www.bjcancer.com

Short Communication

Are smoking and chlamydial infection risk factors for CIN? Different results after adjustment for HPV DNA and antibodies

K Matsumoto^{*,1}, T Yasugi¹, A Oki², H Hoshiai³, Y Taketani¹, T Kawana⁴ and H Yoshikawa²

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8655, Japan; ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8575, Japan; ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kinki University School of Medicine, Osaka 589-8511, Japan; ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mizonokuchi Hospital, Teikyo University, Kawasaki 213-8507, Japan

To identify the risk factors for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN), we reanalysed the data from our previous case–control study by adjusting for human papillomavirus (HPV) antibodies. Unlike our previous study based only on HPV DNA, smoking and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection were revealed as significant risk factors for CIN after adjustment for HPV antibodies. *British Journal of Cancer* (2003) **89,** 831–833. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601220 www.bjcancer.com © 2003 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: HPV; CIN; risk factors; smoking; Chlamydia trachomatis; case-control study

Infections by oncogenic human papillomaviruses (HPVs) are established as a major risk factor for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) and invasive cervical cancer (ICC). However, only a fraction of infected women develop cervical cancer, suggesting the involvement of additional cofactors in cervical carcinogenesis. In numerous case-control studies various epidemiological factors have been suggested as relevant to CIN and ICC, although the results from these studies have not been entirely consistent (Schiffman and Brinton, 1995). In epidemiological studies of risk factors for CIN and ICC, it is of great importance to allow for the strong effect of HPV infections. To determine HPV exposure among cases and controls, most studies have used HPV DNA testing, while recent studies have employed HPV capsid serology. However, it has not been determined whether the different measurements of HPV exposure can affect conclusions from case-control studies.

To address this issue, we reanalysed the data from our previous case-control study of CIN by adjusting for HPV DNA and antibodies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted on women who previously participated in a Japanese case – control study of CIN. The details about this case – control study have been provided elsewhere (Yoshikawa *et al*, 1999). Among a total of 167 pairs, serum samples from 26 cases and 58 controls were not available for HPV capsid serology. Therefore, the present analysis was restricted to 250 subjects consisting of 141 cases (80 CIN I, 34 CIN II and 27 CIN III) and 109 controls that were tested for both cervical HPV DNA

*Correspondence: Dr K Matsumoto, Centre for Immunology and Cancer Research, University of Queensland, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland 4102, Australia; E-mail: matsumok@mui.biglobe.ne.jp and serum HPV antibodies. The distributions of study variables in the excluded/included cases and controls were similar. We examined HPV DNA in cervical samples by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using consensus primers for the HPV L1 region (Yoshikawa et al, 1999). Detection of IgG antibodies to HPV16, 52 and 58, the most frequently detected HPV types in Japan, was performed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using purified L1-capsids (virus-like particles (VLPs)) as antigens (Matsumoto et al, 2003). In addition, the level of IgG antibodies to Chlamydia trachomatis was determined by using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kit (Thermo Labsystems, Vantaa, Finland) that does not detect antibody to Chlamydia pneumoniae. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by a logistic regression analysis. The analysis was carried out using JMP 4.0J statistics package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The P-values obtained in all tests were considered significant at < 0.05.

RESULTS

Human papillomavirus status among cases and controls was determined by using HPV DNA testing and HPV capsid serology (Table 1). We reconfirmed that HPV DNA positivity and HPV seropositivity were strongly associated with CIN development (P < 0.0001 and = 0.0002, respectively), although the relative risk for CIN was considerably different between HPV DNA positive and seropositive women (17.9 and 2.7, respectively) (Table 2). The estimated risks for CIN development in relation to various epidemiological factors are shown in Table 3. In the present study, the adjusted analysis for HPV DNA alone gave the same results as our previous study (Yoshikawa et al, 1999). Marriage, multiparity and age at first pregnancy were found to be significant risk factors for CIN development in the crude and adjusted analyses. Sexual behaviour, including age at first intercourse and lifetime number of sexual partners, was significantly associated with an increased risk of CIN in the crude analysis, but the significance disappeared in both adjusted analyses. Current



Received 2 April 2003; revised 30 June 2003; accepted 2 July 2003

smoking and *C. trachomatis* infection were revealed as significant cofactors for CIN development after adjusting for HPV antibodies, although not after adjusting for HPV DNA. We found no association between the use of oral contraceptives (OC) and CIN development because of the low prevalence of OC users among study subjects. The effect of smoking on CIN development did not appear to vary between HPV-positive and HPV-negative subjects, while the effect of *C. trachomatis* infection was stronger among the former.

Table I Human papillomavirus status among cases and controls

HPV status				
DNA	Antibodies ^a	Case $(n = 141)$	C ontrol (<i>n</i> = 109)	
_		21 (15%)	65 (60%)	
_	+	15 (11%)	28 (26%)	
+	_	44 (31%)	11 (10%)	
+	+	61 (43%)	5 (4%)	

DISCUSSION

With regard to smoking and *C. trachomatis* infection, the analysis adjusted for HPV antibodies gave different results from the analysis adjusted for HPV DNA. One explanation for this difference is that the antibody adjustment may reflect residual

	Case $(n = 141)$	C ontrol (<i>n</i> = 109)	OR (95% CI) ^a
HPV DNA			
Negative	36 (26%)	93 (85%)	1.0
Positive	105 (74%)	I6 (I5%)	17.9 (9.5-36.0)
HPV antibodies ^b			
Negative	65 (46%)	76 (70%)	1.0
Positive	76 (54%)	33 (30%)	2.7 (1.6-4.6)

 $^{\rm a}{\rm odds}$ ratios and 95% confidence intervals adjusted for age. $^{\rm b}{\rm IgG}$ antibodies to any type of HPV16/52/58 L1-capsids.

		Marrital stat	116
logy		Variables	Nu cas
oir		Table 3	Estimated risks
oidem	^a lgG antiboo	dies to any type of HPV16	5/52/58 L1-capsids.
П	+	+	61 (43%)
	+	_	44 (31%)
		+	15 (11%)
			ZI (I370)

832

Table 3	Estimated ris	sks for CIN	associated with	epidemiological	cofactors
	Eben nacoa m	010101011	abbo clarco a minim	opraorriorogrear	condectors

Variables	Number of cases/controls	Crude OR ^ª (95% CI) ^b	OR adjusted for HPV DNA and Age (95% CI)	OR adjusted for HPV Ab ^c and Ag (95% CI)
Marital status Never married Separated/widowed Married	8/14 12/11 121/84	1.0 1.9 (0.6–6.3) 2.5 (1.0–6.1) P=0.04	1.0 0.5 (0.1–2.7) 3.9 (1.2–13.3) P=0.04	1.0 1.3 (0.3–5.1) 2.8 (1.1–7.4) <i>P</i> =0.04
Parity 0 I-2 3+	12/18 78/66 50/24	.0 .7 (0.8−3.9) 3.1 (.3−7.4) P for trend = 0.0	1.0 2.0 (0.7–5.9) 4.7 (1.4–15.7) P=0.01	1.0 1.3 (0.6–2.9) 3.5 (1.4–9.1) <i>P</i> =0.03
Age at first pregnancy ()	vears)	2.0 (1.1 – 3.7)	3.5 (1.4–9.2)	3.5 (1.6–7.9)
-23	63/26	1.0	1.0	1.0
24-26	37/38	1.1 (0.6 – 2.1)	0.9 (0.3–2.6)	0.7 (0.3–1.7)
27+	31/26	P for trend = 0.03	<i>P</i> =0.01	P=0.01
Cigarette smoking Never Past Current	94/78 13/14 34/17	.0 0.8 (0.3–1.7) 1.7 (0.9–3.2) P=0.13	1.0 0.3 (0.1–1.1) 3.0 (0.9–9.9) <i>P</i> =0.09	1.0 0.4 (0.1–1.2) 3.2 (1.2–8.8) P=0.04
lgG antibody to <i>C. trach</i>	omatis	1.0	1.0	1.0
Negative	09/98	2.6 (1.3–5.4)	1.7 (0.7–4.3)	2.7 (1.3–6.0)
Positive	32/11	P=0.01	P=0.26	P=0.01
Use of oral contraceptiv	res	1.0	1.0	1.0
Never	30/98	0.6 (0.2–1.6)	0.9 (0.3–2.8)	0.6 (0.2–1.4)
Ever	7/9	P=0.30	P = 0.94	P=0.18
Age at first intercourse	(years)	1.9 (1.1 - 3.4) 1.0 P = 0.03	1.8 (0.9–3.8)	1.8 (0.9–3.3)
-23	/74		1.0	1.0
24+	27/34		P=0.14	P=0.10
Lifetime number of sexu	ual partners	1.0	1.0	1.0
0-1	65/64	1.5 (0.8–2.7)	0.7 (0.2–1.8)	1.1 (0.5–2.4)
2-3	42/28	2.0 (1.0–3.9)	2.2 (0.7–7.0)	2.0 (0.8–5.3)
4+	34/17	P for trend = 0.04	<i>P</i> =0.41	<i>P</i> =0.15

^aOdds ratios. ^b95% confidence intervals. ^clgG antibodies to any type of HPV16/52/58 L1-capsids.

confounding by HPV, since only 54% of CIN cases were seropositive for HPV16/52/58 due to the limited sensitivity and high type-specificity of HPV capsid serology (Matsumoto et al, 2003). Another reasonable explanation is that smoking and C. trachomatis infection may be significant cofactors associated with persistent HPV infections. In controls, HPV DNA positivity was much lower than seropositivity (15 vs 30%, respectively). Since HPV DNA testing at a single time point cannot identify past infections, the analysis based on HPV DNA may miss cofactors determining whether HPV infection is cleared or becomes persistent. In fact, a very recent study reported that smoking is associated with a reduced probability of clearing oncogenic HPV infections (Giuliano et al, 2002). Associations of smoking and C. trachomatis infection with persistent HPV infections may be supported by several studies suggesting the modulation of host immunity by smoking (Barton et al, 1988) and chlamydial infection (Zhong et al, 1999).

Although the DNA adjustment enhanced the CIN risk among current smokers as well as the antibody adjustment, the association of smoking with an increased risk of CIN was not statistically significant in the DNA-based analysis. This may be due to the small numbers in the statistical analysis.

Unlike our previous study based on HPV DNA (Yoshikawa *et al*, 1999), the present study has shown that smoking and

REFERENCES

- Anttila T, Saikku P, Koskela P, Bloigu A, Dillner J, Ikäheimo I, Jellum E, Lehtinen M, Lenner P, Hakulinen T, Närvänen A, Pukkala E, Thoresen S, Youngman L, Paavonen J (2002) Serotypes of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and risk for development of cervical squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA* 285: 47-51
- Barton SE, Maddox PH, Jenkins D, Edwards R, Cuzick J, Singer A (1988) Effect of cigarette smoking on cervical epithelial immunity: a mechanism for neoplastic change? *Lancet* (8612): 652-654
- de Sanjosé S, Muñoz N, Bosch FX, Reimann K, Pedersen NS, Orfila J, Ascunce N, González LC, Tafur L, Gili M, Lette I, Viladiu P, Tormo MJ, Moreo P, Shah K, Wahren B (1994) Sexually transmitted agents and cervical neoplasia in Colombia and Spain. *Int J Cancer* **56**: 358-363
- Dillner J, Lehtinen M, Björge T, Luostarinen T, Youngman L, Jellum E, Koskela P, Gislefoss RE, Hallmans G, Paavonen J, Sapp M, Schiller JT, Hakulinen T, Thoresen S, Hakama M (1997) Prospective seroepidemiologic study of human papillomavirus infection as a risk factor for invasive cervical cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 89: 1293-1299
- Eluf-Neto J, Booth M, Muñoz N, Bosch FX, Meijer CJLM, Walboomers JMM (1994) Human papillomavirus and invasive cervical cancer in Brazil. *Br J Cancer* **69:** 114–119
- Ferrera A, Baay MFD, Herbrink P, Figueroa M, Velema JP, Melchers WJG (1997) A sero-epidemiological study of the relationship between sexually transmitted agents and cervical cancer in Honduras. *Int J Cancer* 73: 781–785
- Giuliano AR, Sedjo RL, Roe DJ, Harris R, Baldwin S, Papenfuss MR, Abrahamsen M, Inserra P (2002) Clearance of oncogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) infection: effect of smoking (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 13: 839-846
- Kjellberg L, Hallmans G, Åhren AM, Johansson R, Bergman F, Wadell G, Ångström T, Dillner J (2000) Smoking, diet, pregnancy and oral contraceptive use as risk factors for cervical intra-epithelial neoplasia in relation to human papillomavirus infection. Br J Cancer 82: 1332–1338



C. trachomatis infection are significant cofactors for CIN development after adjustment for HPV antibodies. Similarly, associations of smoking and *C. trachomatis* infection with cervical neoplasia have been consistent in case-control studies employing HPV capsid serology (Dillner *et al*, 1997; Olsen *et al*, 1998; Kjellberg *et al*, 2000; Koskela *et al*, 2000; Anttila *et al*, 2002), but inconsistent in HPV DNA-based studies (Schiffman *et al*, 1993; Ganjosé *et al*, 1994; Eluf-Neto *et al*, 1994; Olsen *et al*, 1995; Ferrera *et al*, 1997). Our observation suggests that epidemiological studies relying on a single assessment of HPV status should be interpreted with caution. To fully evaluate the role of epidemiological cofactors in HPV-related carcinogenesis, allowance for both HPV DNA and antibodies may be necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Graham R Leggatt for helpful discussion, and Mami Kimura and Sumiko Mitsumata for assistance. We are also grateful to all the women that participated in this study. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

- Koskela P, Anttila T, Bjørge T, Brunsvig A, Dillner J, Hakama M, Hakulinen T, Jellum E, Lehtinen M, Lenner P, Luostarinen T, Pukkala E, Saikku P, Thoresen S, Youngman L, Paavonen J (2000) *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection as a risk factor for invasive cervical cancer. *Int J Cancer* **85**: 35-39
- Matsumoto K, Yoshikawa H, Yasugi T, Nakagawa S, Kawana K, Takeoka A, Yaegashi N, Iwasaka T, Kanazawa K, Taketani Y, Kanda T (2003) IgG antibodies to human papillomavirus 16, 52, 58 and 6 L1 capsids: case control study of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in Japan. *J Med Virol* **69**: 441-446
- Olsen AO, Dillner J, Skrondal A, Magnus P (1998) Combined effect of smoking and human papillomavirus type 16 infection in cervical carcinogenesis. *Epidemiology* **9:** 346-349
- Olsen AO, Gjøen K, Sauer T, Ørstavik I, Naess O, Kierulf K, Sponland G, Magnus P (1995) Human papillomavirus and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade II-III: a population-based case-control study. Int J Cancer 61: 312-315
- Schiffman MH, Bauer HM, Hoover RN, Glass AG, Cadell DM, Rush BB, Scott DR, Sherman ME, Kurman RJ, Wacholder S, Stanton CK, Manos MM (1993) Epidemiological evidence showing that human papillomavirus infection causes most cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. J Natl Cancer Inst 85: 958-964
- Schiffman MH, Brinton LA (1995) The epidemiology of cervical carcinogenesis. *Cancer* **76:** 1888-1901
- Yoshikawa H, Nagata C, Noda K, Nozawa S, Yajima A, Sekiya S, Sugimori H, Hirai Y, Kanazawa K, Sugase M, Shimizu H, Kawana T (1999) Human papillomavirus infection and other risk factors for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in Japan. Br J Cancer 80: 621–624
- Zhong G, Fan T, Liu L (1999) Chlamydia inhibits interferon γ -inducible major histocompatibility complex class II expression by degradation of upstream stimulatory factor 1. J Exp Med **189:** 1931–1937