



# Looking Back at 2022 and ahead to 2023 for the *Korean Journal of Radiology*

Seong Ho Park, Editor-in-Chief

Department of Radiology and Research Institute of Radiology, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

In this editorial, I will briefly review some of the *Korean Journal of Radiology* (*KJR*) activities in 2022, and give a glimpse of what the readers and authors may expect for 2023.

Looking back at 2022, *KJR* experienced a significant increase in the two-year journal impact factor (JIF), published annually by Clarivate Analytics, from 3.5 to 7.109, which may be the first (if not the most important) thing that comes to mind of many people. Unlike its name, JIF has multiple pitfalls as an index of a journal's impact [1]. Furthermore, JIF is not the only metric of scholarly citations as several other related indices exist, such as CiteScore [2], Scimago Journal Rank [3], and h-index [4]. Nevertheless, in general, authors still strongly consider JIF when determining where to submit their research studies [5].

Similar to *KJR*, substantial increases in JIF were noted across numerous journals in various fields of medicine and science, including radiology, infectious diseases, respiratory medicine, general/internal medicine, etc. While the increase in JIF may be attributed to various factors, it cannot be denied that a substantial fraction was due to the number of studies on COVID-19, as they affected acutely and massively scholarly publications and citations [5-14]. As the COVID-19 pandemic is now ending, and the two-year JIF is calculated by the number of citations to articles published

in each journal over a preceding two-year period [15], the acute inflation of JIF will likely decrease in the coming years. Until then, the authors may want to be careful when considering JIF.

Regardless of the changes in *KJR*'s JIF, I am confident that the quality of *KJR* improved in 2022 compared with the preceding year. Indeed, *KJR* has continuously been trying to improve the journal's quality and standards for published articles. As a result of the heightened standards, the number of articles *KJR* published decreased from 199 in 2021 to 135 in 2022, lowering the journal's acceptance rate (currently at approximately 13%). However, as the submissions to *KJR* are gradually increasing, with increasing submissions of higher quality manuscripts, I suspect that *KJR* is likely to see more articles published and a slight increase in the acceptance rate in 2023. As an effort to improve the journal's quality, *KJR* recently published a few articles that guide to help improve the quality of research manuscripts for a few specific areas, including reporting of survival analysis, odds ratio and hazard ratio, and systematic review and meta-analysis [16-18]. *KJR* will continue to expand on this effort in 2023 and may publish similar guides for authors and reviewers, specifically regarding studies of radiomics and artificial intelligence (AI), and regarding general critical review of the literature, similar to some guides from other journals [19-21].

Another notable change in *KJR* in 2022 was the increased number of brief articles published. These include focused or mini-reviews, expert opinion or perspective types of articles, and commentaries [16-18,22-33]. Publication of these brief articles is in consideration of a recent trend in scientific publication. These brief pieces are expected to be helpful in addressing rapidly evolving topics, compared to traditional comprehensive extended review articles. Furthermore, the importance of commentary-type editorial articles is recently

**Received:** December 3, 2022 **Accepted:** December 3, 2022

**Corresponding author:** Seong Ho Park, MD, PhD, Department of Radiology and Research Institute of Radiology, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, Korea.

• E-mail: parksh.radiology@gmail.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

highlighted [34]. Articles in this category are typically written by the most knowledgeable individuals in the field to explain research studies in a manner that is easier for most readers to understand and put into context. These editorials may be a new and quick way for most readers to keep up with a particular issue/field. *KJR* occasionally published commentary-type articles to help readers identify and digest critical information created outside *KJR* or even outside the radiology field [23,24,26,28,31,33], instead of studies published in *KJR* [27,35]. Starting early 2023, *KJR* plans to publish more commentary-style articles for noteworthy studies published in *KJR*.

AI was a dominant topic for *KJR* in 2022, and will most likely remain a prevalent topic in 2023. In 2022, *KJR* noted a shift of focus of AI research studies from the development to post-development clinical evaluation of AI algorithms [36-41]. As the interest in the clinical implementation of AI continues to increase, *KJR* expects increasing submissions and publications of studies on post-development clinical evaluation of AI [42], instead of the “traditional” study format of algorithm training and testing. Another new development regarding the scientific publication of AI research studies is the availability of reporting guidelines for research studies of AI in medicine, which have recently been published or are soon to be published [43-48]. *KJR* strongly recommends that authors refer to these guidelines for the reporting and design of their studies and will consider them for the journal’s scientific peer review and publication.

Finally, *KJR* saw an increased number of submissions in 2022, with the improved quality and status of the journal, which approached 1000 manuscripts, even without the effects of COVID-19. The increase made executing peer review in a timely manner more challenging. However, thanks to the dedicated efforts of our reviewers, we have been maintaining the average time to a first decision under four weeks (amazingly, it was 18 days for some time). The need for rapid peer review is growing with the increasing speed and amount of scientific information delivery enabled by continuously improving information technology and networking. Therefore, *KJR* will continue to try to review the manuscript without delay, as we believe that reducing the review duration is the right move to serve the authors who submit manuscripts to *KJR* more adequately. I appreciate the efforts and contributions of the reviewers very much.

*KJR*’s editorial team always strives to make *KJR* more up-to-date, informative, and enjoyable while keeping in mind

the best interest of its readers and authors. We hope you find *KJR* more valuable and trustworthy for your research and practice in 2023.

### Conflicts of Interest

The author has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

### ORCID iD

Seong Ho Park

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1257-8315>

### REFERENCES

1. Chawla DS. What’s wrong with the journal impact factor in 5 graphs. nature.com Web site. <https://www.nature.com/nature-index/news-blog/whats-wrong-with-the-jif-in-five-graphs>. Accessed December 2, 2022
2. Zijlstra H, McCullough R. CiteScore: a new metric to help you track journal performance and make decisions. elsevier.com Web site. <https://www.elsevier.com/connect/editors-update/citescore-a-new-metric-to-help-you-choose-the-right-journal>. Accessed December 2, 2022
3. SJR. Journal rankings. scimagojr.com Web site. <https://www.scimagojr.com/journalrank.php>. Accessed December 2, 2022
4. Wikipedia. h-index. en.wikipedia.org Web site. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/H-index>. Accessed December 2, 2022
5. Bluemke DA. Radiology and the impact factor. *Radiology* 2022;305:247-248
6. Quaderi N. Journal citation reports 2022: COVID-19 research continues to drive increased citation impact. clarivate.com Web site. <https://clarivate.com/blog/journal-citation-reports-2022-covid-19-research-continues-to-drive-increased-citation-impact/>. Accessed December 2, 2022
7. Cell Press. Cell Press journals see impact factor gains in 2022. cell.com Web site. <https://www.cell.com/news-do/cell-press-impact-factors-2022>. Accessed December 2, 2022
8. Mondal P, Mazur L, Su L, Gope S, Dell E. The upsurge of impact factors in pediatric journals post COVID-19 outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *Front Res Metr Anal* 2022;7:862537
9. Maillard A, Delory T. Blockbuster effect of COVID-19 on the impact factor of infectious disease journals. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2022;28:1536-1538
10. González-Hermosillo LM, Roldan-Valadez E. Impact factor JUMPS after the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic: a retrospective study in dermatology journals. *Ir J Med Sci* 2022 Oct 3. [Epub]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11845-022-03179-4>
11. Giannos P, Delardas O. The great inflation: how COVID-19 affected the journal impact factor of high impact medical journals. *J Med Internet Res* 2022 Nov 30. [Epub]. <https://doi.org/10.2196/43089>
12. García-Blanco MD, Valdez-Valdes A, Ternovoy SK, Bueno-Hernandez N, Roldan-Valadez E. Impact factor JUMPS after

- the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic: a retrospective study in radiology, nuclear medicine & medical imaging journals. *Ultrasound Q* 2022;38:202-207
13. Brandt MD, Ghozy SA, Kallmes DF, McDonald RJ, Kadirvel RD. Comparison of citation rates between Covid-19 and non-Covid-19 articles across 24 major scientific journals. *PLoS One* 2022;17:e0271071
  14. Riccaboni M, Verginer L. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on scientific research in the life sciences. *PLoS One* 2022;17:e0263001
  15. Wikipedia. Impact factor. en.wikipedia.org Web site. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Impact\\_factor](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Impact_factor). Accessed December 2, 2022
  16. Park SH. Guides for the successful conduct and reporting of systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:295-297
  17. Park SH, Han K. How to clearly and accurately report odds ratio and hazard ratio in diagnostic research studies? *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:777-784
  18. Park SH, Han K, Park SY. Mistakes to avoid for accurate and transparent reporting of survival analysis in imaging research. *Korean J Radiol* 2021;22:1587-1593
  19. Atzen SL, Bluemke DA. How to write the perfect abstract for Radiology. *Radiology* 2022;305:498-501
  20. Atzen SL, Bluemke DA. Top 10 tips for writing your scientific Paper: the Radiology scientific style guide. *Radiology* 2022;304:1-2
  21. Bluemke DA, Moy L, Bredella MA, Ertl-Wagner BB, Fowler KJ, Goh VJ, et al. Assessing radiology research on artificial intelligence: a brief guide for authors, reviewers, and readers-from the radiology editorial board. *Radiology* 2020;294:487-489
  22. Chang JM, Ha SM. Regional lymphadenopathy following COVID-19 vaccination in patients with or suspicious of breast cancer: a quick summary of current key facts and recommendations. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:691-695
  23. Fütterer JJ, Nagarajah J. Research highlight: <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET imaging for pelvic nodal metastasis in prostate cancer. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:293-294
  24. Goo JM, Jung KW, Kim HY, Kim Y. Potential overdiagnosis with CT lung cancer screening in Taiwanese female: status in South Korea. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:571-573
  25. Han K, Jung I. Restricted mean survival time for survival analysis: a quick guide for clinical researchers. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:495-499
  26. Kim HC. Role of Yttrium-90 radioembolization for colorectal hepatic metastasis. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:156-158
  27. Kwapisz L, Bruining DH, Fletcher JG. Using MR enterography and CT enterography for routine Crohn's surveillance: how we do it now, and how we hope to do it in the future. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:1-5
  28. Lee MW, Rhim H. Research highlight: how to use technical and oncologic outcomes of image-guided tumor ablation according to guidelines by Society of Interventional Oncology and DATECAN? *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:385-388
  29. Lee S, Shin HJ, Kim S, Kim EK. Successful implementation of an artificial intelligence-based computer-aided detection system for chest radiography in daily clinical practice. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:847-852
  30. Lee SH, Moon WK. Glandular tissue component on breast ultrasound in dense breasts: a new imaging biomarker for breast cancer risk. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:574-580
  31. Park JE, Vollmuth P, Kim N, Kim HS. Research highlight: use of generative images created with artificial intelligence for brain tumor imaging. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:500-504
  32. Park SH, Choi JI, Fournier L, Vasey B. Randomized clinical trials of artificial intelligence in medicine: why, when, and how? *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:1119-1125
  33. Youk JH, Kim EK. Research highlight: artificial intelligence for ruling out negative examinations in screening breast MRI. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:153-155
  34. Bluemke DA. The new radiology. *Radiology* 2019;290:275-276
  35. Gormly KL. High-resolution T2-weighted MRI to evaluate rectal cancer: why variations matter. *Korean J Radiol* 2021;22:1475-1480
  36. Heo S, Lee SS, Kim SY, Lim YS, Park HJ, Yoon JS, et al. Prediction of decompensation and death in advanced chronic liver disease using deep learning analysis of gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:1269-1280
  37. Kim DW, Ahn H, Kim KW, Lee SS, Kim HJ, Ko Y, et al. Prognostic value of sarcopenia and myosteatosis in patients with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:1055-1066
  38. Kim YS, Jang MJ, Lee SH, Kim SY, Ha SM, Kwon BR, et al. Use of artificial intelligence for reducing unnecessary recalls at screening mammography: a simulation study. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:1241-1250
  39. Lee JH, Kim KH, Lee EH, Ahn JS, Ryu JK, Park YM, et al. Improving the performance of radiologists using artificial intelligence-based detection support software for mammography: a multi-reader study. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:505-516
  40. Park HJ, Yoon JS, Lee SS, Suk HI, Park B, Sung YS, et al. Deep learning-based assessment of functional liver capacity using gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MRI. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:720-731
  41. Yoo H, Kim EY, Kim H, Choi YR, Kim MY, Hwang SH, et al. Artificial intelligence-based identification of normal chest radiographs: a simulation study in a multicenter health screening cohort. *Korean J Radiol* 2022;23:1009-1018
  42. Park SH, Han K, Jang HY, Park JE, Lee JG, Kim DW, et al. Methods for clinical evaluation of artificial intelligence algorithms for medical diagnosis. *Radiology* 2022 Nov 8. [Epub]. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.220182>
  43. Mongan J, Moy L, Kahn CE Jr. Checklist for artificial intelligence in medical imaging (CLAIM): a guide for authors and reviewers. *Radiol Artif Intell* 2020;2:e200029
  44. Liu X, Rivera SC, Moher D, Calvert MJ, Denniston AK; SPIRIT-

- AI and CONSORT-AI Working Group. Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI Extension. *BMJ* 2020;370:m3164
45. Rivera SC, Liu X, Chan AW, Denniston AK, Calvert MJ; SPIRIT-AI and CONSORT-AI Working Group. Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-AI Extension. *BMJ* 2020;370:m3210
46. Vasey B, Nagendran M, Campbell B, Clifton DA, Collins GS, Denaxas S, et al. Reporting guideline for the early stage clinical evaluation of decision support systems driven by artificial intelligence: DECIDE-AI. *BMJ* 2022;377:e070904
47. Collins GS, Dhiman P, Andaur Navarro CL, Ma J, Hooft L, Reitsma JB, et al. Protocol for development of a reporting guideline (TRIPOD-AI) and risk of bias tool (PROBAST-AI) for diagnostic and prognostic prediction model studies based on artificial intelligence. *BMJ Open* 2021;11:e048008
48. Sounderajah V, Ashrafian H, Golub RM, Shetty S, De Fauw J, Hooft L, et al. Developing a reporting guideline for artificial intelligence-centred diagnostic test accuracy studies: the STARD-AI protocol. *BMJ Open* 2021;11:e047709