

Clinical Outcomes Associated with Degree of Hyponatremia in Neurocritically Ill Patients

Yun Im Lee,¹ Joonghyun Ahn,² Jeong-Am Ryu^{3,4}

Department of Internal Medicine,¹ National Cancer Center, Goyang, Korea

Statistic and Data Center,² Clinical Research Institute, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

Department of Critical Care Medicine,³ Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Department of Neurosurgery,⁴ Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Objective : Hyponatremia is a common complication encountered during the treatment of neurocritically ill patients. However, it is unclear whether clinical outcomes correlate with the severity of hyponatremia in such patients. Therefore, we investigated the impact of hyponatremia on mortality of these patients, depending on the degree of hyponatremia.

Methods : Among neurosurgical patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) in a tertiary hospital from January 2013 to December 2019, patients who were hospitalized in the ICU for more than 5 days and whose serum sodium levels were obtained during ICU admission were included. Hyponatremia was defined as the highest serum sodium level exceeding 150 mEq/L observed. We classified the patients into four subgroups according to the severity of hyponatremia and performed propensity score matching analysis.

Results : Among 1146 patients, 353 patients (30.8%) showed hyponatremia. Based on propensity score matching, 290 pairs were included in the analysis. The hyponatremia group had higher rates of in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality in both overall and matched population (both $p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.001$, respectively). In multivariable analysis of propensity score-matched population, moderate and severe hyponatremia were significantly associated with in-hospital mortality (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 4.58; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.15–9.75 and adjusted OR, 6.93; 95% CI, 3.46–13.90, respectively) and 28-day mortality (adjusted OR, 3.51; 95% CI, 1.54–7.98 and adjusted OR, 10.60; 95% CI, 5.10–21.90, respectively) compared with the absence of hyponatremia. However, clinical outcomes, including in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality, were not significantly different between the group without hyponatremia and the group with mild hyponatremia ($p = 0.720$ and $p = 0.690$, respectively). The mortality rates of patients with moderate and severe hyponatremia were significantly higher in both overall and matched population. Interestingly, the mild hyponatremia group of matched population showed the best survival rate.

Conclusion : Moderate and severe hyponatremia were associated with poor clinical outcomes in neurocritically ill patients. However, the prognosis of patients with mild hyponatremia was similar with that of patients without hyponatremia. Therefore, mild hyponatremia may be allowed during treatment of intracranial hypertension using hyperosmolar therapy.

Key Words : Hyponatremia · Neurosurgery · Intensive care units · Mannitol.

• Received : July 10, 2022 • Revised : August 10, 2022 • Accepted : September 12, 2022

• Address for correspondence : **Jeong-Am Ryu**

Department of Critical Care Medicine and Department of Neurosurgery, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea

Tel : +82-2-3410-6399, Fax : +82-2-2148-7088, E-mail : lamyud.ryu@samsung.com, ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1705-848X>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Hypernatremia is routinely detected in intensive care units (ICUs)^{10,11,19,23} and more frequently in the neurosurgical ICU than in the general ICU¹⁰. Hypernatremia may be associated with various complications in critically ill patients^{10,11,17,25,27}. Therefore, ICU-acquired hypernatremia is associated with poor clinical outcomes in critically ill patients^{11,19,22,27}.

Hypernatremia is caused by increased sodium intake, loss of free water, or both¹⁷. Under normal conditions, thirst is the primary defense mechanism against the development of hypernatremia¹⁷. However, this mechanism is disrupted in critically ill patients because their consciousness is generally disturbed by sedation or delirium^{11,17}. Moreover, neurocritically ill patients are more likely to develop hypernatremia than patients in general ICUs for several reasons, including impaired thirst mechanisms, altered mentality, and hormonal abnormalities resulting from brain injury^{11,12}. In addition, hypernatremia may be induced by treating elevated intracranial pressure (ICP) with hyperosmolar therapies, such as mannitol and hypertonic saline, and control of ICP may be correlated with serum sodium concentration^{11,12,24}. However, it is unclear whether hypernatremia in patients with intracranial hypertension should be corrected even by reducing or discontinuing hyperosmolar agents.

A limited number of studies reported that clinical outcomes correlated with the severity of hypernatremia in neurocritically ill patients²⁶. It is unknown whether hypernatremia itself is

associated with poor prognosis, or is a symptom associated with neurocritical illness. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the impact of hypernatremia on mortality of neurocritically ill patients, depending on the degree of hypernatremia. In addition, we evaluated whether hypernatremia *per se* was associated with poor prognosis when severity and factors other than hypernatremia were controlled by propensity score matching.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Center (approved number : SMC 2020-09-082). The requirement for informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board due to the study's retrospective nature.

Study population and design

This is a retrospective, single-center, observational study. Patients admitted to the neurosurgical ICU in a tertiary, referral hospital (Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea) from January 2013 to December 2019 were eligible. We included patients 1) who were hospitalized in the ICU for more than 5 days or died within 5 days after ICU admission and 2) whose serum sodium concentrations were obtained during the ICU admission. We excluded patients 1) with hypernatremia (serum sodium >150 mEq/L) on ICU admission, 2) with insuffi-




Fig. 1. Study flow chart. ICU : intensive care unit, Na : sodium level.

cient medical records, 3) those who were admitted to departments other than neurosurgery, and 4) those who were transferred to other hospitals and with unknown prognoses (Fig. 1).

Definitions and outcomes

In this study, baseline characteristics of comorbidities, ICU management, and laboratory data were collected retrospectively using Clinical Data Warehouse. Our center constructed the “Clinical Data Warehouse Darwin-C” designed for investigators to search and retrieve de-identified medical records from the electronic archives. It contains data pertaining to more than 4 million patients. The clinical and laboratory data were extracted from the Clinical Data Warehouse Darwin-C after finalizing the patient list in this study. The levels of serum sodium were measured at least once every morning in all patients. Additional laboratory tests were performed if patients underwent hyperosmolar therapy or when attending physicians or neurosurgeons needed additional tests throughout the day. Hypernatremia was defined as the highest serum sodium level exceeding 150 mEq/L during ICU stay²⁶. We also classified the patients to determine the association between clinical outcomes and severity of hypernatremia. Patients were divided into four subgroups: no hypernatremia (≤ 150 mEq/L), mild hypernatremia (151–155 mEq/L), moderate hypernatremia (156–160 mEq/L), and severe hypernatremia (>160 mEq/L)²⁶. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score was calculated with worst values recorded during the initial 24 hours in the ICU admission^{6,14}. If the patient was intubated, the verbal score of Glasgow coma scale (GCS) was estimated using the eye and motor scores as reported previously²¹. The primary endpoint was in-hospital mortality and the secondary outcome was 28-day mortality.

Statistical analyses

All data are presented as means \pm standard deviations for continuous variables and frequencies and proportions for categorical variables. Data were compared using Student’s *t*-test for continuous variables and the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables.

Propensity score matching was used to control the selection bias and the confounding detected in this observational study. Each patient with hypernatremia was matched to one of the

control patients with the nearest neighbor matching within calipers determined by the propensity score. A caliper width of 0.2 of the standard deviation of the logit of the propensity score was used for the matching³. To determine the effectiveness of propensity score matching in controlling the differences between patients with and without hypernatremia, the standardized mean differences (SMDs) were calculated for each variable before and after matching. SMDs less than 10% were considered successful propensity scores matching and balancing the groups.

To conduct doubly robust estimation to improve causal inference, we combined the propensity score matching and regression methods. The propensity score-matched population was subjected to multiple logistic regression analysis with stepwise variable selection. The variables included in the propensity score estimation and the other multivariable analyses were age, sex, comorbidities, cause of ICU admission, utilization of organ support modalities, including mechanical ventilators, continuous renal replacement therapy and vasopressors, invasive ICP monitoring devices, hyperosmolar therapy, GCS, and APACHE II score on ICU admission. The cumulative incidences of mortality were calculated by Kaplan-Meier estimates and compared using a log-rank test. All tests were two-sided and *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed with R Statistical Software (version 4.0.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

A total of 12743 patients were admitted to the neurosurgical ICU during the study period and 1146 patients were included in the analysis. In the study population, 353 patients (30.8%) had hypernatremia (Fig. 1). Mean age of all patients was 50.0 \pm 22.9 years. The study included 597 male patients (52.1%). Malignancy (56.4%) and hypertension (34.1%) were the most common comorbidities. Brain tumors (39.1%) and intracerebral hemorrhage (18.2%) were the most common reasons for ICU admission. Age and APACHE II score on ICU admission were higher in patients with hypernatremia compared with those without hypernatremia (both $p < 0.001$). However, malignancy was more common in the group with hypernatremia

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study population

	Overall study population			Propensity score-matched population				
	No hypernatremia (n=793)	Hypernatremia (n=353)	p-value	SMD	No hypernatremia (n=290)	Hypernatremia (n=290)	p-value	SMD
Age (years)	47.5±23.8	55.8±19.6	<0.001	0.381	54.0±19.4	54.7±20.0	0.678	0.035
Sex, male	423 (53.3)	174 (49.3)	0.229	0.081	146 (50.3)	143 (49.3)	0.868	0.021
Comorbidities								
Malignancy	486 (61.3)	160 (45.3)	<0.001	0.324	137 (47.2)	143 (49.3)	0.678	0.041
Hypertension	258 (32.5)	133 (37.7)	0.104	0.108	103 (35.5)	103 (35.5)	0.999	<0.001
Diabetes mellitus	91 (11.5)	62 (17.6)	0.007	0.173	49 (16.9)	47 (16.2)	0.911	0.019
Chronic kidney disease	56 (7.1)	24 (6.8)	0.972	0.010	23 (7.9)	20 (6.9)	0.751	0.039
Cardiovascular disease	30 (3.8)	15 (4.2)	0.833	0.024	14 (4.8)	12 (4.1)	0.841	0.033
Chronic liver disease	28 (3.5)	12 (3.4)	0.999	0.007	10 (3.4)	10 (3.4)	0.999	<0.001
Habitual risk factors								
Current alcohol consumption	156 (19.7)	95 (26.9)	0.008	0.172	73 (25.2)	73 (25.2)	0.999	<0.001
Current smoking	83 (10.5)	47 (13.3)	0.193	0.088	34 (11.7)	35 (12.1)	0.999	0.011
Cause of ICU admission			<0.001	0.503			0.985	0.099
Brain tumor	356 (44.9)	92 (26.1)			82 (28.3)	88 (30.3)		
Intracerebral hemorrhage	123 (15.5)	85 (24.1)			63 (21.7)	69 (23.8)		
Traumatic brain injury	89 (11.2)	67 (19.0)			54 (18.6)	47 (16.2)		
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	90 (11.3)	61 (17.3)			42 (14.5)	43 (14.8)		
Elective vascular surgery	72 (9.1)	19 (5.4)			19 (6.6)	17 (5.9)		
Cerebral infarction	17 (2.1)	13 (3.7)			11 (3.8)	10 (3.4)		
Central nervous system infection	16 (2.0)	3 (0.8)			4 (1.4)	3 (1.0)		
APACHE II score on ICU admission	6.28±6.2	9.39±8.0	<0.001	0.436	7.84±7.3	8.40±7.7	0.373	0.074
Glasgow coma scale on ICU admission	13.3±3.2	10.5±4.6	<0.001	0.695	11.62±4.3	11.39±4.4	0.516	0.054
ICU management								
Mechanical ventilation	448 (56.5)	291 (82.4)	<0.001	0.587	237 (81.7)	232 (80.0)	0.673	0.044
Invasive ICP monitoring	390 (49.2)	149 (42.2)	0.034	0.140	122 (42.1)	131 (45.2)	0.503	0.063
Continuous renal replacement therapy	27 (3.4)	13 (3.7)	0.950	0.015	14 (4.8)	13 (4.5)	0.999	0.016
Use of mannitol*	347 (43.8)	142 (40.2)	0.293	0.072	116 (40.0)	113 (39.0)	0.865	0.021
Use of glycerin*	275 (34.7)	240 (68.0)	<0.001	0.707	169 (58.3)	179 (61.7)	0.446	0.070
Use of vasopressors	85 (10.7)	92 (26.1)	<0.001	0.404	59 (20.3)	65 (22.4)	0.613	0.050

Table 1. Continued

	Overall study population			Propensity score-matched population				
	No hyponatremia (n=793)	Hyponatremia (n=353)	p-value	SMD	No hyponatremia (n=290)	Hyponatremia (n=290)	p-value	SMD
Laboratory data [†]								
Maximal sodium (mEq/L)	141.9±5.8	160.2±8.6	<0.001	2.488	143.2±5.2	159.8±8.4	<0.001	2.395
Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)	16.0±13.4	17.7±13.3	0.038	0.133	17.7±14.8	18.2±14.2	0.698	0.032
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.9±1.2	1.0±0.9	0.116	0.106	1.1±1.4	1.0±0.9	0.304	0.086
Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	109.3±53.3	85.0±39.2	<0.001	0.520	96.5±50.2	86.5±41.0	0.009	0.219
Maximal glucose (mg/dL)	204.8±90.8	256.6±107.4	<0.001	0.520	228.3±99.5	253.4±108.5	0.004	0.241
Osmolality (mOsm/kg)	306.4±15.1	340.9±27.4	<0.001	1.558	311.7±16.7	340.0±28.5	<0.001	1.210
Clinical outcomes [‡]								
In-hospital mortality	123 (15.5)	161 (45.6)	<0.001		78 (26.9)	117 (40.3)	0.001	
28-day mortality	107 (13.5)	154 (43.6)	<0.001		72 (24.8)	110 (37.9)	0.001	
ICU length of stay (days)	11.1±9.7	14.4±43.6	0.970		10.5±9.4	1.2±47.8	0.095	
Hospital length of stay (days)	66.8±240.2	53.2±140.6	0.232		71.8±295.9	59.6±153.3	0.535	

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). [†]Some patients received more than one hyperosmolar agent. [‡]Variables are not retained in propensity score matching. SMD : standardized mean difference, ICU : intensive care unit, APACHE : Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation, ICP : intracranial pressure

than in the group without ($p<0.001$). Vasopressors and mechanical ventilators were more commonly used in patients with hypernatremia compared with those without hypernatremia (both $p<0.001$). Although the frequency of mannitol use was similar between the two groups ($p=0.293$), glycerin was more frequently used in the hypernatremia group ($p<0.001$). The mean value of maximum serum sodium level was higher in the hypernatremia group than in the group without hypernatremia (160.2 ± 8.6 vs. 141.9 ± 5.8 mEq/L, $p<0.001$). The mean value of minimum serum sodium level was also higher in the hypernatremia group than in the non-hypernatremia group (144.3 ± 12.9 vs. 135.1 ± 5.8 mEq/L, $p<0.001$). Compared with neurocritically ill patients without hypernatremia, those with hypernatremia had increased blood urea nitrogen, glucose, and osmolality, and decreased glomerular filtration rate (Table 1). However, there were no significant differences in the length of stay in the ICU and hospital between the two groups ($p=0.970$ and $p=0.232$, respectively). After propensity score matching, 290 pairs of data were generated by 1 : 1 individual matching without replace-

ment. No significant imbalance was found in the baseline characteristics between the matched data pairs (Table 1).

Clinical outcomes

In the overall study population, the rates of in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality were higher in the hypernatremia group compared with the non-hypernatremia group (45.6% vs. 15.5% and 43.6% vs. 13.5%, both $p<0.001$) (Table 1). Clinical outcomes in the propensity score-matched population were similar with those of the entire population. In the propensity score-matched population, the rates of in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality were also higher in the hypernatremia group compared with the non-hypernatremia group (40.3% vs. 26.9% and 37.9% vs. 24.8%, both $p=0.001$) (Table 1).

In multivariable analysis of propensity score-matched population, the clinical outcomes, including in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality, were not significantly different between non-hypernatremia and mild hypernatremia groups ($p=0.720$ and $p=0.690$, respectively) (Table 2). However, moderate and severe hypernatremia were significantly associated with in-

Table 2. Multivariable analysis of clinical outcomes according to the severity of hypernatremia between propensity score matched population

	Adjusted odds ratio* (95% CI)	p-value
In-hospital mortality		
Hypernatremia		
No hypernatremia	1	Reference
Mild hypernatremia	0.88 (0.43–1.80)	0.720
Moderate hypernatremia	4.58 (2.15–9.75)	<0.001
Severe hypernatremia	6.93 (3.46–13.90)	<0.001
Use of vasopressors	3.07 (1.68–5.61)	<0.001
Continuous renal replacement therapy	5.49 (1.80–16.70)	0.003
Glasgow coma scale on admission	0.63 (0.59–0.68)	<0.001
28-day mortality		
Hypernatremia		
No hypernatremia	1	Reference
Mild hypernatremia	0.86 (0.39–1.86)	0.690
Moderate hypernatremia	3.51 (1.54–7.98)	0.003
Severe hypernatremia	10.60 (5.10–21.90)	<0.001
Use of vasopressors	2.76 (1.47–5.16)	0.002
Invasive ICP monitoring	0.46 (0.26–0.83)	0.010
Glasgow coma scale on admission	0.64 (0.59–0.69)	<0.001

*Adjusted for age, sex, comorbidities, cause of intensive care unit admission, utilization of organ support modalities, use of invasive ICP monitoring device, hyperosmolar therapy, and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II score. CI : confidence interval, ICP : intracranial pressure




Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses of propensity score-matched population ($p < 0.001$).

hospital mortality (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 4.58; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.15–9.75 and adjusted OR, 6.93; 95% CI, 3.46–13.90, respectively) and 28-day mortality (adjusted OR, 3.51; 95% CI, 1.54–7.98 and adjusted OR, 10.60; 95% CI, 5.10–21.90, respectively) compared with the absence of hypernatremia (Table 2). Finally, multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that moderate and severe hypernatremia, use of vasopressors (adjusted OR, 3.07; 95% CI, 1.68–5.61), continuous renal replacement therapy (adjusted OR, 5.49; 95% CI, 1.80–16.70), and GCS on ICU admission (adjusted OR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.59–0.68) were associated with in-hospital mortality (Table 2).

In survival analysis, the mortality rates of patients with moderate and severe hypernatremia were significantly higher compared with those with mild hypernatremia and without hypernatremia in the overall population and propensity score-matched population (Fig. 2). Especially, the mild hypernatremia group of matched population showed the best survival rate in the Kaplan-Meier curve. However, the mortality rate of patients with mild hypernatremia was not significantly lower compared with those without hypernatremia (16.1% vs. 27.6%, log-rank test, $p = 0.163$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the severity and impact of hypernatremia on mortality of neurocritically ill patients, depending on the degree of hypernatremia. Major findings of this study were as follows. First, poor clinical outcomes were more common in patients with hypernatremia compared with those without hypernatremia in overall and propensity score-matched population. Second, patients with mild hypernatremia in the matched population showed the best survival rate. Finally, multivariable analysis revealed that moderate and severe hypernatremia, use of vasopressors and GCS on ICU admission were significantly associated with in-hospital mortality and 28-day mortality in neurocritically ill patients. Specifically, mild hypernatremia was not associated with poor clinical outcomes in this study.

In neurocritically ill patients, hyperosmolar therapy, including mannitol, glycerin or hypertonic saline, are frequently used to control intracranial hypertension^{12,28}. Hypernatremia may occur due to the use of these agents^{11,12,24,28}. Mild hypernatremia is the goal of serum sodium when hypertonic saline is used to lower ICP continuously²⁸. Neurocritically ill patients are frequently subjected to hyperosmolar therapy. Hyperosmolar therapy and associated acute kidney injury can aggravate hypernatremia^{1,16,26}. In addition, hypothalamic dys-

function due to brain injury can contribute to hypernatremia^{20,26}). Therefore, hypernatremia occurs easily in patients with severe neurological disease compared with those manifesting benign disease. Eventually, it is not easy to determine whether hypernatremia itself is associated with a poor prognosis, or patients with hypernatremia show poor prognosis because of their neurocritical illness. Therefore, a propensity score matching method was used to adjust for this confounder in this study. In brief, moderate and severe hypernatremia were significantly associated with poor clinical outcomes in neurocritically ill patients.

The prevalence of ICU-acquired hypernatremia was about 5.7% to 50.7% in previous studies^{10,19,23}). Hypernatremia and its associated hyperosmolar conditions lead to metabolic derangement and organ dysfunction, including abnormal hepatic gluconeogenesis, decreased lactate clearance, increased insulin resistance of peripheral tissues, cardiac dysfunction, muscle cramps and rhabdomyolysis^{10,17,19}). Therefore, hypernatremia itself may be associated with multiple complications, prolonged ICU stay, or even death^{8,18,19,22,26,27}). In addition, hypernatremia leads to increased cellular dehydration and decreased cerebral edema, which are often the therapeutic goals in neurocritical care. However, these homeostatic changes can injure myelin and even cause neuronal death. Thus, hypernatremia leads to additional secondary brain injury^{4,26}). Eventually, hypernatremia is also associated with poor clinical prognosis in neurocritically ill patients^{5,10,11,15,16,20,26}).

In our previous study, hyperosmolality may be associated with acute kidney injury and poor clinical outcomes in neurocritically ill patients⁷). The renal toxicity may be aggravated by dehydration or preexisting renal impairment²) and extreme hyperosmolality may cause acute kidney injury¹³). Serum osmolality is decided by serum sodium, blood urea nitrogen and glucose and these three are related to osmotic pressure. Increased serum osmolality as result of increased serum levels of sodium, blood urea nitrogen and glucose may be associated with poor clinical outcomes in neurocritically ill patients. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor electrolytes, blood urea nitrogen, creatine, serum osmolality, and osmolar gap while using hyperosmolar agents, including mannitol, to prevent acute kidney injury and other side effects of hyperosmolar therapy⁹).

In this study, neurocritically ill patients with mild hypernatremia did not manifest worse outcomes compared to those

without hypernatremia. However, patients with moderate or severe hypernatremia had worse prognosis than those without hypernatremia. In neurocritically ill patients with mild hypernatremia, favorable clinical outcomes may be associated with the ICP-lowering effect induced by mild hypernatremia with fewer complications than those detected during moderate and severe hypernatremia. Therefore, hyperosmolar agents may not be reduced or discontinued to maintain normal level of serum sodium in case of mild hypernatremia during treatment of patients with intracranial hypertension.

This study has several limitations. First, this was a retrospective review of medical records and the data extracted from Clinical Data Warehouse. The nonrandomized nature of registry data may have resulted in selection bias. Laboratory studies, including serum sodium levels, were not protocol-based. Second, hypernatremia was easily induced with hypertonic saline. Although, a small number of patients used hypertonic saline in this study, they could not be identified from Clinical Data Warehouse due to technical challenges. Third, the distribution of neurosurgical diseases differed from that of the general neurosurgical ICU, and the proportion of patients with brain tumors was particularly high. Although this study still provides valuable insight, prospective large-scale studies are needed to confirm the safety and effectiveness of mild hypernatremia in neurocritically ill patients to arrive at evidence-based conclusions.

CONCLUSION

In this study, moderate and severe hypernatremia were associated with poor clinical outcomes in neurocritically ill patients. However, prognosis of the patients with mild hypernatremia was similar with those without hypernatremia. Therefore, mild hypernatremia may be allowed during the active management of intracranial hypertension using hyperosmolar therapy. Further, it may not be necessary to reduce or discontinue hyperosmolar agents to control mild hypernatremia.

AUTHORS' DECLARATION

Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Informed consent

This type of study does not require informed consent.

Author contributions

Conceptualization : YIL, JAR; Data curation : YIL, JA; Formal analysis : JA, JAR; Funding acquisition : JAR; Methodology : YIL, JAR; Project administration : JAR; Visualization : JAR; Writing - original draft : YIL, JA, JAR; Writing - review & editing : YIL, JA, JAR

Data sharing

Our data are available on the Harvard Dataverse Network (<http://dx.doi.org/10.7910/DVN/LVJEDX>).

Preprint

This study was preprinted on the Research Square (<https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-568017/v1>).

ORCID

Yun Im Lee <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7939-1275>
 Joonghyun Ahn <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5768-5990>
 Jeong-Am Ryu <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1705-848X>

• Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Hye Jung Kim, the nursing director of the neurosurgical intensive care unit, for providing excellent advice and engaging in fruitful discussions. We would also like to thank all nurses of the neurosurgery intensive care unit at Samsung Medical Center.

References

1. Aiyagari V, Deibert E, Diringer MN : Hypernatremia in the neurologic intensive care unit: how high is too high? **J Crit Care** **21** : 163-172, 2006
2. Andrews PM, Cooper M, Verbese J, Ghasemian S, Rogalsky D, Moody P, et al. : Mannitol infusion within 15 min of cross-clamp improves living donor kidney preservation. **Transplantation** **98** : 893-897, 2014
3. Austin PC : Optimal caliper widths for propensity-score matching when estimating differences in means and differences in proportions in observational studies. **Pharm Stat** **10** : 150-161, 2011
4. Ayus JC, Armstrong DL, Arief AI : Effects of hypernatraemia in the central nervous system and its therapy in rats and rabbits. **J Physiol** **492 (Pt 1)** : 243-255, 1996
5. Beseoglu K, Etmnan N, Steiger HJ, Hänggi D : The relation of early hypernatremia with clinical outcome in patients suffering from aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. **Clin Neurol Neurosurg** **123** : 164-168, 2014
6. Capuzzo M, Valpodi V, Sgarbi A, Bortolazzi S, Pavoni V, Gilli G, et al. : Validation of severity scoring systems SAPS II and APACHE II in a single-center population. **Intensive Care Med** **26** : 1779-1785, 2000
7. Choi HW, Yoon CH, Ryu JA : Acute kidney injury following mannitol infusion in neurosurgical patients. **J Neurointensive Care** **5** : 9-14, 2022
8. Darmon M, Timsit JF, Francais A, Nguile-Makao M, Adrie C, Cohen Y, et al. : Association between hypernatraemia acquired in the ICU and mortality: a cohort study. **Nephrol Dial Transplant** **25** : 2510-2515, 2010
9. Fink ME : Osmotherapy for intracranial hypertension: mannitol versus hypertonic saline. **Continuum (Minneapolis)** **18** : 640-654, 2012
10. Hu B, Han Q, Mengke N, He K, Zhang Y, Nie Z, et al. : Prognostic value of ICU-acquired hypernatremia in patients with neurological dysfunction. **Medicine (Baltimore)** **95** : e3840, 2016
11. Imaizumi T, Nakatomi M, Fujita Y, Nomura R, Watanabe K, Maekawa M, et al. : The association between intensive care unit-acquired hypernatraemia and mortality in critically ill patients with cerebrovascular diseases: a single-centre cohort study in Japan. **BMJ Open** **7** : e016248, 2017
12. Jeon SB, Koh Y, Choi HA, Lee K : Critical care for patients with massive ischemic stroke. **J Stroke** **16** : 146-160, 2014
13. Kim MY, Park JH, Kang NR, Jang HR, Lee JE, Huh W, et al. : Increased risk of acute kidney injury associated with higher infusion rate of mannitol in patients with intracranial hemorrhage. **J Neurosurg** **120** : 1340-1348, 2014
14. Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, Zimmerman JE : APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. **Crit Care Med** **13** : 818-829, 1985
15. Kolmodin L, Sekhon MS, Henderson WR, Turgeon AF, Griesdale DE : Hypernatremia in patients with severe traumatic brain injury: a systematic review. **Ann Intensive Care** **3** : 35, 2013
16. Li M, Hu YH, Chen G : Hypernatremia severity and the risk of death after traumatic brain injury. **Injury** **44** : 1213-1218, 2013
17. Lindner G, Funk GC : Hypernatremia in critically ill patients. **J Crit Care** **28** : 216.e11-20, 2013
18. Lindner G, Funk GC, Lassnigg A, Mouhieddine M, Ahmad SA, Schwarz C, et al. : Intensive care-acquired hypernatremia after major cardiothoracic surgery is associated with increased mortality. **Intensive Care Med** **36** : 1718-1723, 2010
19. Lindner G, Funk GC, Schwarz C, Kneidinger N, Kaider A, Schneeweiss B,

- et al. : Hypernatremia in the critically ill is an independent risk factor for mortality. **Am J Kidney Dis** 50 : 952-957, 2007
20. Maggiore U, Picetti E, Antonucci E, Parenti E, Regolisti G, Mergoni M, et al. : The relation between the incidence of hypernatremia and mortality in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. **Crit Care** 13 : R110, 2009
 21. Meredith W, Rutledge R, Fakhry SM, Emery S, Kromhout-Schiro S : The conundrum of the Glasgow coma scale in intubated patients: a linear regression prediction of the Glasgow verbal score from the Glasgow eye and motor scores. **J Trauma** 44 : 839-844; discussion 844-845, 1998
 22. O'Donoghue SD, Dulhunty JM, Bandeshe HK, Senthuran S, Gowardman JR : Acquired hypernatraemia is an independent predictor of mortality in critically ill patients. **Anaesthesia** 64 : 514-520, 2009
 23. Polderman KH, Schreuder WO, Strack van Schijndel RJ, Thijs LG : Hypernatremia in the intensive care unit: an indicator of quality of care? **Crit Care Med** 27 : 1105-1108, 1999
 24. Qureshi AI, Suarez JJ, Bhardwaj A, Mirski M, Schnitzer MS, Hanley DF, et al. : Use of hypertonic (3%) saline/acetate infusion in the treatment of cerebral edema: effect on intracranial pressure and lateral displacement of the brain. **Crit Care Med** 26 : 440-446, 1998
 25. Sim JK, Ko RE, Na SJ, Suh GY, Jeon K : Intensive care unit-acquired hyponatremia in critically ill medical patients. **J Transl Med** 18 : 268, 2020
 26. Vedantam A, Robertson CS, Gopinath SP : Morbidity and mortality associated with hypernatremia in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. **Neurosurg Focus** 43 : E2, 2017
 27. Waite MD, Fuhrman SA, Badawi O, Zuckerman IH, Franey CS : Intensive care unit-acquired hypernatremia is an independent predictor of increased mortality and length of stay. **J Crit Care** 28 : 405-412, 2013
 28. Wells DL, Swanson JM, Wood GC, Magnotti LJ, Boucher BA, Croce MA, et al. : The relationship between serum sodium and intracranial pressure when using hypertonic saline to target mild hypernatremia in patients with head trauma. **Crit Care** 16 : R193, 2012