

Neuroprotection and stroke rehabilitation: Modulation and enhancement of recovery

José Rafael Romero^{a,*}, Viken L. Babikian^a, Douglas I. Katz^{a,b} and Seth P. Finklestein^{c,d}

^a*Department of Neurology, Boston University Medical Center, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA*

^b*Healthsouth Braintree Rehabilitation Hospital, Braintree, MA, USA*

^c*Biotrofix, Inc., Needham, MA, USA*

^d*Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA*

Abstract. Recent advances in research are modifying our view of recovery after nervous system damage. New findings are changing previously held concepts and providing promising avenues for treatment of patients after stroke. This review discusses mechanisms of neuronal injury after brain ischemia and the attempts to study neuroprotection options based on such mechanisms. It also considers measures available at present to improve outcome after stroke and presents new areas of research, particularly stimulation techniques, neurogenesis and trophic factors to enhance recovery. In order to improve outcomes, medications that may be detrimental to recovery should be avoided, while symptomatic therapy of problems such as depression, pain syndromes and spasticity may contribute to better results. Continued surveillance and early treatment of complications associated with acute stroke, along with supportive care remain the mainstay of treatment for stroke patients in the recovery phase. Present research on limiting brain damage and improving recovery and plasticity enhance the prospects for better clinical treatments to improve recovery after stroke.

1. Introduction

Stroke is the third leading cause of mortality [13] and a leading cause of disability in the United States [81], accounting for a significant proportion of health costs every year. In 1996 the estimated lifetime cost of stroke was \$40.6 billion in the US, with a large proportion spent in nursing home care [69]. Although at present few therapies are available to improve the outcome of patients with acute ischemic stroke, recent research has advanced our understanding of brain recovery, opening exciting avenues to explore clinical treatments to improve recovery.

Several factors play a role in the process of recovery after stroke including duration of the event, size and location of the infarct, presence of collateral circula-

tion, brain plasticity, comorbidities or complications following the acute event. Functional recovery takes place to different degrees after ischemic brain injury. Not too long ago it was thought that neurons in the adult brain could not regenerate at all. This concept has now been changed by the results of recent research. Although neurons in the adult brain are not completely replaced after injury, recent studies have demonstrated the existence of populations of undifferentiated cells in the brain that may proliferate and differentiate into mature brain cells [18]. This has led to the evaluation of several molecules that influence neuronal differentiation, such as growth factors, a promising area in the treatment of stroke.

Over the past several years our understanding of the events occurring in the brain after acute ischemia has advanced. The concept of ischemic penumbra, based on animal and human studies, has played a key role in the attempt to study further interventions to limit neuronal injury and improve outcome after stroke. The ischemic penumbra comprises the region that surrounds the core of ischemic stroke; several metabolic, molec-

*Corresponding author: José Rafael Romero, M.D., Department of Neurology, Boston University Medical Center, 715 Albany Street, C329, Boston MA 02118, USA. Tel.: +1 617 638 5309 (office); E-mail: joromero@bmc.org; romerom@yahoo.com.

ular and chemical events occur in this region that may result in further neuronal death, but offer also potential for interventions that may salvage brain cells at risk.

In the present manuscript, we briefly review the main mechanisms of neuronal injury known at present and the interventions that have been attempted to limit neuronal death. We also elaborate on new avenues for neurorehabilitation.

2. Mechanisms of neuronal injury

During and after an acute ischemic stroke, impaired blood supply to a region of the brain triggers a cascade of events leading to neuronal death. Among such neurotoxic events are the release of excitotoxic aminoacids such as glutamate and glycine, the production of free radicals and the induction of oxidative cellular injury, induction of apoptosis and inflammation. All these events are likely to interact and lead to cellular death. In the ischemic penumbra there is a window of opportunity to intervene and save neurons from enlarging the core of infarct. Below follows a brief review of these events.

Excitotoxicity occurs due to excessive release of excitatory aminoacids, including glutamate, aspartate and products of homocysteine and cysteine [47]. These substrates bind N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, which then activate signal systems and ion channels. During ischemia, reduced cellular energy causes an increased release and decreased reuptake of glutamate. The resulting excessive stimulation of NMDA receptors produces prolonged ion channel opening and massive entry of calcium into neurons, activating other processes that lead to cell death; these secondary processes include activation of calcium dependent proteases and endonucleases, and phospholipase A2, which releases arachidonic acid from membrane lipids. Calcium accumulation in mitochondria also leads to severe damage to these organelles. Of note, even after oxygenation is reestablished calcium entry may persist due to potentiation of NMDA channel activity [53]. Finally, excitotoxicity may occur independently of glutamate, which appears mediated by cholinergic systems [62].

The drop of energy reserves (ATP, creatine phosphate) occurring during ischemia also results in ion pump dysfunction. This leads to loss of ion homeostasis, thereby causing osmotic changes that, in conjunction with failure of intracellular synthetic processes, result in cellular death.

Oxidative stress occurs as a result of imbalance between the generation of reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defense mechanisms. Oxidative stress has been documented in models of cerebral ischemia [30]. It appears to be an important factor mediating cerebral vascular changes occurring after ischemia [23]. Oxidative stress is closely related to nitric oxide (NO) pathways and the process of apoptosis. NO is a water and lipid soluble free radical synthesized by nitric oxide synthases. During ischemia, the activity of nitric oxide synthase 1 increases and is largely deleterious [42]. Oxidative stress is also an important factor regulating the signaling pathways involved in the process of apoptosis during cerebral ischemia [14].

Apoptosis is a cellular suicide program by which cells inactivate, disassemble and degrade their own structural and functional components resulting in their own death [85]. This process has been shown to occur in experimental models of ischemia: apoptotic neurons may be found more easily in the ischemic penumbra and during reperfusion [15]. The process is accompanied by activation of dormant genes and new protein synthesis. Mitochondria may transmit apoptotic signals to activate caspases, a group of proteases that regulate the process [14,85]. In mammals, these enzymes include several different proteases, which neurons can express simultaneously, in parallel and sometimes in overlapping pathways [85]; they participate in normal brain development but may also be activated pathologically by insults including ischemia. Caspase inhibitors may attenuate ischemic neuronal injury, suggesting a potential target for treatment of stroke.

Finally, inflammation is an additional important process occurring in areas of ischemia. Animal models of focal brain ischemia reveal a prominent inflammatory reaction [10]. Microglia become activated, secreting oxygen free radicals, inflammatory cytokines, complement proteins, coagulation proteins, and binding proteins [83]. Microglia may also activate the process of apoptosis. This amplifies events that cause cell death.

3. Trials of neuroprotection and pharmacological interventions For recovery

Based on the proposed mechanisms of neuronal injury, several trials have been carried out in an attempt to limit neuronal injury during acute brain ischemia. However, the success of these interventions to prevent

further neuronal injury has been limited to date. Table 1 summarizes a list of agents that have been or are being evaluated. For the most part, these studies have not shown an improvement in neurological outcome at one to three months. The use of hypothermia as a neuroprotective measure has been promising in animal models of acute ischemic stroke [84]; human studies after cardiac arrest have also shown beneficial effects [3]. In ischemic stroke in humans, this modality is beginning to be explored, with a recent trial showing good tolerability of endovascular-induced hypothermia and a small, and non-significant, decrease in DWI (Diffusion Weighted Image) detected infarct size [21].

Several pharmacological modalities have been used to enhance recovery during the *subacute* stage after ischemic stroke. A randomized placebo controlled study of 53 patients demonstrated improved functional outcome in the group treated with 100 mg of levodopa daily, given 30 minutes prior to physiotherapy for 3 weeks, compared to physiotherapy alone [63]. Amphetamine offers the potential to improve recovery by increasing central noradrenergic activity as demonstrated in animal studies [24]; human studies of motor recovery have shown conflicting results in small randomized controlled trials. Several studies reported improvement in motor scores when amphetamine was used concomitantly with physical therapy [46,78] while others have shown no benefit when compared to placebo [66,71]. In a double-blind randomized control study assessing benefit in aphasia recovery, amphetamine was found beneficial compared to placebo [77].

It is also important to note that some medications used in the period of acute stroke may influence stroke recovery negatively. In fact, observational studies reported detrimental effects when these drugs were utilized in patients recovering from stroke [26,72]. These medications include phenobarbital, clonidine, prazosin, phenytoin, benzodiazepines and dopamine antagonists. When treating patients in the recovery phase after stroke, potential adverse effects of these medications on recovery should be considered.

In addition, treatment of associated conditions or symptoms may result in a better outcome. For instance, post-stroke depression frequently affects hemiplegic patients within the first year after a stroke and may be associated with worse recovery [50]. Fluoxetine was found to be effective in the treatment of post-stroke depression in a trial including 31 patients; however it did not have an impact on other outcome measures [80]. Citalopram has been shown to be

effective in the treatment of post-stroke pathological crying, with associated improvement in depression measures [8]. Sertraline was effective preventing post-stroke depression in a study including 137 patients followed for 1 year, with benefit observed as early as 6 weeks after initiation of therapy [56]. Beneficial effects of antidepressants on functioning and motor recovery have been demonstrated, possibly independent of their effects on mood. A serotonergic antidepressant agent, trazadone, was found to improve outcome in patients with stroke based on Barthel Index scores in a double-blind trial [57]. Fluoxetine improved motor recovery after hemiplegic stroke, independent of depression measures [19]. There may be different effects of serotonergic compared to adrenergic antidepressant agents. Miyai and colleagues [48] randomized 24 patients with depression after stroke to receive either fluoxetine (serotonergic), trazadone (serotonergic) or desipramine (noradrenergic). The patients who received the serotonergic agents had significantly greater improvement in self-care and ambulation than those who received the adrenergic agent, even though all demonstrated similar improvements in depressive symptoms.

Other post-stroke problems have been successfully treated with antidepressants and other classes of medications. Central pain after stroke, which may hinder recovery, has been successfully treated with antidepressants, including amitriptyline [41] and anticonvulsants, such as lamotrigine [74]. Nimodipine, a calcium channel blocker has shown some benefit for memory dysfunction after stroke [68].

4. Neurorehabilitation: Enhancement and modulation of recovery

Recovery following ischemic brain injury depends on several factors. It occurs predominantly during the initial weeks to first 3 months but can continue at a slower rate during the first year [36]. Recovery during the first few weeks after stroke onset seems to be due to resolution of the initial insult associated edema, and excitotoxic and inflammatory events. Following this period, brain plasticity and reorganization seem to account for much of recovery [54,79] along with compensation, restitution, substitution of function and adaptation involving various learning processes [67].

Currently, two research fields in particular appear to be most promising in neurorehabilitation: modulation of cortical plasticity by using stimulation

Table 1
Neuroprotection trials

Agent*	Mechanism of action	Trial	Phase/number of patients	Study features/Outcome
Repinotan [70]	Serotonin 5HT1A receptor agonist, interferes with ischemia induced cell death	BRAINS	II $N = 240$	Within 6hours; safe; no significant benefit
Nimodipine [33]	Calcium channel antagonist	VENUS	$N = 454$	Within 6hours; terminated early due to lack of benefit
Dextrorphan [6]	NMDA antagonist		$N = 66$	Within 48 hours; Side effects limiting use
Selfotel [20]	NMDA antagonist	ASSIST	$N = 476$	Increased mortality, terminated early
Aptiganel [7]	NMDA antagonist		II/III $N = 628$	Within 6 hours. Terminated early due to benefit/risk concerns
Gavestinel (GV150526) [61]	Indirect NMDA antagonist (Glycine antagonist)	GAIN	$N = 1367$	Within 6hours, Yes t-PA. No improvement but tolerated well
Magnesium sulfate [52]	Increase regional blood flow, NMDA antagonist, Ca channel antagonist	IVMgET	$N = 2589$	No benefit within 21 hours No benefit within 12 hours
Nalmefene (cervene) [16]	Narcotic R antagonist, decreases levels of excitatory aminoacids	IMAGES	III $N = 368$	No efficacy IV within 6 hours
Lubeluzole [22,28]	Sodium channel blocker, decreases levels of NO		$N = 721$	Within 6 hours suggestive of benefit.
Sipatrigine [51]	Sodium channel blocker		$N = 1786$ $N = 27$	Within 8hours, no efficacy Within 12 hours; significant neuropsychiatric effects
Clomethiazole [43, 76]	GABA agonist	CLASS I	$N = 1198$	No efficacy within 12 hours; in subgroup improved 37% in large stroke patients
Nitrones [40]	Free radical scavenger		$N = 150$	More frequent ICH and death
ENLIMONAB [5]	Monoclonal antibody to ICAM-1, Block intercellular adhesion molecules		$N = 625$	Higher mortality (within 6hours for 5 days)
Abcximab [1]	Platelet aggregation inhibitor		$N = 74$	Within 24 hours, possible benefit but slightly more frequent ICH
Citicoline [17]	Membrane stabilization, decreases free radical formation		III $N = 899$	No efficacy but posthoc analysis showed improved outcome in severe strokes
Neutrophil inhibitory factor UK 279,276 [37,39]		ASTIN	$N = 966$	Within 6 hours, terminated early for futility
Fibblast (Trafermin) [11]	Basic fibroblast growth factor		$N = 286$	Within 6 hours. US trials terminated due to side effects, European trial (lower doses) suggested possible benefit for stroke recovery
Magnesium sulfate [38]	NMDA receptor antagonism	FAST-MAG	III $N = 1298$	<2hrs No t-PA. Ongoing
ONO-2506 [4]	Astrocyte modulation	RREACT	IIB/III $N = 1320$	<6hrs Yes t-PA
Glyceryl trinitrate (a nitric oxide donor) [2]	Lowering blood pressure, improving cerebral perfusion, and neuroprotection.	ENOS	$N = 5000$	Ongoing

*References in parenthesis. ICH = Intracerebral hemorrhage.

techniques and restoration of cellular populations. Non-pharmacological interventions that may improve outcome include repetitive arm stimulation [25], transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) [44], and physical and occupational therapy. Prior studies have shown that changes in environment may lead to structural changes in the brain. These include increase in brain weight, cortical thickness, neuronal size, dendritic complexity, synaptogenesis and the generation of new neurons, glia, and blood vessels [27]. Return of function after stroke may occur spontaneously, but some interventions have improved outcome. In particular, functional recovery

in stroke can be enhanced by environmental manipulation such as constraint limb induced movement therapy [29]. This technique utilized restraint of the unaffected arm and repetitive practice with the affected arm. It is yet to be determined how much of the enhancement of function is due to the mass practice of the paretic arm versus inhibition of use of the non affected side.

TMS has been utilized as a technique to study brain reorganization after stroke, as well as a prognostic tool to assess recovery following stroke [31]. In addition, TMS in normal volunteers has been used to modulate

cortical excitability. Lasting intracortical excitability changes have been shown in normal volunteers after TMS [60]. In preliminary studies these changes have been reflected in lasting effects in cognitive function; these include improved spatial attention to ipsilateral targets [32], improved analogic reasoning [12] and reaction time [55]. A recent study in patients with stable chronic stroke using central and peripheral stimulation resulted in an improvement in functional and neurophysiological measures [73]. The presumed underlying mechanism was brain plasticity likely involving enhanced synaptic efficacy and decreased local cortical inhibition. Although the studies mentioned above have been limited, further research might result in protocols that could modulate cortical reorganization in a predictable manner. TMS offers the potential to suppress maladaptive reorganization (i.e. post-stroke dystonia) or enhance beneficial plasticity [65] in stroke rehabilitation.

Another field that has shown recent progress involves the production of new neurons (neurogenesis). This may be achieved by using endogenous precursor cells or stem cell transplantation. Neurogenesis has been shown to occur in animals as well as in adult humans [49]. There is potential for regeneration in the adult mammalian brain, although to a lesser degree than in the neonate. Progenitor cells with potential to differentiate into neurons have been found in the temporal lobe's subventricular zone in adult humans [34]. In experimental animal studies of stroke, increased progenitor cell proliferation occurs in the subventricular zone and the hippocampal dentate gyrus [75]. Some of these cells are immature neurons and may migrate to the olfactory bulb following their natural migration route, but also to the periphery of the infarct [75]. Newly formed neurons in the hippocampus remain in site. These studies show enormous potential for interventions improving neurologic recovery after stroke. However the cellular environment in the adult human brain may not be optimal for regeneration; factors such as absence of neurotrophic factors and presence of inhibitors in oligodendrocytes may account for this [35].

Recent studies have explored the role of neurotrophic factors in promoting cellular regeneration [35,59]. Trophic factors are important in differentiation and survival of neural elements. These molecules interact with specific receptors and initiate a variety of cellular signaling systems. The probable mechanisms by which growth factors may enhance recovery include stimulation of new sprouting and synapse formation in

undamaged parts of brain, and stimulation of progenitor cells. Animal models of stroke have demonstrated improved recovery of function following treatment with basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) [59]. This benefit may have been mediated by different effects of bFGF, including enhancement in axonal sprouting in the periphery of the infarct, and stimulation of proliferation, migration, and differentiation of progenitor cells [59]. Osteogenic protein 1 is another growth factor that has shown improved sensorimotor recovery in rats when given during the first five days after a stroke [58]. Vascular endothelial growth factor studies in animals have shown conflicting results [59]. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor (GCSF) resulted in increased number of hematopoietic cells in the ischemic hemisphere, with some seemingly differentiating into neurons in a prior study [82]. GCSF also resulted in improved function and reduced infarct volume in a study in rats [64].

Some factors, such as ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), influence survival of motor neurons. Clinical trials in humans are beginning to be evaluated. Ongoing trials are exploring the effects of CNTF. However, trials addressing the use of neurotrophic factors in stroke recovery have not been undertaken yet.

Transplantation of cells to restore function is a promising nascent field. Most of the new neurons generated in animal stroke models die during the first few weeks, with only about 0.2% of the initial neurons being replaced [9]. Thus, exogenous cells may provide an additional substrate to replace neurons, with the theoretical potential to result in recovery of function. At present many basic issues remain unsolved but this is a rapidly growing field that needs careful exploration as an option for stroke recovery in humans.

5. Where do we stand in our daily practice?

Translation of research findings into practice takes time and requires support from several studies. At present, in neurorehabilitation services particular attention toward the surveillance, assessment and treatment of stroke comorbidities, vascular risk factors, and associated complications is recommended. Supportive care remains the mainstay of rehabilitative stroke care. While physical, occupational and speech therapy have proven benefit in stroke recovery, pharmacological interventions are increasingly becoming a part of daily practice. In the rehabilitation setting, pharmacologic

agents are most commonly used to treat stroke-associated symptoms and problems, particularly the use of antidepressants (e.g. SSRIs) for the treatment of depression, anticonvulsants (e.g. gabapentin, lamotrigine) and tricyclic antidepressants (e.g. desipramine and amitriptyline) to treat pain syndromes, medications for spasticity (e.g. botulinum toxin injections, baclofen, tizanidine) and anticholinergic medications for bladder spasticity (e.g. tolterodine and oxybutynin). Despite possible benefit of amphetamines and dopaminergic agents in accelerating recovery of motor and language impairments after stroke in some human studies, stimulant medications have been slow in entering routine stroke rehabilitation practice. A recent Cochrane review concluded that the present level of evidence does not support routine use of stimulants for stroke recovery [45]. The use of these medications remains an option for patients with stroke but larger, randomized, and controlled trials are necessary to satisfy remaining questions about the effects of stimulant medications on stroke outcome before widespread use is recommended for routine use. Use of stimulant and dopaminergic medications (e.g. methylphenidate, amphetamines, amantadine, bromocriptine) also remains an option for patients with persistent deficits in arousal and attention after stroke, for instance infarcts and hemorrhages damaging mesencephalic, diencephalic and mesial frontal areas. Physicians and other health care providers must encourage the participation of patients in research trials in order to advance knowledge about stroke recovery and find options that are more meaningful for the quality of life of stroke patients.

In conclusion, neuroprotection and neurorehabilitation are exciting growing fields. Neuroprotection may in the future have an important role in improving recovery in the acute phase after stroke. In the subacute and later phases of recovery, other approaches such as modulation of neurogenesis with growth factors, implantation of exogenous precursor or stem cells, or techniques such as TMS to modulate brain plasticity, might result in improved recovery. It is likely that a combination of measures will be utilized to improve outcome following stroke.

References

- [1] Abciximab in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study. The Abciximab in Ischemic Stroke Investigators, *Stroke* **31** (2000), 601–609.
- [2] Efficacy of nitric oxide in stroke (ENOS) trial – A prospective randomized controlled trial in acute stroke. (Abstract), in: *Ongoing Clinical Trials Session*, 29th International Stroke Conference, 2004.
- [3] Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest, *N Engl J Med* **346** (2002), 549–556.
- [4] RREACT Study: Rapid Response with an Astrocyte Modulator for the Treatment of Acute Cortical Stroke. (Abstract). In: 29th International Stroke Conference, 2004.
- [5] Use of anti-ICAM-1 therapy in ischemic stroke: results of the Enlimomab Acute Stroke Trial, *Neurology* **57** (2001), 1428–1434.
- [6] G.W. Albers, R.P. Atkinson, R.E. Kelley and D.M. Rosenbaum, Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of the N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist dextrorphan in patients with acute stroke. Dextrorphan Study Group, *Stroke* **26** (1995), 254–258.
- [7] G.W. Albers, L.B. Goldstein, D. Hall and L.M. Lesko, Aptiganel hydrochloride in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized controlled trial, *Jama* **286** (2001), 2673–2682.
- [8] G. Andersen, K. Vestergaard and J.O. Riis, Citalopram for post-stroke pathological crying, *Lancet* **342** (1993), 837–839.
- [9] A. Arvidsson, T. Collin, D. Kirik, Z. Kokaia and O. Lindvall, Neuronal replacement from endogenous precursors in the adult brain after stroke, *Nat Med* **8** (2002), 963–970.
- [10] F.C. Barone, L.M. Hillegass, W.J. Price, R.F. White, E.V. Lee, G.Z. Feuerstein, H.M. Sarau, R.K. Clark and D.E. Griswold, Polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration into cerebral focal ischemic tissue: myeloperoxidase activity assay and histologic verification, *J Neurosci Res* **29** (1991), 336–345.
- [11] J. Bogousslavsky, S.J. Victor, E.O. Salinas, A. Pally, G.A. Donnan, C. Fieschi, M. Kaste, J.M. Orgogozo, A. Chamorro and A. Desmet, Fiblast (trafermin) in acute stroke: results of the European-Australian phase II/III safety and efficacy trial, *Cerebrovasc Dis* **14** (2002), 239–251.
- [12] B. Boroojerdi, M. Phipps, L. Kopylev, C.M. Wharton, L.G. Cohen and J. Grafman, Enhancing analogic reasoning with rTMS over the left prefrontal cortex, *Neurology* **56** (2001), 526–528.
- [13] CDC, Health, United States. In, 2004.
- [14] P.H. Chan, Future targets and cascades for neuroprotective strategies, *Stroke* **35** (2004), 2748–2750.
- [15] C. Charriaut-Marlangue, I. Margail, A. Represa, T. Popovici, M. Plotkine and Y. Ben-Ari, Apoptosis and necrosis after reversible focal ischemia: an in situ DNA fragmentation analysis, *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* **16** (1996), 186–194.
- [16] W.M. Clark, E.C. Raps, D.C. Tong and R.E. Kelly, Cervene (Nalmefene) in acute ischemic stroke: final results of a phase III efficacy study. The Cervene Stroke Study Investigators, *Stroke* **31** (2000), 1234–1239.
- [17] W.M. Clark, L.R. Wechsler, L.A. Sabounjian and U.E. Schwiderski, A phase III randomized efficacy trial of 2000 mg citicoline in acute ischemic stroke patients, *Neurology* **57** (2001), 1595–1602.
- [18] F.S. Corotto, J.A. Henegar and J.A. Maruniak, Neurogenesis persists in the subependymal layer of the adult mouse brain, *Neurosci Lett* **149** (1993), 111–114.
- [19] M. Dam, P. Tonin, A. De Boni, G. Pizzolato, S. Casson, M. Ermani, U. Freo, L. Piron and L. Battistin, Effects of fluoxetine and maprotiline on functional recovery in poststroke hemiplegic patients undergoing rehabilitation therapy, *Stroke* **27** (1996), 1211–1214.
- [20] S.M. Davis, G.W. Albers, H.C. Diener, K.R. Lees and J. Norris, Termination of Acute Stroke Studies Involving Selfotel

- Treatment. ASSIST Steering Committed, *Lancet* **349** (1997), 32.
- [21] M.A. De Georgia, D.W. Krieger, A. Abou-Chebl, T.G. Devlin, M. Jauss, S.M. Davis, W.J. Koroshetz, G. Rordorf and S. Warach, Cooling for Acute Ischemic Brain Damage (COOL AID): a feasibility trial of endovascular cooling, *Neurology* **63** (2004), 312–317.
- [22] H.C. Diener, M. Cortens, G. Ford, J. Grotta, W. Hacke, M. Kaste, P.J. Koudstaal and T. Wessel, Lubeluzole in acute ischemic stroke treatment: A double-blind study with an 8-hour inclusion window comparing a 10-mg daily dose of lubeluzole with placebo, *Stroke* **31** (2000), 2543–2551.
- [23] F.M. Faraci, Oxidative stress: the curse that underlies cerebral vascular dysfunction? *Stroke* **36** (2005), 186–188.
- [24] D.M. Feeney, A. Gonzalez and W.A. Law, Amphetamine, haloperidol, and experience interact to affect rate of recovery after motor cortex injury, *Science* **217** (1982), 855–857.
- [25] H. Feys, W. De Weerd, G. Verbeke, G.C. Steck, C. Capiu, C. Kiekens, E. Dejaeger, G. Van Hoydonck, G. Vermeersch and P. Cras, Early and repetitive stimulation of the arm can substantially improve the long-term outcome after stroke: a 5-year follow-up study of a randomized trial, *Stroke* **35** (2004), 924–929.
- [26] L.B. Goldstein, Potential effects of common drugs on stroke recovery, *Arch Neurol* **55** (1998), 454–456.
- [27] R.S. Greenwood and J.M. Parent, Damage control: the influence of environment on recovery from brain injury, *Neurology* **59** (2002), 1302–1303.
- [28] J. Grotta, Lubeluzole treatment of acute ischemic stroke. The US and Canadian Lubeluzole Ischemic Stroke Study Group, *Stroke* **28** (1997), 2338–2346.
- [29] J.C. Grotta, E.A. Noser, T. Ro, C. Boake, H. Levin, J. Aronowski and T. Schallert, Constraint-induced movement therapy, *Stroke* **35** (2004), 2699–2701.
- [30] Y. Gursoy-Ozdemir, A. Can and T. Dalkara, Reperfusion-induced oxidative/nitrative injury to neurovascular unit after focal cerebral ischemia, *Stroke* **35** (2004), 1449–1453.
- [31] M. Hallett, Plasticity of the human motor cortex and recovery from stroke, *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* **36** (2001), 169–174.
- [32] C.C. Hilgetag, H. Theoret and A. Pascual-Leone, Enhanced visual spatial attention ipsilateral to rTMS-induced ‘virtual lesions’ of human parietal cortex, *Nat Neurosci* **4** (2001), 953–957.
- [33] J. Horn, R.J. de Haan, M. Vermeulen and M. Limburg, Very Early Nimodipine Use in Stroke (VENUS): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, *Stroke* **32** (2001), 461–465.
- [34] B. Kirschenbaum, M. Nedergaard, A. Preuss, K. Barami, R.A. Fraser and S.A. Goldman, In vitro neuronal production and differentiation by precursor cells derived from the adult human forebrain, *Cereb Cortex* **4** (1994), 576–589.
- [35] M. Komitova, B. Mattsson, B.B. Johansson and P.S. Eriksson, Enriched environment increases neural stem/progenitor cell proliferation and neurogenesis in the subventricular zone of stroke-lesioned adult rats, *Stroke* **36** (2005), 1278–1282.
- [36] M. Kotila, O. Waltimo, M.L. Niemi, R. Laaksonen and M. Lempinen, The profile of recovery from stroke and factors influencing outcome, *Stroke* **15** (1984), 1039–1044.
- [37] M. Krams, K.R. Lees, W. Hacke, A.P. Grieve, J.M. Orgogozo and G.A. Ford, Acute Stroke Therapy by Inhibition of Neutrophils (ASTIN): an adaptive dose-response study of UK-279,276 in acute ischemic stroke, *Stroke* **34** (2003), 2543–2548.
- [38] Y. Lampl, R. Gilad, D. Geva, Y. Eshel and M. Sadeh, Intravenous administration of magnesium sulfate in acute stroke: a randomized double-blind study, *Clin Neuropharmacol* **24** (2001), 11–15.
- [39] K.R. Lees, H.C. Diener, K. Asplund and M. Krams, UK-279,276, a neutrophil inhibitory glycoprotein, in acute stroke: tolerability and pharmacokinetics, *Stroke* **34** (2003), 1704–1709.
- [40] K.R. Lees, A.K. Sharma, D. Barer, G.A. Ford, V. Kostulas, Y.F. Cheng and T. Odergren, Tolerability and pharmacokinetics of the nitrone NXY-059 in patients with acute stroke, *Stroke* **32** (2001), 675–680.
- [41] G. Leijon and J. Boivie, Central post-stroke pain – a controlled trial of amitriptyline and carbamazepine, *Pain* **36** (1989), 27–36.
- [42] S. Love, Oxidative stress in brain ischemia, *Brain Pathol* **9** (1999), 119–131.
- [43] P. Lyden, A. Shuaib, K. Ng, K. Levin, R.P. Atkinson, A. Rajput, L. Wechsler, T. Ashwood, L. Claesson, T. Odergren and E. Salazar-Grueso, Clomethiazole Acute Stroke Study in ischemic stroke (CLASS-I): final results, *Stroke* **33** (2002), 122–128.
- [44] P.I. Martin, M.A. Naeser, H. Theoret, J.M. Tormos, M. Nicholas, J. Kurland, F. Fregni, H. Seekins, K. Doron and A. Pascual-Leone, Transcranial magnetic stimulation as a complementary treatment for aphasia, *Semin Speech Lang* **25** (2004), 181–191.
- [45] L. Martinsson, H.G. Hardemark and N.G. Wahlgren, Amphetamines for improving stroke recovery: a systematic cochrane review, *Stroke* **34** (2003), 2766.
- [46] L. Martinsson and N.G. Wahlgren, Safety of dexamphetamine in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized, double-blind, controlled dose-escalation trial, *Stroke* **34** (2003), 475–481.
- [47] M.P. Mattson, Excitotoxic and excitoprotective mechanisms: abundant targets for the prevention and treatment of neurodegenerative disorders, *Neuromolecular Med* **3** (2003), 65–94.
- [48] I. Miyai and M. Reding, Effect of antidepressants on functional recovery following stroke: a double-blind study, *J Neurorehabil* **12** (1998), 5–13.
- [49] M.C. Moe, M. Varghese, A.I. Danilov, U. Westerlund, J. Ramm-Petersen, L. Brundin, M. Svensson, J. Berg-Johnsen and I.A. Langmoen, Multipotent progenitor cells from the adult human brain: neurophysiological differentiation to mature neurons, *Brain* **128** (2005), 2189–2199.
- [50] P.L. Morris, B. Raphael and R.G. Robinson, Clinical depression is associated with impaired recovery from stroke, *Med J Aust* **157** (1992), 239–242.
- [51] K.W. Muir, L. Holzapfel and K.R. Lees, Phase II clinical trial of sipatrigine (619C89) by continuous infusion in acute stroke, *Cerebrovasc Dis* **10** (2000), 431–436.
- [52] K.W. Muir, K.R. Lees, I. Ford and S. Davis, Magnesium for acute stroke (Intravenous Magnesium Efficacy in Stroke trial): randomised controlled trial, *Lancet* **363** (2004), 439–445.
- [53] T. Nakamura, H. Minamisawa, Y. Katayama, M. Ueda, A. Terashi, K. Nakamura and Y. Kudo, Increased intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration in the hippocampal CA1 area during global ischemia and reperfusion in the rat: a possible cause of delayed neuronal death, *Neuroscience* **88** (1999), 57–67.
- [54] R.J. Nudo, E.J. Plautz and S.B. Frost, Role of adaptive plasticity in recovery of function after damage to motor cortex, *Muscle Nerve* **24** (2001), 1000–1019.
- [55] A. Pascual-Leone, F. Tarazona, J. Keenan, J.M. Tormos, R. Hamilton and M.D. Catala, Transcranial magnetic stimulation and neuroplasticity, *Neuropsychologia* **37** (1999), 207–217.

- [56] A. Rasmussen, M. Lunde, D.L. Poulsen, K. Sorensen, S. Qvitzau and P. Bech, A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of sertraline in the prevention of depression in stroke patients, *Psychosomatics* **44** (2003), 216–221.
- [57] M.J. Reding, L.A. Orto, S.W. Winter, I.M. Fortuna, P. Di Ponte and F.H. McDowell, Antidepressant therapy after stroke. A double-blind trial, *Arch Neurol* **43** (1986), 763–765.
- [58] J. Ren, P.L. Kaplan, M.F. Charette, H. Speller and S.P. Finklestein, Time window of intracisternal osteogenic protein-1 in enhancing functional recovery after stroke, *Neuropharmacology* **39** (2000), 860–865.
- [59] J.M. Ren and S.P. Finklestein, Growth factor treatment of stroke, *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord* **4** (2005), 121–125.
- [60] J.R. Romero, D. Anschel, R. Sparing, M. Gangitano and A. Pascual-Leone, Subthreshold low frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation selectively decreases facilitation in the motor cortex, *Clin Neurophysiol* **113** (2002), 101–107.
- [61] R.L. Sacco, J.T. DeRosa, E.C. Haley, Jr., B. Levin, P. Ordronneau, S.J. Phillips, T. Rundek, R.G. Snipes and J.L. Thompson, Glycine antagonist in neuroprotection for patients with acute stroke: GAIN Americas: a randomized controlled trial, *Jama* **285** (2001), 1719–1728.
- [62] K.M. Savolainen, J. Loikkanen, S. Eerikainen and J. Naarala, Glutamate-stimulated ROS production in neuronal cultures: interactions with lead and the cholinergic system, *Neurotoxicology* **19** (1998), 669–674.
- [63] K. Scheidtmann, W. Fries, F. Muller and E. Koenig, Effect of levodopa in combination with physiotherapy on functional motor recovery after stroke: a prospective, randomised, double-blind study, *Lancet* **358** (2001), 787–790.
- [64] W.C. Shyu, S.Z. Lin, H.I. Yang, Y.S. Tzeng, C.Y. Pang, P.S. Yen and H. Li, Functional recovery of stroke rats induced by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor-stimulated stem cells, *Circulation* **110** (2004), 1847–1854.
- [65] H.R. Siebner and J. Rothwell, Transcranial magnetic stimulation: new insights into representational cortical plasticity, *Exp Brain Res* **148** (2003), 1–16.
- [66] L. Sonde, M. Nordstrom, C.G. Nilsson, J. Lokk and M. Viitanen, A double-blind placebo-controlled study of the effects of amphetamine and physiotherapy after stroke, *Cerebrovasc Dis* **12** (2001), 253–257.
- [67] D. Stein, Brain injury and theories of recovery, in: *Restorative Neurology: advances in pharmacotherapy for recovery after stroke*, L.B. Goldstein, ed., Futura, New York, 1998, pp. 1–34.
- [68] K.H. Sze, T.C. Sim, E. Wong, S. Cheng and J. Woo, Effect of nimodipine on memory after cerebral infarction, *Acta Neurol Scand* **97** (1998), 386–392.
- [69] T.N. Taylor, P.H. Davis, J.C. Torner, J. Holmes, J.W. Meyer and M.F. Jacobson, Lifetime cost of stroke in the United States, *Stroke* **27** (1996), 1459–1466.
- [70] P. Teal, F.L. Silver and D. Simard, The BRAINS study: safety, tolerability, and dose-finding of repinotan in acute stroke, *Can J Neurol Sci* **32** (2005), 61–67.
- [71] T. Treig, C. Werner, M. Sachse and S. Hesse, No benefit from D-amphetamine when added to physiotherapy after stroke: a randomized, placebo-controlled study, *Clin Rehabil* **17** (2003), 590–599.
- [72] E. Troisi, S. Paolucci, M. Silvestrini, M. Matteis, F. Vernieri, M.G. Grasso and C. Caltagirone, Prognostic factors in stroke rehabilitation: the possible role of pharmacological treatment, *Acta Neurol Scand* **105** (2002), 100–106.
- [73] J. Uy, M.C. Ridding, S. Hillier, P.D. Thompson and T.S. Miles, Does induction of plastic change in motor cortex improve leg function after stroke? *Neurology* **61** (2003), 982–984.
- [74] K. Vestergaard, G. Andersen, H. Gottrup, B.T. Kristensen and T.S. Jensen, Lamotrigine for central poststroke pain: a randomized controlled trial, *Neurology* **56** (2001), 184–190.
- [75] K. Wada, H. Sugimori, P.G. Bhide, M.A. Moskowitz and S.P. Finklestein, Effect of basic fibroblast growth factor treatment on brain progenitor cells after permanent focal ischemia in rats, *Stroke* **34** (2003), 2722–2728.
- [76] N.G. Wahlgren, E. Diez-Tejedor, J. Teitelbaum, A. Arboix, D. Leys, T. Ashwood and E. Grossman, Results in 95 hemorrhagic stroke patients included in CLASS, a controlled trial of clomethiazole versus placebo in acute stroke patients, *Stroke* **31** (2000), 82–85.
- [77] D. Walker-Batson, S. Curtis, R. Natarajan, J. Ford, N. Dronkers, E. Salmeron, J. Lai and D.H. Unwin, A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the use of amphetamine in the treatment of aphasia, *Stroke* **32** (2001), 2093–2098.
- [78] D. Walker-Batson, P. Smith, S. Curtis, H. Unwin and R. Greenlee, Amphetamine paired with physical therapy accelerates motor recovery after stroke. Further evidence, *Stroke* **26** (1995), 2254–2259.
- [79] N.S. Ward, Functional reorganization of the cerebral motor system after stroke, *Curr Opin Neurol* **17** (2004), 725–730.
- [80] L. Wiart, H. Petit, P.A. Joseph, J.M. Mazaux and M. Barat, Fluoxetine in early poststroke depression: a double-blind placebo-controlled study, *Stroke* **31** (2000), 1829–1832.
- [81] J. Williams, C. Ayala, J. Croft, K. Greenlund, N. Keenan, L. Neff, W. Wattigney, Z. Zheng and G. Mensah, State-specific mortality from stroke and distribution of place of death – United States, 1999. in: *N.C.C.D.P.H.P. Division of Adult and Community Health*, CDC, ed., MMWR, (Vol. 51), 2002, pp. 429–433.
- [82] A.E. Willing, J. Lixian, M. Milliken, S. Poulos, T. Zigova, S. Song, C. Hart, J. Sanchez-Ramos and P.R. Sanberg, Intravenous versus intrastriatal cord blood administration in a rodent model of stroke, *J Neurosci Res* **73** (2003), 296–307.
- [83] P.L. Wood, Microglia as a unique cellular target in the treatment of stroke: potential neurotoxic mediators produced by activated microglia, *Neurol Res* **17** (1995), 242–248.
- [84] H. Yanamoto, I. Nagata, Y. Niitsu, Z. Zhang, J.H. Xue, N. Sakai and H. Kikuchi, Prolonged mild hypothermia therapy protects the brain against permanent focal ischemia, *Stroke* **32** (2001), 232–239.
- [85] J. Yuan and B.A. Yankner, Apoptosis in the nervous system, *Nature* **407** (2000), 802–809.