Original Article - Endourology/Urolithiasis

Investig Clin Urol 2018;59:158-165. https://doi.org/10.4111/icu.2018.59.3.158 pISSN 2466-0493 • eISSN 2466-054X



Does quality of drinking water matter in kidney stone disease: A study in West Bengal, India

Pubali Mitra¹, Dilip Kumar Pal², Madhusudan Das¹

Department of Zoology, University of Calcutta, Kolkata, West Bengal, Department of Urology, Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education & Research, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

Purpose: The combined interaction of epidemiology, environmental exposure, dietary habits, and genetic factors causes kidney stone disease (KSD), a common public health problem worldwide. Because a high water intake (>3 L daily) is widely recommended by physicians to prevent KSD, the present study evaluated whether the quantity of water that people consume daily is associated with KSD and whether the quality of drinking water has any effect on disease prevalence.

Materials and Methods: Information regarding residential address, daily volume of water consumption, and source of drinking water was collected from 1,266 patients with kidney stones in West Bengal, India. Drinking water was collected by use of proper methods from case (high stone prevalence) and control (zero stone prevalence) areas thrice yearly. Water samples were analyzed for pH, alkalinity, hardness, total dissolved solutes, electrical conductivity, and salinity. Average values of the studied parameters were compared to determine if there were any statistically significant differences between the case and control areas.

Results: We observed that as many as 53.6% of the patients consumed <3 L of water daily. Analysis of drinking water samples from case and control areas, however, did not show any statistically significant alterations in the studied parameters. All water samples were found to be suitable for consumption.

Conclusions: It is not the quality of water, rather the quantity of water consumed that matters most in the occurrence of KSD.

Keywords: Drinking; Environment; Hardness; Kidney calculi; Water quality

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Kidney stone disease (KSD) is a common clinical problem worldwide. It is basically the formation of stone in the kidney (nephrolithiasis), ureter (ureterolithiasis), or urinary bladder (cystolithiasis) by the successive physicochemical events of supersaturation, nucleation, aggregation, and finally retention [1]. The stone forms as a result of crystal deposition in the kidneys, and the crystal is formed of

components like calcium oxalate, calcium phosphate, calcium carbonate, magnesium-ammonium phosphate, uric acid, and cysteine [2].

The overall probability of forming stones differs in various parts of the world and is estimated as 1% to 5% in Asia, 5% to 9% in Europe, and 13% in North America [3]. Geographically, incidence is higher in the United States, the Middle East, Mediterranean countries, Scandinavian countries, the British Isles, and Central Europe, whereas a

Received: 19 December, 2017 • Accepted: 17 March, 2018 Corresponding Author: Madhusudan Das

Department of Zoology, University of Calcutta, 35 Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata 700 019, West Bengal, India TEL: +91-9831281756, FAX: +91-33-2461-4849, E-mail: madhuzoo@yahoo.com

ORCID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3748-892X

© The Korean Urological Association



lower incidence is reported in Central and South America and Africa. In Asia, a stone-forming belt has been reported to stretch across Sudan, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Iran, Pakistan, India, Myanmar, Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines [4]. In India, the prevalence of kidney stones is 15%, and approximately 5 to 7 million patients have this painful disease [5]. The scenario in West Bengal also indicates that KSD poses a major health hazard in both rural and urban societies.

KSD has gained increasing significance owing to its rising incidence and prevalence throughout the world and also because of its tendency to recur within 5 to 10 years. It is a multifactorial disease that occurs as a result of the combined influence of epidemiological, biochemical, metabolic, and genetic risk factors [6]. Epidemiological studies indicate that factors like age, sex, race, ethnicity, geography, climate, occupation, socioeconomic status, daily water intake, and dietary habits of an individual influence kidney stone formation and recurrence.

KSD poses a major burden in public health care, and hence proper focus should be placed on its prevention. High water intake is a widely agreed upon recommendation to reduce the chances of stone formation and stone recurrence [7]. A patient diagnosed with KSD is generally instructed to maintain a high fluid intake in order to produce at least 25 L of urine in 24 hours [8]. Urinary stone formation is significantly increased when the urine volume excreted is below 1 L/d. Urinary volumes exceeding 2 to 25 L daily can prevent stone recurrence in previously affected patients [9]. However, there is controversy regarding the impact of water quality on the risk for stone formation. Limited studies are available that have examined the relationship between water quality and kidney stone formation. Hence, our current focus was to study the daily volume of water intake by patients with KSD and to identify whether people of any particular geographic area of West Bengal are much more prone to renal stones and whether drinking water quality is responsible for the prevalence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Selection of patients

Patients admitted in the Department of Urology, the Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education & Research (IPGME&R), Kolkata, West Bengal, India, during April 2013 to April 2017 were recruited as study subjects. Free and informed consent of the study participants was obtained, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee of the IPGME&R (approval number: INST/ IEC/2016/374). The diagnosis of stones was confirmed by plain X-ray film and renal ultrasound. Information about age, gender, residence, daily volume of water intake, and source of drinking water were collected from the study participants through a questionnaire. We also checked the participants' clinical profiles and studied parameters like calcium, oxalate, citrate, potassium, phosphate, and urate from urine samples. Patients with histories of known metabolic, gastrointestinal, renal, or endocrinologic disorders; with excess urinary calcium, oxalate, citrate, or urate; or taking any drugs like steroids or diuretics were excluded from this study. The patient population was stratified according to daily volume of water intake and the district in which they lived, more specifically according to their residential address.

2. Collection of water samples

Water that was being used for drinking purpose, for at least 10 years, was collected in 500-mL high-density, clean and sterile polyethylene bottles from those particular places where we got a maximum number of patients (case areas). We also collected water from the areas where kidney stone occurrence was 0% to 1% (control areas). Water was collected thrice yearly, in the three main seasons of summer, monsoon, and winter, i.e., in the months of May-June, August-September, and January, respectively. Before a sample was collected, the water was initially released for some time to ensure fresh water. Then the bottle was filled with water having no acid or air bubbles and was capped immediately to prevent atmospheric contamination [10]. The samples were properly labeled and stored in ice cooler and were analyzed in our laboratory within 24 hours.

3. Analysis of water samples

The drinking water samples were analyzed for pH, alkalinity, hardness, total dissolved solutes (TDS), electrical conductivity (EC), and salinity. pH was studied because it denotes the acidic load of water and indicates the pollution level. The EC gives an idea about the mineral content and salinity of water, another indicator of the inorganic pollution load of water. TDS represent the total amount of inorganic (e.g., potassium, calcium, sodium, bicarbonates, chlorides, magnesium, sulfates) and organic minerals present in water. The total alkalinity and hardness were determined by the titration method using sulfuric acid and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, respectively. pH, TDS, EC, and salinity were measured by using a pocket tester (35 series, Eutech Instruments multiparameter tester, Eutech Instruments Pte Ltd., Singapore). For each parameter, the tester was initially



calibrated with respective buffer solutions.

4. Statistical analysis

To test for statistically significant differences in the different parameters, like pH, alkalinity, hardness, TDS, EC, and salinity, we used Student's t-test. All data were expressed as means±standard deviations. The p-values were checked to analyze whether the parameters differed significantly between the case and control areas by using Graphpad Instat software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). A p-value<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

In our study, a total of 1,266 patients with kidney stones, aged 18 to 75 years and admitted in the Department of Urology, the IPGME&R, Kolkata, from April 2013 to April 2017 were recruited. The basal characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. Of the patients, 781 were men (61.7%) and 485 were women (38.3%), indicating an approximate 2:1 sex ratio of men to women. The tendency for stone formation was higher (68.2%) in individuals who had a sedentary lifestyle. In the West Bengal population, the average stone size was from 1 to 3 cm (Fig. 1), and stone formation was common in the kidneys, ureter, and urinary bladder. Calcium oxalate, calcium phosphate, and struvite stones were most common in our population.

The daily volume of water intake was more than 3 L for 588 patients (46.4%), whereas 53.6% of the patients consumed less than 3 L of water daily. A district-wise (Supplementary Fig. 1) stratification of the patients revealed that the majority of them (65.4%) came from the Presidency Division (Districts North and South 24 Parganas, Howrah, Nadia,

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients (n=1.266)

Characteristic	Value
Age (y)	46.58±8.74
Gender	
Male	781 (61.7)
Female	485 (38.3)
Daily water intake (L)	
Less than 3	678 (53.6)
<2	213 (16.8)
2–3	465 (36.7)
More than 3	588 (46.4)
3–4	364 (28.8)
4–5	208 (16.4)
>5	16 (1.3)
Residence	
Urban	568 (44.9)
Rural	698 (55.1)
Lifestyle	
Sedentary	863 (68.2)
Non-sedentary	403 (31.8)
Food habit	
Vegetarian	122 (9.6)
Non-vegetarian	1,144 (90.4)
Average stone size (cm)	1–3
Stone location	Left and right kidney, ureter and urinary bladder
Major constituent of stone (%)	
Calcium oxalate	~60 to 70
Calcium phosphate	~5 to 10
Uric acid	~5 to 10
Struvite	~10 to 20
Symptoms	Flank and back pain, hematuria, nocturia, burning sensation during urine passage

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%) unless otherwise indicated.



Murshidabad, and Kolkata) followed by Burdwan Division (26.7%) and Jalpaiguri Division (7.9%) during the time period studied. This regional distribution of patients is presented in Table 2. The 4-year epidemiologic data also indicated that, in particular areas, the prevalence of kidney stones was high, with a significant number of patients from those



Fig. 1. Stone samples collected from patients with kidney stones admitted in the Department of Urology, Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education & Research, Kolkata, during the 4-year study.

areas. Hence, we analyzed certain properties (pH, alkalinity, hardness, TDS, EC, and salinity) of drinking water samples from those specific areas and compared the results with drinking water from the control areas of that district (Table 3, Supplementary Table 1). None of the studied parameters differed significantly between the case and control areas.

DISCUSSION

KSD is a major health issue, the incidence of which is increasing day by day. A low intake of fluids, especially water, aggravates the chance of kidney stone formation [11]. Individual differences like race, ethnicity, food habits, and genetics [12] also contribute to KSD. In the present study, however, we focused only on whether there was any association of water quality with kidney stone formation. Keeping in mind the working status, outdoor activities, and daily food habits of the patients and also the climate (subtropical to tropical) of West Bengal, we set a water consumption of 3 L/d as the cutoff value as recommended by the clinician involved in the study. Accordingly, we found that 53.6% of the patients in the present study consumed less than 3 L of water. This observation was similar to our previous finding, in which we did a case-control study and

Table 2. Regional distribution of kidney stone patients admitted in the Department of Urology, Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education & Research, Kolkata, during April 2013 to April 2017

	No. of patients		Area	Population	Population		Ye	ar		Total
	admitted (n=1,266)	District	(sq km)	size	density	2013	2014	2015	2016	number of patients
Jalpaiguri	100 (7.9)	Darjeeling	3,149	1,846,823	586	0	0	0	1	1
Division		Jalpaiguri & Alipurduar	6,227	3,872,846	622	2	0	1	3	6
		Cooch Behar	3,387	2,819,086	832	0	0	1	0	1
		North Dinajpur	3,140	3,007,134	958	1	0	2	5	8
		South Dinajpur	2,219	1,676,276	755	0	3	0	8	11
		Malda	3,733	3,988,845	1,069	17	11	13	32	73
Burdwan	338 (26.7)	Bardwaman	7,024	7,717,563	1,099	8	12	13	24	57
Division		Birbhum	4,545	3,502,404	771	8	4	14	27	53
		Hooghly	3,149	5,519,145	1,753	28	31	20	31	110
		Purba Medinipur	4,713	5,095,875	1,081	10	8	7	12	37
		Paschim Medinipur	9,368	5,913,457	631	10	14	11	17	52
		Bankura	6,882	3,596,674	523	2	4	6	13	25
		Purulia	6,259	2,930,115	468	0	1	0	3	4
Presidency	828 (65.4)	Kolkata	185	4,496,694	24,306	33	41	37	36	147
Division		Nadia	3,927	5,167,600	1,316	16	18	19	29	82
		Murshidabad	5,324	7,103,807	1,334	20	30	28	23	101
		Howrah	1,467	4,850,029	3,306	25	42	30	69	166
		North 24 Parganas	4,094	10,009,781	2,445	25	40	35	38	138
		South 24 Parganas	9,960	8,161,961	819	36	29	63	66	194

Values are presented as number only.



Table 3. Analysis of certain properties of drinking water in samples from the case and control areas $^{ extst{a}}$

, 30T (mm/3.1) this the release of 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	-			in (C /	TOCK	(00000	ئادى V	(/ ~ ~ / / /	on) soonly of			(2000)
	Case area	Control	Conductiv	Conductivity (µs/cm)	(mdd) sn i	(mda	AIKAIIDIL	Alkalinity (mg/L)	nardness (mg caco ₃ /L)	ng caco ₃ /L)	Sallnit	salinity (ppm)
	(hd)	area (pH)	Case area	Control area	Case area	Control area	Case area	Control area	Case area	Control area	Case area	Control area
Jalpaiguri & Alipurduar	7.64	7.60	341.00	395.00	241.00	324.00	55.00	80.00	110.00	140.00	163.00	185.00
Malda	7.75	8.00	838.00	790.00	512.50	503.00	162.50	165.00	187.50	182.50	253.50	257.50
Bardwaman	7.70	7.20	541.67	345.00	382.00	245.00	100.00	135.00	128.33	230.00	214.67	165.00
Birbhum	7.33	7.32	623.00	730.00	00.009	518.00	130.00	95.00	192.50	195.00	225.50	356.00
Bankura	7.62	7.82	556.00	210.00	620.00	362.00	150.00	65.00	210.00	190.00	276.00	165.00
Purulia	7.46	8.02	585.00	225.00	544.00	375.00	145.00	45.00	190.00	140.00	320.00	110.00
Hooghly	7.64	7.52	611.00	654.00	523.30	475.00	145.00	335.00	161.67	165.00	274.00	241.67
Purba Medinipur	7.75	7.89	635.00	782.00	482.00	512.00	140.00	125.00	155.00	165.00	345.00	210.00
Paschim Medinipur	7.45	7.89	647.50	313.00	494.00	292.50	125.00	95.00	152.50	117.50	307.00	170.00
Nadia	7.56	7.78	542.00	755.50	545.00	488.00	145.00	127.50	175.00	167.50	320.00	345.00
Murshidabad	7.67	7.81	631.00	655.00	472.70	461.00	180.00	157.50	236.67	210.00	385.67	270.00
Howrah	7.70	8.40	652.50	815.00	483.00	581.00	102.50	80.00	152.50	190.00	342.50	402.00
North 24 Parganas	7.71	7.68	791.50	807.50	503.00	582.75	143.75	132.50	236.25	201.25	371.50	373.75
South 24 Parganas	7.82	8.22	873.20	647.33	08.969	471.67	117.00	120.00	246.00	165.00	391.80	232.33
Kolkata	8.11	8.19	780.00	479.50	422.00	337.00	110.00	160.00	141.25	195.00	230.00	227.75
Average (mean±SD)	7.66±0.18	7.82 ± 0.33	7.66±0.18 7.82±0.33 643.22±135.27 573.59±222.64	573.59±222.64	501.42±105.65	435.19 ± 104.98	130.05 ± 30.23	127.83±67.59	178.34±40.95 176.92±29.64	176.92±29.64	294.68±68.16 247.4±87.09	247.4±87.09
p-value	0.1051	051	0.31	0.3094	0.0961	961	0.9	0.9085	0.9137	137	0.1	0.1090

TDS, total dissolved solutes; SD, standard deviation.
"Water was collected thrice yearly during 2016–2017, in the three main seasons of summer, monsoon, and winter (i.e., in the months of May–June, August–September, and January, respectively) and

average values of the parameters were recorded.



found that the tendency for low water consumption was significantly higher (p=0.0002) in patients than in controls [12]. Because KSD varies by climate and geographic habitat, our first target was to identify those particular places in West Bengal from which the maximum number of KSD patients came. We had 4 years of recorded data from kidney stone patients (2013-2016) from the IPGME&R in Kolkata. Because the IPGME&R is a premier tertiary care hospital of West Bengal, the 4 years of data gave us an overview of the areas with maximum stone prevalence. The places from which we got more than 10 patients were identified as case areas and the control areas were selected from the places with no patients. Our 4-year data sample revealed that stone prevalence was very high in some specific areas, where we received more than 30 patients.

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have examined the relationship between water quality and kidney stone formation. Thus, the focus of our study was to identify whether people of any particular geographic area are much more prone to renal stones and whether drinking water quality is responsible for the prevalence of KSD. Accordingly, we collected drinking water samples from case and control areas and analyzed different parameters in the water. Ground water is used in West Bengal as the main source of drinking water, as it is comparatively inexpensive in abstraction and mostly safe except in a few pockets. As most of our patients live in villages, where access to filtered water is a fantasy, tap water and water from hand pumps or bore wells is the most easily accessible source for consumption. Thus, we collected that water from the source as our sample. To nullify the problem of seasonal variation in water properties, we collected water in the three main seasons of summer, monsoon, winter and recorded the average value.

We found that the studied parameters in both control and case areas were within permissible limits as prescribed by the World Health Organization (WHO) [13.14], the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) [15], and the Bureau of Indian Standards [16,17]. The pH value of our samples ranged between 7.03 and 8.8. Although pH has no direct effect on human health [18], it shows a close relation with some other constituents of water. The EC is a measure of the saltiness of the water and an EC value within 0 to 800 µS/cm is suitable for drinking. The average EC value of water samples from Baruipur and Lake Town were relatively high, 1,310 and 1,045 µS/cm, respectively, which indicates the presence of high amounts of dissolved salts in the water. High EC values also indicate an inorganic pollution load, attributed to a high salinity and a high mineral content in the sampling site. Literature survey revealed that prolonged consumption of high-salt-containing water may cause kidney stone formation [18]. Hence, along with the EC, we also measured TDS, which represent the total amount of inorganic and organic minerals present in water [19]. We found that all our samples had TDS values less than the WHO standard limit of 1,000 ppm. TDS values also indicate the salinity behavior of the water sample [18]. In our study, the highest average salinity value was 654 ppm, found in Baruipur of South 24 Parganas, well below the maximum permissible limit prescribed by the WHO. The alkalinity values of the water samples were also under the permissible limit of the WHO standards (acceptable limit, 200 mg/L; maximum permissible limit, 600 mg/L).

Controversy remains as to whether water hardness impacts kidney stone formation. No significant correlation was found in several studies between water hardness and the regional incidence of urinary calculus [20-23]. However, another study reported that intake of hard water increases the chance of stone formation by promoting a 50% increase in urinary calcium concentration [24]. Accordingly, those authors recommended that soft water intake is preferable to hard water owing to its association with a lower risk for calcium-containing kidney stones. Coen et al. [25] also reported that an increase in drinking hard water resulted in an increase in urinary stone incidence. Hard water is basically water with high mineral contents and it is defined as the molar sum of calcium and magnesium found in water [20]. Our samples of both the control and the case area were moderately hard to hard, but well below the reference value of 500 mg/L recommended by the WHO and the ICMR. So. it is not harmful for local inhabitants. Moreover, when we compared the average values of the studied parameters in the case and control areas, we did not find any significant differences (p-value not less than 0.05). All the water samples were found to be suitable for drinking. Access to safe drinking water is a basic requirement for healthy life and our study revealed that all the surface water samples examined were within the maximum permissible limit of the WHO and the ICMR standards for drinking water quality.

The limitation of our study was that it was based on 4 years of reports of kidney stone patients of the IPGME&R in Kolkata. Data collection from hospitals in all districts could provide a more vivid picture of stone prevalence in West Bengal.



CONCLUSIONS

Drinking water in various places in West Bengal was found to be suitable for consumption, and we found no association of water quality with kidney stone occurrence.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have nothing to disclose.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India (ref. no. DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2016/IF160107, awarded to Pubali Mitra). Our sincere acknowledgment goes to Dr. Punarbasu Chaudhuri, Head of the Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Calcutta, for technical help. We would also like to thank all of the patients who gave their consent and collaborated in this study and Mr. Trishanku Sardar who assisted in water sample collection.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Scan this QR code to see the supplementary materials, or visit https://www.icurology.org/src/sm/icurology-59-158-s001.pdf.



REFERENCES

- 1. Mandel N. Mechanism of stone formation. Semin Nephrol 1996;16:364-74.
- 2. Parmar MS. Kidney stones. BMJ 2004;328:1420-4.
- Robertson WG, Peacock M, Baker M, Marshall DH, Pearlman B, Speed R, et al. Studies on the prevalence and epidemiology of urinary stone disease in men in Leeds. Br J Urol 1983;55:595-8.
- Hussain M, Lai M, Ali B, Ahmed S, Zafar N, Naqvi A, et al. Management of urinary calculi associated with renal failure. J Pak Med Assoc 1996;45:205-8.
- Awasthi M. Counseling of kidney stone patients based on their dietary pattern in the selected areas of District Kangra HP [dissertation]. Palampur: Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya; 2010.
- 6. Devuyst O, Pirson Y. Genetics of hypercalciuric stone forming

- diseases. Kidney Int 2007;72:1065-72.
- 7. Biradar AN, Patil SB, Yadawe MS, Kundargi VS. Influence of water quality on urolithiasis. World J Pharm Res 2014;3:483-7.
- 8. Pearle MS, Goldfarb DS, Assimos DG, Curhan G, Denu-Ciocca CJ, Matlaga BR, et al. Medical management of kidney stones: AUA guideline. J Urol 2014;192:316-24.
- Kleiner SM. Water: an essential but overlooked nutrient. J Am Diet Assoc 1999:99:200-6.
- Khan S, Shahnaz M, Jehan N, Rehman S, Shah MT, Din I.
 Drinking water quality and human health risk in Charsadda district, Pakistan. J Clean Prod 2013;60:93-101.
- 11. Borghi L, Meschi T, Schianchi T, Briganti A, Guerra A, Allegri F, et al. Urine volume: stone risk factor and preventive measure. Nephron 1999;81 Suppl 1:31-7.
- 12. Mitra P, Guha M, Ghosh S, Mukherjee S, Bankura B, Pal DK, et al. Association of calcitonin receptor gene (CALCR) polymorphism with kidney stone disease in the population of West Bengal, India. Gene 2017;622:23-8.
- 13. World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking-water quality, health criteria and other supporting information. Vol. 2. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997.
- 14. World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. 3rd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
- Indian Council of Medical Research. Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies. Indian Counc Med Res 1975;Spl.Rep.No.44:27.
- 16. Bureau of India Standard (BIS). Indian standard drinking water-specification. IS-10500. New Delhi: BIS; 1991;2-4.
- 17. Kumar M, Puri A. A review of permissible limits of drinking water. Indian J Occup Environ Med 2012;16:40-4.
- Garg VK, Suthar S, Singh S, Sheoran A, Garima, Meenakshi, et al. Drinking water quality in villages of southwestern Haryana, India: assessing human health risks associated with hydrochemistry. Environ Geol 2009;58:1329-40.
- 19. Mohsin M, Safdar S, Asghar F, Jamal F. Assessment of drinking water quality and its impact on residents health in Bahawalpur vity. Int J Hum Soc Sci 2013;3:114-28.
- Basiri A, Shakhssalim N, Khoshdel AR, Pakmanesh H, Radfar MH. Drinking water composition and incidence of urinary calculus: introducing a new index. Iran J Kidney Dis 2011;5:15-20.
- 21. Kohri K, Kodama M, Ishikawa Y, Katayama Y, Takada M, Katoh Y, et al. Magnesium-to-calcium ratio in tap water, and its relationship to geological features and the incidence of calcium-containing urinary stones. J Urol 1989;142:1272-5.
- Kohri K, Ishikawa Y, Iguchi M, Kurita T, Okada Y, Yoshida O. Relationship between the incidence infection stones and the magnesium-calcium ratio of tap water. Urol Res 1993;21:269-72.

Water quality or quantity: which is more important?



- 23. Singh PP, Kiran R. Are we overstressing water quality in urinary stone disease? Int Urol Nephrol 1993;25:29-36.
- 24. Bellizzi V, De Nicola L, Minutolo R, Russo D, Cianciaruso B, Andreucci M, et al. Effects of water hardness on urinary risk factors for kidney stones in patients with idiopathic nephrolithiasis. Nephron 1999;81 Suppl 1:66-70.
- 25. Coen G, Sardella D, Barbera G, Ferrannini M, Comegna C, Ferazzoli F, et al. Urinary composition and lithogenic risk in normal subjects following oligomineral versus bicarbonate-alkaline high calcium mineral water intake. Urol Int 2001;67:49-53.