Case Report

Access this article online



Website: www.ajts.org DOI: 10.4103/ajts.AJTS_40_19

Hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn due to multiple alloantibodies in pregnancy

Vidushi Gupta, Meena Sidhu, Saadat Nazir Shah

Abstract:

Immune hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn is a condition in which there is the premature destruction of fetal or neonatal red cells due to maternal alloantibody against red cell antigen inherited from father. We report the case of Hemolytic disease of fetus and newborn (HDFN) due to anti-E and anti-Fy^a antibody. Blood grouping was done using the conventional tube technique. Rh and Kell phenotyping of the patient and her husband performed by column agglutination and Duffy phenotyping were done by the conventional tube technique. Direct antiglobulin test was performed by column agglutination technique. Antibody screening and identification were done. Anti-E and Anti-Fy^a were identified with titer of Anti-E: 1:64 and Anti-Fy^a: 1:256. The patient's neonate developed jaundice. Phototherapy was given. Bilirubin stabilized and discharged on the 12th day.

Keywords:

Alloimmunization in pregnancy, hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn, multiple alloantibodies

Introduction

Immune hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn is a condition in which there is premature destruction of fetal or neonatal red cells due to maternal alloantibody against red cell antigen inherited from father. Anti-D is implicated as a major cause of HDFN. Other antibodies such as anti-K, c, e, E, C, Fy^a, and JK are also implicated in HDFN which can range from subclinical to active hemolysis requiring exchange transfusion. We report the case of HDFN due to maternal anti-E and anti-Fy^a antibody.

Case Report

A 24-year-old female Gravida 2 Para 1 at 34 weeks 5 days was referred to our hospital with anemia with Hb of 6.5 g/dl requiring

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@ wolterskluwer.com

blood transfusion but with multiple incompatible crossmatch. The patient had a history of one blood transfusion 2 years ago.

Blood grouping was done by conventional tube technique, and the patient was grouped as B Rh (D) Positive. The husband's blood group was O Rh (D). The direct antiglobulin test (DAT) was performed by column agglutination technique using a polyspecific orthoclinical diagnostic glass bead card and was negative. Antibody screening and identification were done using 0.8% ortho surgiscreen reagent red cells and 0.8% ortho resolve Panel A reagent red cells. The antibody screen showed positive reaction with cells 2 and 3 [Figures 1 and 2]. Antibody identification: eleven-cell identification panel resolve panel A (Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Johnson and Johnson, USA) showed positive reactions with cells 1,2,3,6,8, and 10 which was suggestive of antibody against E, K, Fy^a, S antigens [Figures 3 and 4].

Four select cells(3, 5,6 7) from resolve Panel A (Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Johnson and Johnson, USA) were used, confirming the presence of Anti-Fy^a and anti-E alloantibody

How to cite this article: Gupta V, Sidhu M, Shah SN. Hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn due to multiple alloantibodies in pregnancy. Asian J Transfus Sci 2020;14:83-6.

Departments of Immunohematology and Blood Transfusion, GMC Jammu, Jammu and kashmir, India

Address for

correspondence: Dr. Vidushi Gupta, GMC Jammu, Jammu and Kashmir, India. E-mail: guptavidushi03@ gmail.com

Submission: 03-03-2019 Accepted: 02-02-2020 Published: 24-07-2020

© 2020 Asian Journal of Transfusion Science | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow

Gupta, et al.: HDFN due to multiple alloantibodies



Figure 1: Antigram showing antibody screen positive with 3 cell panel



Figure 2: Showing antibody screen positive with 3 cell panel

and ruled out the presence of antibody against K and S antigens [Figure 5]. Antibody titer was found to be Anti-E: 1:64 and Anti-Fy^a: 1:256.

Rh and Kell phenotyping of the patient and her husband performed by column agglutination using ortho clinical diagnostic Rh/K cassette and Duffy phenotyping was done by the conventional tube technique.

Patient (DCe/DCe), K–, Fy (a–b+) [Figure 6]; husband (DCe/DEc), K-, Fy (a+b+) [Figure 7].

Twelve B Rh (D) positive red blood cell units were typed to find out E and Fy^a antigen negative unit, two units came to be E and Fy^a antigen negative. The patient was transfused with B Rh (D) positive E, K, Fy^a, c negative partial phenotype matched compatible donor unit.



Figure 3: Showing antibody identification using 11 cell panel

Female neonate was delivered at 35 weeks by elective cesarean weighing 2.3 kg, Apgar score 10/10. The blood group of neonate was B Rh(D) positive. Direct Coombs test positive (4+) kept under observation. The child developed jaundice, with bilirubin of 11.4 mg/dl at 26 hrs, 13 mg/dl at 36 hrs, and 15 mg/dl at 48 hrs. Phototherapy was given. Bilirubin stabilized and discharged on the 12th day.

Antibody specificity causing hemolysis in the child could not be ascertained by elution due to the paucity of the sample received.

Discussion

With the availability of Rh immunoglobulin, the incidence of Rh D HDFN in Rh-negative females has decreased but maternal alloimmunization to other red cell antigens still remains a significant cause of HDFN as no prophylactic immunoglobulins are available to prevent these antibody formation. Sensitization to red cell antigens in females can occur during pregnancy or by blood transfusion.

Anti-E alloimmunization is frequently seen in pregnancy and associated with mild-to-moderate HDFN.

According to a study by Joy *et al.*,^[1] a titer of 1:32 or greater was identified as critical titer of anti-E in the

absence of previously affected fetus and warrants further evaluation. It predicted all cases of anemic fetus and newborns. However, a study by Moran *et al.*^[2] in 62 infants with anti-E and positive DAT showed that anti-E can cause clinically significant HDFN but found no correlation between disease severity and antibody titers.

Chao *et al.*^[3] reported a case of severe intrauterine hemolysis and neonatal death caused by anti-E alloimmunization with a titer of 1:1024 in the multiparous female with a history of previous perinatal loss. Strohm *et al.*^[4] reported a case of severe HDFN with alloanti-E requiring multiple exchange transfusion with an antibody titer of 1:32.

Dávila *et al.*^[5] reported a case of HDFN due to Fy incompatibility treated with phototherapy and exchange transfusion. Goodrick *et al.*^[6] in a study of HDFN due to anti-Fy^a suggested that pregnancy in which anti-Fy^a is detected at significant titer (>64) should be closely monitored in a similar way to pregnancies where other significant antibodies are present.

In this case, the antibody titer of both alloantibodies was above the critical titer that is Anti-E: 1:64 and Anti-Fy^a: 1:256 and neonatal jaundice was managed with phototherapy alone.

To conclude, antibody screening should be done in all pregnant women and not restricted to RhD negative women or those with a past history of HDFN. Women of the reproductive age group should preferably be given transfusion with phenotypically matched blood, especially with blood group antigens capable of causing HDFN.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the patient(s) has/have



Figure 4: Antigram showing antibody identification using 11 cell panel

с. с.	Ortho Clinical Diagnostics														PATIENT NAME: PATIENT ID: 472215 DATE: TECH: CONCLUSION:													Lot No. RA083 Exp. Date 20184 Corres Panel Resolve® Panel A ANTIGRAM® Antigen Profile							06-05 M-DD		
						Rh	-hr	EIT					KELL				DUFFY KIDD					Sex	Red LEWIS			N	MNS P			THERA	Special Antigon Turcing		Tost Basulte				
Cell#	Rh-hr	Donor Number	D	С	E	c	e	1	C	~ V	к	k	Кр	a Kp	b Js	a Jst	Fy	a Fy	ρJ	k ^a J	lkÞ	Xga	Lea	Let	s	s	N	1 1	P,	L	ua Lu	b	Cell	-		- OSL H	CSUILS
1	R1wR1	305955	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	0			+	0	0	+	+	+	١.	. 0	+		0 +	HLA+		10	1.20		+
2	R1R1	312229	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	1	+	+		1		0	+	0	+	0	+			0		0 +	HLA+	2	y.	-39	1	+
3	R2R2	320678	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	1	+	0	+					0	0	0	+	0				0 +	in the	-	. *	-	+	-
4	Ror	320921	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	1	+	0	0				0	0	0	0	+							-	4.			-
15	m	315573	0	+	0	+		+	0	0	0	+	0	+	1	+	+	0				+		0	0	+		0	I.					1		$\left \right $	r
6	m	71362	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	0			0	+	0	+	0	+	+		+5	+			-	T	2	-	
67	rr	317083	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	1		0	+	1.			0		0		0							- °	41			
8	rr	103931	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0		0		0					-		0	1-				-				-	0	¢		_
9	m	304325	0	0	0				0	0	0		0		0							-	-	U			0	1		Ľ	*		8	-	0		_
10	11	317414	0	0	0				0	0		-	0					-	ľ	-	-	-	0	*	0	+	*	0	0	-	+		9		1		
	R1R1	319948			0	0		-	0	0	0	•	0	*		•	+	0	1.	0		*	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	•	HLA+	10	10	1		
	Patient	010010						0	0	0	0	*	0	•	1	+	0	+	1.	0	1	*	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	HLA+	11	0			
Mod	Cells Mode of Reactivity			37°C/Antiglobulin								Antiglobulin										Variable Cold								Var.							

Figure 5: Showing use of select cell for antibody identification



Figure 6: Showing Rh/K phenotyping of the patient

given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Joy SD, Rossi KQ, Krugh D, O'Shaughnessy RW. Management of pregnancies complicated by anti-E alloimmunization. Obstet



Figure 7: Showing Rh/K phenotyping of patient's husband

Gynecol 2005;105:24-8.

- Moran P, Robson SC, Reid MM. Anti-E in pregnancy. BJOG 2000;107:1436-8.
- Chao AS, Chao A, Ho SY, Chang YL, Lien R. Anti-e alloimmunization: A rare cause of severe fetal hemolytic disease resulting in pregnancy loss. Case Rep Med 2009;2009:471623.
- Strohm PL, Iams JD, Kennedy MS. Hemolytic disease of the newborn from anti-E. A case report. J Reprod Med 1988;33:404-6.
- Davila AA, Cruz DV, Roux M, Menendez RD, Rosales LC. Hemolytic disease of the newborn due to Duffy incompatibility: A case report. Revista Cubana de Pediatria. 2008; 80.
- Goodrick MJ, Hadley AG, Poole G. Haemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn due to anti-Fya and the potential value of Duffy genotyping in pregnancies at risk. Transfus Med 1997;7:301-4.