Cureus

Review began 04/05/2022 Review ended 04/13/2022 Published 04/20/2022

© Copyright 2022

Gilbert et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Outcomes of Primary Mucosal Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients

Marissa Gilbert 1 , Evan Liang 1 , Pin Li 2 , Reena Salgia 3 , Marwan Abouljoud 4 , Farzan Siddiqui 1

1. Radiation Oncology, Henry Ford Health, Detroit, USA 2. Public Health Sciences, Henry Ford Health, Detroit, USA 3. Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Henry Ford Health, Detroit, USA 4. Transplant and Hepatobiliary Surgery, Henry Ford Health, Detroit, USA

Corresponding author: Farzan Siddiqui, fsiddiq2@hfhs.org

Abstract

Introduction

Patients who undergo solid organ transplants have a higher risk of developing malignancies and subsequent recurrences. Clinical outcomes in transplant recipients with primary mucosal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) are not well described in the published literature. Therefore, we retrospectively studied the outcomes in this group of patients.

Methods

This Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved analysis included patients who had previously undergone solid organ transplants and subsequently were diagnosed with primary mucosal HNSCC between 2006 and 2021. Our institutional database of solid organ transplant recipients was cross-referenced with our head and neck cancer database to identify the patients included in this cohort. In addition, Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed to calculate overall and disease-free survival.

Results

Of 1,221 patients, 20 met the inclusion criteria. The median time from organ transplant to HNSCC diagnosis was 5.9 years (range: 0.5-18.5 years). A total of 11 (55.0%) and 9 (45.0%) patients presented with localized and locally advanced disease, respectively. Two-year overall and disease-free survivals were 59.1% and 73.5%, respectively. After initial treatment, six (30.0%) patients experienced a recurrence. All patients who developed a recurrence died within the follow-up period. The median time of death after recurrence for all six patients was 11.5 months (range: 2-22 months).

Conclusion

This series highlights a high mortality rate following recurrence among patients with primary mucosal HNSCC and a solid organ transplant history. A better understanding of how solid organ transplant history adversely impacts the course of HNSCC could help properly guide treatment, follow-up, and survivorship decisions.

Categories: Otolaryngology, Oncology, Transplantation **Keywords:** locoregional recurrence, radiation therapy, organ transplant, head and neck, squamous cell carcinoma

Introduction

Individuals with a history of solid organ transplants are noted to have an increased incidence of cancer diagnoses [1]. Cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) are reported to occur up to 65 times more commonly in these patients compared to the general population [2-5]. In cutaneous SCC with primary tumors located in the head and neck, immunosuppressive therapy has been associated not just with increased incidence but also with increased recurrence and poorer survival [6]. Aerodigestive mucosal head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) incidence has also been shown to be associated with a history of organ transplants, particularly oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancers [7]. Relative to cutaneous SCCs of the head and neck, outcomes among patients with mucosal HNSCC and a history of solid organ transplant are less well-studied. Our aim was to retrospectively characterize this patient cohort treated at an urban transplant center with a dedicated head and neck oncology team to uncover possible trends that could help guide treatment decisions for these challenging patients in a multidisciplinary setting.

Materials And Methods

This retrospective analysis was performed after obtaining approval from the IRB (approval number 8751). Initially, patients were identified in our institutional organ transplant database. Next, the Epic (Epic;

How to cite this article

Gilbert M, Liang E, Li P, et al. (April 20, 2022) Outcomes of Primary Mucosal Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma in Solid Organ Transplant Recipients. Cureus 14(4): e24305. DOI 10.7759/cureus.24305 Madison, WI) electronic medical records system's SlicerDicer function was used to identify patients with mucosal HNSCC. The identified patient records were then cross-referenced with our head and neck cancer database (REDCap 10.6; Nashville, TN). Patients 18 years and older diagnosed with primary HNSCC over a 15-year period between March 2006 and March 2021 were included. The analysis included patients who had previously undergone a solid organ transplant and were subsequently diagnosed with a primary mucosal HNSCC. Patients with bone marrow transplants, primary cutaneous malignancies, non-SCC histologies, and external lip primary tumors were excluded from the analysis.

Patient characteristics, including immunosuppression regimens, tumor staging, treatment details, and cancer control outcomes, were queried from the database. The staging was performed according to the previous American Joint Committee of Cancer (AJCC) 7th edition, with special regard to human papillomavirus positive oropharyngeal cancer staging, as neck nodal and overall staging have significant differences in the current AJCC 8th edition. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the date of diagnosis to death censored at the last follow-up. Locoregional control (LRC) was defined as the date of diagnosis to local or regional failure censored at death or last follow-up. OS and LRC were estimated using the logrank test to compare different cohorts using Kaplan-Meier methods. In addition, the time-dependent Cox proportional hazard model was used to assess the impact of LRC on OS. All analysis was done in R 4.0.4, and statistical tests were two-sided with an α (significance) level of 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Out of 1,221 patients in the head and neck cancer database, 23 patients met inclusion criteria. Three patients were excluded due to a lack of treatment and/or follow-up information, resulting in a cohort of 20 patients. The clinical features of the patients are shown in Table 1. The median age of diagnosis was 59.5 years (range: 47-74 years), and most patients were male (n=16, 80.0%). Of these patients, 12 (60.0%) had undergone a liver transplant, four (20.0%) had a kidney transplant, and one (5.00%) had a lung transplant. Three patients (15.0%) had received multiple transplants, with two patients having received both a liver and kidney transplant and one patient receiving a kidney and heart transplant. History of tobacco use was common among this cohort, with 14 (70.0%) patients having regular usage documented in their medical records.

		Number of patients (n=20)	%
	<50	1	5.00
Age	>=50 and <60	9	45.0
	>=60 and <70	8	40.0
	>=70	2	10.0
Gender	Male	16	80.0
	Female	4	20.0
Smoking history	<10 pack years	6	30.0
Choking history	>=10 pack years	14	70.0
Alcohol history	No	8	40.0
Noonormistory	Yes	12	60.0
	Liver	12	60.0
	Kidney	4	20.0
Type of transplant	Lung	1	5.00
	Liver and kidney	2	10.0
	Kidney and heart	1	5.00
	<24 months	3	15.0
	>=24 and <48 months	3	15.0
Time from transplant to HNSCC diagnosis	>=48 and <72 months	2	10.0
	>=72 and <96 months	6	30.0

Cureus

>=120 months630.0Immunosuppression prior to HNSCC diagnosisTOR inhibitor1575.0Both315.0Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisNo change in use1155.0Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisOropharyngeal735.0Primary tumor siteOropharyngeal735.0Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisOropharyngeal15.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisOropharyngeal15.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage I35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage I35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage I35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage II35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage II35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage II35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage III35.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage III5.005.00Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisStage III5.005.00Immunosuppressica after HNSCC diagnosisStage III		>=96 and <120 months	0	0.00
Immunosuppression prior to HNSCC diagnosismTOR inhibitor210.0Both315.0Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisNo change in use1155.0Change in use945.0Primary tumor siteOropharyngeal735.0Laryngeal735.0Hypopharyngeal526.0Hypopharyngeal15.00Stage I735.0Stage II310.0Stage IV630.0Stage IV630.0Stage IV535.0Stage IV635.0Deforitive radiation/chemoradiation535.0Deforitive chemoradiation535.0Definitive chemoradiation535.0Definitive chemoradiation535.0Definitive chemoradiation535.0		>=120 months	6	30.0
Both 3 16.0 Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosis No change in use 11 55.0 Change in use 9 45.0 Primary tumor site Oropharyngeal 7 35.0 Oral cavity 7 35.0 Laryngeal 5.00 25.0 Hypopharyngeal 1 5.00 Stage I 5.00 5.00 Stage II 3 5.00 Stage IV 3 5.00 Stage IV 6 30.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Pretment modality Surgery alone 7 35.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 5.00 5.00		Calcineurin inhibitor	15	75.0
No change in use 11 55.0 Change in use 9 45.0 Change in use 9 45.0 Primary tumor site Oropharyngeal 7 35.0 Cral cavity 7 35.0 Laryngeal 5 25.0 Hypopharyngeal 1 5.00 Stage I 7 5.00 Stage II 3 5.00 Stage IV 3 15.0 Stage IV 3 30.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Theatment modality Surgery alone 5 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 5 Definitive chemoradiation 5 5	Immunosuppression prior to HNSCC diagnosis	mTOR inhibitor	2	10.0
Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosisChange in use945.0Change in use945.0Oropharyngeal735.0Cral cavity736.0Laryngeal525.0Hypopharyngeal15.00Stage I735.0Stage II335.0Stage IV315.0Stage IV630.0Stage IV635.0Preatment modality555.0Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation525.0Definitive chemoradiation525.0Stage IV525.0Stage IV635.0Stage IV535.0Stage IV635.0Stage IV635.0Stage IV555.0Stage IV555.0 </td <td></td> <td>Both</td> <td>3</td> <td>15.0</td>		Both	3	15.0
Change in use945.0Primary tumor siteOropharyngeal750.0Cral cavity750.050.0Laryngeal15.005.00Hypopharyngeal15.005.00Stage 015.005.00Stage 175.005.00Stage 15.005.005.00Stage 15.005.005.00Stage 15.005.005.00Stage 15.005.005.00Stage 15.005.005.00Stage 15.005.005.00Pretment modalityStage 15.005.00Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation5.005.00Definitive chemoradiation5.005.00	Immunosuppression after HNSCC diagnosis	No change in use	11	55.0
Primary tumor site Oral cavity 7 55.0 Laryngeal 5 25.0 Hypopharyngeal 1 5.00 Agge 0 1 5.00 Stage 1 7 5.00 Stage 1 3 5.00 Stage 1 3 5.00 Stage 1 3 5.00 Stage 1 3 5.00 Stage 1V 6 30.00 Treatment modality 5 5.00 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 5.00 Stage 1000 5 5.00 5.00		Change in use	9	45.0
Primary tumor site Laryngeal 5 25.0 Hypopharyngeal 1 5.00 Hypopharyngeal 1 5.00 Agge 0 1 5.00 Stage 1 7 5.00 Stage II 3 15.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Freatment modality 5 90 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 5.00 Definitive chemoradiation 5 5.00		Oropharyngeal	7	35.0
Laryngeal525.0Hypopharyngeal15.00Stage 015.00Stage 1735.0Stage II315.0Stage III315.0Stage IV630.0Stage IV535.0Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation525.0Definitive chemoradiation525.0	Primany tumor sita	Oral cavity	7	35.0
Stage 0 1 5.00 Stage 1 7 35.0 Stage I 3 15.0 Stage II 3 15.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 25.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0		Laryngeal	5	25.0
Stage I 7 55.0 Grade Stage II 3 15.0 Stage III 3 15.0 15.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 30.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 30.0 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 7 30.0 30.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0 30.0<		Hypopharyngeal	1	5.00
Grade Stage II 3 15.0 Stage III 3 15.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Surgery alone 7 35.0 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 25.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0		Stage 0	1	5.00
Stage III 3 15.0 Stage IV 6 30.0 Surgery alone 7 35.0 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 25.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0		Stage I	7	35.0
Stage IV 6 30.0 Surgery alone 7 35.0 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 25.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0	Grade	Stage II	3	15.0
Surgery alone 7 35.0 Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 25.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0		Stage III	3	15.0
Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation 5 25.0 Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0		Stage IV	6	30.0
Treatment modality Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0		Surgery alone	7	35.0
Definitive chemoradiation 5 25.0	Treatment modality	Post-operative radiation/chemoradiation	5	25.0
Definitive radiation 3 15.0	Troutinent modelity	Definitive chemoradiation	5	25.0
		Definitive radiation	3	15.0

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics.

HNSCC: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

For the immunosuppression regimen prior to HNSCC diagnosis, 15 (75%) were on calcineurin inhibitors, two (10%) were on mTOR inhibitors, and three (15%) were on both. The median time to HNSCC diagnosis after receiving a solid organ transplant was 5.9 years (range: 0.5-18.5 years). Of the 15 patients previously on calcineurin inhibitors, nine patients continued their regimens without change, one had lowered dosing, three switched to mTOR inhibitor, one remained on mycophenolate mofetil alone, and one came off immunosuppression entirely. The two patients on mTOR inhibitor alone continued their regimens; the three patients taking both calcineurin inhibitors and mTOR inhibitors all discontinued their calcineurin inhibitors and continued with mTOR inhibitor alone.

Disease characteristics

The primary tumor sites were predominantly oropharyngeal (n=7, 35.0%), oral cavity (n=7, 35.0%), laryngeal (n=5, 25.0%), and hypopharyngeal (n=1, 5.00%) cancers. The cohort included 11 patients (55.0%) who presented with localized disease (stages 0, I, II), and the remaining nine patients (45.0%) had presented with locally advanced disease (stages 1II, IVa-b). No patients had distant metastases at the time of diagnosis.

Treatment details

Twelve patients (60.0%) had primary surgical resection with or without neck lymph node dissection. Of these, five (41.7%) received adjuvant radiation with or without concurrent chemotherapy, while the remaining seven patients (58.3%) received no adjuvant treatment. Patients treated with adjuvant radiation were prescribed a median dose of 60Gy (range: 54-66Gy). Nine patients were treated with primary radiation with or without concurrent chemotherapy and were prescribed a median dose of 70Gy (range: 40-70Gy).

Outcome

At a median follow-up of 6.1 years (range: 0.3-9.2 years), the median OS for the cohort was 2.7 years (95%

CI: 1.28-NA). Figure 1 presents OS following completion of primary treatment. This corresponded to twoyear and five-year OS rates of 59.1% (95% CI: 39.7-88.1) and 32.8% (95% CI: 16.2-66.6), respectively. The two-year survival rate was 76.2% (95% CI: 52.1-100.0) for localized disease versus 38.1% (95% CI: 15.7-92.4) for locally advanced disease. At five years, these survival rates were 38.1% (95% CI: 15.7-92.4) and 25.4% (95% CI: 07.7-83.8). Differences in survival rates between groups did not reach statistical significance by the logrank test (p = 0.31).



FIGURE 1: Overall survival for solid organ transplant patients subsequently diagnosed with HNSCC.

HNSCC: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

Figure 2 shows locoregional control of the entire cohort; 73.5% (95% CI: 53.5-100) and 52.5% (95% CI: 29.8-92.4) of patients were disease-free at two and five years, respectively. After initial treatment, six patients (30.0%) experienced a locoregional recurrence in the head and/or neck at a median of 13.5 months (range: 6-39 months). Characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 2. Notably, distant failure occurred in two patients after locoregional recurrence. No other instances of distant failure were observed. Salvage or palliative treatment was initiated for five of six patients who experienced recurrence, and four completed their planned treatment courses. All patients who experienced locoregional recurrence died within the follow-up period. The median time to death after recurrence was 11.5 months (range: 2-22 months).



Locoregional control

FIGURE 2: Locoregional control for solid organ transplant patients diagnosed with HNSCC that later presented with recurrence.

HNSCC: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

Patient	Type of Transplant	Age, Sex	Tumor Site	Stage	Treatment Modality	Type of Immunosuppression, Change in Immunosuppression after HNC Diagnosis	Recurrence	Recurrence Treatment Modality	Initial Diagnosis to Recurrence (months)	Subsequent Distant Failure	Recurrence to Death (months)
1	Liver	61, M	Oral Cavity	ш	Chemo + RT (40Gy/20fx, concurrent carboplatin)	Calcineurin, Stopped Immunosuppression	Primary + Neck	Palliative RT (37.5Gy/15fx – elected for hospice after 6fx)	28	No	2
2	Kidney	57, M	Larynx	I	Definitive RT (63GY/28fx)	Calcineurin, Stopped Calcineurin and Started mTOR	Neck	Palliative Chemo (cetuximab/ carboplatin/5-FU)	39	Yes	16
3	Liver	58, M	Oropharynx	Ш	Definitive RT (67.84GY/32fx)	Calcineurin and mTOR, Stopped Calcineurin and Continued mTOR	Primary	Surgery Alone (Biopsy Only)	11	No	22
4	Liver	53, F	Oral Cavity	I	Surgery Alone (WLE + ipsilateral ND)	Calcineurin, Stopped Immunosuppression	Primary + Neck	Surgery Alone (Repeat	12	No	7
5	Liver	63, M	Oropharynx	IVa	Chemo + RT (70Gy/35fx, concurrent cetuximab)	Calcineurin, No Change	Neck	Palliative RT (20Gy/5fx)	6	No	3
6	Kidney	68, M	Larynx	I	Surgery Alone (WLE)	Calcineurin, No Change	Primary	Definitive RT (63Gy/28fx)	15	Yes	16

TABLE 2: Recurrent patient characteristics.

ND: Neck dissection; RT: Radiation therapy; WLE: Wide local excision.

Discussion

Solid organ transplant history and associated long-term immunosuppressive therapy have been implicated in both the increased incidence and aggressive course of cutaneous SCCs [2-6]. Mechanisms proposed for this increased susceptibility include interactions with ultraviolet radiation-induced carcinogenesis or oncogenic viruses, the latter being also implicated in mucosal HNSCC [5]. In this study, we observed a two-year OS of 38.1% in patients with locally advanced mucosal HNSCC. This is lower than the three-year OS of 65% and two-year OS of 63% noted in the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) 22931 and Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 9501 studies of non-transplant HNSCC patients receiving trimodality therapy, as well as the two-year OS of 54% in the meta-analysis of chemotherapy in head and neck cancer (MACH-NC) of patients receiving definitive chemoradiation [8-14]. This difference might be explained by the high mortality among patients who had progression of disease in our study.

This cohort had a locoregional recurrence rate of 30.0%, which is within the expected range of 21.1%-35.8% in previously published randomized studies in non-transplant HNSCC [11,12]. Among our patients who had a locoregional recurrence, none were alive at the last follow-up. This contrasts with a reported OS of 39% in a prospective randomized trial of HNSCC patients who received salvage surgery alone for recurrence. However, this may have created a selection bias of those patients who were healthy enough for salvage surgery [13]. In another multi-institutional study focusing on head and neck re-irradiation, median survival was 16.5 months, and the actuarial survival rate was 40.0% at two years [14].

Our findings are comparable to the limited institutional experiences that have been published on mucosal HNSCC following solid organ transplant. When evaluating experiences limited to primary mucosal HNSCC only, specifically oropharynx, hypopharynx, oral cavity, and larynx, sample sizes ranged from nine to 33 [15-19]. Information regarding comparable studies is summarized in Table 3. Our interval to HNSCC diagnosis was 5.9 years, which is consistent with previous case series reporting values ranging from 2.0 to 9.4 years. In addition, we noted a median OS of 2.7 years, which is within the range of 1.8-3.8 years found in previously published reports. Our five-year OS rate of 32.8% similarly falls within the published range of 11%-42%. One finding of note in our study is the poor survival rate in patients who experienced a recurrence, regardless of tumor site and initial stage. Patients who experienced local recurrences were significantly more likely to die, with none of these patients being alive at the end of the follow-up period. This is despite the fact that local treatment was offered to all patients. Some of these salvage treatments can be morbid, whether it is re-irradiation, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, or surgery in a previously treated area [20-22].

Study	N	HNSCC	Five-year Survival	Comments
Alsidawi S et al. (2017) [15]	33	30	39%	Included 2 SCC salivary gland malignancies and 1 unknown primary
Scheifele C et al. (2005) [16]	13	13	42%	Included only liver transplants
Coordes A et al. (2016) [17]	33	33	34%	Included only liver transplants
Öhman J et al. (2015) [18]	Oral Cavity = 17, Lip = 34	12	Oral Cavity = 27%, Lip = 61%	Included a comparison between 17 oral cavity malignancies and 34 lip malignancies. Oral cavity included 5 non-SCC salivary gland malignancies. Excluded patients with multiple transplants.
Lin NC et al. (2019) [19]	9	8	11%	Included only liver transplants and 1 patient with a salivary gland malignancy
Gilbert M et al. (2021)	20	20	33%	Current Study

TABLE 3: Comparison of previous studies involving head and neck squamous cell carcinoma after solid organ transplant.

The particular classes of drugs used for immunosuppression in our cohort are also worth examining. Calcineurin inhibitor-based regimens have been associated with higher rates of SCCs [5,23,24]. Randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses in kidney transplant patients have shown that switching from a calcineurinbased regimen could increase the cutaneous SCC-free survival [25,26]. In addition, mTOR inhibitors, independent of the organ transplant setting, have also been considered cytostatic therapeutics for HNSCC [27]. One meta-analysis looked at trials examining the use of mTOR inhibitors as monotherapies, in conjunction with chemoradiation, and in conjunction with EGFR inhibitors; it was concluded that survival was highest when mTOR inhibitors were combined with chemoradiation [28]. This would make mTOR inhibitors a potentially attractive alternative to calcineurin-based immunosuppression in patients subsequently diagnosed with HNSCC. In our cohort, nine patients had adjustments to their immunosuppressive regimens; of these, three patients were switched from calcineurin inhibitor to mTOR inhibitor. The sample sizes here were too small to conclude the effects of these changes on recurrences, but adjustment of immunosuppression should be a focus in any future analyses.

Also of relevance to our cohort, checkpoint inhibition immunotherapy may be more complex in patients on immunosuppression for an organ transplant [29]. This is especially important given the increasing role of immunotherapy in HNSCC patients as a preferred first-line systemic therapy option for patients with recurrent or metastatic disease. The KEYNOTE-048 trial demonstrated superior survival with pembrolizumab in combination with chemotherapy (platinum and 5-fluorouracil) compared to chemotherapy alone or chemotherapy plus cetuximab [30]. The trial also showed the efficacy of single-agent pembrolizumab in patients with tumors staining positive for programmed death-ligand 1. Regardless of the approach, the patient selection remains crucial in managing recurrent HNSCC. The high mortality seen in our cohort suggests that organ transplant and long-term immunosuppression should be taken into account when deciding on appropriate local or systemic therapies for recurrences.

Our institutional experience of treating patients with HNSCC who had a previous solid organ transplant is a limited descriptive review of a select group of patients due to the rarity of this diagnosis. In addition, this specific cohort includes patients with various primary tumor sites and wide distribution of cancer stages. A larger patient sample would allow matching with primary mucosal HNSCC patients who do not have a transplant history and could refine the comparison of clinical outcomes.

Conclusions

This single-institution retrospective study reviewed outcomes among patients who developed primary mucosal HNSCC following a solid organ transplant. Overall survival was poor and may have been driven largely due to low survival following recurrence. These outcomes are consistent with previously published analyses and, together, highlight the need for matched cohorts for better comparison. Such data can then be used to properly inform multidisciplinary treatment discussions.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Henry Ford Health IRB issued approval 8751. The HFHS IRB has read and reviewed this protocol and finds that this research is appropriate in design and meets the requirements of the Federal Guidelines, 45 CFR Part 46 and 21 CFR Part 50. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: Farzan Siddiqui declare(s) non-financial support from Noona. Farzan Siddiqui participates in the Noona advisory board. Farzan Siddiqui declare(s) personal fees from Varian Medical Systems. Farzan Siddiqui declare(s) non-financial support from Varian Medical Systems. Farzan Siddiqui declare(s) non-financial support from Varian Medical Systems. Farzan Siddiqui declare(s) non-financial support from Varian Medical Systems. Farzan Siddiqui declare(s) non-financial support from Varian Medical Systems. Farzan Siddiqui participates in the Varian Medical Systems advisory board. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

Marissa Gilbert and Evan Liang contributed equally to the work and should be considered co-first authors. Data are available on reasonable request. In addition, the authors thank Karla Passalacqua, Ph.D., at Henry Ford Health for editorial assistance.

References

- Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Fraumeni JF Jr, et al.: Spectrum of cancer risk among US solid organ transplant recipients. JAMA. 2011, 306:1891-1901. 10.1001/jama.2011.1592
- Moloney FJ, Comber H, O'Lorcain P, O'Kelly P, Conlon PJ, Murphy GM: A population-based study of skin cancer incidence and prevalence in renal transplant recipients. Br J Dermatol. 2006, 154:498-504. 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2005.07021.x
- O'Reilly Zwald F, Brown M: Skin cancer in solid organ transplant recipients: advances in therapy and management: part II. Management of skin cancer in solid organ transplant recipients. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2011, 65:263-279. 10.1016/j.jaad.2010.11.063
- Kempf W, Mertz KD, Hofbauer GF, Tinguely M: Skin cancer in organ transplant recipients. Pathobiology. 2013, 80:302-309. 10.1159/000350757
- Euvrard S, Kanitakis J, Claudy A: Skin cancers after organ transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2003, 348:1681-1691. 10.1056/NEJMra022137
- Elghouche AN, Pflum ZE, Schmalbach CE: Immunosuppression impact on head and neck cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2019, 160:439-446. 10.1177/0194599818808511
- Mowery AJ, Conlin MJ, Clayburgh DR: Elevated incidence of head and neck cancer in solid organ transplant recipients. Head Neck. 2019, 41:4009-4017. 10.1002/hed.25937
- Cooper JS, Pajak TF, Forastiere AA, et al.: Postoperative concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy for high-risk squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med. 2004, 350:1937-1944. 10.1056/NEIMoa032646
- Bernier J, Domenge C, Ozsahin M, et al.: Postoperative irradiation with or without concomitant chemotherapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer. N Engl J Med. 2004, 350:1945-1952. 10.1056/NEJMoa032641
- Pignon JP, Bourhis J, Domenge C, Designé L: Chemotherapy added to locoregional treatment for head and neck squamous-cell carcinoma: three meta-analyses of updated individual data. Lancet. 2000, 355:949-955. 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)90011-4
- Ang KK, Zhang Q, Rosenthal DI, et al.: Randomized phase III trial of concurrent accelerated radiation plus cisplatin with or without cetuximab for stage III to IV head and neck carcinoma: RTOG 0522. J Clin Oncol. 2014, 32:2940-2950. 10.1200/JCO.2013.53.5633
- Forastiere AA, Zhang Q, Weber RS, et al.: Long-term results of RTOG 91-11: a comparison of three nonsurgical treatment strategies to preserve the larynx in patients with locally advanced larynx cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2013, 31:845-852. 10.1200/JCO.2012.43.6097
- Janot F, de Raucourt D, Benhamou E, et al.: Randomized trial of postoperative reirradiation combined with chemotherapy after salvage surgery compared with salvage surgery alone in head and neck carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2008, 26:5518-5523. 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.0102
- Ward MC, Riaz N, Caudell JJ, et al.: Refining patient selection for reirradiation of head and neck squamous carcinoma in the IMRT era: a multi-institution cohort study by the MIRI collaborative. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018, 100:586-594. 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2017.06.012
- Alsidawi S, Price KA, Chintakuntlawar AV, Westin GF, Garcia JJ, Ma DJ, Okuno SH: Characteristics and longterm outcomes of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma after solid organ transplantation. Oral Oncol. 2017, 72:104-109. 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2017.07.010
- Scheifele C, Reichart PA, Hippler-Benscheidt M, Neuhaus P, Neuhaus R: Incidence of oral, pharyngeal, and laryngeal squamous cell carcinomas among 1515 patients after liver transplantation. Oral Oncol. 2005, 41:670-676. 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2005.03.014
- 17. Coordes A, Albers AE, Lenarz M, et al.: Incidence and long-term survival of patients with de novo head and neck carcinoma after liver transplantation. Head Neck. 2016, 38:707-714. 10.1002/hed.23943
- 18. Öhman J, Rexius H, Mjörnstedt L, Gonzalez H, Holmberg E, Dellgren G, Hasséus B: Oral and lip cancer in

solid organ transplant patients--a cohort study from a Swedish Transplant Centre. Oral Oncol. 2015, 51:146-150. 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2014.11.007

- 19. Lin NC, Chen YL, Tsai KY: Head and neck cancer in living donor liver transplant recipients: single center retrospective study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019, 98:e16701. 10.1097/MD.000000000016701
- Zafereo M: Surgical salvage of recurrent cancer of the head and neck . Curr Oncol Rep. 2014, 16:386. 10.1007/s11912-014-0386-0
- 21. Ho JC, Phan J: Reirradiation of head and neck cancer using modern highly conformal techniques . Head Neck. 2018, 40:2078-2093. 10.1002/hed.25180
- 22. Specenier P, Vermorken JB: Optimizing treatments for recurrent or metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2018, 18:901-915. 10.1080/14737140.2018.1493925
- Ume AC, Pugh JM, Kemp MG, Williams CR: Calcineurin inhibitor (CNI)-associated skin cancers: new insights on exploring mechanisms by which CNIs downregulate DNA repair machinery. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed. 2020, 36:433-440. 10.1111/phpp.12600
- Kuschal C, Thoms KM, Schubert S, Schäfer A, Boeckmann L, Schön MP, Emmert S: Skin cancer in organ transplant recipients: effects of immunosuppressive medications on DNA repair. Exp Dermatol. 2012, 21:2-6. 10.1111/j.1600-0625.2011.01413.x
- 25. Euvrard S, Morelon E, Rostaing L, et al.: Sirolimus and secondary skin-cancer prevention in kidney transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2012, 367:329-339. 10.1056/NEJMoa1204166
- 26. Smith A, Niu W, Desai A: The effect of conversion from a calcineurin inhibitor to sirolimus on skin cancer reduction in post-renal transplantation patients. Cureus. 2017, 9:e1564. 10.7759/cureus.1564
- Aissat N, Le Tourneau C, Ghoul A, et al.: Antiproliferative effects of rapamycin as a single agent and in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel in head and neck cancer cell lines. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2008, 62:305-313. 10.1007/s00280-007-0609-2
- Patel J, Nguyen SA, Ogretmen B, Gutkind JS, Nathan CA, Day T: mTOR inhibitor use in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a meta-analysis on survival, tumor response, and toxicity. Laryngoscope Investig Otolaryngol. 2020, 5:243-255. 10.1002/lio2.370
- Kittai AS, Oldham H, Cetnar J, Taylor M: Immune checkpoint inhibitors in organ transplant patients. J Immunother. 2017, 40:277-281. 10.1097/CJI.00000000000180
- Burtness B, Harrington KJ, Greil R, et al.: Pembrolizumab alone or with chemotherapy versus cetuximab with chemotherapy for recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (KEYNOTE-048): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. Lancet. 2019, 394:1915-1928. 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32591-7