BMJ Global Health

Early infant growth impairment in the setting of frequent exclusive breast feeding: considering therapeutic options

Victoria Nankabirwa,¹ Valerie J Flaherman,² Raimundo Co,³ Amy Sarah Ginsburg ^(a),⁴ Augusto Braima de Sa³

To cite: Nankabirwa V, Flaherman VJ, Co R, *et al.* Early infant growth impairment in the setting of frequent exclusive breast feeding: considering therapeutic options. *BMJ Global Health* 2022;**7**:e010118. doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2022-010118

Received 11 July 2022 Accepted 28 July 2022



http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjgh-2022-009181

http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjgh-2022-010227

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

 ¹School of Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda
²Pediatrics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA
³International Partnership for Human Development, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau
⁴Clinical Trials Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

Correspondence to Dr Valerie J Flaherman; valerie.flaherman@ucsf.edu Early, frequent exclusive breast feeding (EBF) has many important health benefits for infants through 6 months of age. Overall, EBF promotes optimal infant growth, critically important in low-income and middle-income countries (LMIC) where more than a third of young children suffer from growth impairment causing high risk of morbidity and mortality.¹ Such growth deficits often begin during the first 6 months of life,² and thus, the benefits of EBF can have a large population impact.³

Best practices for EBF are vitally important and should be a cornerstone of public health programs. Unfortunately, even in settings of best practices for EBF, early infant growth impairment can occur. For example, in separate randomised controlled trials in Guinea-Bissau and in Uganda, Burkina Faso and South Africa, implementation of best practices to support EBF did not improve infant growth or reduce the prevalence of early impairment in Guinea-Bissau or Uganda.^{4 5} Since best practices to support EBF did not reduce the prevalence of infant growth impairment in these locations, additional strategies are being investigated.

To identify a strategy that has the potential to reduce the prevalence of infant growth impairment in locations where best practices to support EBF did not, our study team conducted initial stakeholder visits and qualitative data collection in Guinea-Bissau, Nepal, Pakistan and Uganda, including focus groups and key informant interviews to explore local attitudes and experiences related to newborn feeding. Both in Guinea-Bissau and Uganda, there was a high degree of local concern regarding failure to thrive among some EBF infants and high interest in developing therapeutic options. Observational data in these locations showed that the risk of being underweight at 30 days of age was increased 6-fold

among infants who were low birth weight and 10-fold among those who weighed<2600 g on the 4th day after birth.⁶

Based on this qualitative and observational data as well as on stakeholder feedback, the Preventing Infant Malnutrition with Early Supplementation (PRIMES) pilot randomised controlled trial was designed to test the efficacy of supplementing early breast feeding with a small daily volume of formula to improve growth for breastfeeding infants identified as at-risk due to risk factors demonstrated by the initial observational work.⁷ The trial's initial design was modified based on feedback from ethical committees overseeing the study, including the Makerere University School of Public Health Research and Ethics Committee, the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology, the Guinea-Bissau Committee of Health and Ethics and the Institutional Review Board of the University of California San Francisco. PRIMES has been monitored by a Data Safety and Monitoring Board consisting of physicians, scientists and epidemiologists from Africa, Europe and the USA.

A recent commentary critical of PRIMES argued that studying any supplementation of breast feeding with formula is unethical.⁸ Respectfully, we disagree with this opinion and believe that studying supplementation of breast feeding with formula as a therapeutic option is ethically necessary when equipoise exists regarding a specific population's growth not being optimally supported by EBF. We strongly advocate for the opportunity for academic discourse.

However, of concern, this same commentary implied that the regulatory and ethical approvals granted in Guinea-Bissau and Uganda were influenced by the availability of research funds for study activities. This implication is particularly distressing because all

BMJ Global Health

regulatory authorities and ethical committees approving and monitoring PRIMES have been highly engaged and active in evaluating the study and modifying its design to ensure appropriateness for local context. We find problematic the commentary authors' statements denigrating these oversight groups for their differing perspectives and scientific opinions. Local conditions may and should influence regulatory and ethical bodies in LMIC.

Equity is fundamental to ethical global health research, and our team will continue to strive for this goal through local stakeholder engagement, rigorous data collection, compliance with all regulatory and ethical requirements, and evidence-based evaluation, while ensuring scientific merit, integrity and respect for those we serve. We welcome criticism, suggestions for improvement and alternative ideas. At the same time, unnecessary polarisation runs the risk of repressing legitimate scientific inquiry, undermining progress and contributing to the ever-increasing inequity between those who are able to achieve adequate nutrition and those who cannot. In the end, vulnerable mothers and their at-risk infants suffer. We hope all who care for small and at-risk infants can join together to work to improve infant nutrition, growth and health worldwide.

Contributors All authors conceptualised this work, contributed to the first draft of the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Funding This study was funded by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1203042).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement There are no data in this work.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Amy Sarah Ginsburg http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2291-2276

REFERENCES

- UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Group. Joint child malnutrition estimates. Available: http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/2018-jme-brochure.pdf? ua=1
- 2 Kerac M, Blencowe H, Grijalva-Eternod C, et al. Prevalence of wasting among under 6-Month-Old infants in developing countries and implications of new case definitions using who growth standards: a secondary data analysis. Arch Dis Child 2011;96:1008–13.
- 3 Victora CG, Christian P, Vidaletti LP, et al. Revisiting maternal and child undernutrition in low-income and middle-income countries: variable progress towards an unfinished agenda. *Lancet* 2021;397:1388–99.
- 4 Engebretsen IMS, Jackson D, Fadnes LT, et al. Growth effects of exclusive breastfeeding promotion by peer counsellors in sub-Saharan Africa: the cluster-randomised promise EBF trial. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:633.
- 5 Jakobsen MS, Sodemann M, Biai S, *et al.* Promotion of exclusive breastfeeding is not likely to be cost effective in West Africa. A randomized intervention study from Guinea-Bissau. *Acta Paediatr* 2008;97:68–75.
- 6 Flaherman VJ, Ginsburg AS, Nankabirwa V, et al. Newborn weight change and predictors of underweight in the neonatal period in Guinea-Bissau, Nepal, Pakistan and Uganda. *Matern Child Nutr* 2022:e13396.
- 7 Ginsburg AS, Braima de Sa A, Nankabirwa V, et al. Randomized controlled trial of early, small-volume formula supplementation among newborns: a study protocol. PLoS One 2022;17:e0263129.
- 8 Doherty T, Engebretsen IMS, Tylleskär T, et al. Questioning the ethics of international research on formula milk supplementation in low-income African countries. *BMJ Glob Health* 2022;7:e009181.