### **NEURO**



# No effect of age, gender and total intracranial volume on brainstem MR planimetric measurements

Stephanie Mangesius<sup>1,2,3</sup> • Anna Hussl<sup>2</sup> • Susanne Tagwercher<sup>2</sup> • Eva Reiter<sup>2</sup> • Christoph Müller<sup>2</sup> • Lukas Lenhart<sup>1</sup> • Florian Krismer<sup>2</sup> • Philipp Mahlknecht<sup>2</sup> • Michael Schocke<sup>1,3</sup> • Elke R. Gizewski<sup>1,3</sup> • Werner Poewe<sup>2,3</sup> • Klaus Seppi<sup>2,3</sup>

Received: 12 July 2019 / Revised: 9 September 2019 / Accepted: 4 October 2019 / Published online: 17 January 2020 (C) The Author(s) 2019

### Abstract

**Objectives** MR planimetry of brainstem structures can be helpful for the discrimination of Parkinsonian syndromes. It has been suggested that ageing might influence brainstem MR measurements assessed by MR planimetry, while effects of gender and total intracranial volume (TIV) have not been assessed so far. The aim of this study was to evaluate age, gender and TIV effects on brainstem MR planimetric measures.

**Methods** Brainstem MR planimetric measures of diameters (midbrain, pons, middle and superior cerebellar peduncle) and areas (pons and midbrain), the derived ratios, and the magnetic resonance Parkinsonism index (MRPI) were assessed on 1.5-T MR images in a large cohort of 97 healthy controls and analysed for the influence of age, gender and TIV with univariate and multivariate linear models.

**Results** Neither gender nor age effects on planimetric measurements were observed in the population relevant for the differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism, aged 50 to 80 years, except for single area-derived measurements, with gender effects on pontine area (p = 0.013) and age effects on midbrain area (p = 0.037). Results were similar upon inclusion of the TIV in the analyses.

**Conclusions** There is no need to correct for age, gender or TIV when using brainstem-derived MR planimetric measurements in the differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism. **Kev Points** 

- There were no gender effects on single or combined imaging measurements of the brainstem in the population aged 50 to 80 years, the age range relevant for the differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism (except for pontine area).
- There were no age effects on single or combined imaging measurements of the brainstem in the population aged 50 to 80 years, the age range relevant for the differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism (except for midbrain area).
- There is no need for age- or gender-specific cut-offs for the relevant age group.

This paper was presented at ECR 2019 and is part of the Invest in the Youth programme.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-019-06504-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

- Stephanie Mangesius stephanie.mangesius@i-med.ac.at
- Klaus Seppi Klaus.Seppi@i-med.ac.at
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Neuroradiology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Anichstrasse 35, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Anichstrasse 35, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- <sup>3</sup> Neuroimaging Core Facility, Medical University of Innsbruck, Anichstrasse 35, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Keywords Magnetic resonance imaging · Parkinsonian disorders · Diagnosis, differential · Age factors · Sex factors

Abbreviations	
3D-MPRAGE	3-dimensional magnetisation-prepared
	rapid gradient-echo
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
GLM	General linear models
GM	Grey matter
ICC	Interclass correlation coefficients
$M_{\rm A}/P_{\rm A}$	Midbrain-to-pons area ratio
MCP <sub>d</sub>	Middle cerebellar peduncle diameter
$M_{\rm d}/P_{\rm d}$	Midbrain-to-pons diameter ratio
MRPI	Magnetic resonance Parkinsonism index
MSA	Multiple system atrophy
PSP	Progressive supranuclear palsy
SCP <sub>d</sub>	Superior cerebellar peduncle
WM	White matter

# Introduction

Magnetic resonance (MR) planimetry can assist in the differential diagnosis of progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) from non-PSP neurodegenerative Parkinsonism [1–5].

While structural changes of the brain regarding decreased brain tissue size and increased brain cerebrospinal fluid volume during ageing have been well-established [6–8], studies investigating age effects on brainstem structures are limited. Negative correlation between midbrain volume and ageing has been shown in several MR studies [9–11]. Moreover, a recent study by Morelli et al. suggested influence of ageing on brainstem-derived measures as pontine area, midbrain area, middle cerebellar peduncle diameter (MCP<sub>d</sub>) and midbrainto-pons area ratio ( $M_A/P_A$ ) in PD patients, and on midsagittal area of the midbrain and the  $M_A/P_A$  in healthy controls [12].

Since studies on gender effects have focused on grey and white matter thickness, surface, distribution and integrity in general, effects of gender on the whole brain are well known [13-15], whereas evidence for gender-specific effects of the brainstem volumes remain conflicting [13-15].

As brainstem planimetry is a simple and fast tool to assist for the discrimination of PSP from non-PSP neurodegenerative Parkinsonism with growing interest in the research community over the past decade [1, 3, 16–21], the aim of this study was to explore age- and gender-related effects on brainstem MR planimetric measures in healthy controls.

# Materials and methods

#### **Study population**

Our study involved 97 healthy controls aged 30 to 80 years (30-40 years (n = 6); 40-50 years (n = 5); 50-60 years (n = 13); 60-70 years (n = 58), 70-81 years (n = 15)). Healthy controls were without history of neurological or psychiatric disease and had normal neurological examinations. Evidence of vascular lesions, including lacunae or infarctions in the midbrain or basal ganglia, as evaluated with routine MRI sequences, was excluded by experienced neuroradiologists.

The healthy controls were recruited as part of three different studies.

All participants provided written informed consent before participating in this study, which was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Medical University Innsbruck.

# Magnetic resonance imaging protocol and image analysis

High-resolution MR images of all subjects were acquired on a 1.5-T scanner (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens). All participants received a coronal T1-weighted 3-dimensional magnetisation-prepared rapid gradient-echo (3D-MPRAGE) sequence with a TR of 1600 ms, a TE of 3.44 ms, a slice thickness of 1.2 mm, a matrix of  $256 \times 224$  pixels and a field of view of  $220 \times 192$  mm.

Midsagittal midbrain area, midsagittal pontine area, middle cerebellar peduncle (MCP<sub>d</sub>) diameter and superior cerebellar peduncle (SCP<sub>d</sub>) diameter were assessed as previously described [1]. Moreover, the midsagittal midbrain diameter and pontine diameter were obtained as recently proposed (Fig. 1) [3]. From these brainstem-derived planimetric measures, the magnetic resonance Parkinsonism index (MRPI),  $M_A/P_A$  and the midbrain-to-pons diameter ratio ( $M_d/P_d$ ) were calculated.

Planimetric measurements were performed by two experienced raters, all of them blinded to age and gender of the study population. All measurements were repeated by the same investigator and by an independent second investigator. Only the measurements performed by the first rater were included for further calculations.

The estimation of the total intracranial volume (TIV) was conducted using SPM 12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping) while running MATLAB 9.5 (R2018b; MathWorks). T1weighted images were reoriented and automatically segmented into grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) with default settings [22]. Native space tissue maps were selected to minimise effects due to spatial



**Fig. 1** MR planimetric measurements. The midbrain area was depicted between the first line (passing through the superior pontine notch and the inferior edge of the quadrigeminal plate) and the trace of the midbrain tegmentum (1), while the pontine area included the area between the second line (parallel to the first line by passing through the inferior pontine notch) and the anterior and posterior margins of the pons (2). Diameters of left and right middle cerebellar peduncles (MCP<sub>d</sub>) were identified on parasagittal views that best exposed the MCP<sub>d</sub> between the pons and cerebellum (3). Diameters of left and right superior

normalisation. TIV was calculated as the sum of resulting raw values for GM, WM and CSF.

#### Statistical analysis

For evaluation of age and gender effects on the single or combined imaging measurements of the brainstem MRPI,  $M_A/P_A$ ,  $M_{\rm d}/P_{\rm d}$ , midbrain diameter, pontine diameter, midbrain area and pontine area in HC, univariate and multivariate analyses were performed. Univariate analyses included calculations of unpaired t test for gender, Pearson correlation coefficient r for age and performance of univariate general linear models (GLM) for age and gender, including each MR planimetric measurement as dependent variable, gender as factor and age as covariate. Multivariate GLMs were performed with gender as independent variable and age as covariate, including age  $\times$  gender interaction. Multivariate GLMs were then repeated with gender as independent variable and age as covariate, including age  $\times$  gender  $\times$  TIV interaction, to correct for the effect of TIV. In all GLMs, univariate und multivariate, age was used as a continuous variable and not ranked.

Moreover, we repeated the analysis for the population relevant for the differential diagnosis of Parkinsonism, aged 50 to 80 years.

Scatter plots for all single and combined MR planimetric measurements versus age under colour coding for gender including a linear fit model with 95% confidence interval was

cerebellar peduncles (SCP<sub>d</sub>) were rated on the first oblique coronal image (parallel to the floor of the fourth ventricle) with inferior colliculi and SCP<sub>d</sub> separated (4). A mean value for left and right MCP<sub>d</sub> as well as left and right oblique coronal SCP<sub>d</sub> was calculated. Elliptical regions of interest were defined over the midbrain (5, without including the collicular plate) and pons (6, excluding the pontine tegmentum). The minor ellipsoid axes, defined as the maximum midsagittal anteroposterior midbrain and pontine diameter, were derived to obtain midbrain diameter and pontine diameter

calculated for raw and TIV-corrected MR planimetric measurements.

Inter- and intrarater variability was calculated using interclass correlation coefficients (ICC, Table 2). All the values were interpreted as follows [23]: values < 0.50 are considered poor; values between 0.50 and 0.75 moderate; values between 0.75 and 0.90 good; and values > 0.90 excellent. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v. 22.0 for Windows and GraphPad Prism v. 5.03 for Windows.

# Results

Demographic, clinical and MRI data of participants in the study are shown in Table 1.

Inter- and intrarater variability was "excellent" with ICCs over 0.90 for all measurements except for the interrater variability of the diameter-based measures which was "good" (Table 2).

All 97 participants were aged 30 to 80 years (mean age,  $63.02 \pm 10.76$  years) and equally gender-distributed (female-to-male ratio = 49:48) including all participants as well as within each age rank. This cohort showed significant gender-related effects on pontine diameter and pontine area in all univariate and multivariate tests performed.

Univariate analyses further revealed age-related effects on midbrain diameter and midbrain area, as well as on MCP<sub>d</sub>,

				1			N.6-14:			
					SIS			nalysis		
				Gender	Age		Gender	Age	Age $\times$ gender	Age $\times$ gender $\times$ TIV
				$T(p \text{ value})^a$	r (p value) <sup>c</sup>	$F(p \text{ value})^{b}$	$F(p \text{ value})^d$	$F(p \text{ value})^{d}$	$F(p \text{ value})^{d}$	$F(p \text{ value})^{d}$
All study participant	S									
	All $(n = 97)$	Male ( $n = 48$ )	Female $(n = 49)$							
Age	$63.02 \pm 10.785$	$64.47 \pm 10.19$	$61.60 \pm 11.26$	-1.312(0.193)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Midbrain diameter	$10.34 \pm 0.69$	$10.42 \pm 0.71$	$10.26 \pm 0.68$ 16 02 ± 1 16	-1.140(0.257)	-0.328(0.001)	11.491 (0.001)	2.829 (0.096)	13.077 (< 0.001)	7.270 (0.001)	4.635(0.012)
Midbrain area	$17.07 \pm 1.14$ 123.55 $\pm 14.07$	$17.32 \pm 1.06$ 124.02 $\pm 15.11$	$123.09 \pm 13.10$	-0.325(0.746)	$(0.000) \approx 0.001)$	(200.0) (17.396 (< 0.001)	0.842 (0.361)	(18.08 < 0.001)	9.104 < 0.001	5.224 (0.007)
Pontine area	$541.215 \pm 48.40$	$552.20 \pm 46.87$	$530.45 \pm 47.92$	- 2.259 (0.026)	-0.051(0.619)	0.249 (0.619)	5.497 (0.021)	0.672 (0.415)	2.879 (0.061)	2.497 (0.088)
Mean MCP <sub>d</sub>	$10.09\pm0.83$	$10.19\pm0.84$	$10.00\pm0.81$	- 1.131 (0.261)	- 0.276 (0.006)	7.821 (0.006)	2.457 (0.120)	9.01 (0.003)	5.199 (0.007)	2.773 (0.068)
Mean SCP <sub>d</sub>	$3.68\pm0.36$	$3.75\pm0.39$	$3.62\pm0.33$	- 1.757 (0.082)	0.215 (0.035)	4.589 (0.035)	2.273 (0.135)	3.75 (0.056)	3.462 (0.035)	4.500 (0.014)
$M_{ m d}/P_{ m d}$	$0.61\pm0.05$	$0.60\pm0.06$	$0.61\pm0.05$	0.767 (0.445)	-0.301(0.003)	9.459 (0.003)	0.155(0.695)	8.892 (0.004)	4.765 (0.011)	5.660 (0.005)
$M_{ m A}/P_{ m A}$	$0.23\pm0.02$	$0.22\pm0.02$	$0.23\pm0.02$	1.586(0.116)	-0.392 (< 0.001)	17.220 < 0.001	1.346 (0.249)	15.72 (< 0.001)	9.314 (< 0.001)	9.151 < 0.001
MRPI	$12.16 \pm 1.64$	$12.29 \pm 1.78$	$12.03 \pm 1.49$	- 0.783 (0.435)	-0.031 (0.767)	0.088 (0.767)	0.684 (0.410)	0.164(0.687)	0.386(0.681)	0.350(0.706)
Study participants ag	ged 50 to 80 years									
	All $(n = 85)$	Male $(n = 43)$	Female $(n = 42)$							
Age	$66.01 \pm 5.82$	$67.48 \pm 4.61$	$64.50\pm6.56$	- 2.428 (0.017)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Midbrain diameter	$10.27\pm0.64$	$10.32\pm0.64$	$10.21\pm0.64$	- 0.755 (0.452)	- 0.035 (0.752)	0.100 (0.752)	0.744(0.391)	0.279 (0.599)	0.422 (0.657)	0.262 (0.770)
Pontine diameter	$17.10 \pm 1.17$	$17.35 \pm 1.12$	$16.84\pm1.19$	- 2.067 (0.042)	0.168 (0.123)	2.425 (0.123)	2.962 (0.089)	1.165 (0.284)	2.722 (0.072)	1.855(0.163)
Midbrain area	$121.61 \pm 13.35$	$121.87 \pm 14.26$	$121.34 \pm 12.52$	- 0.181 (0.857)	-0.215(0.048)	4.037 (0.048)	0.526 (0.470)	4.506 (0.037)	2.270 (0.110)	0.403 (0.669)
Pontine area	$540.55 \pm 50.62$	$552.60 \pm 48.73$	$528.22 \pm 50.09$	- 2.275 (0.026)	-0.066(0.548)	0.364(0.548)	6.390 (0.013)	1.569 (0.214)	3.389 (0.039)	2.644 (0.047)
Mean MCP <sub>d</sub>	$10.02\pm0.77$	$10.10\pm0.79$	$9.94\pm0.75$	-0.950(0.345)	- 0.074 (0.502)	0.454 (0.502)	1.352 (0.248)	0.906 (0.344)	0.904 ( $0.409$ )	0.439~(0.646)
Mean SCP <sub>d</sub>	$3.71\pm0.37$	$3.77\pm0.39$	$3.65\pm0.33$	-1.565(0.121)	0.192 (0.078)	3.186 (0.078)	1.330 (0.252)	2.048 (0.156)	2.264 (0.110)	1.693(0.190)
$M_{ m d}/P_{ m d}$	$0.60\pm0.05$	$0.60\pm0.05$	$0.61\pm0.05$	1.146(0.255)	- 0.168 (0.123)	2.425 (0.123)	0.603 (0.440)	1.693 (0.197)	1.508 (0.227)	0.664 (0.517)
$M_{ m A}/P_{ m A}$	$0.23\pm0.02$	$0.22\pm0.02$	$0.23\pm0.02$	1.969(0.052)	-0.175(0.109)	2.619 (0.109)	2.582 (0.112)	1.357 (0.248)	2.625 (0.079)	1.951 (0.149)
MRPI	$12.16 \pm 1.69$	$12.33 \pm 1.81$	$11.99 \pm 1.56$	- 0.917 (0.362)	- 0.071 (0.519)	0.420 (0.519)	1.257 (0.266)	0.839 (0.362)	0.839 (0.436)	0.706 (0.497)
Data are given as n	nean ± SD. Signifi	icant effects are n	narked in italics							
<sup>a</sup> Independent samp	oles t test									
					uvo				[	
I, t test; $r$ , Pearson	correlation coeffi	cient; r, univariat	e and multivariate	e general linear m	odels; $\mathcal{SCP}_{d}$ , super	tor cerebellar pedu	incle diameter;	$MCP_d$ , middle cer	ebellar peduncle o	liameter; M <sub>d</sub> /P <sub>d</sub> ratio,
middrain-to-pons d	lameter ratio; $M_{A'}$ .	$F_A$ <i>rano</i> , middraii	n-to-pons area rau	10; MKP1, magnet	ic resonance Parkin	sonism maex; n.a.	, not applicable	, DD, standard dev	lauon	
<sup>b</sup> Univariate genera	I linear model (un	ivariate analysis o	of covariance. AN	(COVA)						

s

<sup>c</sup> Pearson correlation

<sup>d</sup> Multivariate general linear model (multivariate analysis of covariance, gender as factor and age as covariate, ANCOVA)

 $SCP_d$ ,  $M_d/P_d$  and  $M_A/P_A$ , which were confirmed in multivariate analyses, except for  $SCP_d$  (Table 1).

For the cohort aged 50 to 80 years (mean age,  $66.01 \pm 5.82$  years; female-to-male ratio = 42:43), age-related effects were shown by univariate and multivariate analyses for midbrain area only, whereas gender-related effects were significant on both pontine area and diameter with univariate analyses, and only on pontine area with multivariate analyses. There were neither gender- nor age-related effects for  $M_d/P_d$ ,  $M_A/P_A$  and MRPI in this cohort (Table 1, Fig. 2). Table 1 includes MR planimetric measurements proven relevant in the differential diagnosis of degenerative Parkinsonism as shown in a recent study [24], and measurements showing gender- or age-related effects.

Results were similar when we included the TIV in the analyses (see Table 1). Only the significant age effects on the  $MCP_d$  in the whole study cohort vanished when correcting for TIV. Supplementary Fig. 1 further demonstrates all single and combined MR planimetric measurements in relation to age and gender for both, raw measurements and TIV corrected measurements.

# Discussion

In our study, we sought to determine the effects of age and gender on single and combined imaging measurements of the brainstem considered relevant for the differential diagnosis of Parkinsonian syndromes. Our results suggest gender effects on both pontine area and pontine diameter in healthy controls, with male subjects showing larger measurements, and age effects on midbrain diameter, midbrain area, and  $M_d/P_d$  and  $M_A/P_A$ .

However, though gender effects on pontine area and age effects on midbrain area were observed, the effect on

 Table 2
 Inter- and intrarater variability assessed by interclass correlation coefficient

Planimetric measurement	Intrarater	Interrater
Midbrain diameter	0.949	0.873
Pontine diameter	0.990	0.845
Midbrain area	1.000	0.992
Pontine area	0.998	0.993
Mean MCP <sub>d</sub>	0.975	0.976
Mean SCP <sub>d</sub>	0.959	0.938
$M_{\rm d}/{ m P_{\rm d}}$	0.955	0.858
$M_{\rm A}/P_{\rm A}$	0.999	0.979
MRPI	0.984	0.993

 $SCP_d$ , superior cerebellar peduncle diameter;  $MCP_d$ , middle cerebellar peduncle diameter;  $M_d/P_d$  ratio, midbrain-to-pons diameter ratio;  $M_A/P_d$  ratio, midbrain-to-pons area ratio; MRPI, magnetic resonance Parkinsonism index

brainstem ratios as  $M_d/P_d$ ,  $M_A/P_A$  and MRPI was not confirmed after including only controls aged 50 to 80 years. Moreover, correction of the single and combined imaging measurements of the brainstem for TIV revealed similar results.

A large body of neuroimaging studies have proposed brainstem-derived MR planimetric measures as diagnostic biomarkers for PSP or MSA [16]. To date, it is unclear whether gender and age effects should be considered for these measurements, which would highlight the need for gender- and age-adapted cut-off levels. Gender effects on planimetric measurements have never been investigated so far. To date, there has been one study suggesting age effects on brainstemderived planimetric measurements in healthy controls, PD and PSP patients [12], not including however gender as an important demographic variable in the analyses, such as false-positive findings cannot be excluded in this study [12].

When analysing the population aged 50 to 80 years, i.e. the age range relevant for the differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism, neither age nor gender effects on combined brainstem planimetric measures (i.e.  $M_A/P_A$ ,  $M_d/P_d$  and MRPI) nor midbrain diameter could be detected, suggesting that age and gender effects on combined measurements mainly derive from the younger study population.

Given the large number of healthy participants in this study, the present results are promising and are therefore valid for brainstem planimetric measurements performed in the cohort aged 50 to 80 years. However, further studies are needed to verify the observed gender and age effects on MR planimetric measurements of the brainstem in participants aged 30 to 50 years, which might be of interest for early-onset Parkinsonism and other neurological disease entities, such as e.g. multiple sclerosis.

Therefore, there is no need for age- or gender-specific cutoffs for the age group relevant for the differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism. It is however unclear if there are effects of disease stages or disease duration on single or combined brainstem MR planimetric measurements as suggested previously in diseased patients [12]. From a clinical point of view, this issue however is not relevant, as differential diagnosis of neurodegenerative Parkinsonism is relevant in the early disease stages only.

MR planimetric measurements were performed as described in the literature [3, 5, 8]. However, none of these studies corrected the brainstem-derived planimetric measures for TIV. If quantitative assessments of regional cerebral atrophy such as brainstem-derived planimetric measures are not corrected for TIV, the effect of age or gender might be compromised by a hidden association with TIV. Therefore, we corrected our analyses for TIV, to exclude an underlying association of reported effects on MR planimetry. To our knowledge, our study is the first to exclude any effect of TIV on brainstem-derived MR planimetric measurements.



Fig. 2 Scatter plots showing the relationship between age and MR measures in healthy population. MRPI, magnetic resonance Parkinsonism index

A limitation of our study is that MRI images were obtained on 1.5-T scanners only, which potentially might be a source for a methodological bias when being reproduced on 3-T MRI. There is, however, evidence that different scanners produce similar results of quantifiable, infratentorial changes using MRI planimetry on both 1.5-T and 3-T MR images. We therefore conclude that our present results can be transferred without methodological bias to the use of different scanners [25, 26]. Because MR planimetry poses the risk of reproducibility bias, we have assessed inter- and intrarater reliability, which both were high confirming the high inter- and intrarater reliability of MR planimetry–derived measures [3, 12] used for the discrimination of PSP from other forms of Parkinsonism.

In conclusion, our study indicates that there is no need for age- or gender-specific cut-offs of the brainstem-derived MR planimetric measurements used for the differential diagnosis of degenerative Parkinsonism. Moreover, TIV has no effects on these measurements. Therefore, the results of our study have important practical implications for the routine diagnostic work-up of patients with degenerative Parkinsonism when using brainstem-derived MR planimetric measurements as an easy-to-perform procedure. Acknowledgements We thank all healthy controls who volunteered to participate in our study.

**Funding Information** Open access funding provided by University of Innsbruck and Medical University of Innsbruck. This study has received funding by:

• the Oesterreichische Nationalbank (Austrian Central Bank, Anniversary Fund, project number: 14174), K.S., https://www.oenb.at/ en/About-Us/Research-Promotion/The-OeNB-Anniversary-Fund.html

• the Austrian Science Fund (FWF: Der Wissenschaftsfonds, project number: KLI82-B00), K.S., https://www.fwf.ac.at/en/

• the Integrated Research and Therapy Center (IFTZ: Integrierte Forschungs- undTherapiezentrum, project number: 2007152), K.S., https://www.i-med.ac.at/iftz/

These funders had no involvement in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

Guarantor The scientific guarantor of this publication is Klaus Seppi.

**Conflict of interest** The authors of this manuscript declare no relationships with any companies whose products or services may be related to the subject matter of the article.

**Statistics and biometry** No complex statistical methods were necessary for this paper.

**Informed consent** Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects in this study.

Ethical approval Institutional Review Board approval was obtained.

Study subjects or cohorts overlap No study subjects or cohorts have been previously reported.

#### Methodology

- Retrospective
- Diagnostic or prognostic study
- · Performed at one institution

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

# References

- Hussl A, Mahlknecht P, Scherfler C et al (2010) Diagnostic accuracy of the magnetic resonance Parkinsonism index and the midbrain-to-pontine area ratio to differentiate progressive supranuclear palsy from Parkinson's disease and the Parkinson variant of multiple system atrophy. Mov Disord 25:2444–2449
- Mahlknecht P, Hotter A, Hussl A, Esterhammer R, Schocke M, Seppi K (2010) Significance of MRI in diagnosis and differential diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. Neurodegener Dis 7:300–318
- Massey LA, Jager HR, Paviour DC et al (2013) The midbrain to pons ratio: a simple and specific MRI sign of progressive supranuclear palsy. Neurology 80:1856–1861
- Whitwell JL, Hoglinger GU, Antonini A et al (2017) Radiological biomarkers for diagnosis in PSP: where are we and where do we need to be? Mov Disord. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27038
- Heim B, Krismer F, De Marzi R, Seppi K (2017) Magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. J Neural Transm (Vienna). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-017-1717-8
- Coffey CE, Lucke JF, Saxton JA et al (1998) Sex differences in brain aging: a quantitative magnetic resonance imaging study. Arch Neurol 55:169–179
- Coffey CE (1994) Anatomic imaging of the aging human brain: computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. In: Coffey CE, Cummings JL (eds) The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Geriatric Neuropsychiatry. American Psychiatric Press Inc, Washington, DC, pp 159–194
- Powers R (1994) Neurobiology of aging. In: Coffey CE, Cummings JL (eds) The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Geriatric Neuropsychiatry. American Psychiatric Press Inc, Washington, DC, pp 33–79
- Brickman AM, Habeck C, Zarahn E, Flynn J, Stern Y (2007) Structural MRI covariance patterns associated with normal aging and neuropsychological functioning. Neurobiol Aging 28:284–295

- Sohmiya M, Tanaka M, Aihara Y, Hirai S, Okamoto K (2001) Agerelated structural changes in the human midbrain: an MR image study. Neurobiol Aging 22:595–601
- Sohmiya M, Tanaka M, Aihara Y, Okamoto K (2004) Structural changes in the midbrain with aging and Parkinson's disease: an MRI study. Neurobiol Aging 25:449–453
- Morelli M, Arabia G, Messina D et al (2014) Effect of aging on magnetic resonance measures differentiating progressive supranuclear palsy from Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 29: 488–495
- Gur RC, Turetsky BI, Matsui M et al (1999) Sex differences in brain gray and white matter in healthy young adults: correlations with cognitive performance. J Neurosci 19:4065–4072
- Luders E, Gaser C, Narr KL, Toga AW (2009) Why sex matters: brain size independent differences in gray matter distributions between men and women. J Neurosci 29:14265–14270
- McKay DR, Knowles EE, Winkler AA et al (2014) Influence of age, sex and genetic factors on the human brain. Brain Imaging Behav 8:143–152
- Nicoletti G, Fera F, Condino F et al (2006) MR imaging of middle cerebellar peduncle width: differentiation of multiple system atrophy from Parkinson disease. Radiology 239:825–830
- Oba H, Yagishita A, Terada H et al (2005) New and reliable MRI diagnosis for progressive supranuclear palsy. Neurology 64:2050– 2055
- Paviour DC, Price SL, Stevens JM, Lees AJ, Fox NC (2005) Quantitative MRI measurement of superior cerebellar peduncle in progressive supranuclear palsy. Neurology 64:675–679
- Quattrone A, Nicoletti G, Messina D et al (2008) MR imaging index for differentiation of progressive supranuclear palsy from Parkinson disease and the Parkinson variant of multiple system atrophy. Radiology 246:214–221
- Seppi K, Poewe W (2010) Brain magnetic resonance imaging techniques in the diagnosis of parkinsonian syndromes. Neuroimaging Clin N Am 20:29–55
- Warmuth-Metz M, Naumann M, Csoti I, Solymosi L (2001) Measurement of the midbrain diameter on routine magnetic resonance imaging: a simple and accurate method of differentiating between Parkinson disease and progressive supranuclear palsy. Arch Neurol 58:1076–1079
- Ashburner J, Friston KJ (2005) Unified segmentation. Neuroimage 26:839–851
- Koo TK, Li MY (2016) A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. J Chiropr Med 15:155–163
- Mangesius S, Hussl A, Krismer F et al (2018) MR planimetry in neurodegenerative parkinsonism yields high diagnostic accuracy for PSP. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 46:47–55
- Mangesius S, Krismer F, Gizewski ER et al (2016) 1.5 Versus 3 tesla magnetic resonance planimetry in neurodegenerative parkinsonism. Mov Disord 31:1925–1927
- Moller L, Kassubek J, Sudmeyer M et al (2017) Manual MRI morphometry in Parkinsonian syndromes. Mov Disord 32:778–782

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.