

Clinical significance of HIF-2 α immunostaining area in radioresistant cervical cancer

Min Kyu Kim^{1,*}, Tae-Joong Kim^{1,*}, Chang-Ohk Sung², Chel Hun Choi¹, Jeong-Won Lee¹, Duk- Soo Bae¹, Byoung-Gie Kim¹ Departments of ¹Obstetrics and Gynecology, ²Pathology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Objective: Hypoxia has been established as a key factor influencing the pathophysiology of malignant growth. Hypoxia-induced changes in gene expression are coordinated primarily by hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF-1 α) and HIF-2 α . The purpose of this study was to determine whether or not HIF-2 α expression is associated with survival and response to radiation in patients with cervical cancer.

Methods: After reviewing the medical records of 119 patients treated in our institution by primary therapy for stage IIB-IVA cervical cancer, we performed a case-control study. Cases (n=12) were selected from patients with local recurrence or radiation failure after primary radiation therapy with or without concurrent chemoradiation. For each case, we selected two controls from patients who had no evidence of local recurrence. Using pre-treatment paraffin-embedded tissues, we evaluated the expression of HIF-2 α by immunohistochemistry. Staining was scored based on intensity (intensity score [IS], 0-3) and proportion (proportion score [PS], 0-100). The results were analyzed by the Student t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, Fisher's exact test, and Cox proportional hazards regression model.

Results: Cytoplasmic expression of HIF-2 α , representing the degree of hypoxia, had a relationship with poor response to radiotherapy. The hazard ratio of recurrence was 1.71 for the HIF-2 α IS (p=0.110) and 1.04 for the HIF-2 α PS (p<0.001), indicating that the HIF-2 α staining area correlates weakly with the risk for recurrence.

Conclusion: The HIF-2 α expression area may have an important role in radioresistance in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer. We conclude that a wider area of hypoxia predicts an increased probability of radioresistance.

Keywords: Cervical neoplasm, Radiation, HIF-2 a, Survival, Hypoxia

Received Jul. 5, 2010, Revised Oct. 19, 2010, Accepted Nov. 3, 2010

Correspondence to Byoung-Gie Kim

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 50 Irwon-dong, Gangnamgu, Seoul 135-710, Korea. Tel: 82-2-3410-3513, Fax: 82-2-3410-0630, E-mail: bgkim@skku.edu

*These authors contributed equally to this study.

This abstract was adopted as a poster presentation in 2010 AACR (American Association for Cancer Research) annual meeting.

INTRODUCTION

Radiation therapy with or without cisplatin-containing chemotherapy is the most commonly used treatment modality in patients with advanced cervical cancer [1]. However, recurrence after radiotherapy remains a problem in the treatment of locally advanced cervical cancer. Therefore, a study focusing on the molecules affecting radioresistance is important to determine the mechanism underlying radioresistance.

Hypoxia has been established as a key factor influencing

Copyright © 2011. Asian Society of Gynecologic Oncology, Korean Society of Gynecologic Oncology and Colposcopy

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the pathophysiology of malignant growth. Most measurable solid tumors, which consume a large amount of oxygen, have regions with inefficient vascular supplies. Such lowoxygen regions have a poorer prognosis and worse response to treatment than better oxygenated tumors. Tumor hypoxia is known to be an important factor associated with chemoresistance and radioresistance [2-4].

Hypoxia is associated with radiation therapy because depletion of oxygen disturbs radiolysis of H₂O and reduces the production of reactive and cytotoxic species, and because radiation-induced DNA damage is fixed and irreparable under normoxia [5,6]. Members of the hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) family of transcription factors regulate the cellular response to hypoxia. There have been several studies regarding HIF-1 α and radioresistance [7,8]. On the contrary, there have been few studies regarding HIF-2 α . Moreover, the results are conflicting.

HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α have contrasting roles, as evidenced by the opposing regulation of c-Myc [9]. Moeller et al. [10] reported that HIF-1 α stimulates p53 phosphorylation and p53dependent cell death in cancer cells. Conversely, Bertout et al. [11] demonstrated that HIF-2 α inhibition promotes p53 activity.

A clinical study of radiation treatment for head and neck cancers reported that the levels of both HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α correlate with radiation resistance [8]. However, in experiments by Palayoor et al. [12], transfection of von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) into renal cell carcinoma lacking this gene did not alter radiation sensitivity, despite leading to an increase in protein levels for HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α . A recent study conducted by Franovic et al. [13] showed that HIF-2 α has a greater role in tumorigenesis than HIF-1 α via deactivation of select receptor tyrosine kinases, including epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R), as well as downstream extracellular-regulated kinase (ERK)/Akt signaling.

It has been reported that overexpression of HIF-1 α in uterine cervical cancer cells prior to treatment serves as a predictive marker for poor prognosis following treatment by radiotherapy [7,14-16]. Recently, the same results regarding HIF-2 α have been released showing that HIF-2 α expression is associated with prognosis in patients with cervical cancer undergoing radiotherapy [17].

In this study, we evaluated HIF-2 α expression in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer using immunohistochemistry, and correlated HIF-2 α staining with local recurrence or failure to respond to radiation treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patient selection

According to the availability of cancer tissue, we identified 119 patients with locally advanced stage IIB-IVA cervical cancer who completed primary radiotherapy in the Department of Radiation Oncology at Samsung Medical Center of Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine between 1994 and 2004. We reviewed charts according to Institutional Review Board guidelines and performed a case-control study. Cases (n=12) were selected from patients with local recurrence or radiation failure after primary radiation therapy, and constituted the radioresistant group. Age-matched control patients were selected who had no local recurrence for at least 3 years after radiation therapy, which constituted the radiosensitive group (n=24). Slides were prepared from stored pre-treatment paraffin-embedded tissue blocks from the 36 patients.

External beam radiotherapy (EBRT) and high-dose rate (HDR) intracavitary brachytherapy [18] were completed according to schedule. The whole pelvis total dose was 50.40 gray (Gy) with 1.8 Gy daily fractions administered 5 times a week. HDR brachytherapy was started 4-5 weeks after initiation of EBRT. The dose of HDR brachytherapy was 24 Gy at point A, with 4 Gy per fraction twice a week for 3 weeks. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined from the end of treatment to the day of recurrence by imaging studies or biopsies.

2. Immunohistochemical staining

Sections (6- μ m thick) were deparaffinized with xylene, rehydrated, and incubated with fresh 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 minutes at room temperature. Specimens were rehydrated through a graded ethanol series and washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). After a blocking treatment, the specimens were incubated with anti-HIF-2 α antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) at a dilution of 1:200 in PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 4°C overnight. Sections were then washed with PBS and incubated in secondary antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature. The tissue sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin, then examined by light microscopy. There was no detectable staining in negative controls, which were prepared by omitting the primary antibody [19].

3. Evaluation

Two examiners without knowledge of the clinical outcome evaluated the staining. One gynecologic pathologist (COS) and one gynecologic oncologist (TJK) reviewed the blinded slides and evaluated the immunohistochemical data independently.

Staining intensity was scored from 0-3, as follows: 0, no appreciable staining in the tumor cells; 1, barely detectable staining in the cytoplasm, nucleus, or membrane compared with stromal elements; 2, readily detectable brown staining distinctly marking the tumor cell cytoplasm, nucleus, or membrane; and 3, dark brown staining in tumor cells completely obscuring the cytoplasm, nucleus, or membrane [20]. The staining was scored based on intensity (intensity score [IS], 0-3) and proportion (proportion score [PS], 0-100) (Fig. 1).

4. Statistical analysis

We used SAS ver. 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) for statistical calculations. For continuous variables, we used the t-test for variables that were normally distributed and the Mann-Whitney U test for variables that were not normally distributed. Fisher's exact test was performed for categorical variables. To evaluate the relationship of HIF-2 α staining to PFS, we used a Cox proportional hazards regression model.

RESULTS

The patient characteristics are described in Table 1. The median follow-up for the patients studied was 49 months. At the time of analysis, all case patients had succumbed from causes related to cervical cancer, and all control patients were alive with no evidence of recurrence.

There were no statistical differences in age, cell type, and International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stages between the two groups. Fig. 1 shows the examples of cellular distribution of HIF-2 α staining. The results of immunohistochemical expression of HIF-2 α in 36 cervical squamous cell carcinomas (12 radioresistant and 24 radiosensitive) are summarized in Tables 2-4. The expression of HIF-2 α was significantly more frequent between one and two stainings in both groups (Table 2). But we showed that the IS (p=0.133), unlike the PS, did not have a close relationship with survival in the case group (Table 3).

Intensity shows degree or severity of staining, and proportion means area or boundary of staining. Therefore PS more accurately represented the hypoxic area in tumors regarding risk of recurrence (Table 4).

Average PS were 20 \pm 40 (radiation-resistant group) and 10



Fig. 1. Proportion score and intensity score examples of hypoxia inducible factor-2 a expression (x200). A: 0 (0), B: 1 (1), C: 30 (2), D: 90 (3).

Table 1. Patient characteristics

Characteristics	Radiation- resistant (n=12)	Radiation- sensitive (n=24)	p-value
Age (mean)	(62)	(60)	0.17
<50	2	4	
≥50	10	20	
Stage			0.86
IIB	6	14	
IIIB	5	8	
IVA	1	2	
Cell type			
Squamous cell	12	24	
Primary treatment			
RT	11	19	
CCRT	1*	5†	
Median PFS, mo (range)	7.8 (0-16)	70.0 (24-100)	0.02

RT: radiation therapy, CCRT: concurrent chemoradiation therapy, PFS: progression free survival, FU: fluorouracil.

*Cisplatin+5FU. ⁺Cisplatin+5FU (n=4) and weekly Cisplatin (n=1).

Table 2. Immunohistochemical analysis of HIF-2 α expression in radiation-sensitive and radiation-resistant cervical cancer tissues

	HIF-2 α staining IS			Total	
	0	1+	2+	3+	(n=36)
Radiation-sensitive	6	11	6	1	24
Radiation-resistant	1	5	4	2	12

HIF: hypoxia inducible factor, IS: intensity score.

 \pm 17 (radiation-sensitive group) (p<0.05).

The PFS of all patients was analyzed based upon HIF-2 α expression. The median PFS was 9 months for patients in the radioresistant group and 73 months for the radiosensitive group. The hazard ratio of recurrence was 1.525 for HIF-2 α PS (p<0.05) (Table 4), indicating that HIF-2 α PS correlates weakly with recurrence risk and is a pathologic prognostic factor.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, HIF-2 α expression was evaluated in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer prior to treatment with primary radiotherapy, with a positive association between HIF-2 α expression in terms of PS and local recurrence or radiation failure. In addition, the correlation between the area of staining with the risk of recurrence correlated with prognosis in patients with cervical cancer. With 10 unit increase of PS, risk of recurrence increases 1.525 times more than lower

Table 3. Distribution of HIF-2 α staining in two groups

Characteristics	Radiation-resistant (n=12)	Radiation-sensitive (n=24)	p-value
HIF-2 <i>a</i> IS	1.5 (0-3)	1.0 (0-3)	0.133
HIF-2 <i>a</i> PS	28.8 (0-80)	12.5 (0-40)	< 0.05

HIF: hypoxia inducible factor, IS: intensity score, PS: proportion score.

Table 4. Relationship between HIF-2 α PS and hazard ratio of recurrence

	HR (95% CI)	p-value
HIF-2 a PS (I unit)	1.041 (1.014-1.074)	< 0.05
HIF-2 a PS (10 units)	1.525 (1.144-2.033)	< 0.05

HIF: hypoxia inducible factor, PS: proportion score, HR: hazard ratio, CI: confidence interval.

unit. Based on the data shown in Tables 3 and 4, we conclude that a wider area of hypoxia increase the probability of radioresistance. With regard to PS, it appears that the stained area represents a more hypoxic than other area. Although we did not know the exact mechanism of radioresistance, it may provide some hint with regard to the relationship with oxygen and radiation.

According to a previous study regarding HIF-1 α with radiation, it promotes tumor vasculature radioresistance through the release of proangiogenic cytokines such as VEGF [21]. HIF-2 α is the second HIF family member to be identified and shares approximately 48% amino-acid sequence homology with HIF-1 α . So it shares some similarity.

Kawanaka et al. [17] suggested the ratio of the HIF-2 α positive cell in tumor infiltrative macrophages may be a new predictive indicator for prognosis. Our study also solidified that HIF-2 α staining may be a key in radioresistance in cervical cancer.

In our study, we did not demonstrate a strong relationship between IS and radioresistance. However, staining may change subjectively, thus a more accurate *in vitro* test and more samples are needed to confirm the relationship.

Although HIF-2 α PS was related with PFS, the hazard ratio of IS and PS did not meet the criteria of the previous study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

- 1. Petignat P, Roy M. Diagnosis and management of cervical cancer. BMJ 2007;335:765-8.
- 2. Hockel M, Vorndran B, Schlenger K, Baussmann E, Knapstein PG. Tumor oxygenation: a new predictive parameter in locally advanced cancer of the uterine cervix. Gynecol Oncol 1993;51:141-9.
- 3. Hockel M, Schlenger K, Mitze M, Schaffer U, Vaupel P. Hypoxia and radiation response in human tumors. Semin Radiat Oncol 1996;6:3-9.
- 4. Sutherland RM, Ausserer WA, Murphy BJ, Laderoute KR. Tumor hypoxia and heterogeneity: challenges and opportunities for the future. Semin Radiat Oncol 1996;6:59-70.
- 5. Brown JM, Wilson WR. Exploiting tumour hypoxia in cancer treatment. Nat Rev Cancer 2004;4:437-47.
- 6. Thomlinson RH, Gray LH. The histological structure of some human lung cancers and the possible implications for radiotherapy. Br J Cancer 1955;9:539-49.
- Ishikawa H, Sakurai H, Hasegawa M, Mitsuhashi N, Takahashi M, Masuda N, et al. Expression of hypoxicinducible factor 1alpha predicts metastasis-free survival after radiation therapy alone in stage IIIB cervical squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004;60:513-21.
- 8. Koukourakis MI, Giatromanolaki A, Sivridis E, Simopoulos C, Turley H, Talks K, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF1A and HIF2A), angiogenesis, and chemoradiotherapy outcome of squamous cell head-and-neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:1192-202.
- 9. Gordan JD, Bertout JA, Hu CJ, Diehl JA, Simon MC. HIF-2alpha promotes hypoxic cell proliferation by enhancing c-myc transcriptional activity. Cancer Cell 2007;11:335-47.
- Moeller BJ, Dreher MR, Rabbani ZN, Schroeder T, Cao Y, Li CY, et al. Pleiotropic effects of HIF-1 blockade on tumor radiosensitivity. Cancer Cell 2005;8:99-110.
- 11. Bertout JA, Majmundar AJ, Gordan JD, Lam JC, Ditsworth D, Keith B, et al. HIF2alpha inhibition promotes p53 pathway activity, tumor cell death, and radiation responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:14391-6.
- 12. Palayoor ST, Burgos MA, Shoaibi A, Tofilon PJ, Coleman CN. Effect of radiation and ibuprofen on normoxic renal carcinoma cells overexpressing hypoxia-inducible factors

by loss of von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor gene function. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:4158-64.

- 13. Franovic A, Holterman CE, Payette J, Lee S. Human cancers converge at the HIF-2alpha oncogenic axis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:21306-11.
- 14. Hutchison GJ, Valentine HR, Loncaster JA, Davidson SE, Hunter RD, Roberts SA, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha expression as an intrinsic marker of hypoxia: correlation with tumor oxygen, pimonidazole measurements, and outcome in locally advanced carcinoma of the cervix. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:8405-12.
- 15. Bachtiary B, Schindl M, Potter R, Dreier B, Knocke TH, Hainfellner JA, et al. Overexpression of hypoxiainducible factor 1alpha indicates diminished response to radiotherapy and unfavorable prognosis in patients receiving radical radiotherapy for cervical cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:2234-40.
- Burri P, Djonov V, Aebersold DM, Lindel K, Studer U, Altermatt HJ, et al. Significant correlation of hypoxiainducible factor-1alpha with treatment outcome in cervical cancer treated with radical radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2003;56:494-501.
- 17. Kawanaka T, Kubo A, Ikushima H, Sano T, Takegawa Y, Nishitani H. Prognostic significance of HIF-2alpha expression on tumor infiltrating macrophages in patients with uterine cervical cancer undergoing radiotherapy. J Med Invest 2008;55:78-86.
- Nakano T, Kato S, Ohno T, Tsujii H, Sato S, Fukuhisa K, et al. Long-term results of high-dose rate intracavitary brachytherapy for squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix. Cancer 2005;103:92-101.
- 19. Lee SY, Chung SM. Neovastat (AE-941) inhibits the airway inflammation via VEGF and HIF-2 alpha suppression. Vascul Pharmacol 2007;47:313-8.
- 20. Freitas S, Moore DH, Michael H, Kelley MR. Studies of apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease/ref-1 expression in epithelial ovarian cancer: correlations with tumor progression and platinum resistance. Clin Cancer Res 2003;9:4689-94.
- 21. Moeller BJ, Cao Y, Li CY, Dewhirst MW. Radiation activates HIF-1 to regulate vascular radiosensitivity in tumors: role of reoxygenation, free radicals, and stress granules. Cancer Cell 2004;5:429-41.