RESEARCH





Modelling the variation of land surface temperature as determinant of risk of heat-related health events

Yan Kestens^{1,2,3*}, Allan Brand⁴, Michel Fournier³, Sophie Goudreau³, Tom Kosatsky⁵, Matthew Maloley⁶, Audrey Smargiassi^{4,7,8}

Abstract

Background: The evaluation of exposure to ambient temperatures in epidemiological studies has generally been based on records from meteorological stations which may not adequately represent local temperature variability. Here we propose a spatially explicit model to estimate local exposure to temperatures of large populations under various meteorological conditions based on satellite and meteorological data.

Methods: A general linear model was used to estimate surface temperatures using 15 LANDSAT 5 and LANDSAT 7 images for Quebec Province, Canada between 1987 and 2002 and spanning the months of June to August. The images encompassed both rural and urban landscapes and predictors included: meteorological records of temperature and wind speed, distance to major water bodies, Normalized Differential Vegetation Index (NDVI), land cover (built and bare land, water, or vegetation), latitude, longitude, and week of the year.

Results: The model explained 77% of the variance in surface temperature, accounting for both temporal and spatial variations. The standard error of estimates was 1.42°C. Land cover and NDVI were strong predictors of surface temperature.

Conclusions: This study suggests that a statistical approach to estimating surface temperature incorporating both spatially explicit satellite data and time-varying meteorological data may be relevant to assessing exposure to heat during the warm season in the Quebec. By allowing the estimation of space- and time-specific surface temperatures, this model may also be used to assess the possible impacts of land use changes under various meteorological conditions. It can be applied to assess heat exposure within a large population and at relatively fine-grained scale. It may be used to evaluate the acute health effect of heat exposure over long time frames. The method proposed here could be replicated in other areas around the globe for which satellite data and meteorological data is available.

Background

Heat waves and urban heat islands have been associated with increased mortality, particularly among persons with social or physical vulnerability [1-3]. With an increasing proportion of the world population living in urbanized regions, aging vulnerable populations, and climate change signalling increased frequencies of extreme climatic events and heat waves [4,5], the need to better understand ambient temperature determinants and associated health risks is important. Whereas the effect of elevated temperatures on human health has been widely studied over recent decades [6], epidemiological studies analysing acute health risks associated with exposure to elevated ambient temperature have mostly relied on meteorological stations to estimate temperature exposure. Yet, because meteorological stations are often located in sparsely inhabited areas - such as airports and parks - they provide only a partial representation of ambient temperatures in heterogeneous urban, suburban and rural landscapes where populations live [7]. Yet,



© 2011 Kestens et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: yan.kestens@umontreal.ca

¹Département de médecine sociale et préventive, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

variations in vegetation densities, open spaces, concrete surfaces, building disposition and height condition lead to micro-climatic variations in diurnal and nocturnal temperatures [7-9] within a same city [10,11]. As such, ambient air temperature measured at meteorological stations or simple interpolations between temperatures measured at stations may offer misleading measures of true exposure to local heat experienced in residential settings and so may bias the estimation of associated health risks. In support of this, we have shown that the risk of death associated with high daily temperatures at meteorological stations, was greater in areas with higher surface temperatures than in areas with lower surface temperatures [3].

Because the existing network of ambient temperature sensors only provides information at a few selected locations, remotely sensed thermal infrared (TIR) data has been used to estimate intra-urban variations in ambient temperatures, and to identify "hot spots" referred to here as "micro-urban heat islands". Various satellite or airborne sensors including NOAA-AVHRR [12], MODIS [13] and LANDSAT [14], have been used to that purpose. Among these, the 60 m spatial resolution of LANDSAT 7ETM+ in the thermal IR band provides a useful resolution for analysing spatial variations in surface temperatures within cities, as well as along the urban-rural continuum.

Concordance between satellite-derived surface temperature estimates and ambient temperatures measured at meteorological stations can be strong in certain atmospheric conditions [15,16] (e.g. clear skies and mixing of any surface-based inversion layer [17]). This holds for various land cover configurations (accuracy to within ± 3-5% on average) [14,18,19]. Hence, surface temperatures derived from satellite images offer an interesting basis for establishing local measures of exposure to heat, and may be used in epidemiological studies of the association between exposure to temperatures and acute mortality or morbidity. However, satellite images are usually available for a limited set of dates, which prevent direct connection between daily vital statistics and satellite-derived land surface temperatures (LSTs). Furthermore, relations between meteorological measures and satellite-derived LSTs may differ depending on areas. Land-use regression techniques allow to model values of a spatially continuous phenomenon like air quality or surface temperature using local characteristics of the built environment. Early applications have modeled road salt contamination [20] or soil depths [21], but most applications concern the modelling of intra-urban variations in air pollution as a mean to improve precision in exposure for epidemiological models [22-24]. The aim of this paper is to develop a land-use regression of local surface temperatures using land cover, meteorological, and locational and temporal predictors, which can be used for both surveillance and for epidemiological analyses of acute heat-related population health outcomes over large territories.

Methods

Satellite Images

We used a total of 15 LANDSAT multispectral images. Eight LANDSAT 7ETM+ images, taken between 1999 and 2002, covered different but partially overlapping extents in the southern portion of Quebec Province, Canada (See Figure 1: extents correspond to areas of roughly 200 km × 200 km, for which images provide 30 m cell-sizes for non-IR channels, and 60 m for IR channels). The regions covered, as listed below, included the main inhabited and urban areas of the province of Quebec: Montreal, Quebec City, Rimouski, Sept-Îles, Sherbrooke, Saguenay, Gatineau, and Rouyn-Noranda, representing some 90.3% of the total province population according to the 2006 Census (See Table 1 and Figure 1). In addition to these images, seven LANDSAT 5TM images, taken between 1987 and 1999, and covering part of the extent 014-028 over the greater Montreal region, were also used (cell size of 60 m for non-IR channels, and 120 m for IR channels); this extent selection covered urban, rural and suburban areas. Given the number of images used for part of this extent, our model best predicts surface temperatures for these regions, even if it extends to other inhabited regions of Quebec. All images where taken during June, July and August, under clear sky conditions, and contained less than 10% cloud coverage. Further hand removal of cloud pixels was done to retain only pixels representing surface temperature. The LANDSAT 7ETM+ image covering the Montreal region overlapped partly with the LANDSAT 5TM images of Montreal, but each image was treated separately. Image locations, dates, and times are given in Table 1. Given the varying spatial resolution of the different maps, pixels for all channels were re-sampled to 30 m, so as to keep the highest resolution when available.

Surface temperature calculation

LANDSAT images were processed using the automated cloud cover assessment (ACCA) algorithm [25]. We retained images with less than 10% cloud coverage. Remaining cloud-contaminated pixels were further removed by a research assistant using a GIS before the analysis.

Spectral radiance (R) was calculated from the LAND-SAT thermal channel digital numbers (DN), using gain and bias values included in the LANDSAT image product according to:

$$R = G(DN) + B$$

where G (gain) and B (bias, or offset) are calibration values included in the LANDSAT image product. Radiance values of all pixels were then converted to surface temperature (T) values according to:

where K_1 is 607.76 for TM and 666.09 for ETM+ and K_2 is 1260.56 for TM and 1282.71 for ETM+ [14].

Predictors of calculated surface temperatures Land cover

Land cover types were determined using the maximum likelihood algorithm provided by PCI Geomatics, with a supervised classification scheme using all channels



$$LST = \frac{K_2}{\ln(\frac{K_1}{R} + 1)}$$

	Area	Extent	Date	Hour	Average surface temperature	Maximum surface temperature	Number of meteorological stations used
Landsat 5TM	Montreal	014-028	1987/06/17	10:00 am	19.33	55.26	2
	Montreal	014-028	1990/07/27	10:00 am	22.90	35.78	2
	Montreal	014-028	1994/08/07	10:00 am	20.24	56.29	4
	Montreal	014-028	1995/08/10	10:00 am	23.26	37.73	5
	Montreal	014-028	1996/07/11	10:00 am	18.58	31.39	5
	Montreal	014-028	1998/08/02	10:00 am	21.83	37.35	4
	Montreal	014-028	1999/07/20	10:00 am	22.03	41.94	5
Landsat 7ETM+	Montreal	014-028	2001/06/08	10:00 am	16.40	32.52	13
	Gatineau	015-028	2001/06/15	11:00 am	19.48	30.94	10
	Quebec	013-027	2002/06/20	10:00 am	16.57	31.20	10
	Rimouski	012-026	2002/06/29	10:00 am	16.61	27.71	9
	Rouyn-Noranda	019-026	2000/08/27	11:00 am	13.77	28.53	3
	Saguenay	014-026	1999/08/22	10:00 am	15.64	25.78	9
	Sherbrooke	013-028	2002/06/20	10:00 am	17.40	31.47	2
	Sept-Iles	011-025	2002/06/22	10:00 am	14.32	27.17	2

Table 1 Satellite image data summary¹

¹ Times are given using Eastern Standard Time.

except the thermal IR band (band 6). Three land cover categories were defined: built and bare land, vegetation, and water. Stable sites, such as the Olympic stadium and Botanical Gardens in Montreal, were used as reference points for land cover specification while controlling for inter-annual variability in images.

NDVI

The Normalized Differential Vegetation Index was calculated based on LANDSAT bands 3 (Red) and 4 (Near-Infrared) according to standard practice [26]. Using images taken between the first week of June and the last week of August allowed us to limit interseasonal variation in vegetation density and chlorophyll activity throughout the summer season.

Meteorological data

Meteorological data from all available Environment Canada stations [27] providing hourly data within each extent was simply averaged, to obtain image-specific measures of ambient temperature and wind speed at the time the images were taken as well as for the three-day average, that is, for the day the image was taken and the two previous days. This simple approach was used with the view of easily reproducing the method in future model use. Between two and fifteen meteorological stations with complete hourly data were available per image (see Table 1).

Complementary spatial and temporal measures

Because proximity to large water bodies is known to influence LST [28], the Euclidian distance to the nearest of three main water bodies in Quebec (St. Lawrence River, Saint-Jean Lake, and Abitibi Lake) was computed for each pixel. Latitude and longitude was derived within a projected Universal Transverse Mercator coordinate system (UTM, NAD83 zone 19N). A dummy variable relating to each image was further used to capture any possible inter-image variations not accounted for by the other predictors. Week number was used to account for temporal variation.

Statistical analyses and validation

We used a random subsample of 2% of the total number of pixels (n = 480,000 points) done to reduce the large number of total pixels of the 15 images in our analysis (n = 246,590,536). Within each map, the number of points sampled was proportional to the area. Using GIS, we computed LST and predictor data for each of the 480,000 sampled 30 m resolution pixels.

A general linear model (GLM) was developed to estimate land surface temperatures of the sampled pixels using land cover, NDVI, meteorological data and complementary spatial and temporal information described above (see Formula 1).

$$LST = M\beta_m + LC\beta_{lc} + XY\beta_{xy} + T\beta_t + E\beta_e + \varepsilon$$
(1)

With M being a matrix of meteorological indicators (air temperature, wind speed), LC a matrix of land cover indicators (land cover categories and NDVI), XY a matrix of latitude longitude indicators, and T a matrix of temporal indicators (Week number in our case), E a matrix of extent indicators, all Betas being vectors of corresponding regression coefficients, and epsilon the error term.

Inter-variable correlation was tested using Pearson's correlation coefficient, whereas spatial autocorrelation

(SA) of residuals was assessed using Moran's I to evaluate possible unexplained spatial variation in surface temperatures. The minimum distance considered for SA was 120 m, the size of the IR channel cell in LANDSAT 5. We calculated SA for each map separately and present the average for all maps. The predictive ability of the model was evaluated by applying the model to the whole dataset (n = 246,590,536) and computing the standard error of the estimate.

Results

From the 480,000 sampled points, 8 points with negative surface temperature value were removed and an additional 298 points were excluded due to edge effects on the maps or missing data in one or more other layers. The remaining 479,694 points were used to build the model. The surface temperature of the sampled points had a similar distribution to those of the complete dataset, with a slightly higher mean value, that is, 16.9°C $(SD = 2.97, Min = 6.2^{\circ}C, Max = 37.3^{\circ}C)$ compared to $16.6^{\circ}C$ (SD = 3.02, Min = 6.2°C, Max = 37.4°C) for the whole sample. Table 2 presents descriptive statistics of the explained and predictive variables for the subsample of points used to build the model with the 15 images. Land cover classification resulted in characterising 73.51% of pixels as vegetation, 22.87% as built or bare land, and 3.60% as water surface.

Inter-variable correlation tended to be relatively weak (r = 0.27, SD = 0.07). The highest correlation was found between week number and wind speed (r = 0.54). LST correlations between overlapping images ranged from 0.212 to 0.685.

The GLM explained a relative high proportion of the variation in surface temperature ($R^2 = 0.77$), and resulted in a relatively low residual standard error

(1.42°C; Table 3). Predicted temperatures ranged between 7.9 and 29.0°C.

Coefficients for model parameters are given in Table 3. All predictors were significant at the 0.0001 level. Overall, when comparing the entire data ranges of the predictors, it was found that NDVI had the strongest effects, with a 95% effect range of 9° C - or, in other words, 95% of the variation in NDVI explained up to 9° C variation in surface temperature. Land cover, week number, three-day average temperature, and our dummy map predictor also showed large effect ranges, with 95% effect ranges of 5° C. Other parameters tended to have smaller effects.

Model Analysis and Validation

Spatial autocorrelation among surface temperatures was high, and significant up to a 2 km range, beyond which SA decreased significantly. However, the Moran's I values for the model's residuals were significantly reduced, but remained significant in the 0-2 km range, suggesting additional environmental factors would need to be accounted for to fully explain variations in LST. As mentioned earlier, spatial autocorrelation does not influence our prediction of surface temperatures. A closer look at local spatial autocorrelation may be useful to raise new hypotheses identifying additional relevant environmental determinants.

Estimates of surface temperatures were comparable to observed LANDSAT-derived point data. Figure 2 shows the distribution of residuals of the point data. The predictive capabilities of the model was evaluated by applying the model to the whole dataset (n = 246,590,536) and comparing predicted to observed values. The mean difference between observed and predicted temperatures was $1.42^{\circ}C$ (Standard deviation = 2.67).

	Min	Q1	Median	Q3	Мах	Pixel level (P, n = 479694), or image level (l, n = 15)
Surface T°	6.2	15.08	16.29	18.37	37.35	P
Ambient T°	10.85	17.27	19	20.2	31	I
3-day mean T°	14.71	15	16.86	17.92	23	I
Wind (km/h)	0.8	6.2	7.33	10.88	15	I
Normalised Differential Vegetation Index	-0.83	0.21	0.38	0.5	0.82	P
Week No.	22	24	24	31	35	I
Distance to Water (m)	0	10642	36192	66104	148202	P
X (km)	-852.28	-392.48	-257.66	-140.72	241.12	P
Y (km)	0.00	233.74	340.15	576.88	804.90	P
	Water	Built/Bare	Vegetation			
Land Cover (proportion of land use per category)	3.60%	22.87%	73.51%			P

 Table 2 Descriptive summary of surface temperatures, meteorological data, and time and location information for the

 15 images covering parts of Quebec Province

		Coefficient	St. Error	t
Parameters	Intercept	0,53	0.81	6.59
	Ambient T°	-0.061	0.04	-17.19
	3-day mean T°	0.557	0.04	148.14
	Wind (km/h)	-0.075	0.01	-52.10
	Built and Bare Land	5.884	0.13	45.58
	Vegetation	5.542	0.14	40.44
	NDVI	-5.541	0.13	-42.62
	Week No.	0.324	0.02	145.29
	Distance to Water (1000 km)	-0.011	0.001	-148.45
	X (1000 km)	-0.08	0.004	-187.14
	Y (1000 km)	-0.27	0.044	-62.27
Extents	Outer Montreal	-1.05	0.02	-66.13
	Gatineau	-2.09	0.03	-67.44
	Quebec	-0.29	0.02	-12.65
	Rimouski	-0.24	0.02	-9.69
	Rouyn-Noranda	-7.58	0.04	-188.83
	Saguenay	-5.32	0.02	-250.24
	Sherbrooke	-0.43	0.02	-21.44
	Sept-Iles	-3.40	0.03	-102.92
Number of observations: 479694	Adjusted R-Square: .77	SE: 1.42°		

Table 3 Parameter coefficients of multiple regression model predicting Land Surface Temperature within 15 Landat Images in populated areas of Quebec Province between June and August, 1987-2002

NB: All variables significant at p < 0.0001.

Discussion

This paper presents a statistical model of surface temperatures computed with some 15 satellite images taken during the 1987-2002 period covering the main inhabited areas of Quebec Province. Using basic meteorological, land cover, and time predictors, this model allows local estimation of surface temperatures in the most populated areas of Quebec for the three warmest months of the year. Such a model is particularly useful because existing observed ground temperatures are only available for specific locations - that is, where



meteorological stations are installed - and satellite derived temperature readings are only available for specific dates. In the context of land use and climate change, such a model provides local estimates of surface temperature that can be used in epidemiological studies on acute heat-related health outcomes, and as a planning and prevention tool.

As expected, meteorological indicators of air temperature, especially the three day average, were strong predictors of LST. Also consistent with the literature, land cover predictors (i.e. NDVI and land cover categories) were significantly and strongly associated with surface temperatures [29-31]. The cooling impact of NDVI has been well documented [29,30], and variations in LSTs within urban areas have been linked to the spatial configuration of land use and land cover [30,31].

Application of surface temperature estimates to epidemiological models of heat-related health outcomes has often been limited by the availability and the relatively low resolution of surface temperature maps that cover large areas. The use of a spatio-temporal model is therefore advantageous as it allows matching between high spatial and temporal resolutions of temperature estimates and at-risk populations or health events. By providing surface temperature estimates for any location and time, it overcomes the potential temporal and spatial discrepancy between either available images or available meteorological data and health outcome events. Meteorological data has usually been used as a proxy of heat conditions across a large region, with temperature from such stations either considered uniform or simply interpolated across an extent [7]. Because our model explicitly integrates spatial terms, like land-cover and greenness, micro-variations in temperatures - that is, differences at the urban lot size scale - can be explored. The use of a relatively large set of images - covering most of the inhabited areas of the Quebec province, including summer's intra-seasonal variations - allows for the creation of calibrated models across the province. These models are representative of June to August summer days, but were not specifically calibrated for extreme heat event conditions. Further work is needed to assess the robustness of this model for prediction of surface temperatures under extreme heat events.

There are other limitations inherent to both the model and to the use of satellite imagery for our capacity to predict LSTs.First, our outcome measure, LST, can be calculated in different ways, and may for example account for emissivity or other parameters. Here we used the simplest but classic and validated formulae for LST determination [14]. The effect of downscaling to a resolution of 30 m on the association between LST estimates and health outcomes deserves further attention. The model itself can be improved by refining or better describing physiographic features of the landscape. For instance, elevation or orientation was not considered in our model, although the use of such measures for surface temperature modelling is relatively common [32]. However, studies using satellite imagery to examine surface temperatures have not explicitly looked at the relation with elevation or orientation [29,33]. One of the limitations to such data integration relates to the resolution of available digital elevation models (DEM), especially when covering such large areas. We only had access to a coarse Canadian-wide DEM providing a 100point per latitude/longitude elevation matrix (10 points of latitude * 10 points in longitude). Use of more finegrained DEM may improve the performance of our model.

The land cover classes used were also relatively general. Although coarse classification does limit our capacity to assess micro-level variations in LST, because local structural differences within land cover classes are not accounted for, the use of broad categories does reduce the risk of misclassification. It has been documented that areas of urban-rural transition are especially prone to such classification errors [34], and the potential benefit of increasing classification, is still unclear. Yet, interesting novel methods suggest possible increased precision in land cover classification based on TM images, with possibility to discriminate residential from commercial and industrial land, account for density, and specifically identify urban green space [35].

The fact that significant residual spatial autocorrelation remained in our models, suggests that further addition of spatial predictors would be required to improve the predictive capacity of the model and limit coefficient bias. As an example, integrating wind directions may be useful to account for the cooling effect of water bodies in downwind areas [28]. Alternatively, explicit spatial modelling strategies, like inclusion of autoregressive terms or use of Geographically Weighted Regression models for example, could be used. "When obtaining point predictions, it matters little if we model the spatial variation entirely through the covariates, entirely as small-scale variations characterized by the semivariogram or sigma, or through some combination of covariates and residual autocorrelation. Our choice of covariates mainly affects the interpretation of our model and the magnitude of the prediction standard errors" [36].

While our model does predict outdoor surface temperatures, heat exposure that may be relevant for epidemiological models would need to account for both outdoor and indoor temperatures. As such, remotely sensed surface temperatures provide only a fraction of true exposure, and complementary analysis using building characteristics may be used to further provide estimates of indoor exposure to heat. Previous work on the subject revealed a relatively linear relation between indoor and outdoor surface temperatures, with a positive influence of building height [37], for dwellings that were not equipped with air conditioning.

Limitations in the use of satellite imagery for establishing models of land surface temperatures are also inherent to the absence of precise surface geometry information. Surface geometry of buildings can induce strong micro-urban temperature patterns due to differential solar heating [38-41]. An associated directional bias is discussed by Nichol [39], where near-nadir viewangle of satellite sensors may yield temperatures that are warmer or cooler than off-nadir views, depending on the view direction relative to solar position, time of day, and surface characteristics of the environment such as building disposition and height. While the effect of surface geometry on temperature was not considered in our study, its effects can be pronounced and could be considered in future developments. Lagouarde et al. [40] have reported temperature differences upwards of 12°C between nadir and off-nadir temperatures in Marseille, France, while Voogt and Oke [41] found a maximum difference of 10°C in Vancouver, Canada. The midmorning LANDSAT images used in our study may be prone to this bias as rooftops heat up much faster than shaded walls do, because the low angle of morning sunlight offers potential for sizeable variation between nadir and off-nadir temperatures [39].

Additionally, our model best predicts surface temperatures for the years for which the images used. As with other satellite based studies, the availability of adequate images constrains the utility of our model. Although sensors that produce NDVI and land cover (such as IKONOS, QuickBird, SPOT, or MODIS) are still widely available, there are currently few sensors with sufficient resolution Thermal IR capabilities for detailed micro-urban temperature mapping. Both LANDSAT 5 and LANDSAT 7 satellites are well past their mission's expectancy and the next satellite in the LANDSAT series, the LANDSAT Data Continuity Mission (LDCM), scheduled to launch in December 2012, is not yet expected to include a thermal IR imager [42].

Finally, precise air temperature estimates are only useful if corresponding health events can be mapped accordingly. Precise information on people's exposure locations are required to conduct such analyses. Information on peoples' main locations may further improve our capacity to unravel the effect of environmental dimensions on health [43].

Conclusions

This type of land-use regression model optimises the spatial and temporal estimation of LSTs. Results from Smargiassi et al. [3] suggested that the risks of mortality associated to high temperatures is higher within microurban heat islands, over Montreal city. Another study held in Philadelphia looking at a heat event in July 1993 demonstrated the significance of remote-sensing land surface temperatures readings in predicting mortality, beyond socio-demographic information [44]. This model will provide estimates of exposure to heat islands within the inhabited regions of the province of Quebec, and improve our ability to explore environmental determinants of heat-related health events. Use of such models allows identifying features associated with heat that are amenable to change, like NDVI or land use. Using epidemiological models, cities may identify high-risk areas with low vegetation indexes, and implement policies to change the built environment. Furthermore, because it is possible to cover large and diversified territories, such an approach allows studying health risks in a variety of landscapes and urban configurations. With satellite, land use and meteorological data available in most populated settings, such models could be calibrated elsewhere to improve our understanding of the relation between heat events, land use, and acute heat-related health outcomes.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Benoît Thierry for the image processing and Janet Shim for help in drafting the article. This project was financially supported by the Quebec Government Fonds vert of the Action 21 of the Plan d'action 2006-2012 sur les changements climatiques (PACC) and by Health Canada.

Author details

¹Département de médecine sociale et préventive, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada. ²Centre de recherche du Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal (CRCHUM), Montreal, Canada. ³Direction de santé publique de Montréal, Montreal, Canada. ⁴Institut National de Santé Publique du Québec, Montreal, Canada. ⁵BC Center for Disease Control, Vancouver, Canada. ⁶Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Canada. ⁷Département de santé environnementale et de santé au travail, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada. ⁸Chaire sur la pollution de l'air, les changements climatiques et la santé, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada.

Authors' contributions

YK finalised the manuscript and participated in the design of the study, the modelling, and interpretation of results. AB drafted the manuscript and performed certain statistical analyses. MF performed statistical analyses and helped interpret results. SG compiled geographic data and assisted in the modelling. TK and MM assisted in the design of the study and reviewed the manuscript. AS conceived the study, participated in its design and coordination. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 16 September 2010 Accepted: 21 January 2011 Published: 21 January 2011

References

- Kovats RS, Hajat S: Heat stress and public health: A critical review. Annual Review of Public Health 2008, 29:41-55.
- Hajat S, O'Connor M, Kosatsky T: Health effects of hot weather: from awareness of risk factors to effective health protection. *The Lancet* 2010, 375:856-863.
- Smargiassi A, Goldberg MS, Plante C, Fournier M, Baudouin Y, Kosatsky T: Variation of daily warm season mortality as a function of micro-urban heat islands. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2009, 63:659-664.
- Meehl GA, Tebaldi C: More Intense, More Frequent, and Longer Lasting Heat Waves in the 21st Century. Science 2004, 305:994-997.
- Wilby RL: Constructing climate change scenarios of urban heat island intensity and air quality. Environment and Planning B-Planning & Design 2008, 35:902-919.
- Basu R, Samet JM: Relation between Elevated Ambient Temperature and Mortality: A Review of the Epidemiologic Evidence. *Epidemiol Rev* 2002, 24:190-202.
- de'Donato F, Stafoggia M, Rognoni M, Poncino S, Caranci N, Bisanti L, Demaria M, Forastiere F, Michelozzi P, Pelosini R, Perucci C: Airport and city-centre temperatures in the evaluation of the association between heat and mortality. International Journal of Biometeorology 2008, 52:301-310.
- Stathopoulou M, Cartalis C: Downscaling AVHRR land surface temperatures for improved surface urban heat island intensity estimation. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2009, 113:2592-2605.
- Voogt JA, Oke TR: Thermal remote sensing of urban climates. Remote Sensing of Environment 2003, 86:370-384.
- Harlan SL, Brazel AJ, Prashad L, Stefanov WL, Larsen L: Neighborhood microclimates and vulnerability to heat stress. Social Science & Medicine 2006, 63:2847-2863.
- 11. Buyantuyev A, Wu JG: Urban heat islands and landscape heterogeneity: linking spatiotemporal variations in surface temperatures to land-cover and socioeconomic patterns. *Landscape Ecology* 2010, **25**:17-33.
- Stathopoulou M, Cartalis C, Keramitsoglou I: Mapping micro-urban heat islands using NOAA/AVHRR images and CORINE Land Cover: an application to coastal cities of Greece. International Journal of Remote Sensing 2004, 25:2301-2316.

- Rajasekar U, Weng QH: Urban heat island monitoring and analysis using a non-parametric model: A case study of Indianapolis. *Isprs Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 2009, 64:86-96.
- Rigo G, Parlow E, Oesch D: Validation of satellite observed thermal emission with in-situ measurements over an urban surface. *Remote* Sensing of Environment 2006, 104:201-210.
- Qin Z, Karniel A, Berliner P: A mono-window algorithm for retrieving land surface temperature from Landsat TM data and its application to the Israel-Egypt border region. Int J Remote Sensing 2001, 22:3719-3746.
- Frencha AN, Normanb JM, Anderson MC: A simple and fast atmospheric correction for spaceborne remote sensing of surface temperature. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2003, 87:326-333.
- Kawashima S, Ishida T, Minomura M, Miwa T: Relations between surface temperature and air temperature on a local scale during winter nights. J Appi Meteor 2000, 39:1570-1579.
- Coll C, Galve JM, Sanchez JM, Caselles V: Validation of Landsat-7/ETM+ Thermal-Band Calibration and Atmospheric Correction With Ground-Based Measurements. *leee Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 2010, 48:547-555.
- Srivastava PK, Majumdar TJ, Bhattacharya AK: Surface temperature estimation in Singhbhum Shear Zone of India using Landsat-7 ETM+ thermal infrared data. Advances in Space Research 2009, 43:1563-1574.
- Mattson MD, Godfrey PJ: Identification of road salt contamination using multiple regression and GIS. Environmental Management 1994, 18:767-773.
- 21. Knotters M, Brus DJ, Voshaar JHO: A comparison of kriging, co-kriging and kriging combined with regression for spatial interpolation of horizon depth with censored observations. *Geoderma* 1995, **67**:227-246.
- Wagner E: Impacts on air pollution in urban areas. Environmental Management 1995, 18:759-765.
- Briggs DJ, Collins S, Elliott P, Fischer P, Kinghama S, Lebret E, Pryl K, Van Reeuwijk H, Smallbone K, Van Der Veen A: Mapping urban air pollution using GIS: A regression-based approach. International Journal of Geographical Information Science 1997, 11:699-718.
- Jerrett M, Arain A, Kanaroglou P, Beckerman B, Potoglou D, Sahsuvaroglu T, Morrison J, Giovis C: A review and evalutation of intraurban air pollution exposure models. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology* 2005, 15:185-204.
- Irish RR: Landsat 7 automatic cloud cover assessment. Edited by: Sylvia SS, Michael RD. SPIE; 2000:348-355.
- Deering DW: Rangeland reflectance characteristics measured by aircraft and spacecraft sensors. Texas A&M University; 1978, Ph.D. Dissertation.
- National Climate Data and Information Archive. [http://climat.meteo.gc. ca/climateData/canada_e.html].
- Saaroni H, Ziv B: The impact of a small lake on heat stress in a Mediterranean urban park: the case of Tel Aviv, Israel. International Journal of Biometeorology 2003, 47:156-165.
- 29. Gallo KP, Owen TW: Satellite-based adjustments for the urban heat island temperature bias. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 1999, 38:806-813.
- Weng Q, Lu D: A sub-pixel analysis of urbanization effect on land surface temperature and its interplay with impervious surface and vegetation coverage in Indianapolis, United States. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 2008, 10:68-83.
- Yuan F, Bauer ME: Comparison of impervious surface area and normalized difference vegetation index as indicators of surface urban heat island effects in Landsat imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2007, 106:375-386.
- Haylock MR, Hofstra N, Tank AMGK, Klok EJ, Jones PD, New M: A European daily high-resolution gridded data set of surface temperature and precipitation for 1950-2006. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 2008, 113:1-12.
- Roth M, Oke TR, Emery WJ: Satellite-derived urban heat islands from three coastal cities and the utilization of such data in urban climatology. International Journal of Remote Sensing 1989, 10:1699-1720.
- 34. Shin D-h, Lee K-s: Use of remote sensing and geographical information systems to estimate green space surface-temperature change as a result of urban expansion. *Landscape and Ecological Engineering* 2005, 1:169-176.
- Aitkenheada MJ, Aaldersb IH: Classification of Landsat Thematic Mapper imagery for land cover using neural networks. International Journal of Remote Sensing 2008, 29:2075-2084.
- 36. Waller LA, Gotway CA: **Applied Spatial Statistics for Public Health Data.** Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons; 2004.

- Smargiassi A, Fournier M, Griot C, Baudouin Y, Kosatsky T: Prediction of the indoor temperatures of an urban area with an in-time regression mapping approach. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology* 2008, 18:282-288.
- Chudnovsky A, Ben-Dor E, Saaroni H: Diurnal thermal behavior of selected urban objects using remote sensing measurements. *Energy and Buildings* 2004, 36:1063-1074.
- Nichol JE: Visualisation of urban surface temperatures derived from satellite images. International Journal of Remote Sensing 1998, 19:1639-1649.
- Lagouarde J-P, Moreau P, Irvine M, Bonnefond J-M, Voogt JA, Solliec F: Airborne experimental measurements of the angular variations in surface temperature over urban areas: case study of Marseille (France). Remote Sensing of Environment 2004, 93:443-462.
- Voogt JA, Oke TR: Complete Urban Surface Temperatures. Journal of Applied Meteorology 1997, 36:1117-1132.
- McCuistion JD, Wende CD, Irons JR: Landsat data continuity mission: Creating a unique government-industry partnership for global research. Igarss 2003: leee International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Vols I - Vii, Proceedings 2003, 1891-1893.
- 43. Chaix B: Geographic life environments and coronary heart disease: a literature review, theoretical contributions, methodological updates, and a research agenda. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2009, **30**:81-105.
- 44. Johnson DP, Wilson JS, Luber GC: Socioeconomic indicators of heatrelated health risk supplemented with remotely sensed data. *Int J Health Geogr* 2009, **8**:57.

doi:10.1186/1476-072X-10-7

Cite this article as: Kestens *et al.*: Modelling the variation of land surface temperature as determinant of risk of heat-related health events. *International Journal of Health Geographics* 2011 **10**:7.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) Bio Med Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit