F. Pan, Y. Wang, S. Xiao, K. Wu, G. Q. Xu and W. Chen, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **392**, 514.
- 14 F. Huang, H. Zhao, A. Yan, Z. Li, H. Liang, Q. Gao and Y. Qiang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **695**, 489.
- 15 X. Wang, G. Chen, C. Zhou, Y. Yu and G. Wang, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **2012**, 1742.
- 16 W. Zhao, W. Zhao, G. Zhu, T. Lin, F. Xu and F. Huang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 3888.
- 17 L. Li, J. Deng, R. Yu, J. Chen, Z. Wang and X. Xing, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 11894.
- 18 M. Qamar, M. Abdalwadoud, M. I. Ahmed, A. M. Azad, B. Merzougui, S. Bukola, Z. H. Yamani and M. N. Siddiqui, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 17954.
- 19 S. Furukawa, A. Tamura, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2011, **110**, 216.
- 20 Z. Tian, Q. Li, J. Hou, Y. Li and S. Ai, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **6**, 703.
- 21 J. Xue, R. Wang, Z. Zhang and S. Qiu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 16519.
- 22 H. Huang, C. Wang, J. Huang, X. Wang, Y. Du and P. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 7274.
- 23 L. Hou, S. Li, Y. Lin, D. Wang and T. Xie, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2016, **464**, 96.
- 24 S. Furukawa, T. Shishido, K. Teramura and T. Tanaka, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **2**, 175.
- 25 J. Liu, S. Yang, W. Wu, Q. Tian, S. Cui, Z. Dai, F. Ren and X. Xiao, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2015, **3**, 2975.
- 26 S. M. Xu, H. Yan and M. Wei, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 2017, **121**, 2683.
- 27 J. Kou, C. Lu, J. Wang, Y. Chen, Z. Xu and R. S. Varma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 1445.
- 28 C. Wang, B. Mab, S. Xua, D. Li, S. He, Y. Zhao, J. Han, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **32**, 463.
- 29 L. Yang, L. Xie, R. Ge, R. Kong, Z. Liu, Du Gu, A. M. Asiri, Y. Yao and Y. Luo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 19502.
- 30 L. Teruel, Y. Bouizi, P. Atienzar, V. Fornes and H. Garcia, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 154.
- 31 Q. Wang, H. H. Tay, Z. Zhong, J. Luo and A. Borgna, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 7526.
- 32 K. N. Dinh, P. Zheng, Z. Dai, Y. Zhang, R. Dangol, Y. Zheng, B. Li, Z. Yun and Q. Yan, *Small*, 2017, 1703257.
- 33 S. J. Kim, Y. Lee, D. Y. Lee, W. J. Lee and K. J. Kang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 4136.
- 34 H. Zhou, Y. Song, Y. Liu, H. Li, W. Li and Z. Chang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 14328.
- 35 M. Shao, F. Ning, J. Zhao, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 1071.
- 36 H. Qi, J. Wolfe, D. Fichou and Z. Chen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 30882.
- 37 S. Nayak, L. Mohapatra and K. Parida, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18622.
- 38 K. A. Shwarscstein, Y. Hu, G. D. Stucky and E. W. McFarland, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 1150.
- 39 H. Yen, Y. Seo, R. Guillet-Nicolas, S. Kaliaguine and F. Kleitz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 10473.
- 40 F. Rao, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, Q. Yu and Y. Yang, *Mater. Lett.*, 2018, **211**, 168.
- 41 A. Kumar and S. Bhattacharyya, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 41906.
- 42 M. W. Louie and A. T. Bell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 12329.
- 43 E. Nurlaela, T. Shinagawa, M. Qureshi, D. S. Dhawale and K. Takanebe, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 1713.
- 44 S. A. Ansari, M. M. Khan, S. Kalathil, A. Nisar, J. Lee and M. H. Cho, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 9238.
- 45 J. Wu and D. Xue, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 1966.
- 46 J. He, Y. Hu, Z. Wang, W. Lu, S. Yang, G. Wu, Y. Wang, S. Wang, H. Gu and J. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 8185.
- 47 Y. Zhao, C. Eley, J. Hu, J. S. Foord, L. Ye, H. He and S. C. Tsang, *Angew. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 3846.
- 48 D. Cui, L. Wang, K. Xu, L. Ren, L. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Du and W. Hao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 2193.
- 49 N. Gupta, A. Fukuoka and K. Nakajima, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 2430.
- 50 B. Chen, L. Wang, W. Dai, S. Shang, Y. Lv and S. Gao, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 2788.
- 51 S. Biswas, B. Dutta, K. Mullick, C. H. Kuo, A. S. Poyraz and S. L. Suib, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 4394.
- 52 Y. Zhang, L. Pei, Z. Zheng, Y. Yuan, T. Xie, J. Yang, S. Chen, J. Wang, E. R. Waclawik and H. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18045.