



# Structural Basis of Antibody Conformation and Stability Modulation by Framework Somatic Hypermutation

Zizhang Sheng<sup>1,2\*†</sup>, Jude S. Bimela<sup>1†</sup>, Phinikoula S. Katsamba<sup>1</sup>, Saurabh D. Patel<sup>1</sup>, Yicheng Guo<sup>1,2</sup>, Haiqing Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Youzhong Guo<sup>4,5</sup>, Peter D. Kwong<sup>6,7</sup> and Lawrence Shapiro<sup>1,2,7\*</sup>

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Duane R. Wesemann, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

#### Reviewed by:

Robyn Stanfield, The Scripps Research Institute, United States Victor Greiff, University of Oslo, Norway

#### \*Correspondence:

Zizhang Sheng zs2248@cumc.columbia.edu Lawrence Shapiro lss8@columbia.edu

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to B Cell Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 09 November 2021 Accepted: 07 December 2021 Published: 03 January 2022

#### Citation:

Sheng Z, Bimela JS, Katsamba PS, Patel SD, Guo Y, Zhao H, Guo Y, Kwong PD and Shapiro L (2022) Structural Basis of Antibody Conformation and Stability Modulation by Framework Somatic Hypermutation. Front. Immunol. 12:811632. doi: 10.3389/firmmu.2021.811632 <sup>1</sup> Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States, <sup>2</sup> Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY, United States, <sup>3</sup> Department of Systems Biology, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States, <sup>4</sup> Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, United States, <sup>5</sup> Institute for Structural Biology, Drug Discovery, and Development, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, United States, <sup>6</sup> Vaccine Research Center, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States, <sup>7</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States

Accumulation of somatic hypermutation (SHM) is the primary mechanism to enhance the binding affinity of antibodies to antigens *in vivo*. However, the structural basis of the effects of many SHMs remains elusive. Here, we integrated atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulation and data mining to build a high-throughput structural bioinformatics pipeline to study the effects of individual and combination SHMs on antibody conformation, flexibility, stability, and affinity. By applying this pipeline, we characterized a common mechanism of modulation of heavy-light pairing orientation by frequent SHMs at framework positions  $39_{H}$ ,  $91_{H}$ ,  $38_{L}$ , and  $87_{L}$  through disruption of a conserved hydrogen-bond network. Q39L<sub>H</sub> alone and in combination with light chain framework 4 (FWR4<sub>L</sub>) insertions further modulated the elbow angle between variable and constant domains of many antibodies, resulting in improved binding affinity for a subset of anti-HIV-1 antibodies. Q39L<sub>H</sub> also alleviated aggregation induced by FWR4<sub>L</sub> insertion, suggesting remote epistasis between these SHMs. Altogether, this study provides tools and insights for understanding antibody affinity maturation and for engineering functionally improved antibodies.

Keywords: antibody, broadly HIV-1 neutralizing antibody, conformation modulation, epistasis, INDEL, molecular dynamics simulation, somatic hypermutation, stability

# INTRODUCTION

The affinity maturation process of antibodies or B cell receptors (BCRs) constitutes a microevolution system for antibody improvement (1). During affinity maturation, multiple types of somatic hypermutations (SHMs) (point mutations, insertions and deletions (indels), and sites for post-translational modifications) are incorporated in the BCR variable domain (2, 3). Beneficial SHMs are selected iteratively to optimize the properties of BCRs including antigen-binding affinity

1

as well as the accommodation of antigen variability, flexibility, and physical stability (4–10). In previous studies (11, 12), we built gene-specific substitution profiles (GSSPs) to describe genespecific hotspots and preferences of point SHMs. We found that SHMs are generated with strong preferences resulting in frequent or dominant convergent mutations, which are commonly observed amongst different antibody lineages (12, 13). Nevertheless, the functional impact and mechanistic basis of numerous SHMs and their combinations remain poorly understood. As mapping the development of functionally important antibody lineages has become commonplace, the need for a "dictionary" to interpret these developmental maps has become clear.

Structurally, the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) from both heavy and light chains form a paratope, while framework regions (FWRs) of each chain form a 2layered  $\beta$ -sandwich to present and stabilize the conformations of CDRs (14). SHMs in CDRs undergo frequent antigen-specific selection to optimize the physical non-covalent interactions between paratope and epitope. FWRs are more conserved than CDRs; however, new evidence demonstrates the critical roles of FWR SHMs in both in vivo and in vitro affinity maturation (15-18). In contrast to SHMs in CDRs, many beneficial SHMs in FWRs modulate antibody features remotely by altering the stability and conformations of CDRs, the pairing of specific V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> interactions, and the elbow angles between the variable and constant domains ( $V_H$ - $C_H$ 1 or  $V_L$ - $C_L$ ) (15, 18–23). Because many FWR residues are conserved among germline genes, a FWR SHM could affect antibody features consistently among antibodies with different gene origins, and we thus refer to such consistent affects as a common mechanism of modulation. For example, Koenig et al. showed that dominant SHMs at light chain position 83 [Kabat numbering (24)] alter the elbow angle and V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> angle in many antibodies, resulting in changes in antigen-binding affinity and stability (20).

Currently, approaches where structure determination is coupled to biophysical readouts [e.g. X-ray crystallography with surface plasmon resonance (SPR)] are used to characterize mechanisms of affinity improvement by SHMs. However, such approaches are time-consuming and expensive, and it is impractical to undertake such detailed experimental studies to characterize the effects of SHMs in all cases. With the development of high-performance graphics processing unit (GPU) computers, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have proven effective at evaluating structural alterations by SHMs (25-28). In addition, about 6000 antibody structures are available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB), which form a valuable informative dataset to examine the effects of SHMs on antibody structure. A bioinformatics platform to interrogate this information could provide a fast and low-cost method, complementary to experimental approaches, for understanding the functions of SHMs and the process of antibody-affinity maturation.

In this study, we integrated MD simulation and a nonredundant antibody structure database to investigate SHMinduced conformation changes. We also applied SPR, thermostability measurement, and dynamic light scattering to evaluate the effects of SHMs on antigen-binding affinity, stability, and aggregation propensity respectively. We found a common mechanism of  $V_{\rm H}$ - $V_{\rm L}$  conformation modulation by  $V_{\rm H}$ - $V_{\rm L}$  interface and elbow interface SHMs and characterized epistasis effects between these SHMs.

# RESULTS

# A Structural Bioinformatic Pipeline to Interrogate the Effects of SHM

To predict the effect of SHM on antibody conformation, we developed a script (MD.pl) to perform multiple steps of MD simulation from energy minimization, heating, equilibration, to production using Amber18 (Details see *Materials and Methods*) (**Figure 1A**). We also integrated multiple algorithms to develop a master script (Traj.R) to calculate structural features of antibodies from MD trajectory snapshots and to generate plots including root mean square deviation (RMSD), principle component analysis (PCA),  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  angle (six parameters, **Figure S1A**), elbow angle, buried accessible surface area (bASA), dynamics of hydrogen bonds, and root mean square flexibility (RMSF) (**Figure 1A**).

Antibody structures determined at the residue-level contain rich information for exploring conformational alterations induced by SHMs. To better utilize this dataset, we collected a total of 5994 experimentally determined antibody structures from the SAbDab database (29). We removed redundant structures with identical heavy and light chain variable domain sequences to identify 3163 unique structures, with 2651 having the antigen-binding fragment (Fab). For each structure, antibody positions were assigned according to the Chothia numbering scheme. We annotated each structure with various features including gene origin, amino acid sequence, SHM level, V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> angle, elbow angle, bASA at domain interfaces, and hydrogen bonds and salt bridges at domain interfaces (Figure 1B and Table S1). By utilizing this dataset, we identified residue positions frequently located at V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow interfaces (Figures 1C, D, S1B-E). One interesting observation is that many residue positions at the framework 2 (FWR2) and FWR4 regions contribute to either V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> or elbow interfaces (Figures **S1F-I**). In the gene-specific substitution profiles (GSSPs), which predict positional SHM preference, we found that many residue positions at the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow interfaces mutate with high frequencies and strong substitution preference (Figures S1F-I), implying that SHMs at these positions are frequently used to modulate V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow conformations. However, for many of these positions, the effects of SHMs have not been elucidated.

# MD Simulation to Identify SHMs Modulating $V_H$ - $V_L$ and Elbow Conformation of VRC01

VRC01 is a broadly HIV-1 neutralizing antibody (bnAb) which is an important template for antibody-targeted vaccine design. Currently, immunogens (eOD-GT6, eOD-GT8, etc.) have been



less than 1% were omitted.

designed to activate the precursors of VRC01-like antibodies for affinity maturation (30, 31). VRC01 accumulates a high level of SHMs (**Figure 2A**). However, the effects of many SHMs in VRC01, which are also observed in numerous other antibodies, are unknown. The germline reverted VRC01 (VRC01gl) in complex with eOD-GT6 and VRC01 in complex with gp120 form a good model system to characterize the effects of SHMs through forward and reversion mutagenesis.

In particular, the comparisons of X-ray crystal structures of VRC01gl and VRC01 revealed dramatic differences in both  $V_{H^-}$   $V_L$  and elbow angles (**Figure 2B**). To identify SHMs associated with the conformation changes, we introduced individual and combinations of a portion of SHMs observed at the  $V_{H^-}V_L$  and

elbow interfaces in VRC01 to VRC01gl, and performed MD simulations accordingly. Our analyses showed that SHM-induced conformation changes in  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  and elbow angles could be observed during long timescale (1µs) MD simulation (**Figures S2A, B**). Note, we referred to  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  and elbow angle changes as changes in the sampled distributions or propensity during MD simulation. We used the torsion angle (HL), and four tilting angles (HC1, HC2, LC1, LC2), and one distance parameter defined by ABangle to quantify the  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  angle (**Figure S1A**). We will mainly describe the  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  torsion angle changes in the following analyses. MD simulation successfully identified critical SHM events associated with the VRC01 conformation change: heavy chain Q39L<sub>H</sub>, light chain 'VQ' insertion at 103<sub>L</sub>, L104V<sub>L</sub>,



simulation. Kolmogorov–similator is used to compare the significance of difference for  $v_{\rm H}$ - $v_{\rm L}$  and elow stars. We use Bonferroni Correction to control false discover rate of multiple test <0.01. ns, not significant.

and E105D<sub>L</sub> (Figures 2A–D, S2C–H). Q39<sub>H</sub> is involved in a hydrogen bond (HB) network at the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> interface, which includes Q39<sub>H</sub>, Y91<sub>H</sub>, Q38<sub>L</sub>, and Y87<sub>L</sub> (Figure 2B). This HB network is completely disrupted in VRC01 by two SHMs: Q39L<sub>H</sub> and Y91F<sub>H</sub> (Figure 2A). MD simulation revealed that Q39L<sub>H</sub> increased the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> torsion angle of VRC01gl (Figures 2C, S2C). Consistently, the revertant L39Q<sub>H</sub> in VRC01 decreased the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> torsion angle. However, substitutions at 39<sub>H</sub> alone do not alter the elbow angles of VRC01 and VRC01gl (Figure 2D). Further analysis revealed that the combination of 'VQ' insertion at 103a<sub>L</sub> and 103b<sub>L</sub>, L104V<sub>L</sub>, and E105D<sub>L</sub> (the combination defined as comL) at light chain FWR4 is critical for the increased elbow angle in VRC01 (**Figure 2D**). The deletion of  $103a_L$  and  $103b_L$  (del $103_L$ ) reduced the elbow angle and  $V_H-V_L$  torsion angle of VRC01. Consistently, comL increased the  $V_H-V_L$  torsion angle of VRC01gl and modulated VRC01gl to sample multiple elbow angle states including a state similar to VRC01, suggesting that the insertion increases the flexibility of the elbow interface. Moreover, only the combination of Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL but not individual mutations altered the  $V_H-V_L$  angle of VRC01gl to a level comparable to VRC01 (**Figures S2C-H**). Consistently, the combination of del $103_L$  and L39Q<sub>H</sub> altered the  $V_H-V_L$  and elbow angles of VRC01 to levels comparable to those of VRC01gl. Moreover, we observed that the combination of



Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL increased the flexibility of heavy chain CDRs of VRC01gl, while the combination of L39Q<sub>H</sub> and del103<sub>L</sub> reduced the flexibility of VRC01 CDRs (**Figures S2I, J**). In summary, the forward mutations in VRC01gl and reversion mutations in VRC01 consistently revealed the SHMs modulating  $V_{\rm H}$ - $V_{\rm L}$  and elbow angles, with an additive effect between Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL.

# Effects of $39_H$ and FWR4<sub>L</sub> SHMs on Binding Affinity

To further explore the effects of  $39_{\rm H}$  and FWR4<sub>L</sub> SHMs individually and in combination, we produced forward mutants of VRC01gl (Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL) and revertants of VRC01 (L39Q<sub>H</sub> and del103<sub>L</sub>) and measured antigen-binding affinity, thermostability, and protein size. We measured K<sub>D</sub>s of VRC01gl and VRC01 variants against eOD-GT6 and BG505-DSSOSIP respectively using surface plasmon resonance (SPR). We used different antigens for VRC01gl and VRC01 because VRC01gl does not bind HIV-1 gp120/gp41 trimer. The SPR results revealed that Q39L<sub>H</sub> or comL alone had a mild effect on

VRC01gl K<sub>D</sub>, but the combination improved K<sub>D</sub> by 4-fold (**Figures 3A**, **S3A**), primarily due to a slower reduced dissociation rate ( $k_d$ ). For VRC01, the revertant mutation L39Q<sub>H</sub> decreased the K<sub>D</sub> of VRC01 by 3-fold due to faster  $k_d$ , while del103<sub>L</sub> and the combination of L39Q<sub>H</sub> and del103<sub>L</sub> showed moderate decrease on the K<sub>D</sub> (**Figure 3A**).

To understand the mechanism of binding affinity alteration by Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL, we performed MD simulations of VRC01gl/eOD-GT6 and VRC01/gp120 with and without the mutations. We found that each of the VRC01gl and VRC01 variants sampled  $V_{\rm H}$ - $V_{\rm L}$  torsion angle and elbow angle comparable to those observed in antigen-free MD simulations (**Figures S3B-D**), suggesting that the antibody variants undergo similar conformation changes in the presence of antigens. Both Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL increased the bASA between VRC01gl and eOD-GT6 over 200 Å<sup>2</sup>, with the combination increasing the bASA the most (**Figure 3B**), consistent with the additive effect of the combination on  $V_{\rm H}$ - $V_{\rm L}$  and elbow conformation change. For the three VRC01 reverteants, L39Q<sub>H</sub> decreased the bASA between VRC01 and gp120 (**Figure 3C**) the most, which is consistent with the SPR results. Above all, these results suggested that modulation of bASA between epitope and paratope, which may alter  $k_d$ , is one mechanism for improving  $K_D$  by Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL

# Epistasis Between 39<sub>H</sub> and FWR4<sub>L</sub> SHMs on Stability and Aggregation

We next measured melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) to investigate the effects of  $39_H$  and FWR4<sub>L</sub> mutations on antibody stability. For VRC01gl, Q39L<sub>H</sub> and comL destabilized VRC01gl ( $\Delta T_m$  -2.8°C and -14.0°C respectively, **Figure 4A**). Surprisingly, the destabilization effect of comL was alleviated by Q39L<sub>H</sub>. For VRC01, the reversion of L93Q<sub>H</sub> had a minor effect on stability ( $\Delta T_m$  0.1°C), while del103<sub>L</sub> destabilized VRC01 by 7.4 °C.

Interestingly, the destabilization effect of  $del103_L$  was attenuated by L39Q<sub>H</sub>.

Because the above mutations altered antibody conformation, we hypothesized that the observed stability changes may result from conformation change induced alterations in bASA and polar interactions in the  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  and elbow interfaces. To examine this hypothesis, we calculated bASA of the  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  and elbow interfaces as well as numbers of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges (SBs) in MD trajectories of each antibody variant. Note, stable SBs were not observed in the  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  interface and thus excluded from the analysis. For VRC01gl, we found that Q39L<sub>H</sub> reduced bASA of both  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  and elbow interfaces (**Figures 4B, C**). Q39L<sub>H</sub> also reduced the number of  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  HBs mainly due to the disruption of the HBs between Q39<sub>H</sub> and Q38<sub>L</sub> (**Figures 4D, S4A**). All these changes together may account for the observed destabilization



**FIGURE 4** | Q39L<sub>H</sub> alleviates the stability and aggregation propensity of FWR4<sub>L</sub> insertion. (**A**) Effects of somatic hypermutations on VRC01gl and VRC01 melting temperature. Data are shown with mean and SD from two replicates. (**B**) Buried accessible surface area between  $V_H$  and  $V_L$  domains obtained from MD simulation. MD repeats were combined for each antibody variant. (**C**) Buried accessible surface area between variable and constant domains obtained from MD simulation. MD repeats were combined for each antibody variant. (**D**) Frequencies of hydrogen bonds at  $V_H$ - $V_L$  interface in MD simulation. Median was highlighted by line. (**E**) Frequencies of salt bridges at elbow interface in MD simulation. Median was highlighted by line. (**F**) Effects of somatic hypermutations on VRC01gl and VRC01 Fab protein size. Data are shown with mean and SD from three replicates. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test is used to compare the significance of difference for panels (**B**, **C**). P values less than 0.01 are labeled with two stars. P values greater than 0.01 and less than 0.05 are labeled with one star.

effect of Q39L<sub>H</sub>. ComL showed reduced bASA of the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> interface, increased bASA of the elbow interface, and reduced SBs at the elbow interface (**Figures 4B–E**). Interestingly, compared to comL alone, the combination of comL and Q39L<sub>H</sub> showed increased bASA at both V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow interfaces and SBs at elbow interface, consistent with the T<sub>m</sub> measurement that Q39L<sub>H</sub> improved the stability of the comL mutant. For VRC01, L39Q<sub>H</sub> reduced bASA of both interfaces which may counteract the effect of increased HBs at the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> interface on stability (**Figures 4B–D**). The reduced bASA of elbow interface and number of SBs may be associated with the destabilization effect of del103<sub>L</sub> (**Figures 4C, E**). In contrast, the addition of L39Q<sub>H</sub> recovered the bASA and the number of SB decreases in the del103<sub>L</sub> variant, coincided with the stability improvement (**Figure 4A**).

In addition, we noticed that VRC01gl with comL tended to precipitate, but the combination of comL and Q39L<sub>H</sub> did not. We suspected that comL could result in VRC01gl aggregation and therefore used dynamic light scattering (DLS) to measure the sizes of VRC01gl variants. The DLS results showed that VRC01gl with comL was about 4-fold larger than the wildtype (**Figures 4F, S4C**),

confirming that comL led to aggregation in VRC01gl. Notably, such aggregation disappeared when comL was combined with  $Q39L_{H}$ .

In addition, we also assessed the effect of  $Y91F_H$ , another residue involved in the HB network between  $V_H$  and  $V_L$ . We found that  $Y91F_H$  and its reversion had mild effects on  $V_H-V_L$ and elbow angles and binding affinities of VRC01gl and VRC01 variants (**Figures 3A, S2C-H**). Nonetheless,  $Y91F_H$  and Q39L<sub>H</sub> and their reversions had an additive effect on VRC01gl and VRC01 stability (**Figure 4A**).

# Conservation, SHM Frequency, and Commonality of the Effects of $39_{\rm H}$ and FWR4<sub>L</sub> Insertion

To understand whether SHMs at  $39_{\rm H}$  and comL affect other antibodies, we first examined the conservation of all positions in germline genes. We observed Q39<sub>H</sub>, Y91<sub>H</sub>, Q38<sub>L</sub>, and Y87<sub>L</sub> to be conserved in many germline V genes of both BCR and T cell receptors across species (**Figures 5A, S5A**), suggesting a common mechanism for stabilizing interdomain interactions of





the immunoglobulin superfamily. Our search of the cAb-Rep database revealed  $39_{\rm H}$  to have a mutation frequency of approximately 4%, with Q39L<sub>H</sub> the most prevalent (**Figure S1F**).

To examine the commonality of the effect of  $Q39_H/Q38_L$  pairs on antibody conformation, we compared the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow angles of antibodies with and without the residue pair. Because lambda light chain is one residue longer than kappa chain (Figure 5B, named 107a<sub>L</sub> according to kappa FWR4), resulting in increased elbow angle flexibility (32), we compared kappa and lambda chain antibodies separately. Overall, we found that both kappa and lambda antibodies with the  $Q39_{H}/Q38_{L}$  pair have a lower V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> torsion angle, consistent with the observation in VRC01gl (Figures 5C, D). However, the HC1, LC1, and LC2 angles of the two isotypes change in different directions (Figures S5B-F), with kappa antibodies showing directions of angle changes consistent with VRC01gl. Both kappa and lambda antibodies with the Q39<sub>H</sub>/Q38<sub>L</sub> pair tend to have lower elbow angles. In summary, the analysis indicated that SHMs at 39<sub>H</sub> and 38<sub>1</sub> broadly modulate conformations of many antibodies, with the conformation change genetic-context dependent.

No insertion is observed in light chain germline J genes. The search of antibody transcripts in the cAb-Rep database revealed a frequency of insertion less than 10<sup>-8</sup> summed over all FWR4<sub>L</sub> positions, suggesting that comL is a rare event that is only observed in the VRC01 lineage. L104V<sub>L</sub> and E105D<sub>L</sub> are common individually (Figure S1I). To further examine whether the epistasis effect between 39<sub>H</sub> and comL is confined to the 'VQ' insertion at 103, we introduced G107a, frequently observed in lambda chain FWR4, to VRC01gl as well as VRC01 with del103<sub>L</sub>. We found that G107aL significantly destabilized VRC01gl and formed aggregation, but was further stabilized by  $Q39L_H$  (Figures 4A, F, S4C). VRC01 with both del103<sub>L</sub> and G107a<sub>L</sub> could not be produced, but was expressed when combined with L39Q<sub>H</sub>. Furthermore, G107aL did not affect the binding affinity of VRC01gl and VRC01 variants (Figure 3A). In summary, the results demonstrated again the remote interaction between V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> interface SHM and FWR4<sub>L</sub> insertions.

# The Frequencies of SHMs at $39_H$ and $38_L$ Are High in HIV-1 nAbs

To further understand the commonality of the effects of  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  SHMs, we analyzed the frequencies of  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  SHMs in anti-HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies and anti-influenza antibodies. We found that the SHM frequencies of  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  are higher in anti-HIV-1 antibodies than in the general antibody repertoire and anti-influenza antibodies (**Figures 6A**, **S6**).

To understand whether the conformational changes of  $39_{\rm H}$  and  $38_{\rm L}$  SHMs can be observed in other HIV-1 and influenza antibodies, we introduced or reverted  $39_{\rm H}$  and  $38_{\rm L}$  substitutions in six selected HIV-1 bnAbs, one HIV-1 bnAb unmutated common ancestor (UCA), and two influenza bnAbs and performed MD simulations. The results revealed that the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> angles of eight antibodies were affected by  $39_{\rm H}$  or  $38_{\rm L}$  mutations (**Figures 6B, C**). The direction of V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> torsion angle changes in the six HIV-1 bnAbs is consistent with that in VRC01gl, except PGT145. For five anti-HIV-1 antibodies and

both anti-influenza antibodies, the elbow angles were also affected (Figure 6C).

We then selected three anti-HIV-1 bnAbs (3BNC131, 8ANC195, and N6) and measured their binding affinity against BG505-SOSIP and thermostability. We found that the three antibodies with  $39_{\rm H}$  or  $38_{\rm L}$  mutations showed no difference in  $K_{\rm D}$  compared to their respective wildtypes (**Figure 6D**). The stability changes in 3BNC131 and N6 were consistent with those observed in VRC01gl and VRC01. However, Q39L<sub>H</sub> did not impair the stability of 8ANC195, suggesting that the effect of Q39L<sub>H</sub> on stability is also context-dependent.

### DISCUSSION

In this study, we established a structural bioinformatics pipeline to investigate the structural basis of the effects of somatic hypermutation. The pipeline was found to be successful at detecting SHM-induced conformational changes, with large conformation changes observed in MD simulation at a long timescale (µs). These conformation changes can be used to understand alterations in binding affinity, stability, flexibility, and other antibody features. With the development of highperformance GPUs, the pipeline paved the way for elucidating mechanisms of SHM effects in a high-throughput way. By using this pipeline, we revealed structural mechanisms of  $V_{H}$ - $V_{L}$  and elbow conformation changes induced by SHMs at positions 39<sub>H</sub>,  $91_{\rm H},\,38_{\rm L},\,and\,87_{\rm L}$  as well as  $\rm FWR4_{\rm L}$  insertions. The stabilization of FWR4<sub>L</sub> insertion by alteration of V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> conformation suggested a remote synergy between V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow conformations. We also found that adjustment of V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> conformation is a strategy frequently used by anti-HIV-1 nAbs for affinity maturation.

In general, FWRs scaffold CDRs and many FWR positions are less tolerant of SHMs than CDR residues, which is one of the reasons that many FWR positions undergo strong purifying selection during affinity maturation (12). The GSSP profiles revealed that FWR positions close to V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow interfaces accumulate less SHMs than CDRs and loops in FWRs. Nonetheless, FWR SHMs are required for affinity maturation of numerous antibodies (16). In this study, we characterized the effects of SHMs involved in an HB-network at the  $V_H$ - $V_L$  interface at positions  $39_H$ ,  $91_H$ ,  $38_L$ , and  $87_L$ . Although the importance of the HB network has been reported previously (33, 34), the structural basis, commonality, and genetic-context dependence of its roles have not been revealed. Through altering V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> orientation, SHMs at the four positions modulate multiple antibody features including binding affinity, stability, flexibility, and aggregation propensity. For individual antibodies, SHMs may be accumulated at these positions for some but not all of these effects. For example, Q38V<sub>L</sub> increases V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> torsion angle which reduces the steric clash between gp120 and anti-HIV-1 antibody CH103 UCA; Q39L<sub>H</sub> also improved the binding affinity of CH103 UCA, but the structural basis was not explored (34). In the current study, we found that CH103 UCA with  $Q39L_H$  contained both  $V_H$ - $V_L$  and



**FIGURE 6** | Somatic hypermutations at  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  are enriched in anti-HIV-1 antibodies. (A) Frequencies of somatic hypermutations at  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  increase in anti-HIV-1 antibodies. HD, healthy donor antibody repertoire. (B) Somatic hypermutations at  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  modulate the  $V_H$ - $V_L$  conformation of bnAbs. (C) Somatic hypermutations at  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  modulate the elbow conformation of HIV-1 and influenza bnAbs. P values less than 0.01 are labeled with two stars. ns, not significant. We use Bonferroni Correction to control false discover rate of multiple test <0.01. (D) Somatic hypermutations at 39H and 38L do not affect the binding affinity of three HIV-1 bnAbs.

elbow orientations more similar to those of matured CH103 characterized in a previous study (19), which could account for the binding affinity improvement. The current study also uncovered that the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> orientation change induced by  $39_{\rm H}$  can improve binding affinity by reducing the dissociation constant  $k_d$  through increasing bASA between antibody and antigen. As a side effect, individual SHMs at  $39_{\rm H}$ ,  $91_{\rm H}$ ,  $38_{\rm L}$ , and  $87_{\rm L}$  destabilized antibodies by 1-3 °C. Furthermore, despite  $39_{\rm H}$  and  $38_{\rm L}$  forward and reverse mutations altered  $V_{\rm H}$ -V<sub>L</sub> orientation of anti-HIV-1 bnAbs (**Figure 6B**), we did not

observe changes in binding affinity. It is possible that these SHMs cooperated with other SHMs for function similar to the observations in VRC01gl. Another possibility is that bnAbs may accumulate SHMs at  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  for stability or other beneficial effects at certain stages of the affinity maturation process. As more SHMs accumulate, the effects of individual  $39_H$  and  $38_L$  mutations may be counteracted in the matured bnAbs. Thus, antibodies with low or no SHM will be ideal for investigating the effect of individual SHMs. We acknowledge that the MD simulation predicted conformation changes induced by  $39_H$ 

and  $38_L$  SHMs were not validated by experimental approaches in this study, but the consistency between the predictions and the PDB dataset analysis suggests that the predictions are reliable.

The dynamics of  $V_{H}-V_{L}$  orientation tend to be interconnected with elbow orientation. Two previous studies revealed that mutations at the elbow interface increase V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> torsion angle through increasing elbow angle (19, 20). The current study showed that V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> interface SHMs can also increase elbow angle. Moreover, as kappa chains are one residue shorter in FWR4<sub>L</sub>, they tend to have a larger energy barrier to adopting elbow orientations with angles greater than 200 degrees than lambda chain (Figure 4). Insertions in FWR4<sub>L</sub> reduced the energy barrier and increased the flexibility of the elbow region, but resulted in destabilization and aggregation of VRC01gl. Nonetheless, we observed that introducing Q39L<sub>H</sub> alleviated the aggregation and improved the stability of VRC01gl, possibly because the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> HB network rigidifies the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> conformation to be incompatible with the large elbow angle. Surprisingly, we also observed that the VRC01 G107aL variant can only be produced in the presence of L39Q<sub>H</sub>, which alters VRC01 antibody conformation. Thus, the alteration of V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and elbow conformations may represent a general way to reduce aggregation propensity. Because the DLS results showed a single peak in the size measurement for VRC01gl with comL and G107a<sub>L</sub>, implying that the two variants form a stable Fab tetramer and dimer respectively. Although the structures of the polymers are unclear, they may not affect the SPR readout because the antibody variants were captured on the SPR chip surface through their constant domain and the paratopes are probably available for antigen-binding. Furthermore, the destabilization effect of FWR4L insertions suggested that its occurrence is limited to certain V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> conformation contexts, which may explain the rarity of indels in FWR4 regions of both heavy and light chains. Above all, there is a remote epistasis between mutations in the V<sub>H</sub>-V<sub>L</sub> and FWR4<sub>L</sub> interfaces. However, further study is required to address whether the destabilization effect is VRC01gl specific.

 $V_{H}-V_{L}$  orientation is essential for maintaining bioactivity when grafting CDRs of animal origins to the human FWR backbone (35, 36). We believe the pipeline established in this study provides an approach to predict  $V_{H}-V_{L}$  orientations. The combination of SHMs at  $39_{H}$ ,  $91_{H}$ ,  $38_{L}$ , and  $87_{L}$  and FWR4<sub>L</sub> insertion provides a new way to alter antibody conformation. This study also highlighted the importance of genetic context for interrogating the effects of SHMs in antibody affinity maturation. In addition, some antibodies require reduced  $V_{H}-V_{L}$  torsion angle and elbow angle for affinity improvement (20), a thorough understanding of the roles of many FWR SHMs, especially frequent SHMs, will help to generate a 'dictionary' for knowledge-based antibody engineering in different settings.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Molecular Dynamics Simulation**

Antibody Fab structures for MD simulation were downloaded from PDB database (PDB IDs: VRC01gl, 4JPK; VRC01, 3NGB; CH103 UCA, 4QHK; PGT145, 3U1S; PGT151, 4NUG; 35O22, 4TOY; 3BNC131, 4RWY; 8ANC195, 4P9M; N6, 5TE7; CR9114, 4FQH; CR6261, 5C0S). We used FoldX (37) to introduce SHMs to antibody Fab structures. Modeller v9.16 with default parameters was used to model antibodies with insertion or deletion (38). For each Fab variant, we used tleap program to add a 10 angstrom (Å) cubic water box to the system, to neutralize the charge, and to generate topology and parameter files for MD simulation. For MD simulations of VRC01gl/eOD-GT6 and VRC01/gp120 complexes, to mimic the effects of N-glycosylation on antibody/antigen complexes, we built a MAN3 structure in tleap and replaced the crystal structure NAGs with MAN3 at N-glycosylation sites of eOD-GT6 and gp120 in PyMOL (39).

Amber18 with the amber14 and GLYCAM\_06j-1 force fields was used for MD simulation (40, 41). We performed 1 $\mu$ s isothermal isobaric MD simulation per run (after 10,000 steps of solution energy minimization, 10,000 steps of whole system energy minimization, 5ns for heating from 0k to 300k, and 10ns of equilibration in the isothermal isovolumetric ensemble) on each Fab variant. 2-5 MD simulation repeats per Fab variant were performed with repeats not converged removed. A master MD script (MD.pl) was written to perform the above steps of MD simulation including energy minimization, heating, NPT and NVT ensembles.

### **MD** Trajectory Analysis

Bio3D in R was used to perform most of the trajectory analyses. For each MD run, snapshots were superimposed to the first snapshot using C $\alpha$  atoms of the heavy and light chain variable domains and root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) was calculated to determine simulation convergence (42). After confirming convergence, snapshots of about 500ns were used for analyzing antibody conformational features. Root-Mean-Square Fluctuations (RMSF) were then calculated for each variable domain residue to examine whether SHMs modulate antibody flexibility. For each snapshot, we quantified the sampled distributions of the torsion and tilting angles and distance between V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>L</sub> using ABangle recompiled in R (43), elbow angle by PyMOL, buried ASA and HB networks between domain interfaces using PISA (44). All statistical analyses in this study were performed in R. A master analysis script (Traj.R) was used to perform the above analyses.

## A Non-Redundant Antibody Structure Dataset

Experimentally determined antibody structures were downloaded from the SAbPred database (29). Gene origin and somatic hypermutation levels of each antibody were retrieved from the IMGT database (45). The elbow angle was calculated in PyMOL. bASA, hydrogen bonds at domain interfaces, and  $V_{H}-V_{L}$  and elbow interface residues were calculated using PISA. We removed antibodies with identical heavy and light chain variable domain sequences using USEARCH (46) to obtain a non-redundant dataset.

# Gene-Specific Substitution Profiles (GSSPs) and Frequency of J Region Indel

The GSSPs for V genes were obtained from the cAb-Rep database (11). We used the mGSSP program to generate GSSPs as well as to identify insertions and deletions for all human J

genes using all curated human antibody transcripts (~306 million) in the cAb-Rep database (12).

# **Plasmid Design and Cloning**

Genes encoding for the heavy ( $V_H & C_H 1$  domains) and light (Kappa or Lambda  $V_L & C_L$  domains) chains of antibody Fabs were cloned in the mammalian expression plasmid pVRC8400. eOD-GT6 was cloned into the mammalian expression plasmid pHL-sec between the AgeI and KpnI sites. VRC01 heavy chain constructs were followed by a C-terminal octa-histidine tag and eOD-GT6 a hexa-histidine tag. The VRC01 light chain constructs had no tags.

# Site-Directed Mutagenesis Using Double-Primer PCR

Fabs mutants were generated using Pfu Ultra II polymerase in a protocol that employed both forward and reverse primers in the same PCR reaction for 18 cycles. The PCR products were denatured, and then reannealed. The non-mutated methylated parental plasmid was digested with DpnI and the remaining plasmids were transformed into E. coli cells. For each transformation, we selected five colonies at random and grew overnight in 5 ml LB + Kanamycin (pVRC8400) medium at 37°C. The plasmids were isolated using Spin miniprep kit (Qiagen, Germany) and sequenced to obtain the desired mutants.

# **Protein Expression and Purification**

Recombinant antibody Fabs were transiently expressed in FreeStyleTM 293F (Invitrogen) suspension cultures by cotransfection of pVRC8400 plasmids containing expression constructs for light chain and Fab heavy chain using polyethyleneimine (Polysciences). Cell growth was harvested on day 6 post transfection. eOD-GT6 was also produced in FreeStyleTM 293F (Invitrogen) suspension cultures by transient transfection using polyethyleneimine (Polysciences) of a pHLSec plasmid containing mammalian codon-optimized eOD-GT6 with a C-terminal Avi and His6x affinity tag. Proteins were harvested from the supernatant after 96 h.

The secreted proteins were purified by using Ni-NTA IMAC Sepharose 6 Fast Flow resin (GE Healthcare) nickel affinity chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a Superdex 200 26/600 (Fabs) or Superdex 75 26/ 600 (eOD) column (GE Healthcare) in 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl SEC buffer. Peak fractions containing Fabs or eOD-GT6 were pooled. Protein purity was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and concentrated where possible to ~10 mg/mL. BG505-SOSIP was requested from the Vaccine Research Center at the National Institute of Health (47).

# **Thermostability Measurements**

Thermostability was measured by nano differential scanning fluorimetry on a Nanotemper Tycho NT. 6 instrument (NanoTemper Technologies) with a back-reflection aggregation detection at a range from 35 to 95°C and with a heating rate of 30°C/min. Protein unfolding was followed by tryptophan and tyrosine fluorescence intensity at 330 and 350 nm. The melting temperature  $(T_m)$  was determined by detecting the maximum of the first derivative of the fluorescence ratios (F350/F330) after fitting experimental data with a polynomial function. Each sample was measured in duplicate or triplicate.

# Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)

SPR binding assays were performed using a Biacore T200 biosensor, equipped with a Series S CM5 chip, at 25°C in a HBS buffer, pH 7.4 (10mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl) supplemented with 0.1 mg/mL BSA and 0.005% (v/v) Tween-20.

For experiments involving the eOD-GT6 antigen, Fabs were captured to the chip surface using a human anti-Fab antibody (Human Fab Capture Kit, Cytiva), which was immobilized over all four flow cells of a chip using amine-coupling chemistry. Three different Fabs were captured over independent flow cells at 5-10 µg/mL at a capture level of approximately 400 RU. A surface without captured Fab served as a reference control. eOD-GT6 antigen was prepared in running buffer using a three-fold dilution series at six concentrations ranging from 2-486 nM, which were injected over all four flow cells simultaneously to increase concentration, using a 150s association time and 600s dissociation time at 50µL/min. At the end of each cycle the anti-Fab surface was regenerated using two consecutive 10s injections of 10 mM H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> at 100µL/min, removing any Fab/eOD-GT6 bound complex, so that Fab can be re-captured in the next cycle. Buffer cycles instead of antigen samples were incorporated every two binding cycles to double reference the binding responses. Each concentration series was tested in triplicate.

BG505-SOSIP was tethered to the chip surface using the antibody 2G12 (NIH AIDS Reagent Program), which was immobilized over two flow cells of a Series S CM5 chip using amine-coupling chemistry. At the beginning of each cycle, BG505-SOSIP was captured over a single flow cell only, at 15ug/mL resulting in captures of approximately 400 RU, with the second flow cell used as a reference control. Fabs were used as the analyte at five concentrations ranging from 2.22-180 nM, with the exception of 8ANC195 and 8ANC195\_Q39LH, which were tested at a 9-fold higher concentration range from 20-1620nM, to account for the slower association rate of the bound complex. Fab concentrations were prepared in running buffer using a threefold dilution series and injected to increase concentration at 50µL/ min for 150s association time and 900s dissociation time. 3BNC131 and 3BNC131\_Q39LH, used dissociation times of 600s, and N6 and N6 Q39LH, used dissociation times of 2400s respectively to account for either a faster or a slower dissociating complex. The 2G12 surface was regenerated using a 10s pulse of 3M MgCl<sub>2</sub> at 100µL/min, removing any bound BG505-SOSIP/Fab complex. Buffer cycles instead of antigen samples were incorporated every two binding cycles to double reference the binding responses. Each of concentration series was tested in triplicate.

Binding data were processed and fit to a simple 1:1 interaction model using the Scrubber 2.0 (BioLogic Software). The number in brackets reported with all kinetic parameters represents the error of the fit.

### **Dynamic Light Scattering**

The sizes of antibody Fabs purified with the nickel affinity chromatography were measured using Malvern Nano-ZS with a 173° detection angle at 20°C. Zetasizer v7.13 was used to calculate the size of each Fab.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The computational codes used in this study are available at https:// github.com/shengzizhang/Antibody\_MD.git. The anti-HIV-1 and anti-influenza antibodies were downloaded from the HIV molecular immunology database (http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/ immunology) and RAPID database respectively (48). Antibody repertoire dataset was obtained from the cAb-Rep database (11).

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ZS and LS designed the research. ZS wrote the MD simulation pipeline. ZS, YCG, YZG, and HZ analyzed data. JB and SP

# REFERENCES

- Victora GD, Nussenzweig MC. Germinal Centers. Annu Rev Immunol (2012) 30:429–57. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-075032
- Muramatsu M, Kinoshita K, Fagarasan S, Yamada S, Shinkai Y, Honjo T. Class Switch Recombination and Hypermutation Require Activation-Induced Cytidine Deaminase (AID), A Potential RNA Editing Enzyme. *Cell* (2000) 102 (5):553–63. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00078-7
- Wood GS. The Immunohistology of Lymph Nodes in HIV Infection: A Review. Prog AIDS Pathol (1990) 2:25–32.
- Cauerhff A, Goldbaum FA, Braden BC. Structural Mechanism for Affinity Maturation of an Anti-Lysozyme Antibody. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2004) 101(10):3539–44. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0400060101
- Finlay WJ, Cunningham O, Lambert MA, Darmanin-Sheehan A, Liu XM, Fennell BJ, et al. Affinity Maturation of a Humanized Rat Antibody for Anti-RAGE Therapy: Comprehensive Mutagenesis Reveals a High Level of Mutational Plasticity Both Inside and Outside the Complementarity-Determining Regions. J Mol Biol (2009) 388(3):541–58. doi: 10.1016/ j.jmb.2009.03.019
- Wang F, Sen S, Zhang Y, Ahmad I, Zhu X, Wilson IA, et al. Somatic Hypermutation Maintains Antibody Thermodynamic Stability During Affinity Maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2013) 110(11):4261-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1301810110
- Dimitrov JD, Kaveri SV, Lacroix-Desmazes S. Thermodynamic Stability Contributes to Immunoglobulin Specificity. *Trends Biochem Sci* (2014) 39 (5):221–6. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2014.02.010
- Manivel V, Sahoo NC, Salunke DM, Rao KVS. Maturation of an Antibody Response Is Governed by Modulations in Flexibility of the Antigen-Combining Site. *Immunity* (2000) 13(5):611–20. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613 (00)00061-3
- Yin J, Beuscher A, Andryski SE, Stevens RC, Schultz PG. Structural Plasticity and the Evolution of Antibody Affinity and Specificity. J Mol Biol (2003) 330 (4):651–6. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(03)00631-4
- James LC, Roversi P, Tawfik DS. Antibody Multispecificity Mediated by Conformational Diversity. *Science* (2003) 299(5611):1362–7. doi: 10.1126/ science.1079731
- Guo Y, Chen K, Kwong PD, Shapiro L, Sheng Z. cAb-Rep: A Database of Curated Antibody Repertoires for Exploring Antibody Diversity and Predicting Antibody Prevalence. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:2365. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02365

produced the antibody and antigens and performed melting temperature analysis. ZS and JB performed Dynamics Light Scattering analysis. PSK and LS performed SPR measurement. PDK provided reagents. LS and ZS wrote the paper. All authors reviewed, commented on, and approved the manuscript.

## **FUNDING**

Support for this work was provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) grant R21 IR21AI138024-01A1 and the startup fund UR010655/70003/ ZS2248 to ZS; support was also provided by the Intramural Research Program of the Vaccine Research Center, NIAID, NIH.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2021. 811632/full#supplementary-material

- Sheng Z, Schramm CA, Kong R, Program NCS, Mullikin JC, Mascola JR, et al. Gene-Specific Substitution Profiles Describe the Types and Frequencies of Amino Acid Changes During Antibody Somatic Hypermutation. Front Immunol (2017) 8:537. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00537
- Bonsignori M, Zhou T, Sheng Z, Chen L, Gao F, Joyce MG, et al. Maturation Pathway From Germline to Broad HIV-1 Neutralizer of a CD4-Mimic Antibody. *Cell* (2016) 165(2):449–63. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.022
- Chothia C, Lesk AM. Canonical Structures for the Hypervariable Regions of Immunoglobulins. J Mol Biol (1987) 196(4):901–17. doi: 10.1016/0022-2836 (87)90412-8
- Ovchinnikov V, Louveau JE, Barton JP, Karplus M, Chakraborty AK. Role of Framework Mutations and Antibody Flexibility in the Evolution of Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies. *eLife* (2018) 7. doi: 10.7554/eLife.33038
- Klein F, Diskin R, Scheid JF, Gaebler C, Mouquet H, Georgiev IS, et al. Somatic Mutations of the Immunoglobulin Framework Are Generally Required for Broad and Potent HIV-1 Neutralization. *Cell* (2013) 153 (1):126–38. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.018
- Simon T, Rajewsky K. A Functional Antibody Mutant With an Insertion in the Framework Region 3 Loop of the VH Domain: Implications for Antibody Engineering. *Protein Eng* (1992) 5(3):229–34. doi: 10.1093/protein/5.3.229
- Burnett DL, Schofield P, Langley DB, Jackson J, Bourne K, Wilson E, et al. Conformational Diversity Facilitates Antibody Mutation Trajectories and Discrimination Between Foreign and Self-Antigens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2020) 117(36):22341–50. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2005102117
- Henderson R, Watts BE, Ergin HN, Anasti K, Parks R, Xia SM, et al. Selection of Immunoglobulin Elbow Region Mutations Impacts Interdomain Conformational Flexibility in HIV-1 Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies. *Nat Commun* (2019) 10(1):654. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08415-7
- Koenig P, Lee CV, Walters BT, Janakiraman V, Stinson J, Patapoff TW, et al. Mutational Landscape of Antibody Variable Domains Reveals a Switch Modulating the Interdomain Conformational Dynamics and Antigen Binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2017) 114(4):E486–95. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1613231114
- Abhinandan KR, Martin AC. Analysis and Prediction of VH/VL Packing in Antibodies. *Protein Eng Des Sel* (2010) 23(9):689–97. doi: 10.1093/protein/ gzq043
- Nakanishi T, Tsumoto K, Yokota A, Kondo H, Kumagai I. Critical Contribution of VH-VL Interaction to Reshaping of an Antibody: The Case of Humanization of Anti-Lysozyme Antibody, HyHEL-10. *Protein Sci* (2008) 17(2):261–70. doi: 10.1110/ps.073156708

- Fernandez-Quintero ML, Kroell KB, Bacher LM, Loeffler JR, Quoika PK, Georges G, et al. Germline-Dependent Antibody Paratope States and Pairing Specific VH-VL Interface Dynamics. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:675655. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.675655
- Wu TT, Kabat EA. An Analysis of the Sequences of the Variable Regions of Bence Jones Proteins and Myeloma Light Chains and Their Implications for Antibody Complementarity. J Exp Med (1970) 132(2):211–50. doi: 10.1084/ jem.132.2.211
- Schmidt AG, Xu H, Khan AR, O'Donnell T, Khurana S, King LR, et al. Preconfiguration of the Antigen-Binding Site During Affinity Maturation of a Broadly Neutralizing Influenza Virus Antibody. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2013) 110(1):264–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1218256109
- 26. Xu HF, Schmidt AG, O'Donnell T, Therkelsen MD, Kepler TB, Moody MA, et al. Key Mutations Stabilize Antigen-Binding Conformation During Affinity Maturation of a Broadly Neutralizing Influenza Antibody Lineage. *Proteins Structure Funct Bioinf* (2015) 83(4):771–80. doi: 10.1002/prot.24745
- Margreitter C, Mayrhofer P, Kunert R, Oostenbrink C. Antibody Humanization by Molecular Dynamics Simulations-in-Silico Guided Selection of Critical Backmutations. J Mol Recognit (2016) 29(6):266–75. doi: 10.1002/jmr.2527
- Paci E, Caflisch A, Pluckthun A, Karplus M. Forces and Energetics of Hapten-Antibody Dissociation: A Biased Molecular Dynamics Simulation Study. J Mol Biol (2001) 314(3):589–605. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2001.5103
- Dunbar J, Krawczyk K, Leem J, Baker T, Fuchs A, Georges G, et al. SAbDab: The Structural Antibody Database. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2014) 42(Database issue):D1140-6. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1043
- Jardine JG, Kulp DW, Havenar-Daughton C, Sarkar A, Briney B, Sok D, et al. HIV-1 Broadly Neutralizing Antibody Precursor B Cells Revealed by Germline-Targeting Immunogen. *Science* (2016) 351(6280):1458–63. doi: 10.1126/science.aad9195
- Jardine JG, Ota T, Sok D, Pauthner M, Kulp DW, Kalyuzhniy O, et al. Priming a Broadly Neutralizing Antibody Response to HIV-1 Using a Germline-Targeting Immunogen. *Science* (2015) 349(6244):156–61. doi: 10.1126/ science.aac5894
- Stanfield RL, Zemla A, Wilson IA, Rupp B. Antibody Elbow Angles Are Influenced by Their Light Chain Class. J Mol Biol (2006) 357(5):1566–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2006.01.023
- 33. Masuda K, Sakamoto K, Kojima M, Aburatani T, Ueda T, Ueda H. The Role of Interface Framework Residues in Determining Antibody V(H)/V(L) Interaction Strength and Antigen-Binding Affinity. FEBS J (2006) 273 (10):2184–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05232.x
- 34. Zhou JO, Zaidi HA, Ton T, Fera D. The Effects of Framework Mutations at the Variable Domain Interface on Antibody Affinity Maturation in an HIV-1 Broadly Neutralizing Antibody Lineage. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:1529. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01529
- Bujotzek A, Lipsmeier F, Harris SF, Benz J, Kuglstatter A, Georges G. VH-VL Orientation Prediction for Antibody Humanization Candidate Selection: A Case Study. *mAbs* (2016) 8(2):288–305. doi: 10.1080/19420862.2015.1117720
- 36. Lord DM, Bird JJ, Honey DM, Best A, Park A, Wei RR, et al. Structure-Based Engineering to Restore High Affinity Binding of an Isoform-Selective Anti-TGFbeta1 Antibody. *mAbs* (2018) 10(3):444–52. doi: 10.1080/19420862. 2018.1426421
- Guerois R, Nielsen JE, Serrano L. Predicting Changes in the Stability of Proteins and Protein Complexes: A Study of More Than 1000 Mutations. J Mol Biol (2002) 320(2):369–87. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(02)00442-4

- Eswar N, Webb B, Marti-Renom MA, Madhusudhan MS, Eramian D, Shen MY, et al. Comparative Protein Structure Modeling Using MODELLER. *Curr Protoc Protein Sci* (2007) Chapter 2:Unit 2 9. doi: 10.1002/0471140864. ps0209s50
- Schrodinger LLC. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8. New York: Schrodinger LLC (2015).
- Case DA, Cheatham TE, Darden T, Gohlke H, Luo R, Merz KM, et al. The Amber Biomolecular Simulation Programs. J Comput Chem (2005) 26 (16):1668–88. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20290
- Singh A, Tessier MB, Pederson K, Wang X, Venot AP, Boons GJ, et al. Extension and Validation of the GLYCAM Force Field Parameters for Modeling Glycosaminoglycans. *Can J Chem* (2016) 94(11):927–35. doi: 10.1139/cjc-2015-0606
- Grossfield A, Zuckerman DM. Quantifying Uncertainty and Sampling Quality in Biomolecular Simulations. *Annu Rep Comput Chem* (2009) 5:23–48. doi: 10.1016/S1574-1400(09)00502-7
- Dunbar J, Fuchs A, Shi J, Deane CM. ABangle: Characterising the VH-VL Orientation in Antibodies. *Protein Eng Des Sel* (2013) 26(10):611–20. doi: 10.1093/protein/gzt020
- 44. Krissinel E, Henrick K. Inference of Macromolecular Assemblies From Crystalline State. J Mol Biol (2007) 372(3):774–97. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb. 2007.05.022
- Lefranc MP, Giudicelli V, Ginestoux C, Jabado-Michaloud J, Folch G, Bellahcene F, et al. IMGT, the International ImMunoGeneTics Information System. Nucleic Acids Res (2009) 37(Database issue):D1006–12. doi: 10.1093/ nar/gkn838
- Edgar RC. Search and Clustering Orders of Magnitude Faster Than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* (2010) 26(19):2460-1. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btq461
- 47. Gulla K, Cibelli N, Cooper JW, Fuller HC, Schneiderman Z, Witter S, et al. A Non-Affinity Purification Process for GMP Production of Prefusion-Closed HIV-1 Envelope Trimers From Clades A and C for Clinical Evaluation. *Vaccine* (2021) 39(25):3379–87. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine. 2021.04.063
- Zhang Y, Chen T, Zeng H, Yang X, Xu Q, Zhang Y, et al. RAPID: A Rep-Seq Dataset Analysis Platform With an Integrated Antibody Database. Front Immunol (2021) 12:717496. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.717496

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Sheng, Bimela, Katsamba, Patel, Guo, Zhao, Guo, Kwong and Shapiro. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.