

Association of serum leptin with breast cancer A meta-analysis

Li Gu, MD^{a,b}, Cheng-Di Wang, MD^c, Chang Cao, MD^d, Lin-Rui Cai, MD^e, De-Hua Li, MD^{b,f,*}, Yu-Zhen Zheng, MD^g

Abstract

Background: Accumulating evidence has demonstrated that leptin is associated to the tumorigenesis and progression of breast cancer (BC). However, these studies remain inconsistent. Thus, a meta-analysis was conducted to investigate the role of leptin in the patients with BC.

Method: A systematic search in PubMed, Embase, ISI Web of Science, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases was conducted up to September 1, 2017. The standardized mean difference (SMD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was applied to pool the effect size. A funnel plot and Egger test were used to evaluate publication bias.

Results: Finally, 43 eligible studies were included in the current meta-analysis. Overall, serum leptin levels in BC cases were significantly higher compared with the controls (SMD = 0.61, P < .0001). When subgroup analyses were restricted to ethnicity and menstrual status, higher serum leptin concentration was also detected in patients with BC. Moreover, BC cases with body mass index (BMI) >25 indicated significantly higher serum leptin levels (SMD = 1.48, P = .034). Furthermore, the BC cases with lymph node metastases showed significantly higher serum leptin concentration (SMD = 0.53, P = .015).

Conclusion: The present meta-analysis suggests that the serum leptin may profiles as a pivotal role in the pathogenesis and metastasis of BC. In addition, leptin will provide useful information for a therapeutic target to treat BC.

Abbreviations: BC = breast cancer, CI = confidence interval, ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, ER = estrogen receptor, FEM = fixed-effects model, LN = lymph node invasion, PR = progesterone receptor, REM = random-effects model, RIA = radioimmunoassay, SMD = standardized mean difference.

Keywords: breast cancer, leptin, meta-analysis, serum

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is one of the commonest causes of cancerrelated death and is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in women worldwide.^[1,2] The incidence of BC has increased dramatically because of the prolonged life-span and the increased exposure to risk factors including hormone replacement therapy, alcohol consumption, family history of BC, and obesity.^[3,4] Although the targeted treatment of BC has made important progress, the 5-year relative survival for this kind of tumor is still

LG, CC, and LRC contributed equally to this work.

* Correspondence: De-Hua Li, Key Laboratory of Birth and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Sichuan University, Chengdu, PR China, Department of West China Second University Hospital Quality Improvement, West China Women's and Children's Hospital, Chengdu, PR China (e-mail: lidehuahx@163.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2019) 98:5(e14094)

Received: 5 September 2018 / Received in final form: 30 October 2018 / Accepted: 19 December 2018

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000014094

less than 17% due to the difficulties of making early diagnosis, large population with advanced-stage BC at diagnosis, and ineffectual treatment.^[5,6] Thus, it is crucial to identify new prognostic factors and therapeutic targets for BC to stratify cancer patients, monitor tumor progression, and make early diagnosis. Growing evidence has indicated several potential predictive biomarkers and therapeutic targets for BC, such as insulin-like growth factor, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), visfatin, adiponectin, and resistin.^[7–13]

Leptin is a circulating satiety hormone produced mainly by white adipose tissue and is expressed in normal breast epithelium and BC cell lines. Several experimental studies have indicated the crucial role played by leptin in regulating energy expenditure and metabolism and provoking proliferation. In addition, leptin can activate leptin receptor, different signaling pathways, and enzyme aromatase and exert its proliferative effects on malignant epithelial cells, which may induce carcinogenesis of breast tissue and promote the proliferation and angiogenesis of BC cells. In addition, elevated leptin expression in BC was reported to be involved in higher tumor grade and size.^[14–16]

Some studies have provided strong evidence that leptin is overexpressed in the majority of BC patients and is also involved in tumorigenesis and the progression of BC.^[17–20] However, other studies have reported no association between serum leptin levels and BC development.^[10,21] Furthermore, a few studies have indicated an inverse association between circulating concentration of leptin and the risk of BC in premenopausal women.^[22,23] Nevertheless, some authors found a negative correlation between serum leptin and BC development in the premenopausal women, but a positive correlation in postmenopausal women.^[24]The current data available investigating the association between leptin and BC remains inconsistent due to different measurement methods, inhomogeneous

Editor: Jianxun Ding.

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest.

^a Department of Obstetrics, West China Women's and Children's Hospital, ^b Key Laboratory of Birth and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Sichuan University, ^c Center for Joint Surgery, Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University, ^d Department of Cosmetic Plastic and Burns Surgery, West China Hospital, ^e National Drug Clinical Trial Institute, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, ^f Department of West China Second University Hospital Quality Improvement, West China Women's and Children's Hospital, Chengdu, ^g Karamay Central Hospital, XinJiang, PR China.

study designs, and small sample sizes, which result in insufficient power to detect such possible small effect.

Due to the critical role of leptin in prospective molecular target for cancer prediction, prevention, and therapeutics and the inconsistency of previous studies, a comprehensive meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the reliable association between serum leptin levels and BC risk by precise results.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Literature search

The PRISMA protocol was prospectively conducted. Ethical approval was unnecessary in this study because it was a metaanalysis analyzing existing articles and did not need handle individual patient data. Two independent reviewers systematically searched PubMed, Embase, ISI Web of Science, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) databases to identify relevant studies from inception to September 1, 2017, using the following search terms: "leptin" and "breast neoplasms" or "breast neoplasm" or "breast tumor" or "breast tumors" or "breast cancer" or "human mammary neoplasm" or "human mammary neoplasm" or "human mammary carcinoma". There were no publication date or languages restrictions on trial eligibility. References from the retrieved articles were also screened for potentially relevant publications. For multiple studies based on the same case series, only the study with largest sample size was eligible.

2.2. Study selection

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- (1) a case-control study;
- (2) a study investigating the association between serum leptin levels and BC;

Table 1

Characteristics of included studies involving association between the serum leptin levels and breast cancer.

								Menstrual sta	atus (pre/post)	Stage
Author	Year	Ethnicity	Country	Age	BMI	Sample size	Control source	Cases	Control	I/II/II/IV
Rodrigo et al	2017	Asian	Sri Lanka	48±11/47±2	NR	160	population	42/42	38/38	NR
Li et al	2017	Asian	China	$63 \pm 8/62 \pm 7$	NR	112	hospital	0/0	56/56	NR
EI-Hussiny et al	2017	Other	Egypt	47±7/43±9	NR	96	population	NR	NR	12/12/12/12
Georgiou et al	2016	Caucasian	Greece	$56 \pm 11/56 \pm 17$	NR	216	hospital	44	113	NR
Crisostomo et al	2016	Caucasian	Portugal	$54 \pm 6/54 \pm 9$	$25 \pm 3/37 \pm 4$	154	hospital	30/32	47/45	NR
Assiri et al	2016	Asian	Saudi	$67 \pm 5/66 \pm 7$	$28 \pm 3/23 \pm 6$	199	hospital	NR	NR	32/78
Gunter et al	2015	Caucasian	America	$64 \pm 3/63 \pm 3$	$25 \pm 1/26 \pm 2$	1696	population	NR	875/821	NR
Mohammadzadeh et al	2015	Asian	Iran	$48 \pm 10/49 \pm 7$	$27 \pm 3/28 \pm 5$	200	hospital	57/60	43/40	NR
Santillan-Benitez et al	2013	Caucasian	Mexico	54±11/41±13	$28 \pm 3/25 \pm 5$	88	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Romero et al	2013	Caucasian	Mexico	$53 \pm 10/46 \pm 10$	$33 \pm 3/33 \pm 3$	152	hospital	NR	NR	4/39/20/10/5
Ollberding et al	2013	Caucasian	America	$67 \pm 7/67 \pm 7$	$26 \pm 5/26 \pm 5$	1412	population	NR	NR	156/275/177
Gross et al	2013	Caucasian	America	$62 \pm 9/62 \pm 9$	$27 \pm 1/25 \pm 1$	544	population	NR	NR	NR
Dalamaga et al	2013	Caucasian	Greece	$61 \pm 8/62 \pm 8$	$28 \pm 4/26 \pm 5$	204	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Alokail et al	2013	Asian	Saudi	$46 \pm 11/43 \pm 7$	$31 \pm 5/31 \pm 7$	109	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Touvier et al	2013	Caucasian	France	$49 \pm 6/51 \pm 6$	NR	784	population	NR	NR	NR
Guo et al	2012	Asian	China	32-74/30-64	$21 \pm 2/22 \pm 2$	78	hospital	22/16	20/20	12/25/5/0
Zhang et al	2012	Asian	China	25-70/25-70	NR	86	population	18/22	25/21	NR
Chen et al	2012	Asian	China	$50 \pm 10/51 \pm 6$	NR	132	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Gu et al	2012	Caucasian	America	$46 \pm 5/NR$	$25 \pm 5/25 \pm 5$	1215	hospital	405/810	NR	NR
AL Awadhi et al	2012	Caucasian	America	$50 \pm 12/51 \pm 12$	$30 \pm 7/27 \pm 5$	221	hospital	87/50	57/27	NR
Harris et al	2011	Caucasian	America	$44 \pm 4/43 \pm 3$	$24 \pm 4/25 \pm 5$	966	population	330/636	NR	NR
Hancke et al	2010	Caucasian	Germany	$59 \pm 1/49 \pm 2$	$26 \pm 1/24 \pm 1$	200	hospital	40/25	119/16	NR
Aliustaoglu M	2010	Caucasian	Turkey	$53 \pm 12/40 \pm 13$	$27 \pm 4/27 \pm 5$	60	population	10/NR	20NR	NR
Fan et al	2010	Asian	China	$50 \pm 8/47 \pm 9$	NR	140	hospital	48/26	42/24	15/48/27
Maccio et al	2010	Caucasian	Italy	50.6/52.3	NR	401	population	82/105	98/116	27/23/20/12
Cust et al	2009	Caucasian	Australia	52.5/NR	$25 \pm 1/24 \pm 1$	1122	hospital	NR	NR	263/255/21/14
Han et al	2008	Asian	China	$45 \pm 14/44 \pm 13$	$25 \pm 3/23 \pm 3$	740	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Pazaitou et al	2007	Caucasian	Greece	$63 \pm 11/55 \pm 11$	$29 \pm 5/29 \pm 6$	150	hospital	13/22	61/54	19/32/14/9
Liu et al	2007	Asian	China	$50 \pm 11/47 \pm 12$	$23 \pm 3/22 \pm 3$	88	population	28/NR	19/NR	NR
Hou et al	2007	Asian	China	48±17/49±6	24±2/24±1	130	hospital	43/NR	37/NR	13/43/24/0
Geisler et al	2007	Caucasian	Norway	$69 \pm 3/65 \pm 0.5$	$25 \pm 2/25 \pm 1$	158	hospital	0	44	NR
Huang et al	2006	Asian	China	53±3/58±6	<23/<23	92	population	0/0	36/56	NR
Li et al	2006	Asian	China	$51 \pm 7/NR$	>25/>25	88	population	NR	NR	13/25/10
Woo et al	2006	Asian	Korea	NR	NR	90	hospital	30/26	15/19	38/6/1
Chen et al	2006	Asian	China	$49 \pm 1/49 \pm 1$	$22 \pm 1/24 \pm 1$	200	hospital	NR	NR	37/39/24
Jen et al (1)	2005	Caucasian	America	$57 \pm 0.7/55 \pm 0.7$	$29 \pm 1/28 \pm 1$	157	hospital	39/30	118/123	NR
Jen et al (2)	2005	Black	America	$52 \pm 0.3/54 \pm 0.2$	$30 \pm 1/28 \pm 1$	163	hospital	39/30	118/123	NR
Stattin et al	2004	Caucasian	France	$59 \pm 4/60 \pm 4.8$	$26 \pm 3/26 \pm 3$	407	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Mantzoros et al	2004	Caucasian	Greece	NR	NR	341	hospital	49/44	125/123	NR
Coskun et al (1)	2003	Caucasian	Turkey	51±11/44±11	$25 \pm 3/26 \pm 3$	80	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Coskun et al (2)	2003	Caucasian	Turkey	48±13/44±11	$26 \pm 3/26 \pm 3$	55	hospital	NR	NR	NR
Ozet et al	2001	Caucasian	Turkey	$52 \pm 1/52 \pm 1$	$27 \pm 1/25 \pm 1$	116	population	15/NR	43/NR	28/30
Petridou et al	2000	Caucasian	Greece	NR	NR	150	hospital	14/15	61/60	NR

BMI = body mass index, NR = not report, Post = postmenstrual, Pre = premenstrual.

- (3) a study involving available data for estimating available data for calculating standardized mean difference (SMD) with 95% confidence interval (CI);
- (4) the participants in study should be pathological diagnosed with BC.

Exclusion criteria:

- (1) duplicative or overlapping study;
- (2) the study without control subjects or other essential information;
- (3) abstracts, conferences, letters, or non-human studies.

2.3. Data extraction

The detail information of each included study was collected in a predesigned data extraction form independently by 2 reviewers.

Table 2

The levels of serum leptin in each eligible study.

Items were collected as follows: first author, publication date, country, ethnicity, control source, sample size, age of participant, body mass index (BMI), BC type, serum leptin levels (mean and standard deviation), measurement method, estrogen receptor (ER) status, progesterone receptor (PR) status, lymph node invasion (LN), and treatment and menstrual status. Any discrepancy was resolved by consensus. The information was shown in Tables 1 and 2.

2.4. Assessment of quality

The quality of included eligible studies regarding the role of serum leptin levels in BC was assessed based on Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS), which included the selection, the comparability of the groups, and the ascertainment of the exposure or outcome of interest with use of a "-" rating system.^[11,25,26] The total scores ranged 0 to 9. A study with

Author Year Mean SD N Mean SD N Unit Method Cancer type Y/N Quality score Rodrigo et al 2017 19.23 1.87 80 17.57 1.47 80 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Li et al 2017 19.89 5.53 56 15.02 4.84 56 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 El-Hussiny et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 15.6 52 ng/mL ELISA IDC/ILC N 5 Georgiou et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 15.6 52 ng/mL ELISA IDC/ILC N 6 Assiri et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 16.6 52 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gunter et al 2015 5.57 110 19.62 2.03 89 ng/mL <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Cases</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Control</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Treatment</th> <th></th>				Cases			Control					Treatment	
Rodrigo et al 2017 19.23 1.87 80 17.57 1.47 80 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Li et al 2017 19.89 5.53 56 15.02 4.84 56 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 El-Hussiny et al 2017 121.3 43.13 48 54.14 9.275 48 ng/mL ELISA IDC/ILC N 5 Georgiou et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 15.6 52 ng/mL ELISA IDC/ILC N 6 Assiri et al 2016 22.459 5.57 110 19.62 2.03 89 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gunter et al 2015 15.07 4.4 875 15.11 4.9 821 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gorses et al 2013 <th>Author</th> <th>Year</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Ν</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Ν</th> <th>Unit</th> <th>Method</th> <th>Cancer type</th> <th>Y/N</th> <th>Quality score</th>	Author	Year	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Unit	Method	Cancer type	Y/N	Quality score
Li et al 2017 19.89 5.53 56 15.02 4.84 56 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 El-Hussiny et al 2017 121.3 43.13 48 54.14 9.275 48 ng/mL ELISA IDC/ILC N 5 Georgiou et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 15.6 52 ng/mL ELISA IDC/ICS/LN N 6 Crisostomo et al 2016 22.89 11.63 77 24.03 13.34 77 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Assiri et al 2016 24.59 5.57 110 19.62 2.03 89 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gunter et al 2015 67.9 32.55 100 28.3 31.38 100 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 3 3 3	Rodrigo et al	2017	19.23	1.87	80	17.57	1.47	80	ng/mL	ELISA	BC	Ν	7
El-Hussiny et al 2017 121.3 43.13 48 54.14 9.275 48 ng/mL ELSA IDC/ILC N 5 Georgiou et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 15.6 52 ng/mL ELISA IDC/DCIS/LN N 6 Crisostomo et al 2016 22.89 11.63 77 24.03 13.34 77 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Assiri et al 2016 24.59 5.57 110 19.62 2.03 89 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gunter et al 2015 15.07 4.4 875 15.11 4.9 821 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 5 Romero et al 2013 22.6 76 37.1 32.6 76 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Ollberding et al	Li et al	2017	19.89	5.53	56	15.02	4.84	56	ng/mL	ELISA	BC	Ν	6
Georgiou et al 2016 22.02 16.68 157 21.9 15.6 52 ng/mL ELISA IDC/DCIS/LN N 6 Crisostomo et al 2016 22.89 11.63 77 24.03 13.34 77 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Assiri et al 2016 24.59 5.57 110 19.62 2.03 89 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gunter et al 2015 15.07 4.4 875 15.11 4.9 821 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Mohammadzadeh et al 2015 67.9 32.55 100 28.3 31.38 100 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Somero et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 706 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al <	EI-Hussiny et al	2017	121.3	43.13	48	54.14	9.275	48	ng/mL	ELISA	IDC/ILC	Ν	5
Crisostomo et al 2016 22.89 11.63 77 24.03 13.34 77 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Assiri et al 2016 24.59 5.57 110 19.62 2.03 89 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gunter et al 2015 15.07 4.4 875 15.11 4.9 821 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Mohammadzadeh et al 2015 67.9 32.55 100 28.3 31.38 100 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 5 Romero et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 706 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 702 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Jalamaga et al	Georgiou et al	2016	22.02	16.68	157	21.9	15.6	52	ng/mL	ELISA	IDC/DCIS/LN	Ν	6
Assiri et al201624.595.5711019.622.0389ng/mLELISABCN7Gunter et al201515.074.487515.114.9821ng/mLELISABCN7Mohammadzadeh et al201567.932.5510028.331.38100ng/mLELISABCN7Santillan-Benitez et al201322.615.24018.511.648ng/mLELISABreast carcinomaN5Romero et al201322.96.257637.132.676ng/mLELISABCN7Ollberding et al201322.96.25706196.15706ng/mLELISABCN6Gross et al201332.936.127227.427.4272ng/mLELISABCN5Dalamaga et al201325.61.756162.253ng/mLELISABCN6Touvier et al201313122189.810.31024ng/mLELISABCN6Guo et al201215.486.524212.526.0936ng/mLELISABCN7Zhang et al201215.486.524212.526.0936ng/mLELISABCN7Chen et al201215.486.52<	Crisostomo et al	2016	22.89	11.63	77	24.03	13.34	77	ng/mL	ELISA	BC	Ν	6
Gunter et al 2015 15.07 4.4 875 15.11 4.9 821 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Mohammadzadeh et al 2015 67.9 32.55 100 28.3 31.38 100 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 5 Romero et al 2013 22.9 6.25 76 37.1 32.6 76 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 6 Gross et al 2013 32.9 36.1 272 27.4 27.4 272 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al <	Assiri et al	2016	24.59	5.57	110	19.62	2.03	89	ng/mL	ELISA	BC	Ν	7
Mohammadzadeh et al 2015 67.9 32.55 100 28.3 31.38 100 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 5 Romero et al 2013 90.3 27.5 76 37.1 32.6 76 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 7 Ollberding et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 706 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Ollberding et al 2013 32.9 36.1 272 27.4 27.4 272 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 </td <td>Gunter et al</td> <td>2015</td> <td>15.07</td> <td>4.4</td> <td>875</td> <td>15.11</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>821</td> <td>na/mL</td> <td>ELISA</td> <td>BC</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>7</td>	Gunter et al	2015	15.07	4.4	875	15.11	4.9	821	na/mL	ELISA	BC	Ν	7
Santillan-Benitez et al 2013 22.6 15.2 40 18.5 11.6 48 ng/mL ELISA Breast carcinoma N 5 Romero et al 2013 90.3 27.5 76 37.1 32.6 76 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Ollberding et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 706 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gross et al 2013 32.9 36.1 272 27.4 27.4 272 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 1024 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 1	Mohammadzadeh et al	2015	67.9	32.55	100	28.3	31.38	100	na/mL	ELISA	BC	Ν	7
Romero et al 2013 90.3 27.5 76 37.1 32.6 76 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Ollberding et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 706 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gross et al 2013 32.9 36.1 272 27.4 27.4 272 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 1024 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 15.48 6.52 <td>Santillan-Benitez et al</td> <td>2013</td> <td>22.6</td> <td>15.2</td> <td>40</td> <td>18.5</td> <td>11.6</td> <td>48</td> <td>na/mL</td> <td>ELISA</td> <td>Breast carcinoma</td> <td>N</td> <td>5</td>	Santillan-Benitez et al	2013	22.6	15.2	40	18.5	11.6	48	na/mL	ELISA	Breast carcinoma	N	5
Ollberding et al 2013 22.9 6.25 706 19 6.15 706 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gross et al 2013 32.9 36.1 272 27.4 27.4 272 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 1024 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 15.48 5.57 <td>Romero et al</td> <td>2013</td> <td>90.3</td> <td>27.5</td> <td>76</td> <td>37.1</td> <td>32.6</td> <td>76</td> <td>na/ml</td> <td>FLISA</td> <td>BC</td> <td>N</td> <td>7</td>	Romero et al	2013	90.3	27.5	76	37.1	32.6	76	na/ml	FLISA	BC	N	7
Gross et al 2013 32.9 36.1 272 27.4 27.4 272 ng/mL ELISA BC N 5 Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 1024 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 18.1 10.3 82 10.8 4.58 50 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Chen et al 2012 18.1 10.3	Ollberding et al	2013	22.9	6.25	706	19	6.15	706	na/mL	ELISA	BC	N	6
Dalamaga et al 2013 28.8 17.2 102 27.8 17.5 102 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 8 Alokail et al 2013 25.6 1.7 56 16 2.2 53 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 1024 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 8.35 5.57 43 5.31 3.39 43 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Chen et al 2012 18.1 10.3 82 10.8 4.58 50 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 4	Gross et al	2013	32.9	36.1	272	27.4	27.4	272	na/ml	FLISA	BC	N	5
Data angle of all 2013 25.6 1.1.2 102 11.0 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 11.0 102 </td <td>Dalamaga et al</td> <td>2013</td> <td>28.8</td> <td>17.2</td> <td>102</td> <td>27.8</td> <td>17.5</td> <td>102</td> <td>na/ml</td> <td>FLISA</td> <td>BC</td> <td>N</td> <td>8</td>	Dalamaga et al	2013	28.8	17.2	102	27.8	17.5	102	na/ml	FLISA	BC	N	8
Touvier et al 2013 13 12 218 9.8 10.3 1024 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Guo et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 8.35 5.57 43 5.31 3.39 43 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Chen et al 2012 18.1 10.3 82 10.8 4.58 50 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7	Alokail et al	2013	25.6	17	56	16	2.2	53	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	6
Guide et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 15.48 6.52 42 12.52 6.09 36 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Zhang et al 2012 8.35 5.57 43 5.31 3.39 43 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Chen et al 2012 18.1 10.3 82 10.8 4.58 50 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 U Auxathi et al 2012 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7	Touvier et al	2013	13	12	218	98	10.3	1024	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	6
Zhang et al 2012 8.35 5.57 43 5.31 3.39 43 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Chen et al 2012 18.1 10.3 82 10.8 4.58 50 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7	Guo et al	2012	15 48	6.52	42	12.52	6.09	.36	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Zhang of di Zoriz List Stor Stor Stor Ingriniz Ellor N F Chen et al 2012 18.1 10.3 82 10.8 4.58 50 ng/mL ELISA BC N 6 Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 U Aurdhi et al 2012 14.18 6 910 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7	Zhang et al	2012	8 35	5 57	43	5 31	3 39	43	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Gu et al 2012 14.18 6 405 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7 Augustic st al 2012 14.18 6 810 ng/mL ELISA BC N 7	Chen et al	2012	18.1	10.3	82	10.8	4.58	50	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	6
	Gu et al	2012	14.18	6	405	14.18	6	810	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
ALAWAODERIA 2012 205 2 1/1/ 207 111 77 DO/MELEINA BC N. 7	Al Awadhi et al	2012	27.5	2	1//	20.7	11 1	77	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Harris et al. 2011 155 6.82 330 162 9 636 nn/ml Ellon BC N 7	Harris et al	2012	15.5	6.82	330	16.2	9	636	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Hancke et al. 2010 20.87 15.13 159 14.9 12.81 41 nn/ml ELISA BC NR 6	Hancke et al	2010	20.87	15.13	159	14.9	12.81	41	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	NR	6
	Aliustaoqlu M	2010	2.86	1 97	.30	2 64	1.9	30	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	6
Fan et al 2010 1.35 0.42 90 1.06 0.39 50 mg/mL ELISA MIX N 7	Fan et al	2010	1 35	0.42	90	1.06	0.39	50	ng/mL	FLISA	MIX	N	7
Marcio et al. 2010 25 91 13 54 180 18 84 13 58 221 nn/ml EUSA BC N 7	Maccio et al	2010	25.91	13.54	180	18.84	13.58	221	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Custer al 2009 14 1 3 03 561 14 5 3 38 561 nm/ml EUSA ICD N 8	Cust et al	2009	14.1	3.03	561	14.5	3.38	561	ng/mL	FLISA	ICD	N	8
Han et al. 2008 18 97 9 97 240 13 31 7 81 500 ng/ml ELISA BC N 7	Han et al	2008	18.97	9.97	240	13.31	7.81	500	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Paration et al. 2007 10.9 516 74 114 523 76 no/ml EUSA BC N 7	Pazaitou et al	2007	10.9	5.16	74	11.4	5.23	76	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	7
Liu et al. 2007 10.43 7.55 47 8.13 2.56 41 nn/ml EUSA Breast carcinoma N 7	Liu et al	2007	10.43	7.55	47	8 13	2.56	41	ng/mL	FLISA	Breast carcinoma	N	7
	Hou et al	2007	1.31	0.4	80	1.1	0.28	50	ng/mL	FLISA	MIX	N	6
Geisler et al. 2007 27.9 17 44 25 15 114 nn/ml BIA BC N 6	Geisler et al	2007	27.9	17	44	25	15	114	na/ml	RIA	BC	N	6
Huang et al. 2006 30 51 3 02 36 12 63 2 26 56 normal Historic BC N 6	Huang et al	2006	30.51	3.02	36	12.63	2.26	56	ng/mL	FLISA	BC	N	6
Liefal 2006 12 02 1 23 48 9 79 1 16 40 m/ml BIA BC N 6	Li et al	2006	12 02	1 23	48	9 79	1 16	40	ng/mL	RIA	BC	N	6
Wan et al. 2006 13.42 11.93 45 9.81 6.65 45 nm/ml BIA BC N 7	Woo et al	2006	13.42	11 93	45	9.81	6.65	45	ng/mL	RIΔ	BC	N	7
Chen et al 2006 13.64 11.8 100 10.07 55 100 normal RIA BC N 6	Chen et al	2006	13.64	11.8	100	10.07	5 5	100	ng/mL	RIΔ	BC	N	6
len et al (1) 2005 18.7 12.67 82 18.1 9.52 75 normal tark BC N 7	len et al (1)	2005	18.7	12.67	82	18.1	9.52	75	ng/mL	RIΔ	BC	N	7
Len et al (2) 2005 24.5 14.57 83 21.9 13.41 80 normit IIIA BC N 7	len et al (2)	2005	24.5	14 57	83	21.9	13.41	80	ng/mL	RIA	BC	N	7
Statili et al 2004 16.7 10.63 149 17.1 11.7 258 no/ml BIA BC N 7	Stattin et al	2000	16.7	10.63	1/0	17.1	11.7	258	ng/mL	RIΔ	BC	N	7
Mantzone et al. 2004 24 2 16 1 174 24 1 18 4 167 norm RIA BC N 7	Mantzoros et al	2004	24.2	16.00	17/	2/11	18./	167	ng/mL	RIA	BC	N	7
Manufactore dai Loci Loci <thloci< th=""> <thloci< th=""> <thloci< th=""></thloci<></thloci<></thloci<>	Coskun et al (1)	2004	27.2	10.1	55	25.6	13.9	25	ng/mL	FLISA	Non-metastasis RC	NR	7
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$		2003	30.1 30.6	16.2	3U 00	35.0 35.6	13.0	25	ng/mL	FLIGA	Motactacie RC	NR	7
Operation Construction Construction <td>Oret et al</td> <td>2003</td> <td>27 27</td> <td>20.64</td> <td>58</td> <td>17.65</td> <td>7 38</td> <td>2J 58</td> <td>ng/mL</td> <td>RIA</td> <td></td> <td>N</td> <td>6</td>	Oret et al	2003	27 27	20.64	58	17.65	7 38	2J 58	ng/mL	RIA		N	6
Petridou et al 2000 23.6 15.58 75 24.5 20.78 75 ng/mL RIA MIX N 7	Petridou et al	2000	23.6	15.58	75	24.5	20.78	75	na/ml	RIA	MIX	N	7

BC=breast cancer, DCIS=in-situ ductal carcinoma, ELISA=enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, IDC=infiltrating duct carcinoma, ILC=invasive lobular carcinoma, N=non-treatment, NR=not report, RIA= radioimmunoassy, SD=standard deviation.

scores of \geq 7 points was viewed as a high-quality study (Table 2). Any disagreement was settled through discussion.

2.5. Statistical analysis

All of the data were calculated as SMD with 95% CI to compare the serum levels of leptin in BC cases with that in healthy controls. Heterogeneity was examined by Chi-squared-based Q test and Isquared (I²) statistics test (P value <.10 indicated significance). The pooled effect size was calculated by the random-effects model (REM) if significant heterogeneity existed (I² >50% and P <.10). Otherwise, the fixed-effects model (FEM) was applied. To investigate the potential origin of heterogeneity, stratification was employed for subgroup analyses based on ethnicity, test method, control source, study quality, menstrual status, and clinical characteristics. In addition, sensitivity analyses were also conducted by sequentially excluding individual study to assess the stability of the results.^[25,27]

Egger linear regression and Begg test were used to test potential publication bias. Visual inspection of asymmetry in funnel plots was carried out to further detect publication bias. All data analyses were conducted with STATA 12.0 software (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX).

3. Results

3.1. Study characteristics

The flowchart of the study selection is presented in Figure 1. Based on our search strategy, 1278 publications were identified. 1164 publications were excluded due to duplications (682 studies) and irrelevant studies (482 studies). After reading the full-text, 71 publications were excluded due to various reasons. Moreover, the publications by Jen et al investigated the association of serum leptin levels with BC in different ethnicities (Caucasian and black) and BC types (Non-metastasis and metastasis BC), respectively.^[28,29] Thus, the 2 publications can be view as 4 individual studies. Finally, 41 publications (43 studies) following our strict inclusion-exclusion criteria were eligible, which involved 14,403 subjects (6459 cases and 7944 controls)^[7–10,14,17–22,24,28–56] (Fig. 1).

The main characteristics of included studies are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Of the 43 included studies, 16 studies involving 2644 subjects reported on Asians and 25 studies involving 11,500 subjects on Caucasians. For measurement method, 33 studies were conducted using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and 10 studies using radioimmunoassay (RIA). As for menstrual status, 16 studies included premenstrual women,



while 20 studies with postmenstrual women. In addition, there were 6 studies investigating the association of the serum leptin levels with BC with LN+, ER+, and PR+, respectively (Table 3). Moreover, the estimated quality of each eligible study ranged from 5 to 8 points. All the cases were histologically confirmed.

3.2. Overall meta-analysis

The overall results with the REM suggested that serum leptin levels in BC cases were significantly higher than the controls (SMD=0.61, 95% CI=0.45–0.77, P<.0001). However, there was a non-ignorable heterogeneity among studies (I²=94.9%). Thus, subgroup analyses of different specific effects were conducted to explore the origin of significant heterogeneity in our dataset (Table 4).

3.3. Subgroup meta-analysis

In the subgroup analysis of ethnicity, the mean leptin levels were significantly higher in patients with BC in Asian population (SMD=1.29, 95% CI=0.91-1.68, P<.0001) or Caucasian population (SMD=0.23, 95% CI=0.09-0.37, P=.001) (Fig. 2). Similarly, the subgroup analysis by test method suggested significantly higher serum leptin levels in BC cases than the controls in ELISA (SMD=0.71, 95% CI=0.51-0.91, P < .0001) or RIA (SMD = 0.32, 95% CI = 0.07-0.57, P = .012) (Fig. 3). When stratified by language, significantly higher serum leptin concentrations were identified in cases with BC whether the studies were published in English (SMD= 0.49, 95% CI=0.33-0.64, P<.0001) or in Chinese (SMD= 1.77, 95% CI=0.80-2.74, P<.0001). In addition, the subgroup analysis of control source indicated that there were significantly higher serum leptin levels in BC cases in hospitalbased control (SMD=0.51, 95% CI=0.31-0.71, P<.0001) or population-based control (SMD=0.85, 95% CI=0.551.14, P <.0001) (Fig. 4). Moreover, significantly higher serum leptin concentration was observed in BC cases in the non-treatment group (SMD=0.64, 95% CI=0.47-0.81, P <.0001) (Table 4).

The mean leptin levels were significantly higher in the premenopausal BC cases (SMD=0.28, 95% CI=0.07-0.48, P=.008) or post-menopausal BC cases (SMD=0.63, 95% CI=0.38-0.88, P<.0001) than these in controls (Fig. 5). When stratified by ethnicity, the pre-menopausal BC cases indicated significantly higher serum leptin levels than the controls in Asian population (SMD=0.68, 95% CI=0.47-0.89, P<.0001) or Caucasian population (SMD=0.12, 95% CI=0.09-0.44, P=.014). When the subgroup analysis by ethnicity was conducted in post-menopausal women, such significant association was identified in Asian population (SMD=1.41, 95% CI=0.57-2.25, P=.001) or Caucasian population (SMD=0.33, 95% CI= 0.10-0.56, P=.006) (Table 4).

Based on stratification analysis by study quality, the mean leptin levels were significantly different between BC cases and controls in high-quality study or low-quality study group. In the high-quality study group, further subgroup analyses of ethnicity suggested significantly higher serum leptin levels in BC cases in Asian population (SMD=0.76, 95% CI=0.56–0.96, P < .0001) or Caucasian population (SMD=0.2, 95% CI=0.03–0.37, P=.021). When stratified by menstrual status, similar significant association was identified between the pre-menopausal BC cases (SMD=0.24, 95% CI=0.01–0.47, P=.039) or post-menopausal BC cases (SMD=0.30, 95% CI=0.11–0.49, P=.002) and the controls (Table 4).

Additionally, BC cases with BMI>25 (SMD=1.48, 95% CI= 0.11-2.85, P=.034) indicated significantly higher serum leptin levels than those in controls. However, no significant association was identified regarding serum leptin levels between the BC cases and the controls with BMI<25 (Table 4).

Table 3

The levels of serum leptin in breast cancer cases with different clinicopathological features.

			LN (+)			LN (-)					
Author	Year	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Unit	Method	Quality	/ score
Assiri et al	2016	25.64	2.44	89	21.84	3.55	21	ng/mL	ELISA	7	7
Guo et al	2012	1.46	0.58	22	1.24	0.65	20	ng/mL	ELISA	7	7
Fan et al	2010	1.26	0.48	20	1.04	0.45	70	ng/mL	ELISA	7	7
Maccio et al	2010	20.5	10.3	35	19	6.8	21	ng/mL	ELISA	7	7
Huang et al	2006	36.70	24.16	21	21.84	12.98	15	ng/mL	ELISA	6	3
Chen et al	2006	11.23	6.45	59	10.81	7.49	41	ng/mL	RIA	6	6
				ER (+)			ER ()				
Assiri et al		2016	24.73	3.47	84	25.69	2.37	26	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Mohammadzadeh	n et al	2015	68.45	42.68	64	59.1	45.39	36	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Santillan-Benitez	et al	2013	18.7	15.2	27	10.9	4.78	4	ng/mL	ELISA	5
Guo et al		2012	8.23	6.02	12	7.18	4.17	30	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Liu et al		2007	9.71	8.13	28	11.8	6.27	19	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Chen et al		2006	11.33	6.27	60	10.67	5.55	28	ng/mL	RIA	6
				PR (+)			PR (-)				
Assiri et al		2016	27.12	3.64	67	26.3	2.87	43	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Mohammadzadeh	n et al	2015	73.43	40.84	72	36	40.65	28	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Santillan-Benitez	et al	2013	21.4	15.2	25	13.9	4.78	6	ng/mL	ELISA	5
Guo et al		2012	5.05	20.7	14	6.93	5.59	28	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Liu et al		2007	9.15	5.62	31	11.65	8.98	16	ng/mL	ELISA	7
Chen et al		2006	11.73	6.65	48	10.54	5.35	37	ng/mL	RIA	6

ER = estrogen receptor, LN = lymph node invasion, PR = progesterone receptor

Table 4

		and all a		we exclude a	£ 11		I a sa hi sa	Income la lun	lawa a ak			····	
Ine	pooled	and	sub-aroup	results c	nt the	serum	leptin	levels in	preast	cancer	compared	with the	controis.
	p		<u>J</u>										

Indication	N	Cases	Control	SMD	95% CI	Pz	l ² (%)	P _{Het}	Model
Overall	43	6459	7944	0.61	0.45-0.77	<.0001	94.9	<.001	Random
Ethnicity									
Caucasian	25	5073	6427	0.23	0.09-0.37	.001	91.1	<.001	Random
Asian	16	1255	1389	1.29	0.91-1.68	<.0001	94.8	<.001	Random
Method									
ELISA	33	5610	6932	0.71	0.51-0.91	<.0001	95.8	<.001	Random
RIA	10	858	1012	0.32	0.07-0.57	.012	85.1	<.001	Random
Control source									
Population	14	2971	4076	0.85	0.55-1.14	<.0001	96.5	<.001	Random
Hospital	29	3488	3868	0.51	0.31-0.71	<.0001	93.9	<.001	Random
Publish language									
In-English	37	6118	7669	0.49	0.33-0.64	<.0001	94.2	<.001	Random
In-Chinese	6	341	275	1.77	0.80-2.74	<.0001	96.3	<.001	Random
Treatment status									
Ν	40	6215	7853	0.64	0.47-0.81	<.0001	95.3	<.001	Random
NR	3	244	91	0.30	0.06-0.55	.017	0	.882	Fixed
Menstrual status									
Premenstrual									
Overall	16	1310	2006	0.28	0.07-0.48	.008	81.9	<.001	Random
Ethnicity									
Asian	7	292	266	0.68	0.47-0.89	<.0001	29.5	.203	Fixed
Caucasian	8	979	1710	0.12	0.09-0.44	.014	58.5	.018	Random
Postmenstrual									
Overall	20	2736	2582	0.63	0.38-0.88	< .0001	93.4	< .001	Bandom
Ethnicity									
Asian	8	271	254	1.41	0.57-2.25	.001	89.9	< .001	Bandom
Caucasian	11	2347	2205	0.33	0.10-0.56	.006	91.1	<.001	Random
Quality score		2011	2200	0.00	0110 0100	1000	0.111	(1001	i la la com
High quality (> 7)	25	4192	5069	0.37	0 22-0 57	< 0001	93.1	< 001	Random
Low quality (< 7)	18	2267	2875	1.00	0.68-1.32	< 0001	95.7	< 001	Random
High-quality group	10	LLOI	2010	1.00	0.00 1.02	<.0001	00.1	2.001	Handom
Ethnicity									
Caucasian	15	3312	4005	0.20	0.03-0.37	021	91.3	< 001	Random
Asian	9	797	984	0.20	0.56-0.96	< 0001	70.4	< 001	Random
Menstrual status	0	101	001	0.10	0.00 0.00	<.0001	10.1	2.001	Handom
Premenstrual	11	1113	1820	0.24	0 01-0 47	039	82.8	< 001	Random
Postmenstrual	12	1593	1533	0.24	0.01 0.47	.000	78.9	< 001	Random
RMI	12	1000	1000	0.00	0.11 0.40	.002	10.0	<.001	nandom
<25	5	213	269	0.61	_0.01_1.19	058	Q/ 1	< 001	Bandom
< <u>25</u>	7	/10	425	1.48	0.11_2.85	.030	07.0	< 001	Random
FR	1	415	420	1.40	0.11-2.05	.034	51.5	<.001	nanuom
	6	275	1/2	0.02	0 10 0 22	950	4.0	205	Fixed
DR	U	215	140	0.02	-0.19-0.23	.002	4.3	.535	
DR / DR_	6	257	159	0.24	0 12 0 60	10/	64.2	015	Random
i'n+/En=	U	201	100	0.24	-0.12-0.09	.194	04.3	.010	naliuulii
	6	246	199	0.52	0 10 0 05	015	72.0	002	Random
LIN+/LIN-	0	240	100	0.03	0.10-0.90	CIU.	13.9	.002	nanuunn

BMI = body mass index, ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, LN = lymph node invasion, PR = progesterone receptor, RIA = radioimmunoassay, SMD = standardized mean difference.

3.4. Correlation of serum leptin and clinicopathological features of BC

3.5. Publication bias

There was no significant difference in leptin levels in BC cases with positive ER and negative ER (SMD=0.02, 95% CI=-0.19-0.23, P=.852) (Fig. 6). Similarly, no significant difference was identified in serum leptin levels in BC cases with positive PR and negative PR (SMD=0.24, 95% CI=-0.12-0.69, P=.194). In addition, the BC cases with lymph node metastases indicated significantly higher serum leptin levels than those with no lymph node metastases (SMD=0.53, 95% CI=0.10-0.95, P=.015) (Fig. 6) (Table 4).

The Begg funnel plot and Egger regression intercept tests were used to assess publication bias. The result of Egger test indicated no significant publication bias. Moreover, the shape of the Begg funnel plot presented basically symmetric distribution (Fig. 7).

3.6. Sensitivity analysis and meta-regression analysis

Although stringent protocols were applied to carry out all studies, some of studies may affect the pooled results. Thus, sensitivity analyses were used to evaluate the stability of these results. The sensitivity analysis was conducted by sequentially excluding each

ID Study			SMD (95% CI)	Weigh
Asian				
Bodrigo et al. (2017)			0.99 (0.66, 1.32)	2.48
Li et al. (2017)	-		0.94 (0.55, 1.33)	2.38
Assiriatal (2016)	1.		1 14 (0.84 1.44)	2.52
Mehammadradah at al. (2015)			1 24 (0.94 1.54)	2.52
Alekai et al. (2012)	1.000	-	4 90 (4 14 5 86)	1 73
Alokali et al. (2013)	100		9.50 (9.19, 5.00)	0.00
Guo et al. (2012)			0.47 (0.02, 0.92)	2.20
Znang et al. (2012)	10		0.66 (0.23, 1.09)	2.31
Chen et al. (2012)			0.85 (0.48, 1.22)	2.92
Fan et al. (2010)	T		0.71 (0.35, 1.06)	2.44
Han et al. (2008)			0.66 (0.50, 0.82)	2.69
Liu et al. (2007)	100		0.40 (-0.03, 0.82)	2.33
Hou et al. (2007)			0.59 (0.22, 0.95)	2.43
Huang et al. (2006)		-	6.92 (5.83, 8.02)	1.23
Li et al. (2006)			1.86 (1.36, 2.36)	2.18
Woo et al. (2006)	+		0.37 (-0.04, 0.79)	2.34
Chen et al. (2006)	*		0.39 (0.11, 0.67)	2.55
Subtotal (I-squared = 94.8% p = 0.000)	10		1.29 (0.91, 1.68)	36.84
energy (, advance - private p - erees)	1			
Caucasian	100		0.01 / 0.01 0.00	
Georgiou et al. (2016)	100		0.01 (-0.31, 0.32)	2.51
Crisostomo et al. (2016)	12		-0.09 (-0.41, 0.22)	2.50
Gunter et al. (2015)	100		-0.01 (-0.10, 0.09)	2.19
Santillan-Benitez et al. (2013)	10 m		0.31 (-0.12, 0.73)	2.33
Romero et al. (2013)			1.76 (1.39, 2.14)	2.41
Ollberding et al. (2013)	1.0		0.63 (0.52, 0.74)	2.73
Gross et al. (2013)			0.17 (0.00, 0.34)	2.68
Dalamaga et al. (2013)			0.06 (-0.22, 0.33)	2.56
Touvier et al. (2013)			0.30 (0.15, 0.45)	2.70
Gu et al. (2012)			0.00 (-0.12, 0.12)	2.72
AL Awadhi et al. (2012)			1.01 (0.72, 1.30)	2.54
Harris et al. (2011)	•		-0.08 (-0.22, 0.05)	2.71
Hancke et al. (2010)	1		0.41 (0.06. 0.75)	2.46
Aliustacolu M (2010)			0 11 (-0.39 0.62)	2 18
Maccio et al. (2010)			0.52 (0.32 0.72)	2.65
Cust at al. (2009)			.0.12 (.0.24 .0.01)	2 72
Paraitou et al. (2007)	*		-0 10 (-0 42 0 22)	2.50
Calalas at al. (2007)	100		0 10 10 18 0 53	2.45
Geisler et al. (2007)			0.15 (0.10, 0.00)	2.40
Jen et al. (1) (2005)			0.05 (-0.20, 0.37)	0.05
Stattin et al. (2004)			-0.04 (-0.24, 0.17)	2.00
Mantzoros et al. (2004)	10.1		0.01 (-0.21, 0.22)	2.64
Coskun et al. (1) (2003)	100		0.14 (-0.33, 0.61)	2.24
Coskun et al. (2) (2003)	100		0.26 (-0.27, 0.80)	2.13
Ozet et al. (2001)			0.60 (0.23, 0.98)	2.41
Petridou et al. (2000)			-0.05 (-0.37, 0.27)	2.50
Subtotal (I-squared = 91.1%, p = 0.000)	0		0.23 (0.09, 0.37)	63.16
Overall (I-squared = 94.8%, p = 0.000)	•		0.59 (0.43, 0.75)	100.0
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				
	1			
9 00		0.0	F 1	

Figure 2. Forest plot of breast cancer risk associated with serum leptin levels for the subgroup analysis by ethnicity (Caucasian and Asian).

study to evaluate the influence of any individual study on the pooled results. The corresponding pooled results were not significantly altered. In addition, we noticed that some publications in forest plots were far apart from the results of other publications, which may be the root cause of the moderate heterogeneity. Thus, further sensitivity analyses excluding these publications did not change our results, which indicated the robust of the analysis. Therefore, we also included these publications in our meta-analysis. Moreover, the REM was compared with the FEM, and the conclusions were not changed, which suggested the stability of our meta-analysis.

Furthermore, a multivariate meta-regression analysis was conducted to assess the potential confounding factors. The results showed that the publish year, ethnicity, study quality, and test method did not have a substantial effect on heterogeneity (adjusted *P* value is .819, .416, .098, and .386, respectively).

4. Discussion

BC is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in women worldwide. It has been well-established that the postoperative metastasis and recurrence result in limited therapeutic options, poor prognosis, and cancer-related death. Thus, it is urgent for these researchers to investigate the molecular mechanisms of such malignancy and to identify specific biomarkers, which may be helpful in improving the rate of early diagnose, predicting prognosis, and guiding surveillance of BC. To our knowledge, of these wellestablished reliable biomarkers, leptin serves as a key molecular target for cancer prediction, prevention, and therapeutics. However, the issue regarding serum leptin levels and risk of BC remains controversial. Therefore, a meta-analysis was conducted to determine the value of serum leptin levels in BC.

In this meta-analysis, the overall results suggested that the serum leptin levels significantly increased in the BC patients compared with those in the controls. However, we must treat these results cautiously when referring to these findings. Because a non-ignorable heterogeneity between studies was identified, this may be contributed to the following factors:

 different populations (Caucasian and Asian populations) living in different areas with different environments might have different genetic backgrounds;

	SMD (95% CI)	Weight
ELISA		Conces.
Rodrigo et al. (2017)	0.99 (0.66, 1.32)	2.37
Li et al. (2017)	0.94 (0.55, 1.33)	2.28
El-Hussiny et al. (2017)	2.15 (1.65, 2.66)	2.09
Georgiou et al. (2016)	0.01 (-0.31, 0.32)	2.39
Chsostomo et al. (2016)	-0.09 (-0.41, 0.22)	2.39
Assinet al. (2016)	1.14 (0.04, 1.44)	2.41
Mohammadradoh et al. (2015)	1 24 (0 94, 1 54)	2.41
Contillon Register et al. (2013)	0.31 (-0.12, 0.73)	2 23
Romero et al. (2013)	1 76 (1 39, 2 14)	2.30
Ollberding et al. (2013)	0.63 (0.52, 0.74)	2.60
Gross et al. (2013)	0.17(0.00, 0.34)	2.55
Dalamaga et al. (2013)	0.06 (-0.22, 0.33)	2.44
Alokail et al. (2013)	4.90 (4.14, 5.66)	1.67
Touvier et al. (2013)	0.30 (0.15, 0.45)	2.57
Guo et al. (2012)	0.47 (0.02, 0.92)	2.18
Zhang et al. (2012)	0.66 (0.23, 1.09)	2.21
Chen et al. (2012)	0.85 (0.48, 1.22)	2.32
Gu et al. (2012) 🗖 📖	0.00 (-0.12, 0.12)	2.59
AL Awadhi et al. (2012)	1.01 (0.72, 1.30)	2.42
Harris et al. (2011)	-0.08 (-0.22, 0.05)	2.58
Hancke et al. (2010)	0.41 (0.06, 0.75)	2.35
Aliustaoglu M (2010)	0.11 (-0.39, 0.62)	2.09
Fan et al. (2010)	0.71 (0.35, 1.06)	2.33
Maccio et al. (2010)	0.52 (0.32, 0.72)	2.52
Cust et al. (2009)	-0.12 (-0.24, -0.01)	2.58
Han et al. (2008)	0.00 (0.00, 0.02)	2.30
azaliou et al. (2007)	0.40 (0.02, 0.22)	2.30
How et al. (2007)	0.59 (0.22, 0.95)	2 32
Huang et al. (2006)	6 92 (5 83, 8 02)	1.20
Coskun et al. (1) (2003)	0.14(-0.33, 0.61)	2.15
Coskup et al. (2) (2003)	0.26 (-0.27, 0.80)	2.05
Subtotal (I-squared = 95.8%, p = 0.000)	0.71 (0.52, 0.90)	78.37
RIA	0 10 (0 16 0 57)	2.74
i et al. (2006)	1.66 (1.36, 2.36)	210
Noo et al. (2006)	0.37 (-0.04, 0.79)	2.24
Chen et al. (2006)	0.39 (0.11, 0.67)	2.44
en et al. (1) (2005)	0.05 (-0.26, 0.37)	2.39
en et al. (2) (2005)	0.19 (-0.12, 0.49)	2.40
Stattin et al. (2004)	-0.04 (-0.24, 0.17)	2.52
Aantzoros et al. (2004)	0.01 (-0.21, 0.22)	2.51
Dzet et al. (2001)	0.60 (0.23, 0.98)	2.31
etridou et al. (2000)	-0.05 (-0.37, 0.27)	2.38
Subtotal (I-squared = 85.1%, p = 0.000)	0.32 (0.07, 0.57)	23.63
Overall (I-squared = 94.9%, p = 0.000)	0.62 (0.45, 0.78)	100.0
VOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
0.00	0.00	

Figure 3. Forest plot of breast cancer risk associated with serum leptin levels for the subgroup analysis by measurement method (ELISA and RIA). ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, RIA=radioimmunoassay.

- (2) the results from the population-based controls can represent the exposure situation of overall population;
- (3) the patients in these studies have different stages and types of BC, which indicated different pathways in the pathogenesis of BC;
- (4) these studies applied different analytic methods to measure the levels of serum leptin;
- (5) the quality of these eligible studies was different;
- (6) these patients with BC had different menstrual and treatment statuses;
- (7) the included cases and controls had different demographic characteristics and clinical information.

First, we conducted the sensitivity analysis by sequentially excluding each study. The corresponding pooled SMDs were similar, which indicated the stability of this meta-analysis. In addition, we noticed that some publications in forest plots were far apart from the results of other publications, ^[17,37,50,52] further

sensitivity analyses were conducted, which did not change our conclusion. The between-heterogeneity decreased from 94.9% to 91.5%, which indicated that these studies might contribute to heterogeneity but not be the root cause of the moderate heterogeneity. Thus, we still included these publications in our meta-analysis. Moreover, the similar results were obtained after the REM was compared with the FEM. Furthermore, a multivariate meta-regression analysis was conducted to further evaluate, and the results indicated no substantial effect of publish year, ethnicity, study quality, and test method on heterogeneity. Therefore, we conducted subgroup analyses to investigate the origin of heterogeneity through these above-mentioned factors.

When subgroup analyses were restricted to ethnicity, measurement method, publish language, and control source, our results revealed higher serum leptin concentrations in the patients with BC. Furthermore, the subgroup analysis by ethnicity also indicated in the high-quality group that the patients with BC

Study D		SMD (95% CI)	% Weight
Population	1		
Rodrigo et al. (2017)		0.99 (0.66, 1.32)	2.37
El-Hussiny et al. (2017)	1. +	2.15 (1.65, 2.66)	2.09
Sunter et al. (2015)		-0.01 (-0.10, 0.09	2.60
Ollberding et al. (2013)		0.63 (0.52, 0.74)	2.60
iross et al. (2013)		0.17 (0.00, 0.34)	2.55
ouvier et al. (2013)		0.30 (0.15, 0.45)	2.57
hang et al. (2012)	*	0.66 (0.23, 1.09)	2.21
arris et al. (2011)		-0.08 (-0.22, 0.05) 2.58
liustaoglu M (2010)	10	0.11 (-0.39, 0.62)	2.09
accio et al. (2010)		0.52 (0.32, 0.72)	2.52
u et al. (2007)	- T	0.40 (-0.03, 0.82)	2.23
uang et al. (2006)	1	6.92 (5.83, 8.02)	1.20
l et al. (2006)	A	1.66 (1.36, 2.36)	2.10
zet et al. (2001)		0.60 (0.23, 0.98)	2.31
ubtotal (I-squared = 96.5%, p = 0.000)	°	0.85 (0.55, 1.14)	32.02
lospital	*	0.94 (0.55, 1.33)	2.28
eorgiou et al. (2016)		0.01 (-0.31, 0.32)	2.39
risostomo et al. (2016)		-0.09 (-0.41, 0.22	2 39
ssiri et al. (2016)	1.	1.14 (0.84, 1.44)	2.41
ohammadzadeb et al. (2015)		1.24 (0.94, 1.54)	2.41
antillan-Benitez et al. (2013)	-	0.31 (-0.12, 0.73)	2.23
omero et al. (2013)		1.76 (1.39, 2.14)	2.30
alamana et al. (2013)		0.06 (-0.22, 0.33)	2.44
lokail et al. (2013)	T	4.90 (4.14, 5.66)	1.67
up et al. (2012)		0.47 (0.02, 0.92)	2.18
then et al. (2012)	1 *	0.85 (0.48, 1.22)	2.32
u et al. (2012)		0.00 (-0.12, 0.12)	2.59
L Awadhi et al. (2012)		1.01 (0.72, 1.30)	2.42
ancke et al. (2010)		0.41 (0.06, 0.75)	2.35
an et al. (2010)		0.71 (0.35, 1.06)	2.33
ust et al. (2009)		-0.12 (-0.24, -0.0	1) 2.59
an et al. (2008)		0.66 (0.50, 0.82)	2.56
azaitou et al. (2007)	in the second se	-0.10 (-0.42, 0.22) 2.38
ou et al. (2007)		0.59 (0.22, 0.95)	2.32
eisler et al. (2007)	100	0.19 (-0.16, 0.53)	2.34
voo et al. (2006)		0.37 (-0.04, 0.79)	2.24
nen et al. (2006)		0.39 (0.11, 0.67)	2.44
an et al. (1) (2005)	100	0.05 (-0.26, 0.37)	2.39
an et al. (2) (2005)	100	0.19 (-0.12, 0.49)	2.40
tattin et al. (2004)	100	-0.04 (-0.24, 0.17	2.52
lantzoros et al. (2004)	1.	0.01 (-0.21, 0.22)	2.51
oskun et al. (1) (2003)	100	0.14 (-0.33, 0.61)	2.15
oskun et al. (2) (2003)	100	0.26 (-0.27, 0.80)	2.05
ethdou et al. (2000) ubtotal. (Lequared = 93.9%, p = 0.000)	To	-0.05 (-0.37, 0.27	67.98
ubiotal (i-squared = 33.3%, p = 0.000)	1	0.51 (0.51, 0.72)	07,30
Overall (I-squared = 94.9%, p = 0.000)	•	0.62 (0.45, 0.78)	100.00
TE. Houns are non rangen eneus analysis	1	1	
-8.02	0	8.02	



showed higher serum leptin levels than the healthy controls. The above-mentioned results were consistent with the previous studies that there were significant higher serum leptin levels identified in cases with colorectal and prostate cancer compared with the controls.^[57,58] Previous studies indicated significant association between serum leptin levels and risk of cancer in postmenopausal women but suggested discrepant results when the premenopausal women were included to analysis due to inherent biological differences.^[59,60] However, we found a significant difference of serum leptin levels between cases and controls according to both premenopausal and postmenopausal status. Furthermore, such similar results were obtained in the subgroup analysis by menstrual status in the high-quality group.

Of the well-established risk factors for the development BC, obesity is considered as an important risk factor, especially in postmenopausal women. Leptin was one of the classical adipokines secreted by adipose tissue that was associated with insulin sensitivity, angiogenesis, and energy metabolism.^[61]

Previous studies reported that higher circulating levels of leptin were associated to increased body fat mass and were involved in cancer development and progression. Furthermore, several authors showed serum leptin concentration was higher in obese patients with BC than that in obese patients without cancer. [19,50] Thus, obesity may be related to leptin production in overweight/ obese person with or without BC. However, many studies did not isolate the BMI in the experimental design, which may reduce the power to reveal a reliable relationship. We conducted a metaanalysis to investigate the role of serum leptin expression in BC according to BMI. The result indicated that serum leptin levels significantly increased independently in BC cases for BMI >25. Although the mechanism remains unclear, we speculated that the elevated levels of leptin were consistent with the amount of body fat in overweight/obese groups, which could positively correlate with hyperleptinemia, insulin-sensitizing, and synthesis and activity of insulin-growth factor (IGF)-I and II. The IGF-I and II and leptin could have substantial effect on tumorigenesis

Study ID		SMD (95% CI) Weig
Premenstrual	1	
Rodrigo et al. (2017)	-	0.92 (0.47, 1.37) 2.76
Georgiou et al. (2016)		-0.27 (-0.83, 0.29)2.48
Hancke et al. (2010)	+	0.03 (-0.47, 0.53) 2.64
Maccio et al. (2010)	*	0.21 (-0.08, 0.50) 3.14
Woo et al. (2006)	-	0.59 (0.05, 1.12) 2.55
Jen et al. (2005)	*	0.20 (-0.27, 0.68) 2.70
Han et al. (2005)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.92 (0.54, 1.30) 2.94
Mantzoros et al. (2004)	-	-0.24 (-0.65, 0.16)2.87
Petridou et al. (2000)	-	-0.73 (-1.49, 0.02)2.02
Mohammadzadeh et al. (2015)		0.89 (0.51, 1.28) 2.93
Hou et al. (2007)	*	0.31 (-0.18, 0.80) 2.66
Guo et al. (2012)	-	0.62 (-0.04, 1.27) 2.24
Fan et al. (2010)		0.30 (-0.18, 0.78) 2.69
Gu et al. (2012)		0.00 (-0.12, 0.12) 3.41
Harris et al. (2011)		-0.13 (-0.27, 0.00)3.40
Ozet et al. (2001)	-	0.73 (0.15, 1.31) 2.43
Subtotal (I-squared = 81.9%, p = 0.000)	0	0.27 (0.07, 0.48) 43.87
Postmenstrual	1.00	
Rodrigo et al. (2017)		1.10 (0.61, 1.58) 2.68
Georgiou et al. (2016)	10.	0.07 (-0.31, 0.45) 2.94
Hancke et al. (2010)	10.0	0.49 (-0.04, 1.01) 2.57
Maccio et al. (2010)		0.74 (0.46, 1.01) 3.17
Pazaitou et al. (2007)	Time	-0.02 (-0.39, 0.35)2.97
Geisler et al. (2007)		0.92 (0.55, 1.28) 2.98
Woo et al. (2006)	100	0.42 (-0.26, 1.11) 2.18
Jen et al. (2005)		0.07 (-0.18, 0.33) 3.22
Han et al. (2005)	100	0.55 (0.07, 1.02) 2.70
Mantzoros et al. (2004)		0.09 (-0.16, 0.34) 3.22
Petridou et al. (2000)	T	0.05 (-0.30, 0.41) 2.99
Monammadzaden et al. (2015)		1.20 (0.73, 1.66) 2.73
Hou et al. (2007)		0.71 (0.18, 1.24) 2.56
Gud et al. (2012)	1.0	0.39 (-0.23, 1.02) 2.32
Fan et al. (2010)	100	0.71 (0.20, 1.23) 2.60
Huang et al. (2006)		6.92 (5.83, 8.02) 1.38
Ollhording of al. (2015)	Te	-0.01 (-0.10, 0.09)3.44
Delements et al. (2013)		0.63 (0.52, 0.74) 3.43
Orot of al. (2001)	Te	0.06 (-0.22, 0.33) 3.17
Sublatal (Laguarad - 02.49/ a - 0.000)	ō	0.00 (0.27, 1.08) 2.88
Subtotal (I-squared = 93.4%, p = 0.000)	1×	0.63 (0.38, 0.88) 56.13
Overall (I-squared = 91.2%, p = 0.000)	•	0.46 (0.30, 0.63) 100.0
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
-8.02	o	8.02

Figure 5. Forest plot of breast cancer risk associated with serum leptin levels for the subgroup analysis by menstrual status (Premenstrual and Postmenstrual).

through various intracellular pathways, which may synergize with other growth factors to enhance their mitogenic effects in obese hyperinsulinemic patients.^[19,62]

Leptin is regulated by transcriptional control with sex steroid hormones such as progesterone and estrogen. Thus, amplified signals though progesterone or estrogen were associated with increased leptin levels. However, no significant differences were identified regarding serum leptin levels in BC cases with and without PR or ER. We must treat these results cautiously. First, studies in premenopausal BC cases indicated some association between serum levels of leptin and increased tissue PR concentration from BC cancer tissue. It may demonstrate that menstrual status may serve as an important factor affecting the production of leptin. Second, such association may be affected by different analytic methods, ethnicity, and influencing aspects. There were only 6 studies for analysis, which decreased the statistical power. Thus, diet and other known risk factors will remain a key area of research for understanding the BC risk and complex interactions of lifestyle. In addition, leptin was significantly higher in advanced BC with LN invasion, which demonstrated that it might play a role in the tumor metastasis.

It is known that leptin interacts with pathways in the central nervous system and direct peripheral mechanisms.^[63] Moreover, the hyperactive leptin signaling network in central and peripheral system has a substantial effect on various steps in BC development and progression, and it can interact with breast epithelial tumor cells and with the different components within the BC microenvironment.^[15,63,64] The higher serum leptin levels can increase the risk of BC. Therapeutic strategies to decrease leptin concentration, such as downregulation of its serum levels, decreased expression of leptin receptors, inhibition of leptin signaling by a short peptide or other leptin receptor agonists, as well as pharmacological interventions with antidiabetic drugs, should be proposed with the goal of enhancing the pharmacological effects for treating BC in the near future. In addition, all women can change their lifestyles (low-fat diet and physical exercise) to achieve healthy weight for BC prevention and treatment efforts.

We note several potential limitations in this study. First, further analyses were not performed to detect other aggressive clinicopathological features due insufficient original data, such as tumor stages, tumor differentiation (inflammatory vs non-

Study	SMD (95% CI)	% Weigh
	020/07/015	6 40
Assimet al. (2016)	-0.30 (-0.74, 0.15)	0.49
Monammadzaden et al. (2015)	0.21 (-0.20, 0.62)	0.76
Santilian-Benitez et al. (2013)	0.54 (-0.52, 1.60)	2.79
Guo et al. (2012)	0.22 (-0.45, 0.89)	4.71
Liu et al. (2007)	-0.28 (-0.87, 0.30)	5.33
Chen et al. (2006)	0.11 (-0.34, 0.56)	6.42
Subtotal (I-squared = 4.9%, p = 0.385)	0.02 (-0.20, 0.24)	32.45
PB		
Assiri et al. (2016)	0.24 (-0.14, 0.63)	6.97
Mohammadzadeh et al. (2015)	0.92 (0.46, 1.37)	6.37
Santillan-Benitez et al. (2013)	0.54 (-0.36, 1.44)	3.39
Guo et al. (2012)	-0.15 (-0.79, 0.49)	4.91
Liu et al. (2007)	-0.36 (-0.97, 0.25)	5.16
Chen et al. (2006)	0.19 (-0.24, 0.62)	6.59
Subtotal (I-souared = 64.3%, p = 0.015)	0.24 (-0.12, 0.61)	33.39
IN I		
Huang et al. (2006)	0.73 (0.05, 1.42)	4.62
Assiri et al. (2016)	1.42 (0.91, 1.93)	5.90
Guo et al. (2012)	0.36 (-0.25, 0.97)	5.14
Fan et al. (2010)	0.48 (-0.02, 0.98)	5.98
Maccio et al. (2010)	0.16 (-0.38, 0.71)	5.66
Chen et al. (2006)	0.06 (-0.34, 0.46)	6.85
Subtotal (I-squared = 73.9%, p = 0.002)	> 0.53 (0.10, 0.95)	34.16
Overall (I-squared = 64.3%, p = 0.000)	0.27 (0.06, 0.48)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from under offerte analysis		
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		

Figure 6. Comparison of differences of the serum leptin concentration in breast cancer cases with or without ER, PR, and LN. ER = estrogen receptor, LN=lymph node invasion, PR = progesterone receptor.





inflammatory BC; triple negative vs other types), and histological grade. Second, subgroup analysis showed that disease type and ethnicity might be the source of heterogeneity. Nevertheless, there may be other inexplicable heterogeneity affecting the results. Third, a majority of studies eligible in this meta-analysis were conducted in Asian and Caucasian populations. Thus, the results are possibly mainly applicable to the Asians and Caucasians. Despite these limitations, we created a strict protocol and conducted study selection and data identification to reduce potential bias through the whole process. Thus, the objectivity and reliability of the results are guaranteed.

In summary, this study suggests that the serum leptin may profiles as a pivotal role in the pathogenesis, development, and metastasis of BC. Further investigation is needed to explore a threshold of leptin which could stimulate the development of cancer. Moreover, leptin will provide useful information for a therapeutic target to treat BC. More rigorous and uniform casecontrol is necessary to confirm these results.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Li Gu, Cheng-Di Wang, Chang Cao, Lin-Rui Cai, De-Hua Li, Yuzhen Zheng.

Data curation: Li Gu, Cheng-Di Wang, Chang Cao, De-Hua Li, Yuzhen Zheng.

Investigation: Li Gu.

Project administration: Lin-Rui Cai, Yuzhen Zheng.

Software: Chang Cao, Lin-Rui Cai, De-Hua Li, Yuzhen Zheng.

Supervision: Chang Cao, Lin-Rui Cai, De-Hua Li, Yuzhen Zheng.

References

- Ray A. Adipokine leptin in obesity-related pathology of breast cancer. J Biosci 2012;37:289–94.
- [2] Vogel VG. Epidemiology, genetics, and risk evaluation of postmenopausal women at risk of breast cancer. Menopause 2008;15(suppl 4):782–9.
- [3] Wintrob ZA, Hammel JP, Khoury T, et al. Insulin use, adipokine profiles and breast cancer prognosis. Cytokine 2017;89:45–61.
- [4] Maskarinec G, Ju D, Morimoto Y, et al. Soy food intake and biomarkers of breast cancer risk: possible difference in asian women. Nutr Cancer 2017;69:146–53.
- [5] Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBO-CAN 2012. Int J Cancer 2015;136:E359–86.
- [6] Keramatinia A, Mousavi-Jarrahi SH, Hiteh M, et al. Trends in incidence of breast cancer among women under 40 in Asia. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:1387–90.
- [7] Li G, Xu Z, Zhuang A, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy-detected change in marrow adiposity is strongly correlated to postmenopausal breast cancer risk. Clin Breast Cancer 2017;17:239–44.
- [8] Touvier M, Fezeu L, Ahluwalia N, et al. Association between prediagnostic biomarkers of inflammation and endothelial function and cancer risk: a nested case-control study. Am J Epidemiol 2013; 177:3–13.
- [9] Gross AL, Newschaffer CJ, Hoffman-Bolton J, et al. Adipocytokines, inflammation, and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women: a prospective study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2013;22: 1319–24.
- [10] Gu F, Kraft P, Rice M, et al. Leptin and leptin receptor genes in relation to premenopausal breast cancer incidence and grade in Caucasian women. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;131:17–25.
- [11] Wang D, Xie T, Xu J, et al. Genetic association between NFKB1-94 ins/ del ATTG Promoter Polymorphism and cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 42 case-control studies. Sci Rep 2016;6:12–25.
- [12] Luo YQ, Wang D, Gong T, et al. An updated meta-analysis of 37 casecontrol studies on the association between NFKB1-94ins/del ATTG promoter polymorphism and cancer susceptibility. Oncotarget 2016; 7:58659–70.

- [13] Wang D, Zhou K, Chen Z, et al. The association between DVWA polymorphisms and osteoarthritis susceptibility: a genetic meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015;8:12566–74.
- [14] Han CZ, Du LL, Jing JX, et al. Associations among lipids, leptin, and leptin receptor gene Gin223Arg polymorphisms and breast cancer in China. Biol Trace Elem Res 2008;126:38–48.
- [15] Khan S, Shukla S, Sinha S, et al. Role of adipokines and cytokines in obesity-associated breast cancer: therapeutic targets. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2013;24:503–13.
- [16] Laud K, Gourdou I, Pessemesse L, et al. Identification of leptin receptors in human breast cancer: functional activity in the T47-D breast cancer cell line. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2002;188:219–26.
- [17] El-Hussiny MA, Atwa MA, Rashad WE, et al. Leptin receptor Q223R polymorphism in Egyptian female patients with breast cancer. Contemp Oncol (Pozn) 2017;21:42–7.
- [18] Mohammadzadeh G, Ghaffari MA, Bafandeh A, et al. The relationship between -2548 G/A leptin gene polymorphism and risk of breast cancer and serum leptin levels in Ahvazian women. Iran J Cancer Prev 2015;8:100–8.
- [19] Romero-Figueroa Mdel S, Garduno-Garcia Jde J, Duarte-Mote J, et al. Insulin and leptin levels in obese patients with and without breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2013;13:482–5.
- [20] Al Awadhi SA, Al Khaldi RM, Al Rammah T, et al. Associations of adipokines & insulin resistance with sex steroids in patients with breast cancer. Indian J Med Res 2012;135:500–5.
- [21] Aliustaoglu M, Bilici A, Gumus M, et al. Preoperative serum leptin levels in patients with breast cancer. Med Oncol 2010;27:388–91.
- [22] Harris HR, Tworoger SS, Hankinson SE, et al. Plasma leptin levels and risk of breast cancer in premenopausal women. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2011;4:1449–56.
- [23] Hu X, Juneja SC, Maihle NJ, et al. Leptin–a growth factor in normal and malignant breast cells and for normal mammary gland development. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94:1704–11.
- [24] Assiri AM, Kamel HF. Evaluation of diagnostic and predictive value of serum adipokines: Leptin, resistin and visfatin in postmenopausal breast cancer. Obes Res Clin Pract 2016;10:442–53.
- [25] Wang D, Zhang C, Zhou Z, et al. TLR9 polymorphisms and systemic lupus erythematosus risk: an update meta-analysis study. Rheumatol Int 2016;36:585–95.
- [26] Wang D, Yang Y, Xu J, et al. Association of CD14-159(-260C/T) polymorphism and asthma risk: an updated genetic meta-analysis study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:22–32.
- [27] Wang D, Yang Y, Li Q, et al. Adductor canal block versus femoral nerve block for total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Sci Rep 2017;7:33–49.
- [28] Jen KL, Buison A, Darga L, et al. The relationship between blood leptin level and bone density is specific to ethnicity and menopausal status. J Lab Clin Med 2005;146:18–24.
- [29] Coskun U, Gunel N, Toruner FB, et al. Serum leptin, prolactin and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) levels in patients with breast cancer. Neoplasma 2003;50:41–6.
- [30] Rodrigo C, Tennekoon KH, Karunanayake EH, et al. Circulating leptin, soluble leptin receptor, free leptin index, visfatin and selected leptin and leptin receptor gene polymorphisms in sporadic breast cancer. Endocr J 2017;64:393–401.
- [31] Georgiou GP, Provatopoulou X, Kalogera E, et al. Serum resistin is inversely related to breast cancer risk in premenopausal women. Breast 2016;29:163–9.
- [32] Crisostomo J, Matafome P, Santos-Silva D, et al. Hyperresistinemia and metabolic dysregulation: a risky crosstalk in obese breast cancer. Endocrine 2016;53:433–42.
- [33] Gunter MJ, Wang T, Cushman M, et al. Circulating adipokines and inflammatory markers and postmenopausal breast cancer risk. J Natl Cancer Inst 2015;107:122–32.
- [34] Santillan-Benitez JG, Mendieta-Zeron H, Gomez-Olivan LM, et al. The tetrad BMI, leptin, leptin/adiponectin (L/A) ratio and CA 15-3 are reliable biomarkers of breast cancer. J Clin Lab Anal 2013;27:12–20.
- [35] Ollberding NJ, Kim Y, Shvetsov YB, et al. Prediagnostic leptin, adiponectin, C-reactive protein, and the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2013;6:188–95.
- [36] Dalamaga M, Karmaniolas K, Papadavid E, et al. Hyperresistinemia is associated with postmenopausal breast cancer. Menopause 2013; 20:845–51.
- [37] Alokail MS, Al-Daghri N, Abdulkareem A, et al. Metabolic syndrome biomarkers and early breast cancer in Saudi women: evidence for the presence of a systemic stress response and/or a pre-existing metabolic syndrome-related neoplasia risk. BMC Cancer 2013;13:43–54.

- [38] Zhang JX, Ma ZB, Yu LX, et al. Associations between breast cancer and serum levels of adiponectin and leptin. J Shandong Univ 2012;50:67–78.
- [39] Guo WB. Adiponectin (ADPN), Leptin expression changes in the clinical research in breast cancer patients. Lab Med 2012;26:34–53.
- [40] Chen X, Gao XL, Lv XJ, et al. Expression and significance of serum leptin, carcinoembrionic antigen and insulin in patients with breast cancer who had diabetes mellitu. Acad J Guangzhou Med Coll 2012;40:49–52.
- [41] Maccio A, Madeddu C, Gramignano G, et al. Correlation of body mass index and leptin with tumor size and stage of disease in hormonedependent postmenopausal breast cancer: preliminary results and therapeutic implications. J Mol Med (Berl) 2010;88:677–86.
- [42] Hancke K, Grubeck D, Hauser N, et al. Adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein as a novel prognostic factor in obese breast cancer patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010;119:367–1367.
- [43] Fan J, Liu B, WG G. Postoperative radiotherapy combined with temozolomidein treatment of malignantgliomas. J Pract Oncol 2010; 25:119–28.
- [44] Cust AE, Stocks T, Lukanova A, et al. The influence of overweight and insulin resistance on breast cancer risk and tumour stage at diagnosis: a prospective study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2009;113:567–76.
- [45] Pazaitou-Panayiotou K, Kelesidis T, Kelesidis I, et al. Growth hormonebinding protein is directly and IGFBP-3 is inversely associated with risk of female breast cancer. Eur J Endocrinol 2007;156:187–94.
- [46] Liu CL, Chang YC, Cheng SP, et al. The roles of serum leptin concentration and polymorphism in leptin receptor gene at codon 109 in breast cancer. Oncology 2007;72:75–81.
- [47] Hou WK, Xu YX, Yu T, et al. Adipocytokines and breast cancer risk. Chin Med J (Engl) 2007;120:1592–6.
- [48] Geisler J, Haynes B, Ekse D, et al. Total body aromatization in postmenopausal breast cancer patients is strongly correlated to plasma leptin levels. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2007;104:27–34.
- [49] Woo HY, Park H, Ki CS, et al. Relationships among serum leptin, leptin receptor gene polymorphisms, and breast cancer in Korea. Cancer Lett 2006;237:137–42.
- [50] Huang XD, WT J, MZ P. Determination of serum leptin and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) contents in patients with breast cancer. J Radioimmunol 2006;4:

- [51] Chen DC, Chung YF, Yeh YT, et al. Serum adiponectin and leptin levels in Taiwanese breast cancer patients. Cancer Lett 2006;237:109–14.
- [52] CR L, WL L, HY S. Study on the plasma leptin level and leptin mrna expression in cancerous breast tissue in patients with breast carcinoma complicated with obesity. J Radioimmunol 2006;19:
- [53] Stattin P, Soderberg S, Biessy C, et al. Plasma leptin and breast cancer risk: a prospective study in northern Sweden. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2004;86:191–6.
- [54] Mantzoros C, Petridou E, Dessypris N, et al. Adiponectin and breast cancer risk. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:1102–7.
- [55] Ozet A, Arpaci F, Yilmaz MI, et al. Effects of tamoxifen on the serum leptin level in patients with breast cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2001;31: 424–7.
- [56] Petridou E, Papadiamantis Y, Markopoulos C, et al. Leptin and insulin growth factor I in relation to breast cancer (Greece). Cancer Causes Control 2000;11:383–8.
- [57] Gade-Andavolu R, Cone LA, Shu S, et al. Molecular interactions of leptin and prostate cancer. Cancer J 2006;12:201–6.
- [58] Nakajima TE, Yamada Y, Hamano T, et al. Adipocytokines as new promising markers of colorectal tumors: adiponectin for colorectal adenoma, and resistin and visfatin for colorectal cancer. Cancer Sci 2010;101:1286–91.
- [59] Anderson AS, Caswell S. Obesity management-an opportunity for cancer prevention. Surgeon 2009;7:282-5.
- [60] Anderson GL, Neuhouser ML. Obesity and the risk for premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2012;5: 515–21.
- [61] Bluher S, Mantzoros CS. Leptin in humans: lessons from translational research. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:991s–7s.
- [62] Wauters M, Considine RV, Van Gaal LF. Human leptin: from an adipocyte hormone to an endocrine mediator. Eur J Endocrinol 2000;143:293–311.
- [63] Margetic S, Gazzola C, Pegg GG, et al. Leptin: a review of its peripheral actions and interactions. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2002;26: 1407–33.
- [64] Karim S, Merdad A, Schulten HJ, et al. Low expression of leptin and its association with breast cancer: a transcriptomic study. Oncol Rep 2016;36:43–8.