

Association analysis between vitamin D level and depression in women perimenopause

A protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis

Jie Yuan, MD^{a,b}, Tiantian Chen, MD^c, Yaling Lei, MD^b, Shujun Wei, MD^a, Penglong Yu, MD^c, Yue Cao, MS^a, Yuan Zhao, MS^b, Jie Chen, MD^{b,*}

Abstract

Background: In recent decades, many researches manifested that the perimenopause is a window of vulnerability for the development of both depressive symptoms and major depressive episodes. Some scholar thought that those women diagnosed with depression may be particularly sensitive to changes in the hormonal milieu experienced premenstrual, during the postpartum period or during the menopause transition in. Risk factors for depressive symptoms during the perimenopause include prior standardized mean difference (MDD), psychosocial factors, anxiety symptoms, and reproductive-related mood disturbance. However, active vitamin D (VD), exerts protective and regulatory effects on the brain dopamine system and suggests that similar to the antidepressant. Therefore, serum 25(OH)D level may be negatively correlated with the perimenopausal depression.

Methods: The study only selects clinical randomized controlled trials of depression in perimenopausal women. We will search each database from the built-in until October 2020. The English literature mainly searches Cochrane Library, PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science. While the Chinese literature comes from CNKI, CBM, VIP, and Wangfang database. Meanwhile, we will retrieve clinical trial registries and grey literature. Two researchers worked independently on literature selection, data extraction, and quality assessment. The dichotomous data is represented by relative risk, and the continuous is expressed by mean difference or standard mean difference, eventually the data is synthesized using a fixed effect model or a random effect model depending on the heterogeneity. The serum vitamin D level, Hamilton Depression Scale, or Beck Depression Inventory or Zung self-rating depression scale or patient health questionnare-9 were evaluated as the main outcomes. While several secondary outcomes were also evaluated in this study. The statistical analysis of this Meta-analysis was conducted by RevMan software version 5.3.

Results: This meta-analysis will further determine the association analysis between VD level and depression in women perimenopause.

Conclusion: This study determines the VD level is related to the occurrence of depression in perimenopausal women.

Abbreviations: MDD = major depressive disorder, RCT = randomized controlled trial, VD = vitamin D.

Keywords: meta-analysis, perimenopausal depression, protocol, vitamin D level

Registration number: INPLASY202040117.

JY and TC contributed equally to this work and are the co-first authors.

This study was supported by Key Research and Development Projects of Shaanxi Province (No. 2018ZDXM-SF-013; No. 2020SF-342).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a School of Basic Medical Sciences, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, ^b Department of Encephalopathy, Shaanxi Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Xi'an, ^c Department of Rheumatology, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, P.R. China.

* Correspondence: Jie Chen, Department of Encephalopathy, Shaanxi Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, No. 4 Xi-hua-men, Xi'an 710003, Shaanxi Province, P.R. China (e-mail: cj.doctor@163.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Received: 23 April 2020 / Accepted: 24 April 2020

This review is based solely on a secondary study of published literatures and does not require ethics committee approval. Its conclusion will be disseminated in conference papers, magazines or peer-reviewed journals.

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Yuan J, Chen T, Lei Y, Wei S, Yu P, Cao Y, Zhao Y, Chen J. Association analysis between vitamin D level and depression in women perimenopause: a protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine 2020;99:21(e20416).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020416

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the occurrence of hormone-related depression symptoms across the female lifecycle has gained much attention, and some scholars thought that the cause of this situation may be those women are particularly sensitive to changes of the hormonal in the postpartum and perimenopause.^[1,2,3]

Perimenopausal depression is characterized by affective symptoms as well as menopause-specific somatic complaints.^[4] Many data manifested a particularly high prevalence of depressive symptoms in the peri or postmenopausal,^[4,5] and the perimenopause is a window of vulnerability for the development of both depressive symptoms and major depressive episodes, even in women with no history of major depressive disorder(MDD).^[1] Perimenopausal depression is a chronic recurrent disease with an increasing risk of suicide and selfmutilation.^[6-8] Depression not only does harm to people's health and affects their daily life, but also bring tremendous burden to their families and society.^[9] Risk factors for depressive symptoms during the perimenopause include prior MDD, sociodemographic factors, psychosocial factors, and reproductive-related mood disorder.[5,9-11] Many researchers found that the decrease of ovarian estrogen production is a highly risk factor for depression,^[12] and vasomotor symptoms are positively related to it.^[13] Perimenopausal depression includes a wide range of symptoms,^[6] and several common symptoms of the perimenopause overlap with the symptoms of depression during this stage.^[1] Treatments for perimenopausal depression usually include antidepressants and hormone replacement therapy,^[14] and antidepressant treatment for perimenopausal depression usually begins with a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor,^[6] non-hormonal and behavioral can also be deployed.^[15] Nowadays, more emphasis is on tailored management methods.

Vitamin D (VD) is a fat-soluble vitamin obtainable from the diet, which binds to the vitamin D receptor to enable its diverse physiological functions.^[16,17] The classical role of VD is to regulate metabolism of calcium and phosphate. However, a lot of research has suggested that VD deficiency is also associated with the increased risk of many other extra-skeletal diseases.^[18–20]

The vitamin D receptors are widely distribution in human brain.^[21] Normal brain function depends on a fine balance between the activity of the excitatory and inhibitory neurons (E-I balance).^[22] VD may modify severity of brain dysfunction.^[21] In many neuropsychiatric illnesses, serotonin levels are low, and VD could regulate it,^[23] estrogens is also involved.^[10] In addition, VD could reduce inflammation, and the expression of DNA demethylases that controls the epigenetic landscape, thus enabling gene transcription to maintain normal neuronal activity and prevent depression.^[22] However, when VD levels decline, the levels of Ca²⁺ begin to rise within the cell and this may lead to the depression.^[22] There was a significant relationship between a low level of VD and postpartum depression among reproductive-aged Iranian women,^[24] and a study found significantly more depression in smokers compared to non-smokers, because the nicotine in cigarette might interfere with intestinal calcium absorption.^[25]

In conclusion, this study will help to determine the relationship between serum VD level and perimenopausal depression. We hope this study will provide higher quality evidence for the association analysis between VD level and depression in women perimenopause.

2. Methods

2.1. Protocol registration

The systematic review protocol has been registered on the INPLASY website (https://inplasy.com/inplasy-2020-4-0117/) and INPLASY registration number is INPLASY202040117. It is reported following the guidelines of Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis Protocol.^[26] If there are any adjustments throughout the study, we will fix and update the details in the final report.

2.2. Inclusion criteria

2.2.1. Study design. The study only selects clinical randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of depression in perimenopausal women published in both Chinese and English. However, animal experiments, reviews, case reports, and non-RCT are excluded.

2.2.2. Participants. The patients with clinically diagnosed perimenopausal depression, regardless of race, gender, and age. Patients with severe heart disease, liver, and kidney dysfunction, other mental illness, or a relevant drug allergic history will be not included.

2.2.3. Interventions. The experiment group was women with depression in perimenopause with low serum VD, while the control group was with normal serum VD. In addition, the 2 groups did not take any drugs that interfered with the outcome indicators. The follow-up time was ≥ 12 weeks.

2.2.4. Outcomes. The primary outcomes include t serum VD level, Hamilton depression scale or Beck depression inventory or Zung self-rating depression scale or patient health questionnare-9.

Additional outcome(s): Secondary outcomes are insomnia severity index or Pittsburgh sleep quality index or Hamilton anxiety scale, FSH levels and history of menstrual irregularity, serum estradiol measurements.

2.3. Search methods

2.3.1. Electronic searches. Information sources: We will retrieve each database from the built-in until October 2020. The English literature mainly searches Cochrane Library, PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science. While the Chinese literature comes from CNKI, CBM, VIP, and Wangfang database. We adopt the combination of heading terms and free words as search strategy which is decided by all the reviewers. Search terms: serum vitamin D level, vitamin D, 25(OH)D, VD, 1,25(OH) 2D3, vitamin D deficiency, vitamin D supplementation, postmenopausal depression, depression in menopausal women, perimenopausal depression, menopause depression. We will simply present the search process of the Cochrane Library, as shown in Table 1 adjusting different search methods according to different Chinese and English databases.

2.3.2. Searching other resources. At the same time, we will retrieve other resources to complete the deficiencies of the electronic databases, mainly searching for the clinical trial registries and gray literature about VD level and depression in women before and after menopause on the corresponding website.

Table 1

Tuble I				
Cochrane	Library	search	strategy.	

Cochrane Library search strategy.			
Number	Search terms		
1	Mesh descriptor:(perimenopausal depression) explode all trees		
2	((postmenopausal depression *) or (depression in menopausal women *) or (perimenopausal depression*) or (menopause depression *)): ti, ab, kw		
3	Or 1–2		
4	Mesh descriptor: (vitamin D) explode all trees		
5	((serum vitamin D level *) or (vitamin D *) or [25(0H)D] or (VD *) or [1,25(0H)2D3 *]or (vitamin D deficiency *) or (vitamin D supplementation *): ti, ab, kw		
6	Or 4–5		
7	MeSH descriptor: (randomized controlled trials) explode all trees		
8	((random*) or (randomly*) or (allocation*) or (random allocation*) or (placebo*) or (single blind*) or (double blind*) or (clinical trials*) or (randomized control trial*) or (RCT*) or (controlled clinical trials *)): ti, ab, kw		
9	Or 7–8		
10	3 and 6 and 9		

RCT = randomized controlled trial, VD = vitamin D.

2.4. Data collection and analysis

2.4.1. Selection of studies. Import all literatures that meet the requirements into Endnote X8 software. First, 2 independent reviewers initially screened the literatures that did not meet the pre-established standards of the study by reading the title and abstract. Secondly, download the remaining literatures and read the full text carefully to further decide whether to include or not. Finally, the results were cross-checked repeatedly by reviewers. If there is a disagreement in the above process, we can reach an agreement by discussing between both reviewers or seek an opinion from third party. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis flow diagram (Fig. 1) will be used to show the screening process of the study.

2.4.2. Data extraction and management. According to the characteristics of the study, we prepare an Excel form for data collection before data extraction. Outcome indicators for eligible studies were independently extracted and filled in the data extraction form by 2 reviewers. If there is any argument, it can get an agreement by discussing through 2 reviewers or seek suggestions form third party. The main data extracted are as follows: title, author, year, fund source, sample size, age, gender, duration of disease, interventions, outcome measures, adverse reactions, etc. If you find something unclear in the study, you can contact the author of the communication directly for more detailed information. The above information was finally cross-checked by 2 reviewers.

2.4.3. Assessment of risk of bias in included studies. The quality assessment of RCTs adopts the risk of bias assessment tool provided by the Cochrane Handbook. The following 7 items, such as random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants, and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other bias, are evaluated by 3 grades of "low bias", "high bias" and "unclear bias". The discrepancies will get a consistent conclusion by discussing between both reviewers or seeking the third-party consultation.

2.4.4. Measures of treatment effect. Different evaluation methods are selected according to the different efficacy indicators. For the dichotomous data, we will choose the effect scale indicator relative risk with 95% CI to represent. While the continuous data is expressed as mean difference or standardized mean difference with 95% CI depending on whether the measurement scale is consistent or not.

2.4.5. Dealing with missing data. The reviewers will contact the first author or correspondent author via email or telephone to obtain missing data if the relevant data is incomplete. If the missing data is still not obtained in the above way, we can synthesize the available data in the initial analysis. Furthermore, sensitivity analysis will be used to assess the potential impact of missing data on the overall results of the study.

2.4.6. Assessment of heterogeneity. Heterogeneity will be assessed by Chi-squared test and I² test. If $I^2 < 50\%$, P > .1, we consider that no statistical heterogeneity between each study and choose fixed effect model to synthesize the data. If $I^2 \ge 50\%$, P < .1, indicating that there is a statistical heterogeneity, the data is integrated by the random effect model. In addition, due to differences in heterogeneity, we will conduct subgroup or sensitivity analysis to look for the potential causes.

2.4.7. Data analysis. Review Manager software version 5.3 provided by the Cochrane Collaboration will be performed for data synthesis and analysis. The dichotomous data is represented by relative risk, continuous data is expressed by mean difference or standardized mean difference. If there is no heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$, P > .1), the data is synthesized using a fixed effect model. Otherwise ($I^2 \ge 50\%$, P < .1), a random effect model is used to analyze. Then subgroup analysis will be conducted basing on the different causes of heterogeneity. If a meta-analysis cannot be performed, it will be replaced by a general descriptive analysis.

2.4.8. Subgroup analysis. If the results of the study are heterogeneous, we will conduct a subgroup analysis for different reasons. Heterogeneity is manifested in the following several aspects, such as race, age, gender, different intervention forms, pharmaceutical dosage, treatment course.

2.4.9. Sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis is mainly used to evaluate the robustness of the primary outcome measures. The method is that removing the low-level quality study 1 by 1 and then merge the data to assess the impact of sample size, study quality, statistical method, and missing data on results of meta-analysis.

2.4.10. Grading the quality of evidence. In this systematic review, the quality of evidence for the entire study is assessed using the "Grades of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation" (GRADE) standard established by the World Health Organization and international organizations.^[27] To achieve transparency and simplification, the GRADE system



divides the quality of evidence into 4 levels: high, medium, low, and very low.

3. Discussion

Depression has become an important factor affecting public health, and women suffer more often from depression than men, particularly in the perimenopausal stage.^[9] There was research revealed that there was no difference between early menopausal stage and late menopausal stage in depressive mood.^[9]

Meanwhile, there has been a significant scientific interest in the relationship between VD status and depression. We speculate perimenopausal depression is negatively correlated with serum VD levels. Thus, we intend to collect RCTs about serum VD level for perimenopausal depression based on evidence-based medicine and conduct a meta-analysis of its efficacy to provide higher quality clinical evidence related to perimenopausal depression.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jie Yuan, Tiantian Chen, Yuan Zhao Data curation: Jie Yuan, Tiantian Chen, Yue Cao Formal analysis: Shujun Wei, Penglong Yu Funding acquisition: Jie Yuan, Yaling Lei Methodology: Shujun Wei Project administration: Yaling Lei, Jie Chen Resources: Jie Yuan, Tiantian Chen, Penglong Yu Software: Jie Yuan, Tiantian Chen Supervision: Jie Chen Writing – original draft: Jie Yuan, Tiantian Chen Writing – review and editing: Yaling Lei

References

- Maki PM, Kornstein SG, Joffe H, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation and treatment of perimenopausal depression: summary and recommendations. Menopause 2018;25:1069–85.
- [2] Gordon JL, Girdler SS, Meltzer-Brody SE, et al. Ovarian hormone fluctuation, neurosteroids, and HPA axis dysregulation in perimenopausal depression: a novel heuristic model. Am J Psychiatry 2015;172:227–36.
- [3] Douma SL, Husband C, O'Donnell ME, et al. Estrogen-related mood disorders: reproductive life cycle factors. ANS Adv Nurs Sci 2005; 28:364–75.
- [4] Willi J, Ehlert U. Assessment of perimenopausal depression: a review. J Affect Disord 2019;249:216–22.
- [5] Bromberger JT, Kravitz HM. Mood and menopause: findings from the study of women's health across the nation (SWAN) over 10 years. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2011;38:609–25.
- [6] Kulkarni J. Perimenopausal depression an under-recognised entity. Aust Prescr 2018;41:183–5.
- [7] Wariso BA, Guerrieri GM, Thompson K, et al. Depression during the menopause transition: impact on quality of life, social adjustment, and disability. Arch Womens Ment Health 2017;20:273–82.
- [8] Cao LH, Qiao JY, Huang HY, et al. PI3K-AKT signaling activation and icariin: the potential effects on the perimenopausal depression-like rat model. Molecules 2019;24:3700–18.
- [9] Li Y, Yu Q, Ma L, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety symptoms and their influence factors during menopausal transition and postmenopause in Beijing city. Maturitas 2008;61:238–42.
- [10] Kulkarni J, Gavrilidis E, Hudaib AR, et al. Development and validation of a new rating scale for perimenopausal depression: the Meno-D. Transl Psychiatry 2018;8:123–8.
- [11] Li RX, Ma M, Xiao XR, et al. Perimenopausal syndrome and mood disorders in perimenopause: prevalence, severity, relationships, and risk factors. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:32 e4466.
- [12] Maartens LW, Knottnerus JA, Pop VJ. Menopausal transition and increased depressive symptomatology: a community based prospective study. Maturitas 2002;42:195–200.
- [13] de Kruif M, Spijker AT, Molendijk ML. Depression during the perimenopause: a meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2016;206:174–80.

- [14] Gordon JL, Girdler SS. Hormone replacement therapy in the treatment of perimenopausal depression. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2014; 16:517–7.
- [15] Santoro N. Perimenopause: from research to practice. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2016;25:332–9.
- [16] Bouillon R, Carmeliet G, Verlinden L, et al. Vitamin D and human health: lessons from vitamin D receptor null mice. Endocr Rev 2008;29:726–76.
- [17] Bikle DD. Extraskeletal actions of vitamin D. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2016;1376:29–52.
- [18] Jeon SM, Shin EA. Exploring vitamin D metabolism and function in cancer. Exp Mol Med 2018;50:20–14.
- [19] Zhang R, Naughton DP. Vitamin D in health and disease: current perspectives. Nutr J 2010;9:65–13.
- [20] Holick MF. Sunlight and vitamin D for bone health and prevention of autoimmune diseases, cancers, and cardiovascular disease. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80(Suppl. 6):1678s-88s.
- [21] Eyles DW, Smith S, Kinobe R, et al. Distribution of the vitamin D receptor and 1 alpha-hydroxylase in human brain. J Chem Neuroanat 2005;29:21–30.
- [22] Berridge MJ. Vitamin D and depression: cellular and regulatory mechanisms. Pharmacol Rev 2017;69:80–92.
- [23] Patrick RP, Ames BN. Vitamin D and the omega-3 fatty acids control serotonin synthesis and action, part 2: relevance for ADHD, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and impulsive behavior. Faseb J 2015;29: 2207–22.
- [24] Abedi P, Bovayri M, Fakhri A, et al. The relationship between vitamin D and postpartum depression in reproductive-aged Iranian women. J Med Life 2018;11:286–92.
- [25] Ren W, Gu Y, Zhu L, et al. The effect of cigarette smoking on vitamin D level and depression in male patients with acute ischemic stroke. Compr Psychiatry 2016;65:9–14.
- [26] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ (clinical research ed) 2015;350: g7647–17647.
- [27] Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64:401–6.