



# OSlihc: An Online Prognostic Biomarker Analysis Tool for Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Yang An<sup>1†</sup>, Qiang Wang<sup>1†</sup>, Guosen Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Fengjie Sun<sup>1</sup>, Lu Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Haojie Li<sup>1</sup>, Yingkun Li<sup>1</sup>, Yanyu Peng<sup>1</sup>, Wan Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Shaoping Ji<sup>1</sup> and Xiangqian Guo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Predictive Medicine, Institute of Biomedical Informatics, Cell Signal Transduction Laboratory, Bioinformatics Center, Henan Provincial Engineering Center for Tumor Molecular Medicine, Kaifeng Key Laboratory of Cell Signal Transduction, School of Basic Medical Sciences, School of Software, Henan University, Kaifeng, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Anesthesia, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Jean Paul Deslypere, Aesculape CRO Singapore and Belsele, Belgium

#### Reviewed by:

Zijian Zhang, Baylor College of Medicine, United States Chengqi Xu, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

\*Correspondence:

Xiangqian Guo xqguo@henu.edu.cn †These authors have contributed

equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmaceutical Medicine and Outcomes Research, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 12 January 2020 Accepted: 27 May 2020 Published: 10 June 2020

#### Citation:

An Y, Wang Q, Zhang G, Sun F, Zhang L, Li H, Li Y, Peng Y, Zhu W, Ji S and Guo X (2020) OSlihc: An Online Prognostic Biomarker Analysis Tool for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front. Pharmacol. 11:875. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00875 Liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) is one of the most common malignant tumors in the world with an increasing number of fatalities. Identification of novel prognosis biomarker for LIHC may improve treatment and therefore patient outcomes. The availability of public gene expression profiling data offers the opportunity to discover prognosis biomarkers for LIHC. We developed an online consensus survival analysis tool named OSlihc using gene expression profiling and long-term follow-up data to identify new prognosis biomarkers. OSlihc consists of 637 cases from four independent cohorts. As a risk assessment tool, OSlihc generates the Kaplan-Meier survival plot with hazard ratio (HR) and p value to evaluate the prognostic value of a gene of interest. To test the reliability of OSlihc, we analyzed 65 previous reported prognostic biomarkers in OSlihc and showed that all of which have significant prognostic values. Furthermore, we identified four novel potential prognostic biomarkers (ATG9A, WIPI1, CXCL1, and CSNK2A2) for LIHC, the elevated expression of which predict the unfavorable survival outcomes. These genes (ATG9A, WIPI1, CXCL1, and CSNK2A2) may be potentially new biomarkers to identify at-risk LIHC patients when further validated. By OSlihc, users can evaluate the prognostic abilities of genes of their interest, which provides a platform for researchers to identify prognostic biomarkers to further develop targeted therapy strategies for LIHC patients. OSlihc is public and free to the users at http://bioinfo.henu.edu.cn/LIHC/LIHCList.jsp.

Keywords: liver hepatocellular carcinoma, prognosis biomarker, survival analysis, gene expression profiling, survival outcome

# INTRODUCTION

As one of the most common tumors, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) also known as liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC), is a leading cause of death of cancer globally (Yu et al., 2010; Pinato et al., 2014; Cristea et al., 2015). A number of staging and grading systems have been commonly used for LIHC, including TNM, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC), albuminbilirubin (ALBI) grading, and Okuda system (Selcuk, 2017). For LIHC patients, surgical excision is an optimal treatment (Mullath and Krishna, 2019). However, the majority of LIHC patients have

1

the risks of recurrence and metastasis, leading to a much lower 5 years survival (Buonaguro et al., 2015). To improve patients' quality of life, treatment should be tailored according to more accurate predicted disease outcome (Pinato et al., 2014). So far, some biomarkers have been identified to provide insights of disease outcomes. For example,  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein (AFP) and desgamma-carboxy-prothrombin (DCP), PCNA and Ki-67 are the most frequently used biomarkers for LIHC (King et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2016). However, due to the high heterogeneity of LIHC, more prognosis biomarkers for LIHC need to be discovered.

In the present study, we developed an easy-to-use web server named OSlihc, to provide an online platform to evaluate potential prognostic biomarkers for LIHC in multiple independent cohorts. In addition, we have validated the prognostic ability of 65 previously reported biomarkers using this web tool, and have identified four novel potential prognostic biomarkers for LIHC, including *ATG9A*, *WIPI1*, *CXCL1*, and *CSNK2A2*. By using OSlihc, researchers and clinicians can expediently evaluate the prognostic value of the genes of their interests.

# **METHODS**

## **Data Collection and Processing**

Gene expression profiling data and clinical follow-up information of hepatocellular carcinoma were collected from TCGA (The Cancer Genome Atlas) and GEO (Gene Expression Omnibus) database. For TCGA dataset, gene expression profiling (RNA-seq, level-3, HiSeqV2) and follow-up data (361 cases) were downloaded in 2018 (**Table 1**). For GEO datasets, the keywords, including "hepatocellular carcinoma", "gene

### TABLE 1 | Summary of datasets in OSlihc.

expression" and "survival" were used to search in GEO database. Then the datasets containing mRNA expression and survival data with at least 50 cases were included. As a result, three GEO datasets (GSE76427, GSE20140, and GSE27150) with 115, 80, and 81 clinical LIHC cases, respectively (**Table 1**), were collected.

Five clinical survival terms, including OS (overall survival), DSS (disease-specific survival), RFS (relapse free survival), DFI (disease-free interval), and PFI (progression-free interval) (Liu et al., 2018), were used in OSlihc web server (**Table 1**). The clinicopathologic characteristics of LIHC patients in OSlihc database are summarized in **Tables S1** and **S2**.

### **Development of OSlihc**

OSlihc includes two major assemblies: data storage and analysis. The OSlihc web server is hosted in a tomcat 7.0 server in Windows 2008 system, the web interface is developed by HTML and JSP languages, the controlling part is developed by Servlet, and the database is handled by SQL Server which stores and manages the gene expression profiling and clinical data. The Servlet acts as a connecting layer between the frontend and the backend to provide interaction to users. Moreover, OSlihc web server is operated by R and Java, which manipulates the request from users and then returns the analysis results to users, the R package "Rserve" acts as a connecting layer between R and Java. The JDBC package serves as the connection middleware between R, Java, and SQL. In addition, the R package "survival" generates Kaplan-Meier survival curves and calculates hazard ratio with 95% confidence intervals and p value. System architecture flow diagram is presented in Figure 1, as previous described (Wang et al., 2019a; Wang et al., 2019b; Xie et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). OSlihc is available at http://bioinfo.henu.edu.cn/LIHC/ LIHCList.jsp.

Dataset	Sample size	Data type	Platform	No. of death	Median of OS (months)	Survival terms					
TCGA	361	RNA-seq	Illumina HiSeqV2	129	20.03	OS, DSS, DFI, PFI					
GSE76427	115	cDNA array	GPL10558	23	13.92	OS, RFS					
GSE20140	80	cDNA array	GPL5474	32	96.15	OS					
GSE27150	81	cDNA array	GPL13128	28	39	OS					
Total	637			212	22.43						

 TCGA
 Database

 GEO
 Database

 Java
 Servlet

 Java
 Servlet

 Web server

# Validation of Prognostic Biomarkers in OSlihc

To assess the performance and reliability of prognostic analysis of OSlihc web server, previously reported prognostic biomarkers for LIHC were searched in PubMed using the keywords "hepatocellular carcinoma", "survival", "prognosis", and "biomarker". As a result, we collected 67 papers with 65 reported prognostic biomarkers. The prognostic capabilities of these reported prognostic genes were evaluated by plotting the survival curve in OSlihc. We input gene symbols of the 65 reported prognostic biomarkers into the "Gene symbol" box individually, and then clicked the "Kaplan–Meier plot" button to obtain the survival curve plot. We listed "clinical survival terms", "cut-off", "case", "p value", "HR", "95%CI", "detection level", and "validation", and compared the "prognostic outcome" between "In OSlihc" and "In reference" when the reported prognostic gene exhibited the higher expression level.

# Identification of Novel Potential Prognostic Biomarkers in OSlihc

To identify prognostic biomarker candidates for LIHC, we genome-widely evaluated the prognostic values of human genes for each dataset using Cox regression analysis. Genes significantly related to prognosis were selected from each dataset (cox p value < 0.05). Then these genes were overlapped among the four datasets, as a result, four genes were identified as potential prognostic biomarkers for they were significantly related to prognosis in three datasets, including *ATG9A*, *WIPI1*, *CXCL1*, and *CSNK2A2*.

# RESULTS

# Clinicopathologic Characteristics of LIHC Patients in OSlihc

In the TCGA cohort, the median age of a total of 361 LIHC patients was 61. When examining the disease stages of LIHC patients, stage I patients accounts for 46% of all the LIHC patients (n=167), stage II accounts for 23% (n=82), stage III accounts for 23% (n=84), and stage IV accounts for 1% (n=4) (**Table S1**). In the GSE76427 cohort, the median age of a total of 115 patients was 64, and the distribution

of BCLC stage was that stage A accounts for 64% (n=74), stage B accounts for 24% (n=28), stage C accounts for 8% (n=9), and stage 0 accounts for 3% (n=4) (**Table S2**). In the GSE20140 cohort, microvascular invasion was present in 21% (n=17) of LIHC patients, and absent in 56% (n=45) of LIHC patients (**Table S2**). The clinicopathologic information of GSE27150 dataset were unavailable. The median OS time is 22.43 months of all LIHC patients in OSlihc (**Table 1**). The summaries of clinicopathologic characteristics of each cohort were presented in **Tables S1** and **S2**. The Kaplan–Meier plots for LIHC patients in OSlihc stratified by different pathologic stage and BCLC stage were significantly associated with OS (p<0.0001 and p=0.013, respectively) (**Figures 2A, B**). And the serum AFP level was also significantly associated with OS (p=0.02) (**Figure 2C**).

# **Application of OSlihc**

OSlihc has the ability of drawing a forest plot for all the cohorts to highlight the prognostic significance of interested genes (cutoff: upper 50% vs. lower 50%). In OSlihc, "Gene symbol", "Data Source", "Survival", and "Split patients by" are the four main parameters to evaluate the prognostic value of a gene of interest in LIHC (Figure 3A). In general, the official gene symbol is required in the "Gene symbol" box by the users. A red warning message would be shown if the input was not an official gene symbol (Figure 3B). Drop-down menu of "Data source" provides five options for users to take each of the four individual cohorts (TCGA, GSE76427, GSE20140, and GSE27150 datasets) or a combined cohort pooling all four above mentioned cohorts into one for survival analysis (Figure 3C). The combined cohort denotes that each cohort was individually stratified into subgroups by choosing a cutoff value for gene expression level, which were then pooled together for prognosis analysis. As a result, users have the choice to assess the prognostic value of a candidate gene in a single or the combined cohort according to the needs. In OSlihc, five survival terms could be generated, including OS, DSS, RFS, DFI, and PFI. OS could be measured in all the cohorts and in combined cohort, while RFS could be calculated only in GSE76427, DSS, DFI, and PFI could be analyzed only in the TCGA cohort (Figures 3D, E). "Split patients by" option could



A Gene symbol:	Survival: OS V
Data Source: Combined ~	Split patients by: Upper 25% ~
Kaplan-N	Aeier plot
B	
Gene symbol: p63	Survival: 05 v
Kapian-M	feier plot
invalid input, please check Gene Symbol at https://www.ncbi.nlm.	nih.gov/gene/.
C	
Gene symbol:	Survival: OS V
Data Source: Combined TCGA GSE20140	Split patients by: Upper 25%
GSE2/150 GSE76427	-
Gene symbol:	Gene symbol:
Data Source: TCGA V	Data Source: GSE76427 ~
Survival: OS DFI	Survival: OS RES
Split patients by:	Split patients by: Upper 25%
F	[]
Gene symbol:	Survival: OS V
Data Source: Combined ~	Split patients by: Upper 25% Upper 30% Upper 50%
	Upper/25% VS Lower 25% Upper30% VS Lower 30% Lower 25%
Kaplan-M	Lower 30% Lower 50% Trichotomy
Γ	Quartile
FIGURE 3   Overview of OSlihc. (A) Screenshot of OSlihc main interface. (B-F) Input	ut and output interface of OSlihc.

categorize LIHC patients into 2–4 subgroups by the expression level of the inputted gene (such as Upper or Lower 25%, 30%, 50%), users can select the different cutoff values (**Figure 3F**).

In addition, additional multiple optional parameters could also be set as confounding clinical factors to sub-categorize LIHC patients for users to choose, including "Gender", "BCLC Stage", "TNM", "Grade", "Alcohol", "Race", etc. (Figure S1). Take "BCLC Stage" (on the interface of GSE76427 cohort) for example, users can select BCLC Stage (All, A, B, C, or 0) of LIHC from the drop-down menu box in OSlihc to evaluate the BCLC Stage-specific prognostic value of putative genes (Figure S1C). When all the options have been set, user could click the

symbolnamesurvival termssur	Gene	Biomarker	Clinical			In OSlihc					In ref	erence		Prognostic	Ref.
PP1         Ostoopontin         OS         Upper         0         2.3321         1.6394.33747         361         /           Yes, serum         woil           (DN)         25%         0.0126         1.8422         1.103-2.9763         /          Yes, serum         biochemical           DS         DS         0.0126         1.8422         1.1403-2.9763          /         /         biochemical         ssay           DF         DS         0.0126         1.8422         1.1403-2.9763         St         /         /         /         /         ssay           DF         DF         0.0128         1.561         1.171-2.1008         St         / <th>symbol</th> <th>name</th> <th>survival terms</th> <th>Cut-off</th> <th>p value</th> <th>Н</th> <th>95%CI</th> <th>Case</th> <th>Cut-off</th> <th>p value</th> <th>case</th> <th>Detection level</th> <th>Validation</th> <th>outcome (higher expression)</th> <th></th>	symbol	name	survival terms	Cut-off	p value	Н	95%CI	Case	Cut-off	p value	case	Detection level	Validation	outcome (higher expression)	
DS         0.0126         1.8422         1.1403-2.9763 <i>i i</i> DFI         0.0138         1.5         1.071-2.1008         DFI <i>i i</i> PFI         0.0183         1.5         1.071-2.1008         DFI <i>i i</i> PFI         0.0078         1.5611         1.1242-2.1679         DFI <i>i i</i> DFI         0.0078         1.5613         1.1242-2.1679 <i>i i i</i> DRS         25%         2e-04         1.9443         1.1242-2.1679 <i>i i i</i> DFI         0S         Upper         4e-04         1.9443         1.3457-2.3803         361         upper n = 31 <i>i i</i>	SPP1	Osteopontin (OPN)	SO	Upper 25%	0	2.3521	1.6394-3.3747	361	~	<0.05	110	serum	Yes, serum biochemical assav	worse	(Yang et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2014; Oin. 2014)
DFI         0.0183         1.5         1.071-2.1008         DFS: p < 0.05           PFI         0.0078         1.5611         1.1242-2.1679         /         /           PFI         0.0078         1.5611         1.1242-2.1679         /         /           BRC5         Upper         4e-04         1.9443         1.3457-2.8093         361         upper n = 31'         /         7           DFS         25%         2e-04         1.5199-38095         0wer n = 41'         /         72         protein         Yes, HC         wol           DFI         0         0.012         1.7165         1.2585-2.4419         DFS: 0.0098         1         %es, HC         wol           MKI67         Ki-67         0S         Upper         6-04         1.753         1.2585-2.4419         1         /         7         Res, HC         wol           NKI67         Ki-67         0S         Upper         1.7165         1.2375-23809         1.2174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47/         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 Iabeling         Yes, HC         wol           NKI67         Ki-67         0S         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47/         0.0009         67			DSS		0.0126	1.8422	1.1403-2.9763			/			6		
FI         0.0078         1.5611         1.1242-2.1679         / <td></td> <td></td> <td>DFI</td> <td></td> <td>0.0183</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.071-2.1008</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>DFS: p &lt; 0.05</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			DFI		0.0183	1.5	1.071-2.1008			DFS: p < 0.05					
BIRC5         Survin         OS         Upper         4e-04         1.3457-2.8093         361         upper n=31/         /         72         protein         Yes, IHC         wor           DS         25%         2e-04         2.4062         1.5199-3.8095         lower n = 41         /         72         protein         Yes, IHC         wor           DFI         9e-04         1.753         1.2585-2.419         DFS         0.0098         53         wor n = 41         /         sssay           PFI         0.0012         1.7165         1.2575-23809         1.2174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 labeling         Yes, IHC         wor           MKI67         Ki-67         0S         0.012         1.7165         1.2774-2.7586         361         upper n = 47         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 labeling         Yes, IHC         wor           DS         0.0011         2.1783         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 labeling         Yes, IHC         wor           DS         0.0011         2.1783         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47         0.0009         67			PFI		0.0078	1.5611	1.1242-2.1679			/					
DFI         9e-04         1.753         1.2585-2.419         DFS: 0.0038           PFI         0.0012         1.7165         1.2375-2.3809         /         /           MKI67         Ki-67         OS         Upper         6e-04         1.9063         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47/         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 labeling         Yes, IHC         wol           25%         0.0011         2.1783         1.3664-3.4729         lowern = 0         /         poor prognosis)           DFI         0.002         0.1         1.3664-3.4729         /         poor prognosis)         /         poor prognosis)           PFI         0.002         0.1         1.6475         1.1891-2.2827         /         /         poor prognosis)	<b>BIRC5</b>	Survivin	SO DSS	Upper 25%	4e-04 2e-04	1.9443 2.4062	1.3457-2.8093 1.5199-3.8095	361	upper n = 31/ lower n = 41	~ ~	72	protein	Yes, IHC assay	worse	(Fields et al., 2004)
PFI         0.0012         1.7165         1.2375-2.3809         /           MKI67         Ki-67         OS         Upper         6e-04         1.9063         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47/         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 labeling)         Yes, IHC         woi           25%         25%         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper n = 47/         0.0009         67         protein (Ki-67 labeling)         Yes, IHC         woi           DS         0.0011         2.1783         1.3664-3.4729         0.wer n = 0         /         poor prognosis)           DFI         0.002         1.6821         1.2689-2.3404         DFS: 0.02         poor prognosis)           PFI         0.0027         1.6475         1.1891-2.2827         /         /         poor prognosis)			DFI		9e-04	1.753	1.2585-2.4419			DFS: 0.0098					
MKI67         Ki-67         OS         Upper         6e-04         1.3063         1.3174-2.7586         361         upper         a         votein (Ki-67)         babeling         Yes, IHC         wor           25%         25%         1.364-3.4729         lower n = 0         index >10% indicated         assay           DSS         0.0011         2.1783         1.3664-3.4729         /         poor prognosis)           DFI         0.002         1.6821         1.2089-2.3404         DFS: 0.02         poor prognosis)           PFI         0.0027         1.6475         1.1891-2.2827         /         /			PFI		0.0012	1.7165	1.2375-2.3809			/					
DSS     0.0011     2.1783     1.3664-3.4729     /     poor prognosis)       DFI     0.002     1.6821     1.2089-2.3404     DFS: 0.02       PFI     0.0027     1.6475     1.1891-2.2827     /	MKI67	Ki-67	SO	Upper 25%	6e-04	1.9063	1.3174-2.7586	361	upper $n = 47/$ lower $n = 0$	0.000	67	protein (Ki-67 labeling index >10% indicated	Yes, IHC assay	worse	(King et al., 1998)
DFI 0.002 1.6821 1.2089-2.3404 DFS: 0.02 PFI 0.0027 1.6475 1.1891-2.2827 /			DSS	0.0011		2.1783	1.3664-3.4729			/		poor prognosis)			
PFI 0.0027 1.6475 1.1891-2.2827 /			DFI	0.002		1.6821	1.2089-2.3404			DFS: 0.02					
			PFI	0.0027		1.6475	1.1891-2.2827			/					

"Kaplan-Meier plot" button, OSlihc will receive the user's request and return the prognosis analysis results for the inputted gene to users on the output web page, which graphically displays the Kaplan-Meier survival curve with p value and HR (with 95% confidence interval).

### Validation of Previously Reported LIHC Prognostic Biomarkers in OSlihc

In order to assess the reliability and capability of OSlihc web server in performing prognosis analysis, we collected 65 previously reported prognostic biomarkers at protein, mRNA or serum levels from 67 literatures. CDK1, HDAC2, RORA, FOXO3, and PCNA were among these 65 genes to be evaluated in OSlihc. The analysis results showed that all the 65 previously reported prognostic biomarkers have been demonstrated significant prognostic abilities (not only OS, but also DSS, DFI, and PFI) in OSlihc web server (Table 2 and Table S3). As previously described (Ouyang et al., 2016), SPP1, MKI67, and BIRC5 genes are significantly correlated with survival (OS, DSS, DFI, and PFI) in OSlihc (Table 2, Figure 4, and Figure S2). Patients with higher SPP1, MKI67, or BIRC5 expression have shorter survival (p<0.0001, p=6e-04 or 4e-04, respectively), while patients with lower SPP1, MKI67, or BIRC5 expression exhibited longer survival (Table 2 and Figure 4). When compared with normal tissue, SPP1, MKI67, or BIRC5 expression was significantly increased in LIHC tissues (Figure S3).

## Identification of Novel Potential LIHC Prognostic Biomarkers in OSlihc

To identify new prognostic biomarker candidates for LIHC, we genome-widely evaluated the prognostic values of human genes using Cox regression analysis, and identified four genes which show significant association with survival in OSlihc, and these four genes including ATG9A (Tang et al., 2013), WIPI1 (D'Arcangelo et al., 2018), CXCL1 (Zou et al., 2014; le Rolle et al., 2015; Nakashima et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Cabrero-de Las Heras and Martinez-Balibrea, 2018; Zhuo et al., 2018), and CSNK2A2 have not been reported to exhibit the prognostic values in LIHC up to now and were subject to the prognosis analysis in OSlihc (Table S4). Notably, all these four genes exhibited good performance in predicting LIHC patient outcome (Table 3 and Figure 5). Moreover, we found that patients with higher ATG9A, WIPI1, CXCL1, or CSNK2A2 expression have the worst survival rate (Figure 5), indicating that the elevated expression of all these potential predictors could predict the unfavorable prognosis.

# DISCUSSION

LIHC is a leading malignant tumor worldwide with high metastasis and recurrence rate. Due to the complex molecular heterogeneity and poor prognosis of LIHC, it is urgent to develop biomarkers for LIHC prognosis. In the present study, we established a web server OSlihc using gene expression profiling and long-term clinical follow-up data. This prognosis analysis



**FIGURE 4** | Validation of the top three high-frequency reported biomarkers in OSlihc. Kaplan–Meier plots for (A) *SPP1*, (B) *MKI67*, and (C) *BIRC5* in terms of OS. *p*-value, confidence interval (95%CI) and number at risk are as shown. The y-axis represents survival rate and the x-axis represents survival time (months). p = 0 denotes p < 0.0001.

TABLE 3	Evaluation	of novel	potential	prognostic	biomarkers	for LIHC i	n OSlihc.
IT CEL O	Liadadon	01110101	potornia	progrioodo	Diornantoro		10000

Gene symbol			In OSlihc			case
	cut-off	survival terms	p value	HR	95%CI	
ATG9A	upper 30%	OS	0.0157	1.4513	1.0727-1.9633	556
		OS	0.0012	1.7919	1.2594-2.5496	361
		DSS	0.0246	1.6859	1.0693-2.6581	
		DFI		no significance		
		PFI		no significance		
WIPI1	upper 25%	OS	<0.0001	1.9141	1.4027-2.6119	556
		OS	0.0176	1.5866	1.0837-2.323	361
		DSS		no significance		
		DFI		no significance		
		PFI		no significance		
CXCL1	upper 30%	OS		no significance		636
		OS	0.0081	1.6312	1.1356-2.3432	361
		DSS	0.0454	1.6077	1.0098-2.5595	
		DFI		no significance		
		PFI		no significance		
CSNK2A2	upper 25%	OS	5e-04	1.7411	1.2721-2.383	556
		OS	0.0348	1.5271	1.0308-2.2623	361
		DSS	0.0197	1.7965	1.0979-2.9396	
		DFI	0.0208	1.4965	1.0631-2.1065	
		PFI	0.0254	1.4692	1.0485-2.0586	

web server aims to assess the association between candidate gene and survival by an easy and interactive way. In current study, we have validated the association between survival and 65 previously published biomarkers for LIHC in our OSlihc server. As examples, three frequently-used biomarkers in LIHC, Osteopontin (OPN) (encoded by *SPP1* gene), Survivin (encoded by *BIRC5* gene) and Ki-67 (encoded by *MKI67* gene), showed prognostic abilities in OSlihc, and the elevated expression of these genes predicted the unfavorable prognosis, consistent with previous reports (King et al., 1998; Fields et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2014; Qin, 2014). These results demonstrated the reliability and capability of OSlihc web server in prognosis analysis.

The limitation of OSlihc is that only 637 LIHC clinical cases are currently available in OSlihc. When new datasets with profiling and clinical follow-up data become available, we will update the repository of OSlihc server to improve the performance.

In conclusion, we developed an online prognosis analysis tool named OSlihc, which provides a platform for researchers to

 $\overline{}$ 



FIGURE 5 | Evaluation of the prognostic values of potential biomarkers in OSlihc. Kaplan–Meier plots for high (red) and low (green) ATG9A, WIP11, CXCL1, or CSNK2A2-expression cohort in combined dataset (A, C, F) and TCGA dataset (B, D, E, G). *p*-value, confidence interval (95%CI) and number at risk are as shown. The y-axis represents survival rate and the x-axis represents survival time (months).

discover the new prognostic biomarkers and may offer opportunities to develop novel targeted strategies for LIHC, conducing to clinical translation of potential biomarkers.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: TCGA database (https://www.cancer.gov/ about-nci/organization/ccg/research/structural-genomics/tcga) and GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/?term=).

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

YA, QW, and XG developed the server, performed the evaluation of novel prognostic biomarkers and drafted the paper. GZ, LZ, and FS performed the validation of previous reported biomarkers. HL, YL, and YP collected LIHC datasets. WZ and SJ contributed to data analysis and paper revision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This study is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81602362), Supporting grants of Henan University (No.2015YBZR048, No.B2015151, No.2019YLXKJC04), Yellow River Scholar Program (No.H2016012), and Program for Innovative Talents of Science and Technology in Henan Province (No. 18HASTIT048), Program for Science and Technology Development in Henan Province (No.162102310391, No.172102210187), Program for Scientific and Technological

## REFERENCES

- Buonaguro, L., Tagliamonte, M., Petrizzo, A., Damiano, E., Tornesello, M. L., and Buonaguro, F. M. (2015). Cellular prognostic markers in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Future Oncol.* 11 (11), 1591–1598. doi: 10.2217/fon.15.39
- Cabrero-de Las Heras, S., and Martinez-Balibrea, E. (2018). CXC family of chemokines as prognostic or predictive biomarkers and possible drug targets in colorectal cancer. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 24 (42), 4738–4749. doi: 10.3748/ wjg.v24.i42.4738
- Cristea, C. G., Gheonea, I. A., Sandulescu, L. D., Gheonea, D. I., Ciurea, T., and Purcarea, M. R. (2015). Considerations regarding current diagnosis and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Med. Life* 8 (2), 120–128.
- D'Arcangelo, D., Giampietri, C., Muscio, M., Scatozza, F., Facchiano, F., and Facchiano, A. (2018). WIPI1, BAG1, and PEX3 Autophagy-Related Genes Are Relevant Melanoma Markers. Oxid. Med. Cell Longev. 2018, 1471682. doi: 10.1155/2018/1471682
- Fields, A. C., Cotsonis, G., Sexton, D., Santoianni, R., and Cohen, C. (2004). Survivin expression in hepatocellular carcinoma: correlation with proliferation, prognostic parameters, and outcome. *Mod. Pathol.* 17 (11), 1378–1385. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3800203
- Jin, Y., Chen, J. N., Feng, Z. Y., Zhang, Z. G., Fan, W. Z., Wang, Y., et al. (2014). OPN and alphavbeta3 expression are predictors of disease severity and worse prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *PloS One* 9 (2), e87930. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0087930
- King, K. L., Hwang, J. J., Chau, G. Y., Tsay, S. H., Chi, C. W., Lee, T. G., et al. (1998). Ki-67 expression as a prognostic marker in patients with hepatocellular

Research of Henan Education Department (No.14B520022), Program for Young Key Teacher of Henan Province (No.2016GGJS-214), Kaifeng Science and Technology Major Project (No.18ZD008), Supporting grant of Bioinformatics Center of Henan University (No.2018YLJC01), and Innovation Project for College Students of Henan University (No.2019101904). All the funding sources have roles in study design, data collection, data analysis, and paper writing.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2020. 00875/full#supplementary-material

**FIGURE S1** | Screenshot of OSlihc subfield interface. (A) TCGA, (B) GSE20140, (C) GSE76427 dataset (using BCLC stage as an example).

FIGURE S2 | Validation of the top three high-frequency reported biomarkers in OSlihc. Kaplan–Meier plots for (A–C) SPP1, (D–F) MKI67, and (G–I) BIRC5 in terms of DSS, DFI and PFI.

FIGURE S3 | Gene expression analysis of SPP1 (A), MKI67 (B) and BIRC5 (C) at GEPIA with comparison between tumor and normal tissues.

TABLE S1 | Clinicopathologic Characteristics of LIHC patients in TCGA dataset.

TABLE S2 | Clinicopathologic Characteristics of LIHC patients in GEO datasets.

**TABLE S3** Validation of previously published predictors for LIHC survival in OSlihc.

carcinoma. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 13 (3), 273–279. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.1998.01555.x

- le Rolle, A. F., Chiu, T. K., Fara, M., Shia, J., Zeng, Z., Weiser, M. R., et al. (2015). The prognostic significance of CXCL1 hypersecretion by human colorectal cancer epithelia and myofibroblasts. *J. Transl. Med.* 13, 199. doi: 10.1186/ s12967-015-0555-4
- Lee, Y. K., Kim, S. U., Kim, D. Y., Ahn, S. H., Lee, K. H., Lee, D. Y., et al. (2013). Prognostic value of alpha-fetoprotein and des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin responses in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma treated with transarterial chemoembolization. *BMC Cancer* 13, 5. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-13-5
- Lee, S., Rhim, H., Kim, Y. S., Kang, T. W., and Song, K. D. (2016). Post-ablation des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin level predicts prognosis in hepatitis Brelated hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver* 36 (4), 580–587. doi: 10.1111/liv.12991
- Lin, J. C., Wu, Y. C., Wu, C. C., Shih, P. Y., Wang, W. Y., and Chien, Y. C. (2015). DNA methylation markers and serum alpha-fetoprotein level are prognostic factors in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann. hepatology.* 14 (4), 494–504. doi: 10.1016/S1665-2681(19)31171-8
- Liu, J., Lichtenberg, T., Hoadley, K. A., Poisson, L. M., Lazar, A. J., Cherniack, A. D., et al. (2018). An Integrated TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource to Drive High-Quality Survival Outcome Analytics. *Cell* 173 (2), 400–16 e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.052
- Ma, S., Yang, J., Li, J., and Song, J. (2016). The clinical utility of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen expression in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 37 (6), 7405–7412. doi: 10.1007/s13277-015-4582-9
- Mullath, A., and Krishna, M. (2019). Hepatocellular carcinoma time to take the ticket. World J. Gastrointest. Surg. 11 (6), 287–295. doi: 10.4240/wjgs.v11.i6.287

- Nakashima, M., Matsui, Y., Kobayashi, T., Saito, R., Hatahira, S., Kawakami, K., et al. (2015). Urine CXCL1 as a biomarker for tumor detection and outcome prediction in bladder cancer. *Cancer Biomark*. 15 (4), 357–364. doi: 10.3233/ CBM-150472
- Ouyang, J., Sun, Y., Li, W., Zhang, W., Wang, D., Liu, X., et al. (2016). dbPHCC: a database of prognostic biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma that provides online prognostic modeling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1860 (11 Pt B), 2688–2695. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.02.017
- Pinato, D. J., Pirisi, M., Maslen, L., and Sharma, R. (2014). Tissue biomarkers of prognostic significance in hepatocellular carcinoma. Adv. Anat. Pathol. 21 (4), 270–284. doi: 10.1097/PAP.00000000000029
- Qin, L. (2014). Osteopontin is a promoter for hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis: a summary of 10 years of studies. *Front. Med.* 8 (1), 24–32. doi: 10.1007/ s11684-014-0312-8
- Selcuk, H. (2017). Prognostic Factors and Staging Systems in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Exp. Clin. Transplant.* 15 (Suppl 2), 45–49. doi: 10.6002/ ect.TOND16.L11
- Tang, J. Y., Hsi, E., Huang, Y. C., Hsu, N. C., Chen, Y. K., Chu, P. Y., et al. (2013). ATG9A overexpression is associated with disease recurrence and poor survival in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Virchows Arch.* 463 (6), 737– 742. doi: 10.1007/s00428-013-1482-5
- Wang, L., Zhang, C., Xu, J., Wu, H., Peng, J., Cai, S., et al. (2016). CXCL1 gene silencing inhibits HGC803 cell migration and invasion and acts as an independent prognostic factor for poor survival in gastric cancer. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 14 (5), 4673–4679. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2016.5843
- Wang, Q., Wang, F., Lv, J., Xin, J., Xie, L., Zhu, W., et al. (2019a). Interactive online consensus survival tool for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma prognosis analysis. Oncol. Lett. 18 (2), 1199–1206. doi: 10.3892/ol.2019.10440
- Wang, Q., Xie, L., Dang, Y., Sun, X., Xie, T., Guo, J., et al. (2019b). OSlms: A Web Server to Evaluate the Prognostic Value of Genes in Leiomyosarcoma. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 190. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00190
- Xie, L., Wang, Q., Dang, Y., Ge, L., Sun, X., Li, N., et al. (2019). OSkirc: a web tool for identifying prognostic biomarkers in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma. *Future Oncol.* 15 (27), 3103–3110. doi: 10.2217/fon-2019-0296

- Yang, G. H., Fan, J., Xu, Y., Qiu, S. J., Yang, X. R., Shi, G. M., et al. (2008). Osteopontin combined with CD44, a novel prognostic biomarker for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing curative resection. *Oncologist* 13 (11), 1155–1165. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2008-0081
- Yao, M., Zhao, J., and Lu, F. (2016). Alpha-fetoprotein still is a valuable diagnostic and prognosis predicting biomarker in hepatitis B virus infection-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 7 (4), 3702–3708. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.6913
- Yu, H., Li, D., Zhang, H., Xue, H., Pan, C., Zhao, S., et al. (2010). Resveratrol Inhibits Invasion and Metastasis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells. J. Anim. Vet. Adv. 9 (24), 3117–3124. doi: 10.3923/javaa.2010.3117.3124
- Zhang, G., Wang, Q., Yang, M., Yuan, Q., Dang, Y., Sun, X., et al. (2019). OSblca: A Web Server for Investigating Prognostic Biomarkers of Bladder Cancer Patients. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 466. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00466
- Zhuo, C., Wu, X., Li, J., Hu, D., Jian, J., Chen, C., et al. (2018). Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 is associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer. *Biosci. Rep.* 38 (4), BSR20180580. doi: 10.1042/ BSR20180580
- Zou, A., Lambert, D., Yeh, H., Yasukawa, K., Behbod, F., Fan, F., et al. (2014). Elevated CXCL1 expression in breast cancer stroma predicts poor prognosis and is inversely associated with expression of TGF-beta signaling proteins. *BMC Cancer* 14, 781. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-781

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 An, Wang, Zhang, Sun, Zhang, Li, Li, Peng, Zhu, Ji and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.