Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.jorthodsci.org

DOI:

10.4103/jos.jos 110 22

Assessment of the psychological impact of dental aesthetics among undergraduate university students in Iraq

Osama Mohammed Ghazi, Ali Hamzah Alhashimi¹ and Ghassan Majid Jasim

Abstract

AIM: This study aimed to assess Iraqi university students' oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) according to sociodemographic variables and compare dental and non-dental students.

METHODS: A cross-sectional study was carried out for students in multiple Iraqi universities from June 15, 2022, to July 15, 2022. A total of 771 individuals participated in the study using an online questionnaire. A pre-tested and validated Arabic version of the Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetics Questionnaire (PIDAQ) was adopted as an evaluation tool. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's alpha.

RESULT: Cronbach's alpha score for the overall scales was 0.942, indicating excellent internal consistency. There were 69.8% (n = 538) dental students in the total sample. A significant difference was found between dental and non-dental students in the total PIDAQ scores and other subscale domains (P < 0.05). Statistically significant differences in means were also noted in the residency (P = 0.005) and household income of students (P = 0.000).

CONCLUSIONS: This study shows the reliability of the PIDAQ scale for assessing the psychological impact of dental aesthetics on undergraduate Iraqis. It was found that the perception of OHRQoL varies between dental and non-dental university students, and according to socioeconomic status and residency.

Keywords:

Aesthetics, dental students, oral health-related quality of life, questionnaires and surveys

Introduction

Dental appearance is a significant factor in facial attractiveness and can affect a person's first impression of someone's characteristics. Any condition affecting dental appearance may be a potential source of stigma, impede professional accomplishment, create unfavorable preconceptions, and lower self-esteem. Well-known rules in developed countries about the appearance of the face and

teeth do not copiously differ, and severe deviations are defined as improper. [1,2]

Even minor deviations from community appearance norms result in a lack of confidence and the perception that others are "superior" among undergraduates. This negatively impacts their quality of life (QoL). Self-confidence is essential in a person's life to establish a solid professional reputation. QoL instruments have been created to address the increased understanding of the multidimensional nature of oral health and compensate for the shortcomings of traditional techniques. Several tools for measuring

How to cite this article: Ghazi OM, Alhashimi AH, Jasim GM. Assessment of the psychological impact of dental aesthetics among undergraduate university students in Iraq. J Orthodont Sci 2023;12:34.

Department of Conservative Therapy, College of Dentistry, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al Diwaniyah, ¹Department of Dentistry, Al-Mustaqbal University College, Babylon, Iraq

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Ghassan Majid Jasim,
Department of
Conservative Therapy,
College of Dentistry,
University of Al-Qadisiyah,
Al Diwaniyah, 58002, Iraq.
E-mail: ghassan.jasim@
qu.edu.iq

Submitted: 06-Nov-2022 Revised: 17-Dec-2022 Accepted: 03-Jan-2023 Published: 28-Apr-2023 This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL) are now being utilized to assess patients' reactions, functioning, and oral condition acceptance.^[4]

The Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetics Questionnaire (PIDAQ) was established as an OHRQoL psychometric measure to examine the impact of dental aesthetics on the self-confidence of young adult orthodontic patients. This questionnaire was divided into four sections: dental self-confidence (DSC), social impact (SI), psychological impact (PI), and aesthetic concern (AC). Worldwide reviews have shown that the questionnaire has a good test quality in adults regardless of their social background.^[5]

"Dental self-confidence" proposes that dental aesthetics substantially impact an individual's emotional condition. "Social impact" assesses the potential challenges that an individual may have in social circumstances due to an unpleasant dental look, whereas "psychological impact" measures feelings of unhappiness or inferiority in contrast to others. "Aesthetic concern" refers to information associated with disapproval generated by one's dental appearance when looking in the mirror or seeing oneself in images or films. [6]

Meanwhile, the PIDAQ, like most questionnaires, was created in English. It must be appropriately translated and culturally and socially adjusted to be used in non-English-speaking nations without losing its psychometric features. After translation into many languages, including Arabic, PIDAQ has been validated for use in adults. In addition, the original adult form of the questionnaire has been authorized for younger age groups.^[7,8]

Dental students have a good understanding of the differences between dental professionals' and laypeople's perspectives on dental aesthetics; this knowledge and awareness will help them develop treatment plans, which are both effective and meet their needs and expectations. To our knowledge, no previous study has compared dental and other university students' awareness of their dental appearance in Iraq. This study aims to assess the OHRQoL of university students in Iraq according to sociodemographic variables and to compare dental and non-dental students.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study design was carried out for students in multiple Iraqi universities from June 15, 2022, to July 15, 2022. A total of 771 individuals participated in the study. The study sample was randomly collected through an online questionnaire using an Arabic version of the Google form through different social

media platforms (Facebook, WhatsApp, Telegram, LinkedIn, and others). Participants in the survey were informed of the voluntary nature of their participation, the confidentiality of their responses, and their right to withdraw from the study at any time and without explanation. They received no compensation for their efforts. Ethical approval was granted by the Research Ethics Committee (EA#153 on 7/6/2022).

A pre-tested and validated Arabic version of the PIDAQ was used as an evaluation tool [Appendix A]. [9] It is a 23-item questionnaire-based psychometric scale. Four subscales make up the original version. There is one positive domain, dental self-confidence (six items), and three negative domains: social impact (eight items), psychological impact (six items), and aesthetic concern (three items). Each item was evaluated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 2 (a little), 3 (somewhat), 4 (strongly), and 5 (very strongly). A score of 1 indicates that the item does not affect the QoL, whereas a score of 5 indicates that the item significantly affects the QoL. [5]

To produce a consistent measurement of impact and facilitate the interpretation of the results, scores from the positive domain were reversed to align with scores from other negative domains. The total score for each domain was calculated by adding the scores of each question within that domain, and the total PIDAQ score was calculated by adding the totals of the four domains.

The sociodemographic questionnaire contained questions about age, gender, college and level of study, income, marital status, smoking habits, and residency.

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was conducted to check the normality of variables, and nonparametric tests, including the Mann–Whitney U-test, Kruskal–Wallis test, and Spearman's Rho test, were used to draw a meaningful conclusion because the data were not normally distributed. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's alpha.

Results

A total of 771 questionnaire respondents participated in the study. There were 69.8% (n = 538) dental students. The range and average ages of respondents were 18–25 and 20.8 ± 1.64 years, and the means \pm SDs for the total PIDAQ score, dental self-confidence, social impact, psychological impact, and aesthetic concern were 56.6 ± 20.1 , 17.8 ± 6.6 , 17.7 ± 8.4 , 14.5 ± 6.2 , and 6.5 ± 3.7 , respectively [Table 1].

There was no correlation between the age of participants with the total PIDAQ or its subscale scores (P > 0.05). In relation to gender, there were 72.1% (n = 556) female participants. Most respondents (n = 315, 40.9%) were in their second academic year. Sixth-year students responded in the lowest numbers (n = 5; 0.6%). Based on marital status, most respondents were single, while only 3.9% (n = 30) were married. Overall, 7.8% (n = 60) were smokers. Approximately 32.6% of the participants in this questionnaire have previously visited a dental clinic. Rural residents made up 17.1% (n = 132) of the total respondents compared to 82.9% (n = 639) living in urban areas. Cronbach's alpha score for the overall scales was 0.942, the standardized Cronbach's alpha was 0.943, and the values of Cronbach's alpha if an item was deleted ranged from 0.942 to 0.938 [Table 2], indicating excellent internal consistency.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for the study variables

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age	18	25	20.8	1.64
Dental self-confidence domain	6.00	30.00	17.86	6.62
Social impact domain	8.00	40.00	17.75	8.46
psychological impact domain	6.00	30.00	14.54	6.23
Aesthetic concern domain	3.00	15.00	6.50	3.76
Total PIDAQ score	23.00	115.00	56.67	20.1

Table 3 compares PIDAQ means and subscales according to the sociodemographic variables of the sample, and demonstrates a difference between dental students and non-dental students in the means of total PIDAQ scores and other domains, with significant differences found regarding psychological impact (P = 0.013), aesthetic concern (P = 0.029), and total PIDAQ score (P = 0.012). In relation to gender, except for social impact, female participants had lower mean values than male participants, with a significant difference recorded in psychological impact (P = 0.018).

Married students showed a notable difference in unmarried students in the dental self-confidence domain, with a P value of 0.05. Although the number of smokers was low in this study, the difference was notable among non-smokers in the social impact domain (P = 0.01). Living in rural areas appeared to substantially impact nearly all the domains, with mean values higher than those in urban areas in the total PIDAQ scores, indicating a significant difference (P = 0.005).

Responses differed significantly across the three categories of monthly income. Those with the highest income level group responded with the lowest mean values, and significant differences were recorded in three of the four domains (social impact, psychological impact, and aesthetic concern) and total PIDAQ scores, with a *P* value of (0.000) for all.

Table 2: Reliability statistics for PIDAQ scale and α when item deleted

	Scale mean if item deleted	Scale variance if item deleted	Corrected item-total correlation	Squared multiple correlation	Cronbach's alpha if item deleted
Satisfied with appearance*	53.76	378.17	0.50	0.64	0.94
Proud of teeth*	53.67	380.97	0.44	0.65	0.94
Like to show teeth*	53.77	376.94	0.46	0.67	0.94
Pleased to see teeth in mirror*	53.80	377.43	0.48	0.70	0.94
Teeth are attractive*	53.36	382.97	0.38	0.61	0.94
Find tooth position nice*	53.80	382.00	0.38	0.50	0.94
Hold back when I smile	54.37	367.10	0.68	0.57	0.93
What others think	54.53	369.66	0.67	0.71	0.93
Offensive remarks	54.40	366.49	0.70	0.71	0.93
Hide my teeth	54.43	364.39	0.73	0.64	0.93
Inhibited in social contacts	54.82	370.96	0.69	0.68	0.93
People stare	54.29	379.08	0.47	0.46	0.94
Irritated on remarks	54.27	368.32	0.63	0.56	0.94
Worry about opposite sex	54.52	365.88	0.72	0.69	0.93
Envy	54.54	374.48	0.55	0.50	0.94
Somewhat distressed	54.65	368.86	0.70	0.68	0.93
Others have nicer teeth	54.36	368.64	0.69	0.55	0.93
Somewhat unhappy	54.30	364.86	0.76	0.70	0.93
Feel bad	54.47	362.36	0.82	0.77	0.93
Wish teeth looked better	53.16	372.57	0.55	0.36	0.94
Don't like teeth in mirror	54.65	364.72	0.79	0.74	0.93
Don't like teeth in photo	54.43	361.32	0.79	0.82	0.93
Don't like teeth on video	54.43	360.76	0.78	0.81	0.93

The independent variables (dental education, monthly income, and residency) had a significant relationship (p = 0.032, p 0.001, and p = 0.021, respectively) with total PIDAQ scores, as determined by linear regression analysis [Table 4].

Discussion

Individuals must have admirable facial characteristics to establish their aesthetic impression and respect physical attractiveness. The most noticeable aspects of a person's face are their eyes and smile. A person's self-perceived picture of dental aesthetics might affect their self-esteem, satisfaction, life quality, psychological state, and social relationships. Furthermore, analyzing a patient's dental aesthetic insight is critical for dentists to appreciate their requirements and manage their treatment expectations.[10] In this study, it is worth noting that female participants were more satisfied with their dental appearance than male participants. The opposite was expected, keeping in mind that women, regardless of age, are generally more concerned with their dental aesthetics, as previous studies have found.[11-13]

On the other hand, male participants are less demanding in appearance and performance due to the nature of their social life.[14] In contrast, an earlier study discovered that men valued dental aesthetics more than women.[15] In their study, El Mourad et al. reported that females try to cover their mouths with their hands and prefer to be photographed from the most attractive side of their faces.[16] Total PIDAQ scores, as this study has revealed, showed no significant difference between the genders. Other researchers in different age groups reached similar conclusions. [2,17-20] Researchers attributed these disparities in the literature to cultural changes and the impact of marketing, which made men as obsessed with their beauty and physical appearance as women. In addition, differences in participants' age, study techniques, and ethnic variations across the examined populations also played a role.[21,22]

According to our study, dental students appear happier than students from other colleges, who seem dissatisfied with their dental appearance when confronted with mirrors, images, and videos. A significant difference was recorded in relation to total PIDAQ scores with a *P* value of 0.012. A prior study found that dental

Table 3: Comparison between PIDAQ and its subscales according to the sociodemographic variables

	able eristics	n (%)	Social impact (Mean±SD)	P	Self-confidence (Mean±SD)	P	Psychological impact (Mean±SD)	P	Aesthetic concern (Mean±SD)	P	PIDAQ (Mean±SD)	P
College	Dentistry	538 (69.8)	17.4±8.3	0.212	17.5±6.6	0.073	14.1±6	0.013	6.3±3.7	0.029	55.6±20	0.012
	Others	233 (30.2)	18.4±8.7		18.5±6.4		15.4±6.4		6.8±3.7		59.1±20	
Gender	Male	215 (27.9)	17.7±8.7	0.887	18.0±6.4	0.569	15.3±6.4	0.018	6.8±3.8	0.116	58.0±19.7	0.216
	Female	556 (72.1)	17.7±8.3		17.8±6.7		14.2±6.1		6.3±3.7		56.1±20.2	
Marital	Single	741 (96.1)	17.7±8.4	0.890	17.9±6.6	0.05	14.5±6.2	0.860	6.5±3.7	0.735	56.7±20.1	0.519
status	Married	30 (3.9)	18.2±9		15.7±7		13.9±5.3		6.1±3.3		53.9±20	
Smoking	NO	711 (92.2)	17.9±8.5	0.009	17.8±6.6	0.644	14.6±6.2	0.215	6.5±3.7	0.082	57.0±20.1	0.080
	Yes	60 (7.8)	15.2±7.5		18.1±6.6		13.4±5.5		5.7±3.3		52.6±18.8	
Residency	Urban	639 (82.9)	17.3±8.2	0.002	17.8±6.6	0.799	14.2±6.1	0.002	6.3±3.6	0.009	55.7±19.7	0.005
	Rural	132 (17.1)	19.9±9.0		18.0±6.5		16.0±6.5		7.3±4.0		61.3±21.0	
Levels of	1st year	124 (16.1)	17.7±8.0	0.227	18.4±6.4	0.257	14.6±6.1	0.020	6.6±3.8	0.309	57.4±19.7	0.067
study ^a	2 nd year	315 (40.9)	18.6±8.8		18.0±6.5		15.4±6.4		6.7±3.8		58.9±20.7	
	3 rd year	159 (20.6)	17.0±8.2		18.0±6.6		13.6±5.7		6.3±3.6		55.0±19.2	
	4 th year	101 (13.1)	16.5±8.3		17.0±7.0		13.6±6.4		6.0±3.8		53.3±20.3	
	5 th year	67 (8.7)	17.4±7.8		16.8±6.3		13.6±5.4		6.2±3.6		54.1±18.7	
	6 th year	5 (.6)	14.8±6.1		14.2±4.4		13.6±2.1		5.2±1.6		47.8±7.3	
Monthly	<400\$	121 (15.7)	20.5±9.4	0.000	18.8±7.0	082	16.8±6.6	0.000	7.8±4.3	0.000	64.1±21.3	0.000
incomea	400-600\$	574 (74.4)	17.4±8.2		17.8±6.5		14.2±6.0		6.3±3.6		55.7±19.6	
	>600\$	76 (9.9)	15.8±7.2		16.6±6.6		13.2±5.9		5.7±3.4		51.5±18.9	

^aKruskal-Wallis test

Table 4: Linear regression analysis of the total PIDAQ score based on variables

Model	Unstandardiz	ed coefficients	Standardized coefficients	t	Sig.	95.0% Confidence interval fo	
	В	Std. Error	Beta			Lower bound	Upper bound
College	3.32	1.549	0.076	2.14	0.032	0.28	6.36
income	-6.02	1.434	15	-4.20	0.000	-8.83	-3.20
residency	4.41	1.910	0.08	2.31	0.021	0.66	8.16

^aDependent Variable: Total PIDAQ score

students had superior oral healthcare knowledge and behavior compared to non-dental students. [23–25] Doshi *et al.* [26] observed that dental and medical undergraduates have more favorable perceptions of oral health behavior than students from other faculties. [26] Other researchers mentioned that students from various academic disciplines had significantly different PIDAQ scores. [27] However, another study concluded that dental students were more critical of dental aesthetics compared to non-dental students. The study's most exciting outcome was that most students were not envious of individuals with better smiles than them. [28]

Our study findings revealed that academic levels directly influenced students' satisfaction with their dental appearance, with higher-level college students being more satisfied with their dental aesthetics than lower-level college students; a significant difference was reported in the psychological impact domain (*P* value of 0.02).

Similar findings were reported by Al-Saleh *et al.*, who found that students' comprehension and impression of dental aesthetics might alter as they move through their education. Those in their early academic study years appear to be more similar to laypeople than dental experts.^[12]

Another study found a link between education levels and dental aesthetic comfort. Unhappiness with dental aesthetics dropped as one's academic level increased.^[29] Chen *et al.* supported these conclusions.^[30]

As this study illustrated, rural resident students appeared to have a significant impact on practically all domains except for dental self-confidence, with mean values more significant than in urban settings. Total PIDAQ scores revealed a significant difference (P = 0.005). People residing in rural locations are more likely to suffer an apparent financial barrier to getting dental care due to the indirect costs associated with traditional dental treatment, and the dental attendance pattern is worse (in terms of frequency, continuity, and reason for visits). [31]

Income status is a fundamental driver of health. At each occupational grade level, those of a higher social class experience more excellent health and self-confidence. [32] This study confirmed this view; there were significant differences in three domains (social impact, psychological impact, and aesthetic concern), and the total PIDAQ scores were documented at a value of 0.00. In contrast to these findings, another study revealed that students with high monthly incomes might be excessively critical of even minor dental deviations, resulting in a higher impact on their psychosocial wellbeing. [27]

Due to the online nature of the questionnaire, it was impossible to determine the sample type, and the majority of the sample consisted of female participants, which can be attributed to a large number of female participants studying dentistry and the small number of respondents from the sixth academic year. In addition, the sample targeted a specific age group, so there was no significant difference between respondents' total PIDAQ scores.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates the validity of the PIDAQ scale for assessing the psychological impact of dental aesthetics among Iraqi undergraduates. It was found that the perception of OHRQoL differs between dental and non-dental university students, socioeconomic status, and residency.

Author contribution

All authors actively participated in the manuscript's findings and have revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Financial support and sponsorship

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Afroz S, Rathi S, Rajput G, Rahman SA. Dental esthetics and its impact on psycho-social well-being and dental self confidence: A campus based survey of North Indian University students. J Indian Prosthodont Soc 2013;13:455–60.
- 2. Isiekwe GI, Aikins EA. Self-perception of dental appearance and aesthetics in a student population. Int Orthod 2019;17:506–12.
- Campos LA, Costa MA, Bonafé FSS, Marôco J, Campos JADB. Psychosocial impact of dental aesthetics on dental patients. Int Dent J 2020;70:321–7.
- Montero J, Lopez JF, Vicente MP, Galindo MP, Albaladejo A, Bravo M. Comparative validity of the OIDP and OHIP-14 in describing the impact of oral health on quality of life in a cross-sectional study performed in Spanish adults. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2011;16:e816–21.
- Klages U, Claus N, Wehrbein H, Zentner A. Development of a questionnaire for assessment of the psychosocial impact of dental aesthetics in young adults. Eur J Orthod 2006;28:103–11.
- Ellakany P, Fouda SM, Alghamdi M, Bakhurji E. Factors affecting dental self-confidence and satisfaction with dental appearance among adolescents in Saudi Arabia: A cross sectional study. BMC Oral Health 2021;21:149.
- Göranson E, Norevall LI, Bågesund M, Dimberg L. Translation and validation of the Swedish version of the Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetics Questionnaire (PIDAQ) for adolescents. Acta Odontol Scand 2021;79:241–7.
- Aglarci C, Baysal A, Demirci K, Dikmen F, Aglarci AV. Translation and validation of the Turkish version of the Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetics Questionnaire. Korean J Orthod 2016;46:220-7.
- 9. Alharbi RA, Eshky RT, Marae SO, Hifnawy T, Alsulaimani M.

- Translation and validation of the Arabic version of the Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetics Questionnaire (PIDAQ). J Orthod Sci 2020;9:19.
- Armalaite J, Jarutiene M, Vasiliauskas A, Sidlauskas A, Svalkauskiene V, Sidlauskas M, et al. Smile aesthetics as perceived by dental students: A cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health 2018;18:225.
- Cai Y, Du W, Lin F, Ye S, Ye Y. Agreement of young adults and orthodontists on dental aesthetics & influencing factors of self-perceived aesthetics. BMC Oral Health 2018;18:113.
- Al-Saleh S, Abu-Raisi S, Almajed N, Bukhary F. Esthetic self-perception of smiles among a group of dental students. Int J Esthet Dent 2018;13:220–30.
- Chakradhar K, Doshi D, Kulkarni S, Reddy BS, Reddy S, Srilatha A. Self perceived psychosocial impact of dental aesthetics among young adults: A cross sectional questionnaire study. Int J Adolesc Med Health 2020;32. doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2017-0129.
- 14. Al-Omiri MK, Karasneh JA, Lynch E, Lamey PJ, Clifford TJ. Impacts of missing upper anterior teeth on daily living. Int Dent J 2009;59:127–32.
- Carlsson GE, Johansson A, Johansson AK, Ordell S, EkbÄck G, Unell L. Attitudes toward dental appearance in 50- and 60-year-old subjects living in Sweden. J Esthet Restor Dent 2008;20:46–55.
- 16. el Mourad AM, al Shamrani A, al Mohaimeed M, al Sougi S, al Ghanem S, al Manie W. Self-perception of dental esthetics among dental students at King Saud University and their desired treatment. Int J Dent 2021;2021:6671112.
- 17. Badran SA. The effect of malocclusion and self-perceived aesthetics on the self-esteem of a sample of Jordanian adolescents. Eur J Orthod 2010;32:638–44.
- Bellot-Arcís C, Montiel-Company JM, Pinho T, Almerich-Silla JM. Relationship between perception of malocclusion and the psychological impact of dental aesthetics in university students. J Clin Exp Dent 2015;7:e18–22.
- 19. Xiao J, Zhou XD, Zhu WC, Zhang B, Li JY, Xu X. The prevalence of tooth discolouration and the self-satisfaction with tooth colour in a Chinese urban population. J Oral Rehabil 2007;34:351–60.
- Masood Y, Masood M, Zainul NN, Araby NB, Hussain SF, Newton T. Impact of malocclusion on oral health related quality

- of life in young people. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2013;11:25.
- Singh VP, Singh R. Translation and validation of a Nepalese version of the Psychosocial Impact of Dental Aesthetic Questionnaire (PIDAQ). J Orthod 2014;41:6–12.
- 22. Garg K, Tripathi T, Rai P, Sharma N, Kanase A. Prospective evaluation of psychosocial impact after one year of orthodontic treatment using PIDAQ adapted for Indian population. J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11:ZC44-8.
- Jeong M-K, Kim YM, Hong S. A study on the oral health behavior of some dental hygiene students and other majors. Journal of Korean Society of Dental Hygiene 2011;11:615-27.
- Fuoad SAA, Mohammad DN, Hamied MA, Garib BT. Oro-facial malignancy in north of Iraq: A retrospective study of biopsied cases. BMC Oral Health 2021;21:147.
- Ali DA. Assessment of oral health attitudes and behavior among students of Kuwait University Health Sciences Center. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent 2016;6:436–46.
- Doshi D, Baldava P, Anup N, Sequeira PS. A comparative evaluation of self-reported oral hygiene practices among medical and engineering university students with access to healthpromotive dental care. J Contemp Dent Pract 2007;8:068–75.
- 27. AlSagob EI, Alkeait F, Alhaimy L, Alqahtani M, Hebbal M, Ben Gassem AA. Impact of self-perceived dental esthetic on psycho-social well-being and dental self confidence: A cross-sectional study among female students in Riyadh City. Patient Prefer Adherence 2021;15:919–26.
- Omar H, Tai YT. Perception of smile esthetics among dental and nondental students. J Educ Ethics Dent 2014;4:54-60.
- Akarslan ZZ, Sadik B, Erten H, Karabulut E. Dental esthetic satisfaction, received and desired dental treatments for improvement of esthetics. Indian J Dent Res 2009;20:195-200.
- Chen P, Yu S, Zhu G. The psychosocial impacts of implantation on the dental aesthetics of missing anterior teeth patients. Br Dent J 2012;213:E20.
- 31. Curtis B, Evans R, Sbaraini A, Schwarz E. Geographic location and indirect costs as a barrier to dental treatment: A patient perspective. Aust Dent J 2007;52:271–5.
- 32. Steele J, Shen J, Tsakos G, Fuller E, Morris S, Watt R, *et al.* The Interplay between socioeconomic inequalities and clinical oral health. J Dent Res 2015;94:19-26.

Appendix A

Appendix A: Alharbi, et al. Arabic version of PIDAQ

کثیرا جدا	كثيرا	الى حدا ما	احيانا	ابدا	المحور/السؤال	ت
5	4	3	2	1		
				طقة بالاسنان	الثقة بالنفس المت	
					انا راضٍ عن مظهر أسناني	1
					انا فخور بأسناني	2
					أحب أن أظهر أسناني عندما أبتسم	3
					يسعدني أن أرى أسناني في المر آة	4
					أسناني جذابة للأخرين	5
					يعجبني ترتيب وانتظام اسناني الحالي	6
				تماعي	التاثير الاج	
					اتر اجع عندما أبتسم حتى لكيلا تظهر أسناني بشكل كبير	7
					عندما اكون مع اشخاص لا اعرفهم جيدا ,اقلق احيانا حول رايهم واعتقادهم	8
					باسناني	
					أخشى أن يدلي الأخرون بملاحظات مسيئة عن أسناني	9
					أحيانًا أجد نفسي اضع يدي أمام فمي لإخفاء أسناني	10
					انا نو عا ما اقل في التواصل الاجتماعي بسبب اسناني	11
					أعتقد أحيانًا أن الناس يحدقون في أسناني	12
					التعليقات على أسناني تزعجني حتى عندما تكون على سبيل المزاح	13
					اقلق حيانا حول راي الجنس الآخر بشأن أسناني	14
				فسىي	التاثير الذ	
					احسد او اغبط الناس الذين يملكون أسنان جميلة	15
					احيانا اكون محبطا عندما أرى أسنان الآخرين	16
					أعتقد أن معظم الناس الذين أعرفهم لديهم أسنان أجمل من اسناني	17
					. في بعض الاحيان أكون غير سعيد إلى حد ا بمظهر أسناني	18
					أشعر بالسوء عندما أفكر كيف تبدو أسناني	19
					أتمنى لو ان اسناني تبدو بشكل أفضل	20
				<u>جمالي</u>	السلوك الد	
				-	لا أحب أن أرى أسناني في المر آة	21
					لا أحب أن أرى أسناني في الصور	22
					لا أحب أن أرى أسناني عندما أشاهد فيديو لنفسي	23