

# Genetic modification of the ant *Lasius niger* using CRISPR-Cas9 technology

Mauno Konu<sup>1</sup> | Jonna Kulmuni<sup>2</sup> | Lumi Viljakainen<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Ecology and Genetics Research Unit,  
University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Organismal and Evolutionary Research  
Programme, University of Helsinki, Helsinki,  
Finland

## Correspondence

Mauno Konu and Lumi Viljakainen, Ecology  
and Genetics Research Unit, University of  
Oulu, Oulu, Finland.

Email: [mauno.konu@hotmail.com](mailto:mauno.konu@hotmail.com) and [lumi.viljakainen@oulu.fi](mailto:lumi.viljakainen@oulu.fi)

## Funding information

Academy of Finland, Grant/Award Numbers:  
343022, 328961; Emil Aaltosen Säätiö; Kuopio  
Naturalists' Society; Societas Biologica  
Fennica Vanamo

## Abstract

CRISPR-Cas9 has become one of the most prominent gene editing tools available and it has been utilized in various organisms from bacteria to fungi, plants, and animals. In this study, we developed a CRISPR-Cas9 protocol for the black garden ant *Lasius niger*, a common and easily available study species for lab and field experiments. To create indel mutations using CRISPR-Cas9 in *L. niger*, we targeted three different locations in a well-studied eye pigmentation gene *cinnabar*, generating several mutations that disrupt the ommochrome biosynthesis pathway and result in the lack of the pigment and therefore, abnormal eye coloration in adult workers. We also developed a protocol to collect *L. niger* eggs, inject them with CRISPR-Cas9 construct, and rear the eggs into mature adult workers with the assistance of nursing workers. We demonstrated for the first time in *L. niger* that CRISPR-Cas9 is an excellent tool to create targeted mutations for this species. Our protocol can be referred to when developing similar studies for other species of ants and eusocial insects.

## KEYWORDS

*cinnabar*, eye mutant, induced mutation, social insects

## INTRODUCTION

Gene modification can be used to study the function of a gene by disrupting the gene sequence and observing changes caused in the phenotype or fitness. Alternatively, it can be used to add new genes to the genome and thus new functions or repair nonfunctional genes such as hereditary diseases (Sander & Joung, 2014). CRISPR-Cas9 is the latest addition to the gene modification toolkit and has gained popularity due to its simplicity and affordable price (Cui et al., 2017).

CRISPR-Cas9 technique has been widely used from bacteria to plants and animals. In insects, this technique has been successfully used in different model species. The first insect was the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* (Gratz et al., 2013) and after that, for example, the silk moth *Bombyx mori* and the honeybee *Apis mellifera* (Cui et al., 2017). CRISPR-Cas9 has been applied in at least three ant species prior to this study: twice in *Harpegnathos saltator* (Sieber

et al., 2021; Yan et al., 2017), once in *Ooceraea biroi* (Trible et al., 2017) and once in *Solenopsis invicta* (Chiu et al., 2020).

All these studies noted that the distinctive behaviour of ants such as offspring's helplessness and need for care, interaction and communication between individuals and aggressiveness towards strangers cause difficulties to rear genetically modified eggs into adults. Eggs and larvae require workers' attention and for example, individuals with modified olfactory receptors had lower fitness in the colony (Trible et al., 2017). In these studies, different methods were developed to rear larvae into adults (Sieber et al., 2021; Trible et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2017) or the success of the gene modification was detected by sequencing at the larval stage (Chiu et al., 2020).

This study aimed to develop a CRISPR-Cas9 protocol for *Lasius niger*, the black garden ant. *L. niger* is a widely distributed ant species ranging all of Europe and temperate Asia (Haatanen et al., 2015). It is the most common ant species in European urban areas. Like all other

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. *Insect Molecular Biology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Royal Entomological Society.

ant species, it is eusocial (Ward, 2014) with colonies consisting of cooperating individuals: up to 10,000 sterile workers and one reproductive queen that together take care of the brood laid by the queen (Haatanen et al., 2015). The queens have the longest recorded lifespan of all eusocial insects, as much as 28 years, while workers live from one to two years (Kramer et al., 2016). Black garden ant is commonly used as a laboratory study species because queens are easy to collect during mating flights, artificial colonies are easy to establish and maintain in laboratory conditions and the species is not very aggressive. A colony survives at room temperature and is not picky about food sources if carbohydrates and proteins are available. During winter, the species hibernate, which is easy to arrange at refrigerator temperature.

We chose to modify the *cinnabar* gene that plays a role in the formation of insect eye colour. Most of the wide spectrum of insect colour is caused by three pigment groups: melanins, ommochromes and pteridines (Brent & Hull, 2019; Okude & Futahashi, 2021). Melanins produce black and brown colours, ommochromes brown and red colours and pteridines red, yellow and blue colours. The colours and patterns found in insects are produced by mixing and regulating the relative amounts of these pigments. Even though ommochromes produce brown colour, the genes are named after the shades of red. Mutations interrupt the production of brown colour in different stages of the biosynthesis and only red pigments from the uninterrupted pteridine biosynthesis pathway can accumulate into the pigment granules. One of these genes, *cinnabar*, has been extensively studied, and it has been modified with CRISPR technology in different species such as the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (Li et al., 2017) and the planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* (Xue et al., 2018), where dysfunctional *cinnabar* results in red eyes. *Cinnabar* and other genes affecting eye coloration pigments are excellent targets for studies in which genes of a new species are modified for the first time because mutations are not lethal and they are easy to observe by eye (Xue et al., 2018).

Here, we report a successful gene modification protocol from collecting the ants from the wild to verification of mutants in the laboratory. We also give details on the rate of successful colony foundation in the laboratory, optimized rearing conditions for CRISPR-Cas9 injected eggs, the mortality rate during the experimental procedure and success rate of the genetic modification when scored based on either genotype or phenotype. By this study, *L. niger* is the fourth ant species in which CRISPR-Cas9 technology has been successfully implemented. Methods used in this study can be utilized in future studies with *L. niger* and very likely with other ant species and eusocial insects.

## RESULTS

### Half of the collected queens managed to establish a laboratory colony

A total of 286 queens were collected to establish laboratory colonies. The first eggs emerged on the first day. The first larvae were observed

18 days after queen collection and the first pupa 25 days after collection. The first pupa hatched 32 days after collection and were at this stage still all white and immobile. The first worker matured enough to start walking 42 days after collection, after which the colony was deemed established and it was transferred from a tube to a Petri dish nest (see set up in the Material and Methods). The busiest time was 42–52 days after collection when, within ten days, 137 colonies were established. After this, the emergence rate of new workers in the tubes started to decline and the last colonies were established 87 days after collection. Monitoring of the tubes was stopped 115 days after collection. Overall, 160 queens (56%) established a colony (Figure 1). In addition, 66 older colonies established in 2019 were used in this experiment.


### The queens' egg-laying rate increased towards the end of the day

We injected the CRISPR-Cas9 construct into freshly laid eggs. We performed the CRISPR-Cas9 experiment in two batches. During the first batch, it was observed that the queens' egg-laying rate increased during the day. In the second batch, the egg-laying time was extended from a total of 4–5 h. The last hours (4th and 5th hour) produced the most eggs (Figure 2). Likewise, in both batches, the daily egg-laying rate increased during the first week and then started to decrease (Figure 3). In the span of 36 collection days in both batches ( $2 \times 18$  days, both in 24 days' time period), a total of 1636 eggs were laid by a total of 203 queens. The second batch produced more than twice as many eggs as the first batch due to the 1-h longer egg-laying time. The number of eggs laid by a single queen varied a lot between individuals (Figure 4).


### Concentration of CRISPR-Cas9 construct had a significant effect on the hatching success of eggs

There were in total 1624 eggs collected of which 1228 were injected with one of the three CRISPR constructs (R1, R2, R3), 195 were injected with sterile water and 201 were left untreated (Table 1). Mortality was high at the egg-stage where 85% of eggs did not hatch into larvae. Mortality at the larval stage was difficult to determine accurately because we could not determine if one larva had died and been replaced with a newly hatched larva. In total, circa 246 larvae hatched resulting in a 15% hatching probability over all treatments.

Out of the 1228 CRISPR-treated eggs, 180 larvae (15%) hatched and out of the 195 water-treated eggs 21 larvae (11%) hatched. Hatching rate did not differ significantly between these two treatments (Fisher's exact test,  $p = 0.2233$ , Table 2). Therefore, injection of CRISPR-Cas9 construct did not increase mortality compared to water injection. When comparing the two different injected CRISPR-Cas9 concentrations, 18% hatched from the 80 ng/ $\mu$ l treatment and 11% hatched from 160 ng/ $\mu$ l treatment, the difference being significant and thus, higher concentration increasing mortality (Fisher's exact test,  $p = 0.0065$ ). When inspected separately for each sgRNA,



**FIGURE 1** Half of the collected queens established a laboratory colony. In total 56% of all queens managed to establish a colony, 19% of the queens died during the first 115 days and 25% of the queens did not produce adult workers and therefore failed to establish a colony




**FIGURE 2** The average number of eggs laid increased towards the end of the day. The line within the box represents median value, the box limits indicate the first and third quartile (interquartile) range, the whiskers show values up to 1.5-fold of the interquartile range and the circles are outliers

the higher CRISPR-Cas9 concentration increased mortality only for construct R1 (Table 2). In addition, when comparing the effect of the same concentration on mortality among the three constructs, there was no difference in the lower concentration (R1 vs. R2,  $p = 0.4003$ ; R1 vs. R3,  $p = 1.0000$ ; R2 vs. R3,  $p = 0.4465$ ), whereas higher concentration injection of R1 significantly increased mortality compared to R2 ( $p = 0.0001$ ) and R3 ( $p = 0.0239$ ) and higher concentration injection of R2 significantly increased mortality compared to R3 ( $p = 0.0064$ ). Injection itself had a significant effect on egg mortality when comparing uninjected (22% hatched) and water-injected eggs (11% hatched). Uninjected eggs were more likely to hatch into larvae (Fisher's exact test,  $p = 0.0110$ , Table 2).


In total 16 individuals matured into adult workers. In the uninjected control, no adults emerged, and in the water-injected control, one adult emerged. Similarly, one adult emerged from the R1-construct treatment, nine in the R2-construct treatment and five in R3-construct treatment (Table 1).

### Seven modified individuals carried mutations in the gene sequence

The target gene was sequenced from a total of 25 CRISPR-treated individuals (including 12 larvae and 13 adults) and 35 control individuals (GenBank accession numbers ON333547-ON333606). Two of the sequenced control individuals were adult workers (one water-injected and one uninjected) and 33 were larvae (20 water-injected and 13 uninjected). The obtained gene sequences were compared to *L. niger cinnabar* gene (GenBank accession: LBMM01007519.1). Thirty-two control individuals were successfully sequenced, none of which showed mutations. Seven mutated individuals, of which 3 were adults, were observed out of the 20 successfully sequenced CRISPR-treated individuals (35%) (Table 1). Four individuals (one adult and three larvae) injected with R1-construct were successfully sequenced (Table 3). Three of these four sequenced individuals contained mutations. These included an adult R1 80.1, which according to the chromatogram of the sequence carried mosaic mutations (Figure S1). We successfully cloned and sequenced one of the alleles revealing a 3 bp deletion (Figure S1) which resulted in the deletion of glycine. The other two were larvae, of which R1 80 T2 carried a 4 bp insertion (Figure S2) which resulted in premature termination and R1 160 T2 carried a 9 bp deletion (Figure S2) which resulted in the deletion of aspartic acid, glycine and alanine. Nine successful sequences were obtained from individuals injected with R2-construct (6 adults and 3 larvae). Two of these individuals contained mutations. One was an adult R2 160.3 that carried mosaic mutations according to the



**FIGURE 3** Daily egg yield decreased during the whole experiment. The number of eggs increased during the first week and then started to decline. The first and second egg-collection batches are shown with different colours. The first batch yielded 536 eggs and the second batch 1100 eggs



**FIGURE 4** A large variation in egg yield per queen was observed. Fifteen queens did not lay any eggs and one queen laid 35 eggs

chromatogram of the sequence (Figure S3). We successfully cloned and sequenced one of the alleles revealing a 6 bp deletion (Figure S3) which resulted in the deletion of glutamic acid and histidine. The other was a larva R2 160 T2 that carried mosaic mutations according to the sequence chromatogram (Figure S4), but since the cloning failed, we could not resolve the exact mutations. Seven successful sequences were obtained from individuals injected with R3-construct (4 adults and 3 larvae). Two of these contained mutations: an adult R3 160.4 carried a 4 bp deletion (Figure S5) which resulted in premature termination and R3 80 T1 (larva) was a mosaic carrying 4 and 12 bp indels (Figure S6) of which the former resulted in premature termination and the latter in deletion of aspartic acid, serine, leucine and threonine.

### Three gene-modified individuals showed a different eye colour compared to the wild type

Sixteen adult workers were photographed (Figure 5) of which 14 were CRISPR-Cas9 treated, one was water-injected control, and one was an untreated individual outside of the experiment because uninjected controls did not produce any adult workers. Three out of the 14 CRISPR-treated adults showed an abnormal eye colour compared to the black or very dark brown wild type. Two individuals, R1 80.1 (Figure S1) and R3 160.4 (Figure S5), had both eyes abnormal, and one individual, R2 160.3 (Figure S3), had one normal and one abnormal eye (Table 3). Inactivation of the *cinnabar* gene was expected to cause bright red eyes but instead, the eyes of the mutant individuals

**TABLE 1** Summary of the number of eggs treated and the outcome of the treatments

Construct	Concentration ng/ $\mu$ l	Total number of eggs	Total number of larvae	Total number of adult workers	Successfully sequenced		Expressed genotype	
					Larvae	Workers	Mutated	Wild type
R1	80	204	ca. 33	1	1	1	2	0
	160	205	ca. 7	0	2	0	1	1
R2	80	245	ca. 50	3	1	2	0	3
	160	217	ca. 45	6	2	4	2	4
R3	80	165	ca. 27	1	2	0	1	1
	160	192	ca. 18	4	1	4	1	4
Water	N/A	195	ca. 21	1	19	1	0	20
Control	N/A	201	ca. 45	0	11	1**	0	12
Total		1624	ca. 246	16		**		

\*\*Separate untreated sample.

**TABLE 2** Effect of different treatments

Fisher's exact test	Eggs	Larvae	<i>p</i> -value	Conclusion
CRISPR	1228	180	0.2233	CRISPR-Cas9 does not increase mortality compared to water injection.
Water	195	21		
R1 80 ng/ $\mu$ l	204	33	0.0001 <sup>a</sup>	Higher CRISPR-Cas9 concentration increases mortality
R1 160 ng/ $\mu$ l	205	7		
R2 80 ng/ $\mu$ l	245	50	1.0000	Higher CRISPR-Cas9 concentration does not increase mortality
R2 160 ng/ $\mu$ l	217	45		
R3 80 ng/ $\mu$ l	165	27	0.0844	Higher CRISPR-Cas9 concentration does not increase mortality
R3 160 ng/ $\mu$ l	192	18		
Water	195	21	0.011 <sup>a</sup>	Injection increases mortality
Uninjected	201	45		

<sup>a</sup>Statistically significant *p*-value ( $p < 0.05$ ).

could be described as translucent with a similar lighter brown colour as the surrounding cuticle with the white core of the eye visible. From the 1228 CRISPR-treated eggs three (0.0024%) had a genetic modification that could be scored from the phenotype.

In summary, seven out of the 20 sequenced CRISPR-treated individuals carried mutations compared to the 32 sequenced control individuals with no mutations (Fisher's exact test,  $p = 0.0026$ ) indicating that the mutation rate between CRISPR-treated and untreated individuals differed significantly and that our protocol was successful in inducing mutations in *cinnabar*.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we successfully developed a protocol to rear and genetically modify *L. niger* ants with CRISPR-Cas9 technique. If gene-modified eggs are successfully reared to queens or males and these individuals reproduce, the mutations will become heritable. This can be utilized to breed ant strains for scientific research. Methods developed in this study can be applied to other ant species and other

eusocial insects whose eggs and larvae do not survive without the care of the colony.

## Comparison to other CRISPR experiments conducted on ants and *Nasonia*

In terms of the life cycles of the ant species, the most suitable for comparison with *L. niger* is the imported fire ant *S. invicta* used by Chiu et al., 2020, since both the species have morphologically distinct queen and worker castes. In contrast, *O. biroi* reproduces parthenogenetically and in *H. saltator* isolated workers become gamergate pseudo-queens able to reproduce. With these two species, it is easy to create mutant strains while in *L. niger* CRISPR-treated eggs must first develop into queens or males to render the mutations heritable.

When compared to the four other CRISPR experiments conducted on ants (Chiu et al., 2020; Sieber et al., 2021; Tribble et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2017), there are pros and cons to our approach. In all four previous experiments, the eggs were attached for injection using double-sided tape. The use of double-sided tape made the

**TABLE 3** Summary of sequenced individuals and observed mutated sequences

Construct	Concentration ng/ $\mu$ l	Sequenced	Mutated	Mutated/sequenced	Phenotype
R1 larva	80	1	1	100%	
	160	2	1	50%	
R1 adult	80	1	1	100%	Both eyes mutated (mosaic mutations)
	160	0	0	0%	
R2 larva	80	1	0	0%	
	160	2	1	50%	
R2 adult	80	2	0	0%	One eye mutated (mosaic mutations)
	160	4	1	25%	
R3 larva	80	2	1	50%	
	160	1	0	0%	
R3 adult	80	0	0	0%	Both eyes mutated
	160	4	1	25%	
Water larva	N/A	19	0	0%	
Water adult	N/A	1	0	0%	
Control larva	N/A	11	0	0%	
Control adult	N/A	1	0	0%	


Note: Phenotype indicates if the genetic mutation was also observed as eye mutant.

manipulation of the eggs very difficult after the injection which is why we settled for supporting the eggs against the petri dish wall. This facilitated the transfer of the eggs to the care of nursing workers, so the eggs did not need our monitoring until hatching. In Tribble et al. (2017) and Chiu et al. (2020) experiments the eggs were left to develop on top of the tape until hatching after which Chiu et al. (2020) sequenced the larvae because they could not rear them to adults. Tribble et al. (2017), on the other hand, managed to rear the larvae into adults using nursing workers and acclimating them using practice eggs just like in our experiment. In their experiment, about 60% of the uninjected eggs hatched on petri dishes (our hatching rate was 22% with nursing workers) and about 50% of the larvae survived with adult nursing workers (none of our uninjected larvae matured to adulthood, while one water injected (0.5% of the eggs) and 15 CRISPR-injected (1.2% of the eggs) matured). Yan et al. (2017) and Sieber et al. (2021) removed the eggs from the tape after injection, washed them with ethanol and incubated them on agar plate treated with antibiotics to prevent bacterial or fungal growth. These studies also found out that the workers will eat the injected eggs and only after the first larvae had hatched the remaining eggs and larvae were transferred to a nest box with a few young workers. These studies did not mention anything about using practice eggs to train the workers which can explain the high mortality of eggs. Even though our methods of injecting and rearing the eggs were simpler and easier to carry out, we could not accurately determine the hatching rate because the nursing workers disposed of bad quality eggs and moved viable eggs around to make accurate counting difficult.

Our research methods were largely based on the work by Li et al. (2017) where they modified the *cinnabar* gene of the parasitic wasp *N. vitripennis* with CRISPR-Cas9. Following their protocol, we injected

the eggs with CRISPR-Cas9 concentrations of 80 and 160 ng/ $\mu$ l, because they found these concentrations to be the most optimal in minimizing mortality and maximizing mutation rate. With smaller concentrations individuals had higher survival rate but the proportion of mutants was lower. With higher concentrations mortality was higher but those who survived had a higher proportion of mutants. In our study the higher concentration significantly increased mortality only for one sgRNA construct, and we also observed differences between constructs on egg mortality in the higher concentrations but not in the lower concentration highlighting the importance of using several different sgRNA constructs and concentrations in CRISPR-Cas9 experiments. In *Nasonia* 46% and 38% of the injected eggs survived to adulthood with 80 and 160 ng/ $\mu$ l injection concentrations respectively, while in *Lasius* 0.8% and 1.6% eggs survived to adulthood with the same concentrations. In our study an unidentified factor hindered the larvae from maturing to adults, so it would be more meaningful to compare the survival rate in *Nasonia* to our hatching rate of eggs which was 18% and 11% with the same concentrations. Here it is noteworthy that *Nasonia* and *Lasius* have quite different life cycles: *Nasonia* larvae develop on their own inside host fly pupa while *Lasius* larvae are dependent on adult workers.

The eye colour of the mutated ants caused some confusion. In Li et al. (2017) the mutated *Nasonia* eyes were bright red like one could expect based on *Drosophila* research (Beadle & Ephrussi, 1937). When the *cinnabar* gene is inactivated, the production of dark brown ommochromes is blocked and only red pteridines are left to colour the eyes. While the wild-type eyes of *L. niger* ants are black or very dark brown, the eyes of the mutated ants were light brown and translucent. The colour was similar to the surrounding cuticle and the white core was visible under the eye surface. It is possible that *L. niger* does not



**FIGURE 5** Eye-colour phenotype of gene-modified and wild-type ants. On the left of the top row is an untreated wild-type individual and next to it a water-injected control individual (black boxes). All the others are CRISPR-Cas9 treated individuals. Mutant individuals with visible phenotype are indicated with red boxes. R1 80.1 and R3 140.4 have both eyes abnormal and R2 160.3 has right eye abnormal while the left eye looks like a wild-type eye. Wild-type eyes are black or very dark brown and mutated eyes are whitish brown

produce pteridines on the same scale as *N. vitripennis* or the pteridines produced by *L. niger* are different in structure and therefore more yellowish in colour. Interestingly, one individual had only one abnormal eye while the other eye was wild type. This individual also carried mosaic mutations. However, there was not a clear connection between mosaicism and the eye phenotype since another mosaic individual had both eyes abnormal.

### Future outlook

Our protocol could be further optimized. The fifth hour produced the highest number of eggs, more than the four previous hours combined. In the future, eggs could be collected in six or seven-hour periods and study the effect of the extended egg-laying period on the egg yield.

The declining egg numbers during the whole experimental period could be caused by two circumstances. First, in Tribble et al. (2017) the egg-laying of the ants was synchronized by first letting the queens lay eggs in the nest and then removing the eggs for the experiment after which the queens started to lay new eggs again, that is, the egg-laying occurred in the nest from where eggs were constantly removed. In our study eggs started to accumulate in the colony nests which might have resulted in the declining egg yield in the experimental egg-laying dishes when the experiment proceeded. If the extra eggs would have been removed from the colony nest, the egg-laying rate could have been maintained at high level during the experiment, if the queen regulates her egg-laying based on the amount of eggs present in the nest. Second, queen activity could have been affected by light-dark cycle in the climate chamber. We used 14-10 light-dark cycle but after discussing with a colleague we found out that in Finland insects thrive

best in much longer light periods. Therefore, the light cycle could be optimized in future studies.

During the experiment, we got in total only 16 adult workers from ca. 250 larvae hatched, which is a surprisingly low number regarding the total time used (93 days). At first, larvae pupated as expected but towards the end of the experiment the larvae growth rate and pupation seemed to slow down. The rearing nests were observed for 93 days after the egg collection ended which is almost twice as long as the average development time, and during the last 25 days, no new pupa emerged. The low emergence of adults may be due to the larvae entering a diapause. According to Kipyatkov et al. (2004), diapause occurs in northern populations more easily and to a larger extent when temperatures are lower.

In the future, the growth rate and pupation of larvae could be studied in higher temperatures. In our study, the eggs were reared at 23°C, while Tribble et al. (2017) and Chiu et al. (2020) incubated their eggs at 25–30°C. Higher temperatures are known to speed up *L. niger*'s development time (Kipyatkov et al., 2004) but we ended up using 23°C because at 27°C the plaster bottom of the nest and drinking water cups dried much faster increasing the risk of eggs and workers dying. This could be avoided by using airtight containers as nests instead of petri dishes or by using thicker plaster layer and more water cups to retain moisture.

The effect of temperature on the colony establishment period could be studied as well. In our study, 56% of the queens managed to establish a colony at 23°C. In higher temperatures, workers could develop faster and the colony establishment rate could be higher. According to Kipyatkov et al. (2004), in southern populations temperature has a smaller effect on egg development rates than in northern populations. Due to their adaptation to shorter summers, northern populations start developing in lower temperatures and grow more intensively in higher temperatures compared to southern populations. Kipyatkov et al. (2004) collected samples from St. Petersburg and Borisovka regions which are considerably more south than Oulu. In higher temperatures, samples from Oulu could grow even faster than those from St. Petersburg. It can be also considered if our 2-month hibernation period before the experiment was sufficient for the ants. For example, in their overwintering experiment Haatanen et al. (2015) kept their ants in winter temperatures for over six months. In general, winter in Oulu lasts about that long. Our ants started to lay eggs after the 2-month hibernation period which was our aim, but the effect of hibernation time on the egg-laying duration throughout the experiment could be studied further.

In summary, we successfully developed a protocol of genetic modification with CRISPR-Cas9 for the ant *L. niger* using easily detectable and non-lethal gene *cinnabar*. Our method can now be utilized to conduct more challenging experiments on *L. niger* targeting more vital genes for the ants' viability or several genes simultaneously. In addition, this protocol can be referred to when developing gene editing methods for other ant and eusocial species. However, it is noteworthy that creating heritable mutations in ants is challenging since not all species produce sexuals (queens and males) in laboratory conditions and even if they did, it is impossible to know at the injection time

which eggs develop into sexual and which into sterile workers, so a very large number of eggs should be injected.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

### Collecting the ants from the wild

1. To establish colonies, we collected young *L. niger* queens after their nuptial flight on 20th and 21st of July 2020. Mated queens are easy to recognize because they have dropped their wings. Queens with wings should not be collected.
2. The queens were collected from the surrounding areas of the University of Oulu. *Lasius niger* ants are plentiful on roadsides and cracks of asphalt for example on parking lots and sidewalks and seams of courtyard tiling. Nests are easy to identify from small sand mounds around the cracks and seams of paved areas. We collected mated queens into small 5 ml plastic test tubes (anthouse. es) and sealed them with 1,5 ml plastic tubes filled with water and closed with cotton balls so the queens could drink water through the moist cotton. In total, we collected 286 mated queens.
3. In the year 2019, nuptial flight occurred on 20th of July during which we collected 150 queens. In January 2021 there remained 66 of these colonies.

### Colony establishment in laboratory

1. We placed the queen tubes into a plastic box and put the box in a climate chamber (Sanyo) at 23°C, where the queens started to lay eggs.
2. The queen tubes were checked once every two weeks. During the check, the tubes were opened, and the dried cotton balls were pushed deeper into the water tubes to ensure water supply. At the same time, we changed mouldy tubes to clean ones and monitored the development of larvae.
3. The first larvae emerged in about two weeks and the first pupa about four weeks after the collection. Dead queens were removed from the box.
4. When the first workers emerged from their pupa and started to walk, we emptied the tubes into a 9 cm petri dish, hereafter called a "colony nest", and started feeding them three times per week. Before this, the queens do not eat anything, and survive with their energy reserves collected before the nuptial flight.

### Queen colony maintenance

1. A colony was established for every queen, in which they lived during the experiment and where they could continue laying eggs with the help of workers. Colony nests were established in 9 cm petri dishes with ca. 5 mm layer of plaster cast on the bottom.



2. During the casting process a 1.5 ml plastic tube or a block of Lego was set in the wet plaster to create a depression in which the ants could gather. The plaster was moistened with water and an Eppendorf tube cap was placed in the nest to serve as a water cup. Food was dispensed on a 2 × 2 cm aluminium foil piece and placed on top of the depression in plaster to create a dark cave for ants to hide.
3. The ants were fed three times a week and kept at 23°C during the whole experiment. The carbohydrate-containing food was given in ca. 5 mm lumps, and the mealworms were chopped into halves/quarters (see below the description of food). The water cups were filled, and the plaster moistened. We monitored the plaster moisture by observing the moisture of the food. If the food was dried up, the plaster was moistened more, and if the food was wet or moulded, the amount of water was decreased. In general, 1–5 drops of water should be added to the plaster depending on the moisture levels. In case of mould, we cleaned the nest by wiping the plaster and dish cover with water and paper. If the mould was very abundant, the whole nest dish was replaced with a clean one. The queen, workers and eggs were carefully transferred to a clean nest using tweezers and a fine paint brush.

## Food

1. Three times a week we gave two types of food, that served as a source of carbohydrates and proteins. Carbohydrate-rich food was the Bhatkar diet (Bhatkar & Whitcomb, 1970), which we prepared according to the recipe except without the vitamin-mineral-tablet. The food was cast on petri dishes and after solidifying, stored in a freezer for later use.
2. Mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*) were fed to the ants to provide proteins. The worms were kept in a freezer, so they were easy to cut into pieces by hand when frozen.

## Hibernation

1. The queens' egg-laying rate declined quite fast. Only a month after the colonies were established, we got only a few individual eggs from a preliminary experiment. We concluded that the colonies were preparing for winter, so they were transferred to a 4°C cold room with the idea that after hibernation the queens would start active egg-laying again.
2. To prepare the colonies for hibernation, we removed food from the colony nests and packed the nests into plastic boxes that were lined with moist Wettex-cloth. The box lids were not closed tightly to allow air circulation.
3. The colonies were checked once a week; the water cups were filled, mouldy nests were changed to clean ones, and the Wettex-cloth was checked for adequate moistness. The condensed water was removed from box lids and colony nests. Dead queens were removed. Colonies survive in cold-room conditions for about

3 months before mortality begins to rise. After that time period, we found about 5–7 dead queens per week.

4. Before the experiment, we kept the colonies in the cold room for two months, so the queens would be ready for a new “summer” and egg-laying season.

## Preparing the rearing nests


1. To conduct the experiment, we established eight rearing nests: six for different CRISPR treatments and two for control treatments. Three regions of the *cinnabar* gene were selected, and CRISPR-constructs (single guide RNA, sgRNA) were designed (see below ‘GuideRNA: designing, ordering and preparing’). Every construct was diluted in two different concentrations. Two controls were included: in the first control, the eggs were injected with RNase-free water and in the second control, the eggs were left untreated.
2. We gradually collected 20 workers into rearing nests when the colonies were transferred from the collection tubes to colony nests. We collected workers also from older colonies established in 2019 that had plenty of workers. Workers from different colonies could be mixed (we did not observe workers killing each other).
3. We collected a bunch of practice eggs and larvae from the colony nest and placed them in a rearing nest so the workers would assume the role of a nursing worker. Right before the experiment started, the practice eggs were removed from the nests so they would not be mixed with the CRISPR-treated eggs or controls.
4. The rearing nests were fed three times a week. Moisture levels were observed very carefully because eggs and workers cannot handle drought very well. At 23°C moisture levels could be maintained for about four days, but in the pilot experiment (not published) we observed that at 27°C the nests dried in 2–3 days. We prevented excess drought by adding more water cups in the nests. During the experiment, we kept the nests at 23°C and during the egg collection, the queens were at 27°C.

## Conducting the experiment

### GuideRNA: Designing, ordering and preparing

1. We determined *L. niger's cinnabar* gene (GenBank accession: LBMM01007519.1) exon regions with the program GeneWise (Birney et al., 2004) by comparing the *L. niger* gene sequence to *Nasonia vitripennis* ortholog's amino acid sequence (GenBank accession: XP\_001602258.1). We identified nine exons in *L. niger* (Figure 6). The intron-exon structure differs between *L. niger* and *N. vitripennis*. The nine exons in *L. niger* have the following ranges in the locus LBMM01007519 sequence:

Exon 1: 4161–4230, Exon 2: 4548–4738, Exon 3: 4834–4882, Exon 4: 5643–5730, Exon 5: 5799–5970, Exon 6: 6069–6320, Exon 7: 6406–6495, Exon 8: 6699–6839, Exon 9: 6930–7094.



**FIGURE 6** The relative size and location of exons and CRISPR-Cas9 targets in the gene *cinnabar*. Constructs R1 (red) and R2 (blue) are in exon 5 and construct R3 (green) is in exon 6

- We designed short guide RNAs (sgRNAs) to target three different parts of *L. niger* exons 5 (5799–5970) and 6 (6069–6320), in a hope that at least one of the sgRNAs would work effectively and disrupt the function of the *cinnabar* gene. SgRNAs were designed using RGEN Tools ([rgenome.net](http://rgenome.net)).
- We ordered TrueCut™ Cas9 Protein v2 and three different Invitrogen TrueGuide sgRNA Modified, custom sequences from Thermo Fisher Scientific. In this article, those are referred to as R1, R2 and R3 constructs (Figure 6).
- We diluted Cas9 protein and sgRNAs with RNase-free water to 160 and 80 ng/μl and mixed them in equal proportions. These concentrations have been previously optimized for survival of the eggs and rate of successful mutations in the parasitic wasp *N. vitripennis* (Li et al., 2017).

### Preparing the egg collection

- We conducted the egg collection and the experiment in two batches due to a large number of colonies. The first batch was conducted in 11.1. - 3.2.2021 and the second batch in 8.2. - 3.3.2021. All the colonies were stored in a 4°C cold room before egg collection. Colonies established in summer 2020 were placed in the cold room on 30.10.2020 and colonies established in summer 2019 on 23.11.2020. For both batches, we selected an equal amount of old (2019) and new (2020) colonies.
- A week before the experiment, the colonies were moved from the cold room to a 23°C-climate chamber and fed three times a week, so that the queens had time to acclimate and start laying eggs.
- We prepared containers for egg-laying. For each queen, we labelled a 6 cm petri dish with a number corresponding to their own colony. A semicircle shaped Wettex-cloth that covered half of the dish was placed on the bottom of the dish. The cloth was moistened with warm water so the conditions inside the dish would be favourable for the queen, and they had water to drink. The egg-collection dishes and water used for moistening the Wettex-cloths were stored in a 27°C-climate chamber so they would always be in the correct temperature and the queens would not stress out from fluctuating temperatures.
- Before collecting eggs for the experiment, the rearing nests were checked: were there enough workers and did they take care of the practice eggs. When needed, extra workers were added to the


nests, in which case especially workers that actively cared for eggs were selected.

### Collecting the eggs for the experiment

- Collection and injection of the eggs were carried out with a working pair. The queens were carefully picked with tweezers from the colony nest to their own egg-laying dishes. The egg-laying dishes were stacked on trays and transferred to a 27°C-climate chamber. The dishes were checked once in an hour under a microscope. One of the working pair started to check the dishes and marked on a table how many eggs each queen had laid. If eggs were found, the queen was transferred to a clean dish to continue egg-laying in a 27°C-climate chamber. The other of the working pair started injecting the eggs. In this way, the dish-checking and injecting could be done simultaneously.
- We checked the dishes once in an hour to guarantee that the eggs were fresh and that no cell divisions would have occurred in the egg that would prevent the CRISPR-Cas9-construct from spreading evenly in all tissues. We continued the egg collection in the first batch for 4 h and in the second batch for 5 h, after which we returned the queens to their own colonies.
- Egg collection was performed with the first batch for 3.5 weeks and after the egg-laying of the queens decreased to a minimum the second batch was taken out from hibernation and used for egg collection.

### Injection of the eggs

- We injected the eggs under a microscope using PLI-100 Pico-Injector (Harvard Apparatus), mechanical micromanipulator and glass capillary needles (BioMedical Instruments ES-Blastocyst Pipette, spike, straight, inner diameter 12 μm and length 55 mm). We thawed the sgRNA on ice and quickly spun it and loaded five microliters of the construct into the capillary needle. We injected the eggs with 10 PSI pressure for 80 ms. Excess construct was kept in the tube on ice in case the needle needed refilling. After the day, excess construct was discarded.
- Needle tip must penetrate the eggshell, so we supported the eggs against the petri dish wall so they could not roll around when injected.



**FIGURE 7** Schematic of the experiment. 1. The queen is picked from the colony for an egg-laying dish. 2. Egg-laying dishes are placed in a climate chamber for 1. 3. After 1 h the dishes are checked for eggs. If the queen has laid eggs, the queen is transferred to a new clean dish to continue egg-laying. 4. Egg collection is continued for 4–5 h after which the queens are returned to their own colonies. 5. The eggs are prepared for injection by arranging them to a line against the dish wall using a fine brush. 6. A glass capillary needle is loaded with one of the six CRISPR-Cas9 constructs. 7. 60% of the eggs are injected with CRISPR-Cas9 construct, 20% are injected with water and 20% are left as an uninjected control. 8. The eggs are transferred to their own rearing nests according to their treatment so the workers can take care of them and rear them to adulthood

We moved the eggs around with a fine paintbrush moistened with water. This also helped to maintain proper moistness of the eggs. Manipulation of the egg was also easier with a wet brush.

3. After every injected egg, one drop of liquid was injected from the needle on the dish to make sure that the needle is not clogged. The needle used to inject the construct was discarded at the end

of the day. The needle used for injecting the water control could be reused as long as it stayed functional. The needles were stored separately in their plastic containers.

4. During an injection day we injected only one construct and water. We injected the eggs collected during the day in the following ratios: 60% of the eggs were injected with the construct, 20% with water and 20% were left uninjected. We changed the ratios mid-way of the experiment because control treatments started to accumulate too much in relation to CRISPR-treated eggs. The second half of the experiment was conducted with 80% construct injection, 10% water injection and 10% uninjected control. The needles and the construct were stored on ice when not used.
5. In one rearing nest there was always only one type of eggs (for example: eggs injected with construct R1 160 ng/ $\mu$ l had its own nest, water-injected their own, etc.). Before the start of the experiment the practice eggs were removed from the rearing nests, so they were not mixed with treated eggs. The workers took care of the eggs by moving them in the right moisture conditions and removing damaged or unviable eggs. They also started to feed the larvae once they hatched and helped maturing workers out of their pupa. The rearing nests were kept in a 23°C-climate chamber and fed like normal colonies three times a week. For every construct and control treatment, we aimed to collect at least 100 eggs. Collection and injection of the eggs are depicted in Figure 7.

### Monitoring the rearing nests

1. After the injection, the development of the eggs was monitored very closely. The day following the injection, we checked the nests to see if the workers had taken the eggs to their care. If the eggs had not been touched or the workers were clearly not interested in them, we moved a few workers with tweezers or paint brushes directly on the eggs so they would be noticed. If this did not work, we transferred a few new workers from colony nests to rearing nests. For this, we selected large colonies and transferred only those workers that actively took care of the eggs to rearing nests. This usually prompted the rearing nest workers to take care of the eggs as well. The eggs were usually collected in large mounds and gathered in the same location where the workers spend their time. We counted the eggs regularly twice a week so we would notice if there were notable mortality of the eggs or larvae. During the count, we did not move the eggs to avoid damaging them. Therefore, the counting was not completely accurate, and the numbers were just approximated to estimate the mortality.
2. When pupae started to emerge, we checked the nests daily. There seemed to be two types of pupae of which the first one hatched in very early stage with the assistance of adult workers, so the worker was not yet fully matured but was completely white and immobile. The worker then developed some pigment in its eyes, then mandibles and finally the rest of the shell before it started to walk on its own. The second type spent a longer time inside the

pupa and emerged independently, almost fully matured and was ready to walk at the time of emergence. It still had a slightly lighter coloured shell, so it was easy to identify from older workers.

3. At this point we transferred the new workers to a new nest to mature and put two older workers to take care of them. The older workers were marked with colour paint on their abdomen so they could be separated from the CRISPR-treated individuals. We did the marking with paint markers by pumping little ink on a glass plate. Then we grabbed the worker by leg with tweezers and dipped a ballpoint pin into the ink and marked the ant carefully. This operation was easy to conduct in cold-room conditions, so the ants were much slower and easier to manipulate. The treated individuals were left to mature for 15 days, after which they were collected into individually marked Eppendorf tubes and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . A few workers died before they reached the age of 15 days, so they were frozen at the time of death. After freezing, the workers were thawed and photographed under a microscope to identify the colour of the eyes.

### Observing genetic modifications: Photographing the phenotype

1. To photograph the workers, we used the same microscope as during the injection (Olympus SZX-ILLD200). We thawed the individuals and placed them on a white Styrofoam block. The individuals were fastened to the foam by wedging them between two insect needles and correcting the head position with sharp tweezers so that both eyes were visible. The light source (Fiberoptic Heim L100) was pointed from both sides of the sample trying to minimize reflections from eyes. The samples were photographed with  $\times 50$  magnification.

### Observing genetic modifications: Sequencing

1. We extracted DNA from workers (13 CRISPR-treated, 1 water-injected and 1 uninjected) and larvae (12 CRISPR-treated, 20 water-injected and 13 uninjected) selected for sequencing by using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) Purity and concentration of DNA samples were measured with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

### Amplifying the target gene with PCR

1. We amplified the *cinnabar* gene using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and primers that were designed to cover different areas of the target gene. Primers were designed by using Primer3Plus ([primer3plus.com](http://primer3plus.com)). As a template for the primers, we used *Lasius niger cinnabar* gene (GenBank accession: LBMM01007519.1). SgRNA-constructs, that is, targets of the gene modification (red R1, blue R2 and green R3, Figure 6), were designed to target exons 5 (5799–5970) and 6 (6069–6320), so the PCR primers were designed to cover these areas (Figure S7).

**TABLE 4** Primer pairs for PCR and sequencing

Primers	Sequence
4 and 7 exon regions Forward 1 (4–7 ex F1)	ACACAGCCGCGGAGAAATAT
4 and 7 exon regions Reverse 1 (4–7 ex R1)	AAGGAACCATCGCATGAGCT
4 and 7 exon regions Forward 2 (4–7 ex F2)	TGGACGCCGATTTGGAGAAG
4 and 7 exon regions Reverse 2 (4–7 ex R2)	ACGGTATTCATTCCTTGAGCGT
5 and 6 exon regions Forward (5–6 ex F)	CTCCGCTTAGCTATATCTCTGT
5 and 6 exon regions Reverse (5–6 ex R)	GCCAAGTGATTGAATCGAACG
5. exon Forward (5 ex F)	CGTGACACCCCTTTCCGTA
5. exon Reverse (5 ex R)	CCACGCGGCCAAATATGAAG
6. exon Forward (6 ex F)	TGAAAACGGGAGCGATGGAA
6. exon Reverse (6 ex R)	GCACAAACGGATGCTATGCC

2. We designed in a total of five primer pairs (Table 4). Two pairs spanned a region from exon 4 to exon 7, one pair was designed closer to exons 5 and 6, one pair targeted a region in exon 5 and one pair in exon 6 (Figure S7).

3. PCR was conducted with the following recipe

Dream taq green PCR master mix	12.5 µl
F primer (25 µM)	0.5 µl
R primer (25 µM)	0.5 µl
Template DNA (n. 15 ng/µl)	1 µl
Water	10.5 µl
total	25 µl

4. The amount of template DNA and therefore the amount of water was changed according to DNA concentration so that the amount of DNA in PCR reaction would be 10–20 ng.

5. PCR parameters were the following:

95°C	3 min	
95°C	30 s	
55°C	30 s	steps 2–4 were repeated in 35 cycles
72°C	1 min	
72°C	7 min	
4°C	∞	

6. We visualized the PCR results with agarose gel electrophoresis using 1.5% agarose gel and ran the samples with 100 V for 45 min. We tested all the PCR primers to be functional with unmodified

samples. We conducted the PCR for the actual study samples with primers (4–7 ex F1) and (4–7 ex R1). Other primers we used for sequencing. For negative controls, we used primers 5–6 ex F/R and water instead of DNA-sample to make sure that the reagents we used were not contaminated.

### Sequencing the target gene

1. Purification of the PCR product from single-stranded DNA and dNTPs was carried out by Exol-FastAP-method:

PCR-product	5 µL
FastAP (1 U/µl)	1 µL
Exol (20 U/µl)	0.5 µL

2. We incubated the samples first at 37°C for 15 min. After this, the enzymatic reactions ceased by heating the samples at 85°C for 15 min. The samples were kept on ice before sequencing PCR.

3. For sequencing PCR, we selected the primers for sgRNA-constructs as follows:

- R1 and R2 constructs were sequenced with primers (4–7 ex F2) and (5 ex R)
- R3 construct was sequenced with primers (6 ex F) and (6 ex R)
- Water-injected and uninjected controls were sequenced with primers (4–7 ex F1) and (4–7 ex R1)

4. The sequencing PCR was conducted with the following recipe:

DNA (purified PCR-product)	2 µl
5' or 3'-primer	1 µL
Terminator-mix big dye 3.1	1 µL
×5 Sequencing buffer	1.5 µL
Sterile water	4.5 µL
Total	10 µl

5. The samples were pipetted to a PCR plate and sealed with a membrane. The plate was centrifuged and ran in PCR with the following parameters

96°C	1 min	
96°C	10 s	
50°C	5 s	Stages 2–4 were repeated for 25 cycles
60°C	4 min	
4°C	∞	

6. Finally, the PCR products were purified from extra nucleotides by filtering it through Sephadex gel

## Cloning

Four of our CRISPR-treated individuals contained mosaic mutations which rendered the sequence unreadable during the analysis. We separated the different alleles from each other by cloning them with TOPO TA Cloning Kit for Sequencing (Invitrogen) following the manufacturers' protocol.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Ville Salo for helping with the injections. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers whose comments significantly improved the manuscript. This study was funded by the Emil Aaltonen Foundation (LV), Academy of Finland grant number 343022 (LV), Societas Biologica Fennica Vanamo (MK) and the Kuopio Naturalists' Society (MK). JK was funded by Academy of Finland grant number 328961.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in NCBI at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>, reference number ON333547-ON333606.

## ORCID

Lumi Viljakainen  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6587-6156>

## REFERENCES

- Beadle, G.W. & Ephrussi, B. (1937) Development of eye colors in *Drosophila*: diffusible substances and their interrelations. *Genetics*, 22(1), 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/22.1.76>
- Bhatkar, A. & Whitcomb, W.H. (1970) Artificial diet for rearing various species of ants. *Florida Entomologist*, 53(4), 229–232. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3493193>
- Birney, E., Clamp, M. & Durbin, R. (2004) GeneWise and Genomewise. *Genome Research*, 14(5), 988–995. <https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.1865504>
- Brent, C.S. & Hull, J.J. (2019) RNA interference-mediated knockdown of eye coloration genes in the western tarnished plant bug (*Lygus hesperus* knight). *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, 100(2), e21527. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ARCH.21527>
- Chiu, Y.K., Hsu, J.C., Chang, T., Huang, Y.C. & Wang, J. (2020) Mutagenesis mediated by CRISPR/Cas9 in the red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta*. *Insectes Sociaux*, 67(2), 317–326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00040-020-00755-8>
- Cui, Y., Sun, J.-L. & Yu, L. (2017) Application of the CRISPR gene-editing technique in insect functional genome studies – a review. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 162(2), 124–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/EEA.12530>
- Gratz, S.J., Cummings, A.M., Nguyen, J.N., Hamm, D.C., Donohue, L.K., Harrison, M.M. et al. (2013) Genome engineering of *Drosophila* with

- the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Genetics*, 194(4), 1029–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1534/GENETICS.113.152710/-/DC1>
- Haatanen, M.K., van Ooik, T. & Sorvari, J. (2015) Effects of overwintering temperature on the survival of the black garden ant (*Lasius Niger*). *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 49–50, 112–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.02.012>
- Kipyatkov, V.E., Lopatina, E.B., Imamgaliev, A.A. & Shirokova, L.A. (2004) Effect of temperature on rearing of the first brood by the founder females of the ant *Lasius Niger* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae): latitude-dependent variability of the response norm. *Journal of Evolutionary Biochemistry and Physiology*, 40(2), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOEY.0000033808.45455.75>
- Kramer, B.H., Schaible, R. & Scheuerlein, A. (2016) Worker lifespan is an adaptive trait during colony establishment in the long-lived ant *Lasius Niger*. *Experimental Gerontology*, 85, 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EXGER.2016.09.008>
- Li, M., Au, L.Y.C., Douglass, D., Chong, A., White, B.J., Ferree, P.M. et al. (2017) Generation of heritable germline mutations in the jewel wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* using CRISPR/Cas9. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00990-3>
- Okude, G. & Futahashi, R. (2021) Pigmentation and color pattern diversity in Odonata. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, 69, 14–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GDE.2020.12.014>
- Sander, J.D. & Joung, J.K. (2014) CRISPR-Cas systems for editing, regulating and targeting genomes. *Nature Biotechnology*, 32(4), 347–355. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2842>
- Sieber, K., Saar, M., Opachaloemphan, C., Gallitto, M., Yang, H. & Yan, H. (2021) Embryo injections for CRISPR-mediated mutagenesis in the ant *Harpegnathos saltator*. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 9, 168. <https://doi.org/10.3791/61930>
- Tribble, W., Olivios-Cisneros, L., McKenzie, S.K., Saragosti, J., Chang, N.C., Matthews, B.J. et al. (2017) *Orco* mutagenesis causes loss of antennal lobe glomeruli and impaired social behavior in ants. *Cell*, 170(4), 727–735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.001>
- Ward, P.S. (2014) The phylogeny and evolution of ants. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 45, 23–43. <https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ECOLSYS-120213-091824>
- Xue, W.H., Xu, N., Yuan, X.B., Chen, H.H., Zhang, J.L., Fu, S.J. et al. (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of two eye pigmentation genes in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 93, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IBMB.2017.12.003>
- Yan, H., Opachaloemphan, C., Mancini, G., Yang, H., Gallitto, M., Mlejnek, J. et al. (2017) An engineered *orco* mutation produces aberrant social behavior and defective neural development in ants. *Cell*, 170(4), 736–747.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2017.06.051>

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**Figure S1.** An adult worker R1 80.1 injected with R1-construct showed an indel mutation in the target *cinnabar* gene region (locus LBMM01007519.1). The chromatogram in the upper panel is unreadable after the indel mutation and therefore the target region was amplified with PCR and cloned. The lower panel shows four different samples (R1 80.1–1–R1 80.1–4) that represent four different bacterial colonies. Only one allele was successfully cloned and sequenced revealing a 4 bp deletion.

**Figure S2.** Two larvae injected with R1-construct showed an insertion and a deletion in the target *cinnabar* gene region (locus

LBMM01007519.1). The larva R1 80 T2 contained a 4 bp insertion and the larva R1 160 T2 contained a 9 bp deletion. The sequence of the larva R1 160 T1 was identical to the wild type.

**Figure S3.** An adult worker R2 160.3 injected with R2-construct showed an indel mutation in the target *cinnabar* gene region (locus LBMM01007519.1). The chromatogram in the upper panel is unreadable after the indel mutation and therefore the target region was amplified with PCR and cloned. The lower panel shows the sequence of one bacterial colony containing the PCR product. Only one allele was successfully cloned and sequenced revealing a 6 bp deletion.

**Figure S4.** A sequence of a larva R2 160 T2 injected with R2-construct contained an indel mutation in the target *cinnabar* gene region (locus LBMM01007519.1). The target region has been highlighted with black background. After the indel mutation, the chromatogram of the sequence is unreadable.

**Figure S5.** Seven sequences of individuals injected with R3-construct. An adult R3 160.4 contained a 4 bp deletion in the target *cinnabar* gene region (locus LBMM01007519.1). A larva R3 80 T1 was cloned since the chromatogram of the sequence (not shown) contained an unreadable region.

**Figure S6.** The larva R3 80 T1 was cloned to resolve the mutations in the target *cinnabar* gene region (locus LBMM01007519.1). Two of

the cloned PCR products (R3 80 T1-1 and T1-9) contained a 12 bp insertion and five (R3 80 T1-2-T1-6 and T1-10) contained a 4 bp deletion.

**Figure S7.** The primers and sgRNA designed for the *cinnabar* gene locus LBMM01007519.1. Here, exon 4 is highlighted with light green background, exon 5 with purple background, exon 6 with orange background and exon 7 with light blue. The sgRNA constructs R1 and R2 are in the exon 5 region; R1 is marked with red background and R2 with blue background. R3 construct is in the exon 6 region and is marked with bright green background. The primers have been marked as follows: 4-7 ex F2 and 5 ex R with red bolded text starting and ending with square brackets; 6 ex F and 6 ex R with green bolded text starting and ending with square brackets; 4-7 ex F1 and 4-7 ex R1 with black bolded text starting and ending with square brackets.

**How to cite this article:** Konu, M., Kulmuni, J. & Viljakainen, L. (2023) Genetic modification of the ant *Lasius niger* using CRISPR-Cas9 technology. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 32(1), 11-25. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12809>