

Steric Repulsion Induced Conformational Switch in Supramolecular Structures

Karolis Norvaiša,^[a] Sophie Maguire,^[a] Claire Donohoe,^[a, b] John E. O'Brien,^[c] Brendan Twamley,^[c] Ligia C. Gomes-da-Silva,^[b] and Mathias O. Senge*^[a, d]

Abstract: Inspired by the rigidified architecture of 'picketfence' systems, we propose a strategy utilizing strain to impose intramolecular tension in already peripherally overcrowded structures leading to selective atropisomeric conversion. Employing this approach, tuneable shape-persistent porphyrin conformations were acquired exhibiting distinctive supramolecular nanostructures based on the orientation of the peripheral groups. The intrinsic assemblies driven by noncovalent bonding interactions form supramolecular polymers while encapsulating small molecules in parallel channels or

The molecular design of 'picket-fence' porphyrins with peripheral pivalate (trimethylacetate) groups attracted our attention as superstructures bearing sterically hindered residues can create nonprotic cavities with fine control of the interactions with the core of the system.^[1] Employing such shape-persistent molecular skeletons can lead to enhanced performance in certain situations such as solvent-accessible cavity/channel formations,^[2] control of desired self-assemblies,^[3] targeted atropisomeric enrichment methods,^[4] and tunable electrostatic configurations.^[5]

- [a] K. Norvaiša, S. Maguire, C. Donohoe, Prof. Dr. M. O. Senge School of Chemistry, Chair of Organic Chemistry Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin 152–160 Pearse Street, D02 R590 Dublin 2 (Ireland)
- [b] C. Donohoe, Dr. L. C. Gomes-da-Silva CQC, Coimbra Chemistry Center Department of Chemistry, University of Coimbra 3000-435 Coimbra (Portugal)
- [c] Dr. J. E. O'Brien, Dr. B. Twamley School of Chemistry Trinity College Dublin The University of Dublin, D02 PN40 Dublin 2 (Ireland)
- [d] Prof. Dr. M. O. Senge Institute for Advanced Study (TUM-IAS) Focus Group – Molecular and Interfacial Engineering of Organic Nanosystems Technical University of Munich Lichtenbergstrasse 2a, D-85748 Garching (Germany) E-mail: mathias.senge@tum.de Homepage: http://www.sengegroup.eu/
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103879
- © © 2021 The Authors. Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

solvent-accessible voids. The developed molecular strain engineering methodologies combined with synthetic approaches have allowed the introduction of the pivalate units creating a highly strained molecular skeleton. Changes in the absorption spectrum indicated the presence of severe steric repulsions between the peripheral groups which were confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis. To release the steric strain introduced by the peripheral units, thermal equilibration strategies were used to selectively convert the most abundant atropisomer to the desirable minor one.

While the conformational flexibility of tetrapyrrolic macrocycles has been found to play a vital role in controlling the function of proteins with porphyrin cofactors,^[6] for the development of synthetic methods to access artificial enzyme mimetic catalytic sites has only recently gained traction.^[7] The interplay of repulsive *peri*-interactions was established to be a prerequisite to provoke a particular type of conformational distortion (saddle) to expose the inner pyrrolic units for the enzyme-like catalytic active site. While new means of synthetic and electronic manipulation of the ring system to promote catalytic activity have introduced a handful of new out-of-shape superstructures, the remarkable flexibility of the porphyrin macrocycle continues to drive the search for novel ways to introduce more complex deformations.^[8,9]

Steric forces can undoubtedly play a critical role in the thermal stability of atropisomers^[10] and have been actively used to induce molecular transformations in stereochemistry (Figure 1a).^[11] While in porphyrin chemistry the general enrichment methods using weak coordination^[12] and thermal manipulations^[4,13] have been known for decades, the use of steric repulsion as a tool in selective atropisomeric conversion has been overlooked. Previously it has been shown that spatially demanding groups on the periphery of the aryl units can enhance the formation of the $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ atropisomer.^[4,19] Hence, we hypothesized that a $\sim 45^{\circ}$ tilt of the phenyl groups due to the peri-interactions could induce severe steric repulsion between the bulky groups at ortho-positions which might be relaxed by thermally changing stereoconformation (Figure 1b). Such a powerful toolbox could be used to selectively transform the most abundant atropisomer $(\alpha_3\beta)$ to the highly desirable $(\alpha\beta\alpha\beta)$ stereoisomer (Figure 1c).

The synthesis of nonplanar 'picket-fence' porphyrin systems presents a formidable challenge due to the sterically overcrowded superstructure which restricts access to porphyrin Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103879



Figure 1. a) Graphical representation of easily accessible *meta*-positions^[9] and sterically challenging *ortho*-positional for substitution in nonplanar porphyrins; b) 'Picket fence" porphyrins discussed in the following study; c) The illustration of the steric repulsion-induced conformational switch.

phenyl *ortho*-positions.^[9] To address the common issues associated with introducing picket fence residues to *ortho*-positions, four main molecular engineering strategies were exploited (Figure 1a): 1) dodecasubstitution to induce repulsive *peri*-interactions for defined saddle deformation; 2) restricted C–C bond rotation for ultimate control of the shape-persistent conformations in different atropisomers; 3) the presence of a metal center simplifies reaction monitoring by eliminating possible inner N–*H* tautomerism; 4) substitution with less bulky reagents (e.g., acetyl chloride) to minimize steric bulk around the *ortho*-positions.

The acetylated nonplanar porphyrins $\alpha_3\beta$ -2; α_4 -2 and $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 were prepared from corresponding {5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2-amino-

phenyl)-2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrinato}nickel(II) (1) atropisomers^[15] using an excess of acetyl chloride (Scheme S1). While the synthesis of α_4 -2 and $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 required over 100 equiv. of acetyl chloride, full conversion to $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 required 40 equivalents of acetyl chloride, only one-third of the amount required for the previous two atropisomers, indicating a more accessible substitution pattern.

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Next, we explored the thermal stability of $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 by variable temperature ¹H NMR (VT NMR) in d_6 -DMSO. Initially, the sample appeared to be thermally stable when heated to 60 °C (Figure S15); however, upon raising the temperature to 100 °C new spectral lines were observed which indicate thermal interconversion (Figure S16). In order to further investigate the atropisomeric transformations, the sample was heated to 150 °C for 15 h (Note, full conversion was already observed at 100 °C after 1 h, Figure S17) converting to $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 in 62 % atropisomeric purity with minor fractions of $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 (13%) and $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 (25%) (ratios identified by HPLC analysis, see Figure S21). All of the isolated 2 atropisomers were recrystallized and their structure confirmed via X-ray crystallography, contributing to the scarce database of full atropisomeric porphyrin families (Figure 2, Figure S1).

The basis for the incomplete conversion of $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 to $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 can be explained through the X-ray single-crystal analysis (Figure 2). The structure of $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 indicates a high strain energy state due to the strong ruffling (B_{1u}) profile introduced by the steric repulsion between the acetyl groups in addition to the dominant saddle (B_{2u}) deformation (Figure S2, Video S1), making it the least thermally stable conformation. Evidently, $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 is the most favorable conformation as sterically repulsive groups are divided equally below and above the plane. The high thermal stability of $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 was indicated by VT NMR as no spectral changes were observed upon cooling down to 25 $^\circ\text{C}$ after heating to 99°C (Figure S18). This was confirmed by HPLC analysis after heating the sample for 1 h at 100 °C (Figure S22). Nevertheless, a small amount of $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 has also been acquired upon thermal $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 conversion. Examination of the $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 structure found dominant intermolecular H-bonding features which result in minimal ruffling distortion of the macrocycle and lower the overall strain energy (Figure 4; Figure S12).



Figure 2. Isolated molecular structures of the atropisomers discussed in this study (Figure 1b), in green – peripheral groups (acetyl (2) or pivaloyl (3)) above the macrocycle plane, in red – below the plane. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity. On the left, a chart of the ruffle distortion obtained from out-of-plane normal-coordinate structural decomposition (NSD) (see Figure S2 for full NSD analysis).

Chem. Eur. J. 2022, 28, e202103879 (2 of 5)

© 2021 The Authors. Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



NMR analyses of the 2 atropisomers were performed in d_{6^-} DMSO as this provided the most well-resolved spectra in comparison to other deuterated solvents (Figure S19). As expected, the $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 and α_4 -2 atropisomers showed the most resolved spectra with well-defined aromatic and aliphatic regions attributed to the high symmetry and the low probability of stacking forming an intermolecular H···H network (Figure 3). The characteristic amide (N–H) resonance signals of $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 are shifted further downfield in comparison to α_4 -2



Figure 3. ¹H NMR spectra of aromatic regions in atropisomers 2 and 3 (d_{e^-} DMSO or CDCl₃); Δ T represents atropisomeric thermal interconversion; Hashtag symbol marks chloroform signal.



Figure 4. a) Schematic illustration of the intermolecular packing observed in $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2; b) and c) structural packing representation at different viewing angles. Non-essential hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity. Red represents the amide groups as hydrogen-bond acceptors, while green represents the hydrogen bond donor groups.

contributing to the more accessible solvent binding pockets for potential hydrogen bonding interactions. The number of resonance signals in $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 is noticeably greater as a result of the unsymmetrical nature of the rotamer.^[17] The ¹H NMR spectrum of $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 was found to be the least resolved with very broad resonance signals. This indicated the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonding networks, as was observed in the X-ray structural analysis (Figure 4; Figure S12). The resolution of the ¹H NMR spectra could be greatly improved by performing the measurements at higher temperatures, due to the disruption of the intermolecular stacking interactions (Figure S20).

In order to enable the assembly of the interlinked superstructure, the structural model requires shape-persistent dual functionality, wherein one side acts as acceptor, while the other acts as a donor. The precisely defined $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 structure, arrayed with opposing aryl group angles, satisfies such a critical design requirement. Inwardly facing acetyl groups form H-H acceptor sites, whereas on the other side of the macrocycle, outwardly orientated amide groups create donating pockets (Figure 4; Figure S12). While $\alpha_3\beta$ -2, α_4 -2 and $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2 form solvent-accessible voids with different sized cavities ($\alpha_3\beta$ -2> α_4 -2> $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2), $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2 constructs solvent-accessible parallel channels (Figure S13). Such tuneable supramolecular arrangements, depending on the orientation of the peripheral coordinating units, are a particularly important consideration for composing sophisticated molecular ensembles where control of intermolecular distances is important^[17] or selective encapsulation of small molecules is required.^[2]

In line with the observations of $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -2 thermal conversion to $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -2, we hypothesized that the use of even bulkier peripheral substituents could tune the thermal transformation selectively towards the $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ conformation. To test this hypothesis, we decided to attempt the introduction of the original^[1] "picket fence" reagent (pivaloyl chloride) on the $\alpha_3\beta$ -1 scaffold From the previous studies with α_4 -1, it was expected that substitution at the ortho-positions with pivaloyl chloride would be a challenging task.^[9] As anticipated, this required the addition of 120 equiv. of pivaloyl chloride three-fold greater than required for the synthesis of $\alpha_3\beta$ -2. In chloroform, the trisubstituted product was predominantly formed (Figure S23). To propel the reaction to tetra-substitution, the reaction mixture was heated to $60\,^\circ\text{C}$ for 2 h (longer heat exposure might induce thermal equilibration) successfully yielding $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3. The obtained structure of $\alpha_3\beta$ -3 shows a high ruffling profile comparable to $\alpha_3\beta$ -2 which suggests the high strain energy allows for easy thermal interconversion and offers a novel way of inducing ruffling. (Figure 2). Typically such immense ruffling deformations with dominant saddling is observed in unsymmetrical dodecasubstituted porphyrins (Figure S9),^[18] on protonation of formerly dominant ruffled systems (Figure S10),^[19] or guest-assisted sterically hindered porphyrins (Figure S11).^[20]

In order to test thermal convergence and issue the first report of selective one-pot synthesis and simultaneous conversion from $\alpha_3\beta$ -1 to $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3, we have designed a synthetic protocol that includes an excess of pivaloyl chloride combined with *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine in dichlorobenzene stirred at

120 °C for 15 h. Despite the moderate yield obtained (53%) due to the formation of a tri-substituted product and the extensive purification required, $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3 was isolated in 95% atropisomeric excess (5% identified as $\alpha_3\beta$ -3). This confirms the hypothesis that with the increasing bulkiness of the peripheral units, steric clashes are tremendously more dominant, resulting in the stereoselective conversion to $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ upon thermal equilibration.

While acetylated porphyrin atropisomers 2 had similar solubility in common organic solvents, the solubility of $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 proved to be remarkably different from the $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3 conformer. $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 was found to be soluble in both highly polar solvents (e.g. DMSO, MeOH, CH₃CN) as well as considerably non-polar solvents (e.g. hexane, toluene). The amphiphilic behavior could be attributed to the high density of pivalate groups on one side of the superstructure.^[9] However, when equally distributed on both faces, for example, $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3, good solubility was observed only in chlorinated solvents. Hence, for ¹H NMR comparisons, $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 and $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3 were dissolved in CDCl₃, and $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 and $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -2 in d_6 -DMSO (Figure 3). Two main observations can be made: 1) the amide NH groups of $\alpha_3\beta$ -3 are shifted considerably up-field as a result of sheltering by the pivalate groups; hence, limiting access for the interactions with solvent molecules (this is also clearly seen in the X-ray structures); 2) the resolution of $\alpha_3\beta$ -3 NMR spectra is considerably more defined as a result of the increased structural rigidity.

All of the isolated compounds were screened by UV-vis spectrophotometric analysis (Figure S24). While the ruffling profiles for the isolated **2** structures were very different (Figure 2), this was not reflected in UV-vis spectra. Typically, ruffle profiles give rise to the red-shift of Soret and Q-band regions.^[20] However, all of the structures contain a dominant saddle distortion mode whereas complimentary ruffling (Video S1) has little to no influence on the spectrophotometric changes. Nonplanarity-induced destabilization of the porphyrin HOMOs is the principal cause of redshifts,^[21] hence, a similar magnitude of the overall out-of-plane (Δ_{oop}) distortion in all structures (Figure S2) results in almost identical absorbance spectra.

On the other hand, stark differences between $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3 and $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 in the Q-band region were observed, which resulted in observable colorimetric changes (brown and green, respectively) (Figure 5). In $\alpha_{3}\beta\text{-}\textbf{3}$ $Q_{\scriptscriptstyle(0,0)}$ has doubled in intensity as to $Q_{\scriptscriptstyle (1,0)}$ and batochromically-shifted by ~15 nm compared to $Q_{\scriptscriptstyle (0,0)}$ of $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3. The large spectral deviation observed for sterically crowded $\alpha_3\beta$ -3 may arise from substituent electronic effects.^[22] In the $\alpha_3\beta$ -3 structure, steric repulsion forces one of the phenyl rings to twist to $\sim 70^{\circ}$ relative to the mean plane of the macrocycle, while the others adopt the conventional $\sim 45^{\circ}$ angle (Figure S14). In contrast, neither $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3 nor the acetylated analog $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -2 has one dominant phenyl twist, rather all of the rings are orientated at approximately 50°. The relaxation of the high-symmetry coupling conditions of the orbitals due to the strain-induced rotation of the single aryl group in $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 could potentially result in a decreased HOMO-LUMO gap, redshift, and increased intensity.^[23] Typically such an effect is observed in unsymmetrical push-pull porphyrin systems,^[24]



Figure 5. Comparison of the UV-Vis spectra of $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3 and $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ -3 with expanded Q-band region and illustration highlighting the colorimetric differences. Recorded in CHCl₃.

highlighting the unique characteristic of tension rigidified architecture of $\alpha_{3}\beta$ -3.

In conclusion, we have developed a unique atropisomer enrichment strategy that operates by exploiting steric repulsion to induce stereoselective atropisomer interconversion ($\alpha_3\beta$ to $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$). We show that introducing acetyl groups to the orthopositions of saddle shaped porphyrin allows thermal enrichment to reach 62% while introducing bulky pivalamido substituents can drive the selective transformation to over 95% of the desired atropisomer. Distortion and bulkiness of the periphery appear to be critical factors for enhanced selective atropisomeric enrichment. The formation of sophisticated supramolecular assemblies driven by non-covalent bonding interactions were found in acetyl porphyrin superstructures. The size of the solvent-accessible voids, channels, and hydrogen bonding networks were solely dependent on the orientation of the peripheral groups. Upon investigating the photophysical properties, marginal absorbance spectral differences between acetylated porphyrin atropisomers were found. Unlike acetyl groups, the pivalamido-based $\alpha_{3}\beta$ atropisomer attained a significant bathochromic shift of the Q-band region in comparison to the $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$ conformation. Upon detailed X-ray crystallographic analysis, the difference in absorbance spectra was rationalized in terms of phenyl rotation induced by the severe steric repulsion, which caused geometrical and stereoelectronic desymmetrization. The combined effects of a nonplanar porphyrin scaffold with highly strained "picket-fence" components lay the foundation for supramolecular atropisomeric architectures which could potentially result in promising superstructures for sophisticated receptor systems.^[25]

Deposition Numbers 2118018 (for α_4 -2), 2118019 for ($\alpha_3\beta$ -3), 2118020 (for $\alpha_3\beta$ -2), 2118021 (for $\alpha_2\beta_2$ -2), 2118023 (for $\alpha_\beta,\alpha_\beta\beta$ -2), 2118022 (for $\alpha_\beta,\alpha_\beta\beta$ -3) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre



and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

Acknowledgements

This work was prepared with the support of the Technical University of Munich – Institute for Advanced Study through a Hans Fischer Senior Fellowship and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 764837 and under the FET Open grant agreement No. 828779, the Irish Research Council (GOIPG 2017/1172), and the Royal Society of Chemistry (undergraduate research bursary for S.M., U21-8695165281). Additional support was provided by the Higher Education Authority and the Department of Further and Higher Education, Research, Innovation and Science (Ireland). Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

Keywords: Atropisomers · Crystallography · NMR · Porphyrinoids · Supramolecular Chemistry

- J. P. Collman, R. R. Gagne, C. Reed, T. R. Halbert, G. Lang, W. T. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1427–1439.
- [2] a) M. P. Byrn, C. J. Curtis, I. Goldberg, Y. Hsiou, S. I. Khan, P. A. Sawin, S. K. Tendick, C. E. Strouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, *113*, 6549–6557;
 b) K. J. Flanagan, B. Twamley, M. O. Senge, *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, *58*, 15769–15787.
- [3] a) I. Goldberg, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 1243–1254; b) S. Lipstman, I. Goldberg, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2013, *13*, 942–952; c) M. Tang, Y. Liang, X. Lu, X. Miao, L. Jiang, J. Liu, L. Bian, S. Wang, L. Wu, Z. Liu, *Chem* 2021, *7*, 1–15.
- [4] T. Ishizuka, S. Tanaka, S. Uchida, L. Wei, T. Kojima, J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 12856–12869.
- [5] a) D. J. Martin, B. Q. Mercado, J. M. Mayer, *Inorg. Chem.* 2021, 60, 5240– 5251; b) D. J. Martin, J. M. Mayer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, 143, 11423– 11434.

- [6] a) M. O. Senge, S. A. MacGowan, J. M. O'Brien, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 17031–17063; b) E. Sitte, M. O. Senge, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2020, 3171– 3191.
- [7] a) M. Roucan, M. Kielmann, S. J. Connon, S. S. R. Bernhard, M. O. Senge, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54*, 26–29; b) E. Aoki, W. Suzuki, H. Kotani, T. Ishizuka, H. Sakai, T. Hasobe, T. Kojima, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, *55*, 4925-4928; c) T. A. Dar, B. Uprety, M. Sankar, M. R. Maurya, *Green Chem.* 2019, *21*, 1757–1768; d) M. Kielmann, N. Grover, W. W. Kalisch, M. O. Senge, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 2448–2452; e) W. Suzuki, H. Kotani, T. Ishizuka, T. Kojima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 5987–5994.
- [8] C. J. Kingsbury, M. O. Senge, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2021, 431, 213760– 213783.
- [9] K. Norvaiša, K. Yeow, B. Twamley, M. Roucan, M. O. Senge, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2021, 1871–1882.
- [10] M. J. Crossley, L. D. Field, A. J. Forster, M. M. Harding, S. Sternhell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 341–348.
- [11] M. Mancinelli, G. Bencivenni, D. Pecorari, A. Mazzanti, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2020, 070–4086.
- [12] a) Y. Kuroda, A. Kawashima, Y. Hayashi, H. Ogoshi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4929–4933; b) J. Lindsey, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 5215–5215; c) T. Hayashi, T. Asai, H. Hokazono, H. Ogoshi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 12210–12211.
- [13] a) E. Rose, M. Quelquejeu, C. Pochet, N. Julien, A. Kossanyi, L. Hamon, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5030–5031; b) E. Rose, A. Cardon-Pilotaz, M. Quelquejeu, N. Bernard, A. Kossanyi, B. Desmazieres, J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3919–3920.
- [14] T. Arai, A. Tsukuni, K. Kawazu, H. Aoi, T. Hamada, N. Nishino, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2000, 1381–1390.
- [15] K. Norvaiša, K. J. Flanagan, D. Gibbons, M. O. Senge, Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 16705–16709; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 16553–16557.
- [16] K. Norvaiša, J. E. O'Brien, D. J. Gibbons, M. O. Senge, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 27, 331–339.
- [17] a) T. Sakuma, H. Sakai, Y. Araki, T. Wada, T. Hasobe, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 5453–5463; b) H. C. Sample, G. Emandi, B. Twamley, N. Grover, B. Khurana, V. Sol, M. O. Senge, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, 4136–4143.
- [18] V. Velkannan, P. Bhyrappa, Polyhedron 2015, 87, 170-180.
- [19] M. O. Senge, Z. Naturforsch. B 2000, 55, 336-344.
- [20] R. E. Haddad, S. Gazeau, J. Pécaut, J.-C. Marchon, C. J. Medforth, J. A. Shelnutt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1253–1268.
- [21] A. B. J. Parusel, T. Wondimagegn, A. Ghosh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 6371–6374.
- [22] a) J. R. Weinkauf, S. W. Cooper, A. Schweiger, C. C. Wamser, J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 3486–3496; b) C. Hansch, A. Leo, R. W. Taft, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 165–195.
- [23] a) A. Helms, D. Heiler, G. McLendon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4325– 4327; b) A. Ceulemans, W. Oldenhof, C. Gorller-Walrand, L. G. Vanquickenborne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1155–1163.
- [24] a) N. C. M. Magdaong, M. Taniguchi, J. R. Diers, D. M. Niedzwiedzki, C. Kirmaier, J. S. Lindsey, D. F. Bocian, D. Holten, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2020, 124, 7776–7794; b) N. Grover, M. Sankar, Y. Song, K. M. Kadish, *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 55, 584–597.
- [25] K. Norvaiša, M. Kielmann, M. O. Senge, ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 1793– 1807.

Manuscript received: October 27, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: November 18, 2021 Version of record online: December 2, 2021