

In Practice

‘Community reporting’: an insight-generating approach for local authority physical activity provision

AJ Potts 

Institute for Sport, Physical Activity, and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds LS6 3QS, UK.

Email: A. Potts@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

J McKenna

Institute for Sport, Physical Activity, and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

C Webber

Institute for Sport, Physical Activity, and Leisure, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

Corresponding author:

Alexandra J Potts, as above

INTRODUCTION

Research into physical activity (PA) promotion often takes a top-down approach, meaning that it overlooks the experiences of local people.¹ Recently research has acknowledged the importance of community-informed research as critical for understanding local contexts and for exploring health disparities and inequalities.² Community insights are important for shedding light on how intrapersonal factors (e.g. self-concept), dynamic interpersonal relationships (e.g. friends, colleagues) and the local environment (e.g. parks and green spaces, workplaces) can influence PA both independently and in combination with other factors.³ However, community insights are often elusive using traditional research methods which typically involve interviews⁴ or focus groups.⁵ The potential of such methods is often undermined by local people being guarded about discussing personal and/or sensitive information with someone outside of their community.⁶

Previous literature highlights the challenges facing ‘out-group’ researchers – individuals regarded as ‘different’ due to their education, research expertise, race and/or socioeconomic status that may denote a more elevated privilege and power within society.⁷ While ‘out-group’ researchers may be objective and emotionally distant from the research process, they may find it difficult to gain access to research participants.⁸ ‘Out-group’ researchers may lack underpinning local knowledge, which often reduces empathy and the potential for research participants to experience the psychological safety needed to disclose their experiences.⁹

In light of these potential shortcomings, this article presents a novel approach to gaining community insight called ‘community reporting’ (CR). CR can provide an opportunity to engage with local residents who may otherwise be reluctant to share their experiences with ‘outsiders’. It is essential these experiences are captured to help develop case study examples to inform policy recommendations and action when creating healthy environments. This approach can go beyond being just ‘practical examples’ and instead influence decision making and, by using local context, can help to convince decision makers.¹⁰



IN PRACTICE

Case study: Active Calderdale

Drawing on the insight-gathering work of the Sport England funded Local Delivery

Pilot (LDP) ‘Active Calderdale’, which is using a whole-systems approach to PA promotion across the Borough, CR was identified as a functional and sensitive approach. CR was piloted in one locality to develop an understanding of the key organisations and services that were influential in directing

PA behaviour. To maximise learning, the CR approach was one of a number of innovative approaches used within the larger evaluation and insight work of Active Calderdale. This process was instigated and delivered by an embedded researcher (AP) within Active Calderdale.

Identifying community reporters

Following institutional ethical approval, community reporters were recruited through a Community Engagement Coordinator (CEC) who works for a local community anchor organisation

Previous literature highlights the challenges facing ‘out-group’ researchers – individuals regarded as ‘different’ due to their education, research expertise, race and/or socioeconomic status that may denote a more elevated privilege and power within society

partnered with Active Calderdale. Using their local knowledge, the CEC identified residents who were not only actively involved with community-based initiatives but also well connected to residents with limited social networks. These residents were approached individually to engage in the task.

Workshops to train community reporters

A workshop was used to train the Community reporters, which took a four-step approach to the training:

1. Introduction (30 min)

The Community reporters were briefed on Active Calderdale and the insight-gathering task. This involved presenting the aims of Active Calderdale, the aims of the insight-gathering task and the proposed approach. The Community reporters had time to discuss Active Calderdale and ask any pertinent questions (e.g. how will the information gathered from this task be used?); it was important they fully understood the strategy and the task before proceeding.

2. Training and ethical considerations (30 min)

Next, AP familiarised the Community reporters with the conversation brief to be used with residents. It was important that these conversations were unstructured and followed the flow of conversation, rather than following a set agenda. They were encouraged to revert to the brief when conversation was beginning to tire. For example, topics pertinent to this project are related to (1) daily, weekly and monthly contacts to understand key influencers (e.g. can you tell me about who you speak to on a daily basis in the community?), (2) methods of travel in the area (e.g. can you tell me how you get to your local shop?) and (3) weekly work and/or leisure schedules (e.g. can you talk me through what your working week looks like?). To illustrate how the conversation might

progress, AP and the CEC engaged in a role-play task. The Community reporters were also made aware of key ethical procedures that required adherence, such as confidentiality, the process of gaining consent and information about the location of each conversation.

3. Practice (45 min)

An essential part of the workshop was ensuring the opportunity to become fluent using the conversation brief. Community reporters took turns using the brief with fellow Community reporters, receiving constructive feedback from AP, the CEC and the other Community reporters in the group. Feedback typically revolved around how to initiate (e.g. can you tell me about local community groups you engage with?), develop (e.g. can you tell me a bit more about that?) and build (e.g. that's interesting, do you notice other people in the community who influence your behaviour?) on the conversation. Rounds of practice conversations offered Community reporters the opportunity to refine their skills and approach until we were all comfortable with the task.

4. Final review and distribution of conversation materials (15 min)

The Community reporters had the opportunity to ask questions before being given information sheets, a link to the online consent form and a Dictaphone. Contact details for AP and the CEC were also provided, and AP ensured the Community reporters were competent in collecting stories and addressed any final questions.

Anecdotal reflections

This CR approach generated important insights on local PA provision. For example, we discovered how small

changes would expand the numbers of South-East Asian women using leisure provision and the importance of providing female deliverers of a similar cultural background to engage these women (e.g. by having only women lifeguards present at women only swimming sessions). Furthermore, the Community reporters revealed the importance of day-to-day social processes and how the essential role social networks play in validating involvement in PA (e.g. local parent groups organising postschool drop-off walking or running groups). Activating these social local influences will be essential when considering locally driven PA provision.

CONCLUSION

In this article, we introduce and describe CR as an approach to gaining insight on local context from local residents. This may be useful for researchers, evaluators and practitioners working to understand local contexts and underserved groups. The CR approach offers an opportunity to work with community-based individuals to generate insights into local priorities and concerns. These issues can help address inequalities

and should be considered by those who devise policies and strategies, and those working on delivering PA provision.

The CR approach offers an opportunity to work with community-based individuals to generate insights into local priorities and concerns

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

FUNDING

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID ID

Alexandra J Potts  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0882-9900>

References

- Ribera AP, McKenna J, Riddoch C. Physical activity promotion in general practices of Barcelona: a case study. *Health Educ Res* 2006;**21**(4):538–48.
- Brooks MJ, Fields EL. Community partnered participatory research methods as tools for racial justice and health equity. *Perspect Public Health* 2021;**141**(5):261–2.
- Spence JC, Lee RE. Toward a comprehensive model of physical activity. *Psychol Sport Exer* 2003;**4**:7–24.
- Mama SK, McCurdy SA, Evans AE *et al.* Using community insight to understand physical activity adoption in overweight and obese African American and Hispanic women: a qualitative study. *Health Educ Behav* 2015;**42**(3):321–8.
- Groshong L, Wilhelm Stanis SA, Kaczynski J *et al.* Exploring attitudes, perceived norms, and personal agency: insights into theory-based messages to encourage park-based physical activity in low-income urban neighbourhoods. *J Phys Act Health* 2016;**14**:108–16.
- Sixsmith J, Boneham M, Goldring JE. Accessing the community: gaining insider perspectives from the outside. *Qual Health Res* 2003;**13**(4):578–89.
- Wallerstein N, Duran B. The theoretical, practical, and historical roots of CBPR. In: M Minkler, N Wallerstein (eds) *Community-based participatory research for health: from process to outcomes*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2008. pp. 25–46.
- Chawla-Duggan R. Breaking out, breaking through: accessing knowledge in a non-Western overseas educational setting – methodological issues for an outsider. *Compare* 2007;**37**:185–200.
- Cheek NN, Shafir E. The thick skin bias in judgments about people in poverty. *Behav Publ Pol. Epub ahead of print* 14 August 2020. DOI: 10.1017/bpp.2020.33.
- Le Gouais A, Foley L, Oglvie D *et al.* Sharing believable stories: a qualitative study exploring the relevance of case studies for influencing the creation of healthy environments. *Health Place* 2021;**71**:102615.

RSPH eLearning

RSPH
ROYAL SOCIETY FOR PUBLIC HEALTH
VISION, VOICE AND PRACTICE

Arts, Culture and Heritage: Understanding their complex effects on our health

RSPH and University College London (UCL), supported by the MARCH Network have developed this course to increase knowledge and understanding of how community resources, including arts, culture and heritage activities can improve our physical and mental health and wellbeing.

UCL and RSPH are providing a limited number of free course accounts to ECRs. To access the course for free please fill out the form at the bottom of this page: www.rsph.org.uk/our-services/e-learning/courses/arts-culture-and-heritage.html

For more information please contact our eLearning team at learn@rsph.org.uk or call 020 7265 7372

 **UCL**