Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Evolutionary Biology Volume 2012, Article ID 817562, 10 pages doi:10.1155/2012/817562

### Research Article

# **Analysis of the Meiotic Segregation in Intergeneric Hybrids of Tilapias**

## Etienne Bezault,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Xavier Rognon,<sup>2,4</sup> Frederic Clota,<sup>1</sup> Karim Gharbi,<sup>2,5</sup> Jean-Francois Baroiller,<sup>1</sup> and Bernard Chevassus<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> UMR110 Cirad-Ifremer INTREPID, 34398 Montpellier, France
- <sup>2</sup> INRA, UMR1313 Génétique Animale et Biologie Întégrative, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas, France
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Reed College, Portland, OR 97202, USA
- <sup>4</sup> AgroParisTech, UMR1313 Génétique Animale et Biologie Intégrative, 75231 Paris, France

Correspondence should be addressed to Etienne Bezault, ebezault@reed.edu

Received 31 January 2012; Accepted 26 March 2012

Academic Editor: R. Craig Albertson

Copyright © 2012 Etienne Bezault et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tilapia species exhibit a large ecological diversity and an important propensity to interspecific hybridisation. This has been shown in the wild and used in aquaculture. However, despite its important evolutionary implications, few studies have focused on the analysis of hybrid genomes and their meiotic segregation. Intergeneric hybrids between *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Sarotherodon melanotheron*, two species highly differentiated genetically, ecologically, and behaviourally, were produced experimentally. The meiotic segregation of these hybrids was analysed in reciprocal second generation hybrid (F2) and backcross families and compared to the meiosis of both parental species, using a panel of 30 microsatellite markers. Hybrid meioses showed segregation in accordance to Mendelian expectations, independent from sex and the direction of crosses. In addition, we observed a conservation of linkage associations between markers, which suggests a relatively similar genome structure between the two parental species and the apparent lack of postzygotic incompatibility, despite their important divergence. These results provide genomics insights into the relative ease of hybridisation within cichlid species when prezygotic barriers are disrupted. Overall our results support the hypothesis that hybridisation may have played an important role in the evolution and diversification of cichlids.

#### 1. Introduction

Interspecific hybridisation has been suggested to be an important evolutionary force that generates biological diversity by the recombination of genetic material among divergent lineages [1–3]. Hybridisation has been shown to facilitate adaptation, the emergence of evolutionary novelty, and the isolation of new species [4–7]. The role of introgressive hybridisation has also been shown in case of human-mediated evolution and especially domestication [8]. For instance, interspecific hybridisation has been widely used for aquaculture purposes in a large variety of species [9].

Convincing evidence suggests that inter-specific hybridisation has played an important role during evolution and diversification of cichlid fish [10]. Some cichlid adaptive

radiations may have been initiated through hybridisation between distantly related lineages, forming a "hybrid swarm," such as the radiations of Lakes Victoria [11], Malawi [12], and Makgadikgadi [13]. Hybridisation can also occur later during the process of radiation between divergent or already diverged species, forming "syngameon", as suggested for some Lake Tanganyika lineages [14–16]. The vast majority of the studies conducted so far on cichlid speciation and the potential influence of hybridisation have focused on the East African Great Lakes radiations, especially the Haplochromines. However, the Tilapiines sensu lato [17, 18] appear to be an extremely interesting group to study the evolutionary role of hybridisation. Several cases of introgressive hybridisation have been recorded in the wild, under natural conditions, either during the process of adaptive

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK

radiation, as in Cameroonian crater lake [19], or during paleoenvironmental fluctuations [20]. Several cases have also been reported following anthropogenic perturbations, either between sympatric species after important habitat modifications such as dam building [21] or between allopatric species after introduction of species outside their natural distribution area [22, 23]. Furthermore, numerous interspecific hybrids have been produced for aquaculture [24], mainly among *Oreochromis* species [9, 25, 26]. The production of tilapia hybrids has two main purposes: the production of monosex populations by crossing between species with opposite sex determination system [27–31] and the improvement of phenotypic traits, such as body colour, growth, or tolerance to environmental conditions [24]. However, it is only for the latter that introgressive hybridisation is performed, with the production of breeding population of hybrid origin, aiming at being propagated and selected throughout successive reproductive events. This has been conducted mostly crossing pairs of closely related species (e.g., Oreochromis niloticus and O. aureus, [32]), but also using more complex crossing schemes involving multiple species (e.g., Red Florida stain, between Oreochromis urolepis hornorum, O. mossambicus, O. niloticus, and O. aureus) [33].

Theoretically, while the evolutionary potential of a hybrid lineage is ultimately dependent on its ability to successfully occupy a peak of the local adaptive landscape through the advantage conferred by its original combination of phenotypic traits, hybrid propagation initially requires the maintenance of a stable gene pool through generations. Most of theoretical and empirical studies are focussing on the adaptive role of hybridisation (see review by Stelkens and Seehausen [34]); however very few studies have investigated the transmission of hybrid genomes across generations.

Various hybrid meiotic mechanisms deviating from classical diploid Mendelian segregation and inheritance have been reported, especially in fish [35, 36]. Such mechanisms may be classified in four main categories: (1) hybridisation can induce variable ploidy levels by the production of nonreduced (diploid) gametes; this mechanism is likely to lead to the isolation of polyploidy lineages as, for example, in Barbus species [37]; (2) hybridisation can induce clonal gynogenetic reproduction by the suppression of syngamy (i.e., absence of fusion and elimination of male pronucleus) and the mitotic or meiotic restoration of diploidy, such as observed in Poecilia formosa [38]; (3) hybridogenesis can be achieved by selective meiosis, in which the genome of one of the parents (generally the paternal one) is preferentially eliminated from the gametes leading to the next generation, as described in hybrid females of Poeciliopsis [39]; (4) modification of recombination within the hybrid genomes, caused by the slight structural divergences between the associated genomes, might affect the homogeneity of the hybrid gene pool, leading, for example, to the maintenance of a mosaic hybrid genome and a fitness deficit [40-43].

To investigate hybrid meiotic segregation in cichlids, we previously produced an intergeneric hybrid between two highly divergent species using *in vitro* fertilisation: the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, and the black-chinned tilapia, *Sarotherodon melanotheron* [44]. All hybrids were found to be

viable and fertile for at least three generations [44]. The divergence between the two species is estimated between 6.4 Myrs and 21.4 Myrs using the age of radiation of Oreochromis and Oreochromines as an approximation [18]. Additionally, these two species show high levels of differentiation in morphology, ecology, behaviour, and physiology. O. niloticus is a maternal mouthbrooder, fast growing and freshwater stenotopic species, whereas S. melanotheron is a paternal mouthbrooder, slow growing, brackish water eurytopic species. Selecting two highly differentiated parental species for the experimental hybridisation potentially increases the possibility of generating original trait association in hybrids compared to the parental species (including transgressive characters) but also increases the likelihood for reproductive incompatibility or unusual meiotic mechanisms, compared to hybridisation between closely related or sister species. Thus, these inter-generic hybrids represent an original and well-adapted model to study the association and segregation of parental genes within cichlid hybrid genomes.

Microsatellite markers provide valuable tools for a wide range of genetic investigations, including species comparison using PCR primers developed in one species and crossamplified in closely related taxa [45, 46]. Here we took advantage of the availability of a large number of markers cloned in *O. niloticus* [47] positioned onto the tilapia genetic maps [48–51] and their high rate of cross-species amplification among tilapias [52], to study the mechanism of hybrid meiotic segregation in experimental hybrids between *O. niloticus* and *S. melanotheron*. We tested (1) whether hybrid meiotic segregations follow diploid Mendelian inheritance and (2) whether this model of meiotic segregation allows the maintenance of a stable hybrid gene pool across generations.

#### 2. Material and Methods

2.1. Biological Material. The meiosis of first generation hybrids (F1) between the 2 parental species O. niloticus (On) and S. melanotheron (Sm) was studied for both reciprocal hybrid crosses ( $\bigcirc$  On  $\times$   $\bigcirc$  Sm called G1 and  $\bigcirc$  Sm  $\times$   $\bigcirc$  On called G'1) and both sexes. Analysis was conducted on backcross (BC) and second-generation hybrid (F2) families. While F2 crosses allow studying hybrid meiosis for both parents in each family, BC progeny allowing the study of only one hybrid meiosis per family can be more informative with respect to the parental origin of alleles, and further allow the analysis of a parental meiosis, which provide an internal pure-species control segregation.

Four backcross families performed on *O. niloticus* (due to its better known reproduction biology and greater ease to be manipulated) were analysed to study the meiotic segregation of both reciprocal hybrid types and both sexes ( $\bigcirc$  G1,  $\bigcirc$  G1,

TABLE 1: Description of the experimental families analysed, including the type of cross, the genetic origin of breeders (i.e., pure O. nilo	ticus)
(On) or S. melanotheron (Sm), and reciprocal 1st generation hybrid G1 ( $\circ$ On $\times$ $\circ$ Sm) and G'1 ( $\circ$ Sm $\times$ $\circ$ On) and the number of str	udied
individuals.	

Families	Type of grassing	Bree	eders	No. Ind.
raililles	Type of crossing	Female	Male	No. IIId.
BC-A	Backcross	Hybrid Gl	O. niloticus	50
BC-B	Backcross	O. niloticus	Hybrid Gl	50
BC-C	Backcross	Hybrid G'l	O. niloticus	50
BC-D	Backcross	O. niloticus	Hybrid G′l	50
F2-A	Hybrid F2	Hybrid G'l	Hybrid G′l	50
F2-B	Hybrid F2	Hybrid Gl	Hybrid G'l	50
P-Sm	Pure Cross	S. melanotheron	S. melanotheron	50

the meiotic segregation of the other parental species (Table 1). For each family, 50 randomly sampled individuals were analysed.

2.2. Microsatellites Markers. Microsatellites markers were selected from published markers isolated in O. niloticus [47] and successfully amplified in both O. niloticus and S. melanotheron [52]. Markers were selected based on cross amplification efficiency, polymorphism among and within O. niloticus and S. melanotheron, and their position on the genetic map of tilapias [48-51]. The analysis of the meiotic segregation was conducted using a total of 30 microsatellite markers distributed across the tilapia genome, allowing to compare the segregation of both punctual genomic locations, represented by single independent loci, as well as larger genomic segments represented by two to four linked loci. Overall, the 30 selected markers represented 15 of the 24 linkage groups (LGs) defined in O. niloticus genetic map, 8 of each were represented by more than one marker. Two unmapped loci were also included in the analysis (Table 2).

2.3. Genotyping of Microsatellites. Genomic DNA was extracted from fin clips stored in ethanol using phenol-chloroform protocol [53]. Genotypes were obtained by PCR amplification using radioactively (P<sup>33</sup>) labelled primers and 6% acrylamide gel electrophoresis [53, 54]. For each microsatellite marker, optimal amplification conditions, namely annealing temperature and MgCl<sub>2</sub> concentration for coamplification of alleles from heterospecific origin, were obtained from a cross-species amplification study in over 15 species of African cichlids, including both parental target species [52]. Specific PCR conditions for each locus are indicated in Table 2.

All parents used to produce the experimental progeny were genotyped first at all selected loci to identify informative markers for each family. Each family was genotyped for all informative loci in the entire set of optimised markers (n = 30), whereas the F2 hybrid progeny was only genotyped across the restricted set of independent markers (n = 14) (Table 2; details in supplementary Table S1 available online at doi:10.1155/2012/817562).

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

2.4.1. Genetic Diversity. The test of amplification efficiency and the estimates of genetic diversity within and across the two-target parental species have been conducted over the set of individuals analysed during cross-species amplification study and the set of pure parents and grandparents of the seven experimental progenies included in the analysis of meiotic segregation [52]. For each locus, the number of observed alleles was recorded for each parental species, as well as the number of shared alleles between them. The presence of null alleles in the studied loci was estimated across all breeder individuals, based on the repetitive occurrence of a nonamplification result in parents, and/or the significant departure from Mendelian inheritance associated with a pattern characteristic of the segregation of at least one nonamplified allele (i.e., based on the overall pattern of alleles segregation in the entire progeny). For each species, the proportion of polymorphic loci (P < 0.95) and the mean allele number per loci were calculated. The number of shared alleles, between O. niloticus and S. melanotheron, as percent of total number of observed alleles, was calculated per locus and across loci. For the purpose of this study, the existence of shared alleles between parental species and/or their low allelic diversity can potentially lead to cases where marker segregation is not fully informative (e.g., when both parents are heterozygous for the same alleles). These cases were identified based on the genotyping of all parent individuals, prior to the progeny genotyping.

2.4.2. Analysis of Meiotic Segregation. The analysis of the meiotic segregation was conducted for each individual parent and family, as well as across all pure and hybrid segregations, considering either each locus independently or collectively. In order to accurately account for false positives due to multiple testing, a sequential Bonferroni correction was applied [55], considering the multiple tests performed either within a given progeny/breeder-segregation across independent loci or for a given locus or pair of loci across the independent tested segregations/families.

Accordance of the observed segregations to Mendelian expectations was tested using a Chi<sup>2</sup> test to detect possible

Table 2: Microsatellite loci analysed with indications of GenBank accession number, repeat structure, and linkage group according to O. niloticus [47, 48, 51], optimised PCR conditions established from cross-species amplification study of microsatellites across 15 African cichlid species (labeled primer "\*", annealing temperature and Magnesium concentration (mM)—Bezault et al., [52]); the size range and diversity of alleles within each parental species as well as the number of shared alleles between them and the presence of null allele (N) have been estimated from the set of individuals used for cross-priming analysis and the parents of all families studied here; the set of independent loci analysed in all backcross and F2 hybrid families are indicated in **bold**.

Loci	GenBank accession	Structure	Linkage group	PCR conditions	Range (hn)		c diversity	Shared alleles
	Gentain decession	otractare	Zimage group		runge (op)	O. niloticus	S. melanotheron	
UNH-008	G31346	perfect	17	R* 56/1.2	196-236	3	2	0
UNH-102	G12255	perfect	16	R* 50/1.2	132-185	4	4	0
UNH-103	G12256	perfect	17	R* 48/1.2	171-260	3	4	0
UNH-106	G12259	compound	3	R* 50/1.2	115-189	4	2 + N	1
UNH-115	G12268	compound	3	F* 50/1.5	100-146	3	1	0
UNH-117	G12270	interrupted		R* 54/1.2	108-146	1	2	1
UNH-123	G12276	perfect	12	F* 48/1.2	142-232	6	2	0
UNH-124	G12277	perfect	4	F* 54/1.2	295-324	4	1	0
UNH-125	G12278	compound	16	R* 48/1.5	134-198	6	4	2
UNH-129	G12282	interrupted	8	R* 48/1.2	180-253	7	4	1
UNH-130	G12283	perfect	23	R* 50/1.2	174-242	6	1 + N	0
UNH-131	G12284	perfect	3	F* 48/2.0	283-303	4	2 + N	0
UNH-132	G12285	perfect	9	R* 52/1.2	100-134	2	1 + N	0
UNH-135	G12287	interrupted	3	R* 50/1.5	124-284	6	4	1
UNH-138	G12290	perfect	16	R* 48/1.5	144-250	7	2	0
UNH-142	G12294	interrupted		F* 48/1.2	142-192	3	2	0
UNH-146	G12298	interrupted	4	F* 60/1.0	111-149	3	3	1
UNH-149	G12301	perfect	5	R* 48/1.5	143-225	4	3	0
UNH-154	G12306	perfect	6	R* 50/1.2	98-176	8	5	2
UNH-159	G12311	perfect	2	R* 55/1.2	205-267	5 + N	3	1
UNH-162	G12314	perfect	4	R* 48/1.5	125-252	6	2	0
UNH-169	G12321	interrupted	5	R* 54/1.2	124-240	8	4 + N	1
UNH-173	G12325	perfect	13	F* 55/1.2	124-188	2	1 + N	0
UNH-174	G12326	perfect	20	F* 48/1.5	146-187	4	1	0
UNH-189	G12341	perfect	12	R* 52/1.2	135-208	3	3	1
UNH-190	G12342	compound	21	R* 60/1.0	133-202	2	1	0
UNH-197	G12348	interrupted	23	R* 50/1.2	154-228	6	5	0
UNH-207	G12358	interrupted	6	R* 60/1.2	90-198	3	4	1
UNH-211	G12362	perfect	19	R* 48/1.5	82-194	6	7	1
UNH-216	G12367	perfect	23	R* 52/1.2	126-212	2	4	0
				Average acr	oss loci	4.3	2.8	0.48
				Polymorphism	n P (0.95)	97%	77%	

distortion of segregation. Sequential Bonferroni correction of P-value for multiple tests was performed across loci separately within each cross. The balance of global meiotic transmission of both parental alleles was tested within each hybrid segregation using a  $\mathrm{Chi}^2$  test across all loci within each breeder segregation. Homogeneity of reciprocal backcrosses was tested by comparison of genotypic distributions between families for each locus using  $\mathrm{Chi}^2$  tests, with global tests combining these results across loci for each families comparison using Fisher's method [56]. Comparisons were carried out between progeny from the same hybrid type (G1 or G'1), or the same hybrid way ( $\varphi$  or  $\sigma$ ), and overall cases. Pairwise linkage analysis was performed using LinkMFex [57] using

an LOD score of 3 as threshold for significance. Linkage associations with a  $2 \le \text{LOD Score} < 3$  were considered as suggestive. Recombination rates were compared using a Chi<sup>2</sup> test.

#### 3. Results

3.1. Microsatellite Diversity. A high percentage of the markers were polymorphic in both species (Table 2), with a slightly lower diversity in *S. melanotheron* (77%) than in *O. niloticus* (97%). A similar pattern was observed for the mean allelic diversity per locus and species (2.8 and 4.3, resp.). Null alleles were detected for a total of 7 markers, with a higher frequency

						•					•					
Families	ВС	C-A	ВС	С-В	ВС	C-C	В	C-D		F2	2-A			F2	2-B	
Breeders	φ	G1	o <sup>r</sup>	G1	<b>Q</b> (	G′ 1	♂	G'1	္ (	G′1	o <sup>™</sup> (	G′1	φ.	G1	♂ (	G′1
# loci	2	28	2	28	2	.4	1	23	(	6		8	9	9	9	9
Allele origin	On	Sm	On	Sm	On	Sm	On	Sm	On	Sm	On	Sm	On	Sm	On	Sm
$n_{\rm exp}$	698	698	698	698	597	597	573	573	150	150	198	198	225	225	225	225
$n_{ m obs}$	682	713	710	686	583	611	506	639	137	162	195	201	203	247	251	199
Chi <sup>2</sup>	0.0	689	0.4	113	0.6	557	15	.449	2.	09	0.0	)91	4.3	302	6.0	009
P	0.4	407	0.5	521	0.4	118	8.47	E - 05	0.1	148	0.7	763	0.0	)38	0.0	)14

Table 3: Test of balanced meiotic transmission of alleles from both parental species (On for *O. niloticus* and Sm for *S. melanotheron*) for each of the 8 hybrid F1 breeders (G1 ( $\circlearrowleft$  On  $\times$   $\circlearrowleft$  Sm) and G'1 ( $\circlearrowleft$  Sm  $\times$   $\circlearrowleft$  On)); Chi² values and associate *P*-values are given; significant (i.e., biased transmission) tests (a = 0.05) when applying sequential Bonferroni correction (n = 1) independent tests) are indicated in **bold**.

in *S. melanotheron* (n=6) than *O. niloticus* (n=1). Markers showed a low percentage of shared alleles (7.7%) between the two parental species involved in the hybridisation, which allowed the accurate identification of the genetic origin of the alleles segregating in hybrid meiosis. Overall, the level of polymorphism present in both parental species population was sufficient to obtain a high frequency of informative segregation across the different types of progeny: 55% of the pure *O. niloticus* segregations appeared informative, 43% of *S. melanotheron* and 79.5% of hybrids segregations (90% in BC and 57% in F2—details in Supplementary Table S1).

3.2. Analysis of Meiotic Segregation. A total of 4 out of 232 segregations (1.7%) showed significant evidence of segregation distortion (P < 0.05 after correction for multiple testing). Three significant tests were observed in hybrid genomes (2 in  $\sigma$  G'1, UNH-008 & UNH-216, and 1 in  $\varphi$  G1, UNH-197) and a single significant test in pure species ( $\varphi$  *S. melanotheron*, UNH-135) (details in Supplementary Table S1).

Out of the 8 hybrid parents analysed, equal transmission of alleles from both parental and species origin was observed in the vast majority of the cases (n = 7), representing at least one meiotic segregation of each hybrid cross (G1 and G'1) and sex (Table 3). The hypothesis of equal transmission of parental species alleles was rejected in a single hybrid male (BC-D family), which globally undertransmitted its paternal alleles of *O. niloticus* origin (Table 3). This was consistent with the results from the locus-level analysis for this individual, which revealed evidence of unequal allele transmission for 2 significant loci from 3 different LGs after Bonferroni correction, always in the direction of undertransmission of *O. niloticus* paternal alleles (details in Supplementary Table S1).

When we considered allelic distributions observed among backcross progeny, according to hybrid type and/or sex, only the comparison between hybrid males exhibited significant heterogeneity (P < 0.01) (Table 4). However, the comparison between the 2 types of hybrids, G1 versus G'1, and globally among the 4 different sex and hybrid types did not reveal any evidence of deviation from homogeneity.

Genetic linkage was detected in 16 cases (Table 5), of which 14 cases were expected from previous publications [48–51], but 2 being unexpected among these studies. The

Table 4: Comparisons of allelic distributions observed among the 4 backcross progeny; the number of loci implicated, the Fisher's test value and the associated P-values are given; significant heterogeneity (a = 0.05) is indicated in **bold** (see Table 1 for detail about hybrid types).

Comparisons	# Loci	Fisher's test	P
Global	25	65.51	0.069
Hybrids G1	26	44.91	0.747
Hybrids G'1	20	54.46	0.063
Hybrids ♀	24	49.08	0.429
Hybrids ♂	22	68.8	0.010
♂ G1/♀ G′1	23	42.82	0.606
♀ G1/♂ G′1	21	55.93	0.074

large majority of expected linkage associations (73%) were confirmed, including the 2 unexpected cases (i.e., UNH-008 & UNH-146, and UNH-154 & UNH-207, with LOD > 3 in 5 and 7 segregations, resp.). Three expected associations were not observed: UNH-008 & UNH-103 (LG 17) showed no cosegregation, whereas UNH-102 & UNH-138 (LG 16) and UNH-130 & UNH-197 (LG 23) showed a suggestive linkage (LOD > 2), but only in one case for each of these pairs. One unexpected linkage (UNH-008 & UNH-124) was significant in 6 parents. The other unexpected association (UNH-106 & UNH-207) was only found in one parent out of 3. Finally one unmapped marker, UNH-117, was assigned to the LG 5 with a significant linkage in 2 parents. The occurrence of these linkage associations was checked comparatively between the 2 pure and the hybrid genomes. All but one was significant in S. melanotheron parents, and every linkage significantly established in O. niloticus showed significant linkage in hybrid parents.

Recombination rates were compared using a Chi<sup>2</sup> test between parents of opposite sex and/or genetic types in pure and hybrid individuals (see details in Supplementary Table S3). Out of 16 pairs of linked loci (i.e., for which a significant linkage has been detected in at least one parent), heterogeneity of recombination rate in at least one comparison was observed for 2 pairs of loci (i.e., UNH-125 & UNH-138 (LG16) and UNH-131 and UNH-135 (LG3)). These 2 cases where homogeneity of recombination rate was rejected were detected in comparisons involving pure-species parents.

TABLE 5: Comparison of linkage associations expected from tilapia genetic maps and observed within the 7 studied families, linkage group (LG) is taken from the previously published

IIIINA ECS (LOD	/ 2) arc and	TOTAL	minages (LOD / 2) are <u>unidentified</u> , see fable 1 101 detail about	ו מכומוו מ	i in ood	nyona cypes.	y P.cs.														
	Families	SS			BC-A	Ą			B	BC-B			BC-C	Ç			BC-D			P-	P-Sm
) I V 0 1	I come D		Defense	♀G1	31	\ \partial \ \tag{2}	♂ O. nil.	0+	♀ O. nil.	.0	♂ G1	O+	♀ G′1	6	♂ O. nil.	O	♀ O. nil.	♂ G′1		♀ S. mel. ♂ S. mel.	് S. me
Locus A LG Locus B LG References	LOCUS D	ב	References	Rec	LOD	Rec	Rec LOD	Rec	LOD		Rec LOD	Rec	LOD		Rec LOD	Rec	LOD Rec	ec L	TOD	Rec LOD Rec LOD	Rec LO
UNH-008 17	UNH-103	3 17	A, D	0.42	0.28	0.42	0.28	0.46	0.07			0.35	6.0				0	0.42 0	0.28		
UNH-008 17 UNH-124	UNH-12	4 4		0.10	7.74	0.08	8.73	0.20	3.97	0.0	7.95	90.0	9.58				0	0.22 3	3.61		
UNH-008 17	UNH-146	6 4	B versus A, D	0.08	9.00	0.05	12.92			0.00	15.05	0.00	14.45				0	0.04 1	11.4		
UNH-102 16	UNH-125	5 16	Α, С	0.36	0.86			0.28			2.61	0.3	1.79	0.32	1.44		0	0.36 0	0.86 0	0.24 3.08	0.22 3.61
UNH-102 16	UNH-138	8 16	A, C	0.38	0.63			0.28	2.18	0.38	0.63	0.36	0.86	0.4	0.44		0	0.41 (	0.3		0.46 0.07
UNH-106 3	UNH-207	9 /								0.24	3.08					0.44	0.16 0	0.42 0	0.28		
UNH-115 3	UNH-13]	1 3	A, D	0.1	7.99					0.10	7.99	0.04	10.56	0.23	3.04		0	0.02	12.05		
UNH-115 3	UNH-135	5 3	Α, D	0.05	12.92					0.04	11.4	0.02	12.92	0.02	12.92		0	_	15.05		
UNH-117	UNH-149	9 5		0.02	12.34					0.00	15.5						_	LZ			
UNH-123 12	UNH-189	9 12	A, C, D	0.12	7.08	0.24	3.08	0.12	6.84	0.18	4.6	0.2	4.19				0		7.08		
UNH-124 4	UNH-146	6 4	C, D	90.0	9.85	0.10	7.74			0.09	7.95	90.0	9.85		7.74		0	0.18 4	4.82		
UNH-125 16	UNH-138	8 16	A, B, C, D	0.05	12.92	0.08	9.00	0.00	15.05	0.12	7.08	90.0	10.12	0.08	9.00	0.00	13.85 0	0.04	10.27		0.24 3.08
UNH-130 23	UNH-197	7 23	Α, С	0.46	0.07									0.28	2.18		0	0.48 0	0.02		
UNH-130 23	UNH-216	6 23	Α, С	0.33	1.31	0.02	12.63										0	0.44 0	0.16		
UNH-131 3	UNH-135	5 3	A, D	0.08	9.00	0.04	11.4			90.0	10.12		12.05	0.21	3.58		0	0.02	12.05		0.04 11.12
UNH-154 6	UNH-207	9 /	A versus D	0.05	12.92			0.05	0.02 12.92	0.02	12.92	0.04	11.4			0.02	12.92		0	0.00 14.75	0.04 11.12
UNH-197 23	UNH-216	6 23	Α, D	0.23	3.42					0.20	4.19						0	0.08	0 00.6	0.13 6.60	0.08 8.47

In both cases, evidence of heterogeneity was observed within species (in *O. niloticus* comparisons, between sexes and among males, resp.) as well as between species (i.e., between *O. niloticus* and *S. melanotheron*). No evidence of significant heterogeneity was observed among hybrid meioses.

#### 4. Discussion

We have characterized meiotic segregation in experimental intergeneric hybrids between two highly differentiated Ore-ochromine cichlid species, *O. niloticus* and *S. melanotheron*, from which no natural hybrid has ever been reported, due to ecological and reproductive behaviour divergence, even if sympatric in some basins of West Africa [58–60]. Our analysis permitted to track the origin and transmission of alleles across 17 independently mapped anchors distributed over the tilapia genome, including 8 genomic segments represented by 2 to 4 linked loci to survey variation of recombination between hybrids, parental species, and/or sexes.

All hybrid parents showed systematic exclusion of the alleles from each of the two parental species (i.e., hybrid parents transmitted either the O. niloticus allele or the S. melanotheron allele but never both or none). The strict disjunction of both O. niloticus and S. melanotheron alleles at each locus confirms locus homology between these two species and indicates the diploid state of these hybrids, at both the sequence and genome organisation level. The balanced segregations of loci lead to Mendelian transmission of both parental species alleles to the next generation for both reciprocal ways of crosses (G1 & G'1). A stable hybrid gene pool was maintained through meiosis, although segregation distortions were observed in very few cases (1.7%). As we observed no preferential allele elimination, neither in terms of parental species nor cross-direction (maternal/paternal origin), the observed departures from expected Mendelian inheritance may be due to local meiosis irregularities (i.e., male G'1 hybrid from BC-D), rather than a general effect of hybridisation.

The vast majority of linkage associations between markers expected in O. niloticus based on existing genetic maps [48–51] were confirmed in this study. Moreover these associations appeared well conserved in S. melanotheron and hybrids. This is consistent with a high level of synteny conservation between tilapia species, as previously observed between the closely related O. niloticus and O. aureus [50] and further extends this observation to distantly related species among Oreochromines. Recombination rates appear very similar between sexes as well as among hybrids and pure species. We did not observe a contraction of the genetic map as may be expected in hybrids because of reduced recombination due to structural genomic differences between parental species [50]. Taken together our results allow us to reject the hypothesis of meiotic irregularities or specific meiotic processes (i.e., nonhomoploid biparental transmission) in the hybrid between O. niloticus and S. melanotheron.

Our study also allowed us to demonstrate Mendelian transmission during the F1 hybrid meiosis, independent of the sex and/or direction of crosses (G1 or G'1), leading to the maintenance of a stable and balanced hybrid gene pool

through generations. These results, especially the strict disjunction of parental alleles at each locus and the conservation of linkage groups without genetic map contraction, suggest a close genomic structure between both parental species and their hybrids [50, 51]. The analysis of phenotypic traits segregating in hybrids is particularly interesting in this context, especially considering the numerous divergent traits between the two parental species, such as morphology, reproductive behaviour, and physiological adaptive capacity. Physiological traits, for example, growth and salinity tolerance, show intermediate phenotypes in F1 hybrids [44, 61]. In experimental conditions, the fertility of hybrids has been established up to the F2 and viability up to F3 (for both hybrid and backcross progeny—unpublished data). Fertility problems have been previously reported in interspecific fish hybrids (see review by Bartley et al. [9]), not only at the initial hybrid stage (i.e., sterility in F1 generally due to ploidy perturbations) but also in subsequent hybrid generations, as in the case of hybrid models where high fitness is observed in early hybrids (F1) followed by a strong fitness breakdown in subsequent generations (F2+). Such examples were observed in tilapia during a complex four-species crossing project between three Oreochromis and one Sarotherodon [49], where the viable and fertile two-way F1 hybrids led to four-way F2 hybrids unable to produce viable progeny [62]. Such rapid introgression of four genomes in only two generations might have exacerbated instability of the resulting gene pool leading to fertility problems. This phenomenon would have been avoided or strongly reduced in case of a two-way hybridisation and/or increasing the number of generations to mix the genomes, especially if it involved an introgressive (backcross) scheme and/or closely related parental species. In any case, the existence of a late fitness disruption in hybrids tends to suggest the absence of major genomic reorganisation between Oreochromines species, in favour of small genomic rearrangement(s), for example, microinversions and insertions, structural variations and/or divergent gene evolution. These elements can all be potentially responsible for the establishment of postzygotic isolation resulting from the increase of Dobzhansky-Muller genetic incompatibilities [63]. Over time, both premating and postmating incompatibilities are expected to accumulate between divergent lineages, with a relative rate depending on different parameters, including the geographical mode of speciation, the existence of sexual dimorphisms, and/or strong sexual selection, overall yielding to the "speciation clock" [64].

As cichlids are known to exhibit sexual selection, typically associated with male breeding colour polymorphism [65], theory predicts a faster loss of premating than postmating compatibility. In the species used in this study, pre-mating isolation is total, most notably due to the divergence of reproductive behaviour between the two parental species (i.e., O. niloticus is a maternal mouthbrooder whereas S. melanotheron is a paternal mouth-brooder, which explains the need for in vitro fertilisation for the production of F1 hybrids between these species). Post-mating isolation also appears to be relatively weak and probably only due to drift in the absence of reinforcement mechanisms between the two parental species. This process might be different depending

on the cichlid lineage considered, based on their rate of transition between reproductive systems. For instance, while Oreochromines exhibit maternal, paternal, and biparental mouth-brooding systems, the most species-rich lineage, Haplochromines, exhibits exclusively maternal mouth-brooding reproductive systems [66, 67]. The absence of pre-mating barrier based on reproductive behaviour among species would either be compensated by other component(s) of pre-mating isolation system, such as sexual selection mediated by male colour polymorphism, or represent an overall lower level of premating isolation, which would be prone to generate an increased rate of postzygotic accumulation (i.e., through reinforcement process).

Two recent studies have investigated the dynamics of hybridisation in Haplochromine cichlids [68]. While phenotypic novelty does increase with the genetic distance between parental hybridizing lineages [68], the accumulation of reproductive incompatibilities is also building up with divergence time [69]. This later study demonstrates that, along the axis of species divergence, pre-mating isolation is built up fast initially (i.e., due to mate choice and sexual selection) without increasing much later (e.g., due to the highly conserved courtship behaviour and the absence of change in reproductive system across the entire lineage), whereas postmating incompatibilities only start accumulating at relatively later stages of divergence. Such a pattern may have led to "evolutionary viable" hybridisation between lineages diverging for a very long time (i.e., estimation of the hybrid unviability after 4.4-18.4 Myrs, depending on the molecular clock calibration used [69]). Considering the latest estimates of divergence time between the radiation of the entire Oreochromines tribe (12.8–21.4 Myrs) and the radiation of the genus Oreochromis (6.4–9.7 Myrs) [18], the experimental hybrids between O. niloticus and S. melanotheron stand at the later end of the continuum tested in Haplochromines. Our results then appear consistent with the findings drawn from hybridisation in Haplochromines, while adding an independent estimate from another major cichlid tribe. Additionally, the present study extends the previous results by demonstrating the maintenance of normal meiotic mechanisms in hybrids between highly divergent species, long after the completion of pre-mating isolation (i.e., once postmating isolation would be expected to have already started accumulating).

The important role of interspecific hybridisation during the evolution of cichlids, especially the processes of adaptation and diversification, has been extensively discussed [10]. Hybridisation is thought to occur when populations are subjected to important environmental changes, such as anthropogenic perturbations [22, 23, 59, 70] and hydroclimatic changes [20], and when populations invade a new environment [10], enabling these populations to undergo rapid adaptive response and even radiation. Evidence suggests that many of the largest cichlid lake radiations may have been initiated and fuelled through hybridisation between distantly related lineages [11–13], which invaded the new empty environment and started interbreeding to form a hybrid swarm, predisposing the colonizing lineages to diversify. One of the crucial issues in the hypothesis of hybrid swarm origin

of adaptive radiations is to identify the mechanism by which hybrid lineage(s) can be initiated and propagated. Demonstrating that hybridisation between highly distantly related Oreochromines species can lead to meiotic processes following diploid Mendelian segregation and the maintenance of a stable and recombining hybrid gene pool across generations appears to strongly support this hypothesis. Overall, this study provides functional insights into hybridisation in cichlids, when prezygotic barriers are disrupted, despite important divergence time between lineages, and therefore supports the idea that interspecific hybridisation has the potential to play an important role in the evolution and diversification of cichlids.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Stephane Mauger and Rene Guyomard for laboratory assistance; Martial Derivaz, Pierre Morisens, and Jerome Lazard for their support in the scientific program of intergeneric hybridisation of tilapias; Irene Keller, Ole Seehausen, Martine Maan, and Matthias Stoeck for stimulating discussions, as well as the two anonymous referees and the editor, R. Craig Albertson, for their valuable comments on the paper. This study was supported by grants from the CIRAD and INRA (France).

#### References

- [1] M. L. Arnold, *Natural Hybridization and Evolution*, Oxford University Press, 1997.
- [2] L. H. Rieseberg and J. H. Willis, "Plant speciation," *Science*, vol. 317, no. 5840, pp. 910–914, 2007.
- [3] J. Mallet, "Hybridization as an invasion of the genome," *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 229–237, 2005.
- [4] L. H. Rieseberg, O. Raymond, D. M. Rosenthal et al., "Major ecological transitions in wild sunflowers facilitated by hybridization," *Science*, vol. 301, no. 5637, pp. 1211–1216, 2003.
- [5] E. J. Baack and L. H. Rieseberg, "A genomic view of introgression and hybrid speciation," *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 513–518, 2007.
- [6] S. Via, "The ecological genetics of speciation," *American Naturalist*, vol. 159, no. 3, pp. S1–S7, 2002.
- [7] J. Mallet, "Hybridization, ecological races and the nature of species: empirical evidence for the ease of speciation," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, vol. 363, no. 1506, pp. 2971–2986, 2008.
- [8] M. L. Arnold, "Natural hybridization and the evolution of domesticated, pest and disease organisms," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 997–1007, 2004.
- [9] D. M. Bartley, K. Rana, and A. J. Immink, "The use of interspecific hybrids in aquaculture and fisheries," *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 325–337, 2000.
- [10] O. Seehausen, "Hybridization and adaptive radiation," *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 198–207, 2004.
- [11] O. Seehausen, E. Koetsier, M. V. Schneider et al., "Nuclear markers reveal unexpected genetic variation and a Congolese-Nilotic origin of the Lake Victoria cichlid species flock," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, vol. 270, no. 1511, pp. 129– 137, 2003.
- [12] D. A. Joyce, D. H. Lunt, M. J. Genner, G. F. Turner, R. Bills, and O. Seehausen, "Repeated colonization and hybridization

- in Lake Malawi cichlids," Current Biology, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. R108–R109, 2011.
- [13] D. A. Joyce, D. H. Lunt, R. Bills et al., "An extant cichlid fish radiation emerged in an extinct Pleistocene lake," *Nature*, vol. 435, no. 7038, pp. 90–95, 2005.
- [14] R. Schelly, W. Salzburger, S. Koblmüller, N. Duftner, and C. Sturmbauer, "Phylogenetic relationships of the lamprologine cichlid genus *Lepidiolamprologus* (Teleostei: Perciformes) based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequences, suggesting introgressive hybridization," *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 426–438, 2006.
- [15] L. Rüber, A. Meyer, C. Sturmbauer, and E. Verheyen, "Population structure in two sympatric species of the Lake Tanganyika cichlid tribe Eretmodini: evidence for introgression," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 1207–1225, 2001.
- [16] W. Salzburger, S. Baric, and C. Sturmbauer, "Speciation via introgressive hybridization in East African cichlids?" *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 619–625, 2002.
- [17] V. Klett and A. Meyer, "What, if anything, is a Tilapia? Mito-chondrial ND2 phylogeny of tilapiines and the evolution of parental care systems in the African cichlid fishes," *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 865–883, 2002.
- [18] J. Schwarzer, B. Misof, D. Tautz, and U. K. Schliewen, "The root of the East African cichlid radiations," *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 9, no. 1, article 186, 2009.
- [19] U. K. Schliewen and B. Klee, "Reticulate sympatric speciation in Cameroonian crater lake cichlids," *Frontiers in Zoology*, vol. 1, article 5, 2004.
- [20] X. Rognon and R. Guyomard, "Large extent of mitochondrial DNA transfer from *Oreochromis aureus* to *O. niloticus* in West Africa," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 435–445, 2003.
- [21] L. Pouyaud, Génétique des populations de tilapias d'intérêt aquacole en Afrique de l'Ouest. Relations phylogénétiques et structurations populationnelles, Ph.D. thesis, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Montpellier, France, 1994.
- [22] J. Daget and J. Moreau, "Hybridation introgressive entre deux espèces de Sarotherodon (Pisces, Cichlidae) dans un lac de Madagascar," Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, vol. 2, pp. 689–703, 1981.
- [23] R. E. Gregg, J. H. Howard, and F. Shonhiwa, "Introgressive hybridization of tilapias in Zimbabwe," *Journal of Fish Biology*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 1998.
- [24] G. W. Wohlfarth, "The unexploited potential of tilapia hybrids in aquaculture," *Aquaculture & Fisheries Management*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 781–788, 1994.
- [25] A. E. Eknath and G. Hulata, "Use and exchange of genetic resources of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)," *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 1, no. 3-4, pp. 197–213, 2009.
- [26] G. W. Wohlfarth and H. Wedekind, "The heredity of sex determination in tilapias," *Aquaculture*, vol. 92, no. C, pp. 143–156, 1991.
- [27] G. Hulata, G. Wohlfarth, and S. Rothbard, "Progeny-testing selection of tilapia broodstocks producing all-male hybrid progenies—Preliminary results," *Aquaculture*, vol. 33, no. 1–4, pp. 263–268, 1983.
- [28] G. C. Mair, A. G. Scott, D. J. Penman, D. O. F. Skibinski, and J. A. Beardmore, "Sex determination in the genus *Oreochromis*—2. Sex reversal, hybridisation, gynogenesis and triploidy in *O. aureus* Steindachner," *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 153–160, 1991.
- [29] J. F. Baroiller and H. D'Cotta, "Environment and sex determination in farmed fish," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C*, vol. 130, no. 4, pp. 399–409, 2001.

- [30] A. Cnaani, B. Y. Lee, N. Zilberman et al., "Genetics of sex determination in tilapiine species," *Sexual Development*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 43–54, 2008.
- [31] J. F. Baroiller, H. D'Cotta, E. Bezault, S. Wessels, and G. Hoerstgen-Schwark, "Tilapia sex determination: where temperature and genetics meet," *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, vol. 153, no. 1, pp. 30–38, 2009.
- [32] G. Hulata, G. W. Wohlfarth, I. Karplus et al., "Evaluation of *Oreochromis niloticus* × *O. aureus* hybrid progeny of different geographical isolates, reared under varying management regimes," *Aquaculture*, vol. 115, no. 3-4, pp. 253–271, 1993.
- [33] R. E. Brummett, M. L. Halstrom, R. A. Dunham, and R. O. Smitherman, "Development of biochemical dichotomous keys for identification of American populations of *Oreochromis aureus*, O. mossambicus, O. niloticus, O. urolepis hornorum and red tilapia," in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture*, ICLARM Conference Proceedings, pp. 135–141, 1988.
- [34] R. Stelkens and O. Seehausen, "Genetic distance between species predicts novel trait expression in their hybrids," *Evolution*, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 884–897, 2009.
- [35] B. Chevassus, Modification du phénotype sexuel et du mode de reproduction chez les poissons Salmonidés: inversion sexuelle hormonale, gynogenèse, hybridation interspécifique et polyploïdisation, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France, 1998.
- [36] B. Chevassus, "Hybridization in fish," *Aquaculture*, vol. 33, no. 1–4, pp. 245–262, 1983.
- [37] A. Chenuil, N. Galtier, and P. Berrebi, "A test of the hypothesis of an autopolyploid vs. allopolyploid origin for a tetraploid lineage: application to the genus Barbus (Cyprinidae)," *Heredity*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 373–380, 1999.
- [38] C. L. Hubes and L. C. Hubes, "Apparent parthenogenesis in nature, in a form of fish of hybrid origin," *Science*, vol. 76, no. 1983, pp. 628–630, 1932.
- [39] R. J. Schultz, "Hybridization, unisexuality and polyploidy in the teleost *Poeciliopsis* (Poeciliidae)," *American Naturalist*, vol. 103, pp. 605–619, 1969.
- [40] R. K. Butlin, "Recombination and speciation," *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 2621–2635, 2005.
- [41] R. A. Woram, C. McGowan, J. A. Stout et al., "A genetic linkage map for Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): evidence for higher recombination rates and segregation distortion in hybrid versus pure strain mapping parents," *Genome*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 304–315, 2004.
- [42] S. M. Rogers, N. Isabel, and L. Bernatchez, "Linkage maps of the dwarf and normal lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) species complex and their hybrids reveal the genetic architecture of population divergence," *Genetics*, vol. 175, no. 1, pp. 375–398, 2007.
- [43] S. M. Rogers, D. Campbell, S. J. E. Baird, R. G. Danzmann, and L. Bernatchez, "Combining the analyses of introgressive hybridisation and linkage mapping to investigate the genetic architecture of population divergence in the lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*, Mitchill)," *Genetica*, vol. 111, no. 1–3, pp. 25–41, 2001.
- [44] J. F. Baroiller, E. Bezault, S. Bonnet et al., "Production of 2 reciprocal intergeneric hybrids between Oreochromis niloticus and Sarotherodon melanotheron," in *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Tilapia aquaculture*, Tilapia Aquaculture in the 21st century, p. 366, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, September 2000.
- [45] A. Estoup and B. Angers, "Microsatellites and minisatellites for molecular ecology: theoretical and empirical considerations,"

- in Advances in Molecular Ecology, G. R. Carvalho, Ed., pp. 55–79, IOS Press & Ohmsha, 1998.
- [46] P. Jarne and P. J. L. Lagoda, "Microsatellites, from molecules to populations and back," *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 424–429, 1996.
- [47] W. J. Lee and T. D. Kocher, "Microsatellite DNA markers for genetic mapping in *Oreochromis niloticus*," *Journal of Fish Biology*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 169–171, 1996.
- [48] T. D. Kocher, W. J. Lee, H. Sobolewska, D. Penman, and B. McAndrew, "A genetic linkage map of a cichlid fish, the tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)," *Genetics*, vol. 148, no. 3, pp. 1225–1232, 1998.
- [49] J. J. Agresti, S. Seki, A. Cnaani et al., "Breeding new strains of tilapia: development of an artificial center of origin and linkage map based on AFLP and microsatellite loci," *Aquaculture*, vol. 185, no. 1-2, pp. 43–56, 2000.
- [50] S. K. J. McConnell, C. Beynon, J. Leamon, and D. O. F. Skibinski, "Microsatellite marker based genetic linkage maps of *Oreochromis aureus* and *O. niloticus* (Cichlidae): extensive linkage group segment homologies revealed," *Animal Genetics*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 214–218, 2000.
- [51] B. Y. Lee, W. J. Lee, J. T. Streelman et al., "A second-generation genetic linkage map of tilapia (*Oreochromis spp.*)," *Genetics*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 237–244, 2005.
- [52] E. Bezault, X. Rognon, K. Gharbi, J. F. Baroiller, and B. Chevassus, "Microsatellites cross-species amplification across some African cichlids," *International Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 2012, 7 pages, 2012.
- [53] "Marqueurs microsatellites: isolement à l'aide de sondes nonradioactives, caractérisation et mise au point," http://www .agroparistech.fr/svs/genere/microsat/microsat.htm.
- [54] A. Estoup, K. Gharbi, M. SanCristobal, C. Chevalet, P. Haffray, and R. Guyomard, "Parentage assignment using microsatellites in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) hatchery populations," *Canadian Jour*nal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 715–725, 1998.
- [55] W. R. Rice, "Anylizing tables of statistical tests," *Evolution*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 223–225, 1989.
- [56] R. Sokal and F. J. Rohlf, Biometry, the Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edition, 1995.
- [57] R. G. Danzmann, "LINKMFEX: Linkage analysis package for outcrossed mapping families with male or female exchange of the mapping parent," 2001, http://www.uoguelph.ca/~ rdanzman/software/LINKMFEX.zip.
- [58] Faunafri—World Wide Web electronic publication, http://www.poissons-afrique.ird.fr/faunafri/.
- [59] E. Trewavas, Tilapiine Fishes of the Genera Sarotherodon, Oreochromis and Danakilia, British Museum Natural History, London, UK, 1983.
- [60] J.-C. Philippart and J. C. Ruwet, "Ecology and distribution of tilapias," in *The Biology and Culture of Tilapias*, R. S. V. Pullin and R. H. Lowe-McConnell, Eds., vol. 7, pp. 15–60, ICLARM, Manila, Philippines, 1982.
- [61] G. Lemarié, J. F. Baroiller, F. Clota, J. Lazard, and A. Dosdat, "A simple test to estimate the salinity resistance of fish with specific application to *O. niloticus* and *S. melanotheron*," *Aquaculture*, vol. 240, no. 1–4, pp. 575–587, 2004.
- [62] G. Hulata, A. Cnaani, T. Slossman, and G. A. E. Gall, "Fertility problems in the second generation of a four-species tilapia cross," *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 159– 165, 2004.

- [63] T. Dobzhansky, "Studies on hybrid sterility. II. Localization of sterility factors in *Drosophila pseudoobscura* hybrids," *Genetics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 113–135, 1936.
- [64] J. A. Coyne and A. H. Orr, *Speciation*, U.S.: Sinauer Associates, 2004.
- [65] M. E. Maan, O. Seehausen, L. Söderberg et al., "Intraspecific sexual selection on a speciation trait, male coloration, in the Lake Victoria cichlid Pundamilia nyererei," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, vol. 271, no. 1556, pp. 2445–2452, 2004.
- [66] M. H. A. Keenleyside, "Parental care," in *Cichlid Fishes: Behaviour, Ecology and Evolution*, M. Keenleyside, Ed., pp. 191–208, Chapman & Hall, London, UK, 1991.
- [67] G. W. Barlow, "Mating systems among cichlid fishes," in Cichlid Fishes: Behaviour, Ecology and Evolution, M. Keenleyside, Ed., pp. 173–190, Chapman & Hall, London, UK, 1991.
- [68] R. B. Stelkens, C. Schmid, O. Selz, and O. Seehausen, "Phenotypic novelty in experimental hybrids is predicted by the genetic distance between species of cichlid fish," *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 9, no. 1, article 283, 2009.
- [69] R. B. Stelkens, K. A. Young, and O. Seehausen, "The accumulation of reproductive incompatibilities in African cichlid fish," *Evolution*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 617–633, 2010.
- [70] O. Seehausen, J. J. M. Van Alphen, and F. Witte, "Cichlid fish diversity threatened by eutrophication that curbs sexual selection," *Science*, vol. 277, no. 5333, pp. 1808–1811, 1997.